# MICROCOPY 0007

ROLL

Microfilm Publication M887

RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES

NUERNBERG WAR CRIMES TRIALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. KARL BRANDT ET AL. (CASE 1)

NOVEMBER 21, 1946-AUGUST 20, 1947

Roll 4

Transcript Volumes (English Version)

Volumes 7-9 Jan. 30-Feb. 19, 1947



THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON: 1974

### INTRODUCTION

On the 46 rolls of this microfilm publication are reproduced the records of Case I (United States of America v. Karl Brandt et al., or the "Medical" Case), 1 of the 12 trials of war criminals conducted by the U.S. Government from 1946 to 1949 at Nuernberg subsequent to the International Military Tribunal held in the same city. These records consist of German- and English-language versions of official transcripts of court proceedings, prosecution and defense briefs, and final pleas of the defendants as well as prosecution and defense exhibits and document books in one language or the other. Also included in this publication are a minute book, the official court file, order and judgment books, clemency petitions, and finding aids to the documents.

The transcripts of this trial, assembled in 2 sets of 30 bound volumes (1 set in German and 1 in English), are the recorded daily trial proceedings. The prosecution and defense briefs and answers are also in both languages but unbound, as are the final pleas of the defendants delivered by counsel or defendants and submitted by the attorneys to the court. The unbound prosecution exhibits, numbered 1-570, are essentially those documents from various Nuernberg record series offered in evidence by the prosecution in this case. The defense exhibits, also unbound, are predominantly affidavits by various persons. They are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder numerically. Both prosecution document books and defense document books consist of full or partial translations of exhibits into the English language. Loosely bound in folders, they provide an indication of the order in which the exhibits were presented before the tribunal.

The minute book, in one bound volume, is a summary of the transcripts. The official court file, in four bound volumes, includes the progress docket, the indictment, amended indictment, and the service thereof; appointments and applications of defense counsel and defense witnesses and prosecution comments thereto; defendants applications for documents; motions; uniform rules of procedures; and appendixes. The order and judgment books, in two bound volumes, represent the signed orders, judgments, and opinions of the tribunal as well as sentences and commitment papers. Clemency petitions of the defendants, in five bound volumes, were directed to the military governor, the Judge Advocate General, the U.S. district court, the Secretary of Defense, and the Supreme Court of the United States. The finding aids summarize transcripts, exhibits, and the official court file.

Case I was heard by U.S. Military Tribunal I from November 21, 1946, to August 20, 1947. The records of this case, as the

records of the other Nuernberg and Far East (IMTFE) war crimes trials, are part of the National Archives Collection of World War II War Crimes Records, Record Group 238.

The Brandt case was 1 of 12 separate proceedings held before several U.S. Military Tribunals at Nuernberg in the U.S. Zone of Occupation in Germany against officials or citizens of the Third Reich, as follows:

Case No.	United States v.	Popular Name	No. of Defendants	
1	Karl Brandt et al.	Medical Case	23	
2	Brhard Milch	Milch Case (Luftwaffe)	1	
3	Josef Altatoetter et al.	Justice Case	16	
4.1	Oswald Pohl et al.	Pohl Case (SS)	18	
5	Friedrich Flick et al.	Flick Case (Industrialist)	6	
6	Carl Krauch et al.	I, G, Farben Case (Industrialist)	24	
7	Withelm Diet et al.		12	
8	Ulrich Greifelt	RuSHA Case (SS)	14	
	at al.			
9	Otto Ohlendorf et al.	Einsatzgruppen Case (SS)	24	
10	Alfried Krapp et al.	Krupp Case (Industrialist)	12	
11	Ernet von Weizsaecker et al.	Ministries Case	21	
12	Wilhelm von Leeb et al.	High Command Case	14	

Authority for the proceedings of the International Military Tribunal against the major Nazi war criminals derived from the Declaration on German Atrocities (Moscow Declaration) released November 1, 1943, Executive Order 9547 of May 2, 1945, the London Agreement of August 8, 1945, the Berlin Protocol of October 6, 1945, and the Charter of the International Military Tribunal.

Authority for the 12 subsequent cases stemmed mainly from Control Council Law 10 of December 20, 1945, and was reinforced by Executive Order 9679 of January 16, 1946; U.S. Military Government Ordinances Nos. 7 and 11 of October 18, 1946, and February 17, 1947, respectively; and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301 of October 24, 1946. The procedures applied by U.S. Military Tribunals in the subsequent proceedings were patterned after those of the International Military Tribunal and further developed in the 12 cases, which required over 1,200 days of court sessions and generated more than 330,000 transcript pages.

The crimes charged in the Brandt case consisted largely of medical experiments performed on defenseless concentration camp inmates against their will; "euthanasia" carried out on the mentally defective, the physically sick, the aged, and ethnic and racial groups; and the murder of concentration camp inmates for the express purpose of collecting skulls and skeletons for the Anatomical Institute of the Reich University of Strassburg. The following medical experiments were conducted:

- High altitude: to investigate effects of low pressure on persons.
- Freezing: to test human resistance to extemely low temperatures.
- 3. Malaria: to develop controls over the recurring nature of the disease.
- Mistard gas: part of a general research program in gas warfare.
- Sulfanilamide: to test the efficacy of the drug in bone muscle and nerve regeneration and bone transplantation.
- 6. Seawater: to test methods of rendering seawater potable.
- Epidemic jaundice: to develop an antitoxin against the disease.
- Sterilization: to test techniques for preventing further propagation of the mentally and physically defective.
- 9. Typhus: to investigate the value of various vaccines.
- 10. Poison: to test the efficacy of certain poisons.
- 11. Incendiary bomb: to find better treatment for phosphorus burns.

The prosecution alleged and the judgment confirmed that these experiments were not isolated acts of individual doctors and scientists on their own responsibility but that they were the result of high-level policy and planning. They were carried out with particular brutality, often disregarding all established medical practice. Consequently, large numbers of the victims died in the course of or as a result of the experiments.

The euthanasia program was the direct result of a directive by Hitler of September 1, 1939. It resulted in the secret killing not only of aged, insane, incurably ill, and deformed German citizens in sanatoriums in Germany but also in the clandestine murder of foreign workers. The killing in gas chambers and by injections in the sanatoriums served as a proving ground for these forerunners of much larger installations in the mass extermination camps.

In addition to these experiments, over 100 concentration camp inmates were killed for the purpose of obtaining their skeletons. Their ghastly remains were found in Strassburg by Allied troops.

The transcripts of the Brandt case include the indictments of the following 23 persons all of whom were physicians except defendants Rudolf Brandt, Viktor Brack, and Wolfram Sievers:

Karl Brandt: Personal physician to Adolf Hitler, Gruppenfuehrer in the SS and Generalleutnant (Major General) in the Waffen SS, Reichskommissar fuer Sanitaets- und Gesundheitswesen (Reich Commissioner for Health and Sanitation), and member of the Reichsforschungsrat (Reich Research Council).

Kurt Blome: Deputy [of the] Reichsgesundheitsfuchrer (Reich Health Leader) and Plenipotentiary for Cancer Research in the Reich Research Council.

Rudolf Brandt: Standartenfuehrer (Colonel) in the Allgemeine SS, Persoenlicher Referent von Himmler (Personal Administrative Officer to Reichsfuehrer SS Himmler), and Ministerial Counselor and Chief of the Ministerial Office in the Reich Ministry of the Interior.

Joachim Mrugowsky: Oberfuehrer (Senior Colonel) in the Waffen SS, Oberster Hygieniker, Reichsarzt SS und Polizei (Chief Hygienist of the Reich Physician SS and Police), and Chef des Hygienischen Institutes der Waffen SS (Chief of the Hygienic Institute of the Waffen SS).

Helmut Poppendick: Oberfuehrer in the SS and Chef des Persoenlichen Stabes des Reichsarztes SS und Polizei (Chief of the Personal Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police).

Wolfram Sievers: Standartenfuehrer in the SS, Reich Manager of the "Ahnenerbe" Society and Director of its Institut fuer Wehrwissenschaftliche Zweckforschung (Institute for Military Scientific Research), and Deputy Chairman of the Managing Board of Directors of the Reich Research Council.

Karl Genzken: Gruppenfuehrer in the SS and Generalleutnant in the Waffen SS and Chef des Sanitaetsamts der Waffen SS (Chief of the Medical Department of the Waffen SS).

Karl Gebhardt: Gruppenfuehrer in the SS and Generalleutnant in the Waffen SS, personal physician to Reichsfuehrer SS Himmler, Oberster Kliniker, Reichsarzt SS und Polizei (Chief Surgeon of the Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police), and President of the German Red Cross.

Viktor Brack: Oberfuehrer in the SS and Sturmbannfuehrer (Major) in the Waffen SS and Oberdienstleiter, Kanzlei des Fuehrers der NSDAP (Chief Administrative Officer in the Chancellery of the Fuehrer to the NSDAP).

Waldemar Hoven: Hauptsturmfuehrer (Captain) in the Waffen SS and Chief Physician of the Buchenwald Concentration Camp.

Herta Oberheuser: Physician at the Ravensbrueck Concentration Camp and assistant physician to the defendant Gebhardt at the hospital at Hohenlychen,

Fritz Fischer: Sturmbannfuehrer in the Waffen SS and assistant physician to the defendant Gebhardt at the hospital at Hohenlychen.

Siegfried Handloser: Generaloberstabsarzt (Lieutenant General, Medical Service), Heeressanitaetsinspekteur (Medical Inspector of the Army), and Chef des Wehrmachtsanitaetswesens (Chief of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces).

Paul Rostock: Chief Surgeon of the Surgical Clinic in Berlin, Surgical Adviser to the Army, and Amtschef der Dienststelle Medizinische Wissenschaft und Forschung (Chief of the Office for Medical Science and Research) under the defendant Karl Brandt, Reich Commissioner for Health and Sanitation.

Oskar Schroeder: Generaloberstabsarzt; Chef des Stabes, Inspekteur des Luftwaffe-Sanitaetswesens (Chief of Staff of the Inspectorate of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe); and Chef des Sanitaetswesens der Luftwaffe (Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe).

Hermann Becker-Freyseng: Stabsarzt in the Luftwaffe (Captain, Medical Service of the Air Force) and Chief of the Department for Aviation Medicine of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe.

Georg August Weltz: Oberfeldarzt in the Luftwaffe (Lieutenant Colonel, Medical Service of the Air Force) and Chief of the Institut fuer Luftfahrtmedizin (Institute for Aviation Medicine) in Munich.

Wilhelm Beiglboeck: Consulting physician to the Luftwaffe.

Gerhard Rose: Generalarzt of the Luftwaffe (Brigadier General, Medical Service of the Air Force); Vice President, Chief of the Department for Tropical Medicine, and Professor of the Robert Koch Institute; and Hygienic Adviser for Tropical Medicine to the Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe.

Siegfried Ruff: Director of the Department for Aviation Medicine at the Deutsche Versuchsanstalt fuer Luftfahrt (German Experimental Institute for Aviation).

Hans Wolfgang Romberg: Physician on the staff of the Department for Aviation Medicine at the German Experimental Institute for Aviation.

Konrad Schaefer: Physician on the staff of the Institute for Aviation Medicine in Berlin.

Adolf Pokorny: Physician, specialist in skin and venereal diseases.

The indictment consisted of four counts. Count one charged participation in a common design or conspiracy to commit war crimes or crimes against humanity. The ruling of the tribunal disregarded this count, hence no defendant was found guilty of the crime charged in count one. Count two was concerned with war crimes and count three, with crimes against humanity. Fifteen defendants were found guilty, and eight were acquitted on these two counts. Ten defendants were charged under count four with membership in a criminal organization and were found guilty.

The transcripts also contain the arraignment and plea of each defendent (all pleaded not guilty), opening and closing statements of defense and prosecution, and the judgment and sentences, which acquitted 7 of the 23 defendants (Blome, Pokorny, Romberg, Rostock, Ruff, Schaefer, and Weltz). Death sentences were imposed on defendants Brack, Karl Brandt, Rudolf Brandt, Hoven, Gebhardt, Mrugowsky, and Sievers, and life imprisonment on Fischer, Genzken, Handloser, Rose, and Schroeder; varying terms of years were given to defendants Becker-Freyseng, Beiglboeck, Oberheuser, and Poppendick.

The English-language transcript volumes are arranged numerically, 1-30; pagination is continuous, 1-11538. The Germanlanguage transcript volumes are numbered la-30a and paginated 1-11756. The letters at the top of each page indicate morning, afternoon, and evening sessions. The letter "C" designates commission hearings (to save court time and to avoid assembling hundreds of witnesses at Nuernberg, in most of the cases one or more commissions took testimony and received documentary evidence for consideration by the tribunals). Several hundred pages are added to the transcript volumes and given number plus letter designations, such as page number 1044a. Page 1 in volume 1 (English) is preceded by pages numbered 001-039, while the last page of volume 28 (English) is followed by pages numbered 1-48.

Of the many documents assembled for possible prosecution use, 570 were chosen for presentation as evidence before the tribunal. These consisted largely of orders, directives, and reports on medical experiments or the euthanasia program; several interrogation reports; affidavits; and excerpts from the Reichsgesetzblatt (the official gazette of Reich laws) as well as correspondence. A number

of the medical reports were accompanied by series of photographs and charts of various experiments.

The first item in the arrangement of the prosecution exhibits is usually a certificate listing the document number, a short description of the exhibit, and a statement on the location of the original document of the exhibit. The certificate is followed by the document, the actual prosecution exhibit (most of which are photostats), and a few mimeographed articles with an occasional carbon of the original. In rare cases the exhibits are followed by translations or additional certificates. A few exhibits are original documents, such as:

Exhibit No.	Doc. No.		Exhibit No.	Doc. No.
301	NO-1314		410	NO-158
307	NO-120		441	NO-1730
309	NO-131	-	443	NO-890
310	NO-132		451	NO-732
357	1696 PS		462	NO-1424
362	628 PS		507	NO-365
368	NO-817		546	NO-3347
403	616 PS			

No certificate is attached to several exhibits, including exhibits 433, 435-439, 462, 559, and 561. Following exhibit 570 is a tribunal exhibit containing the interrogation of three citizens of the Netherlands. Number 494 was not assigned, and exhibit 519 is followed by 519a and 519b.

Other than affidavits, the defense exhibits consist of newspaper clippings, reports, personnel records, Reichagesetzblatt excerpts, and other items. There are 901 exhibits for the defendants. The defense exhibits are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder by exhibit number, each followed by a certificate wherever available.

The translations in the prosecution document books are preceded by indexes listing prosecution document numbers, biased descriptions, and page numbers of the translation. They are generally listed in the order in which the prosecution exhibits were introduced into evidence before the tribunal. Pages 81-84 of prosecution document book 1 are missing. Books 12, 16, and 19 are followed by addenda. The document books consist largely of mimeographed pages.

The defense document books are similarly arranged. Each book is preceded by an index giving document numbers, description, and page number for each exhibit. The corresponding exhibit numbers are generally not provided. There are several unindexed supplements to numbered document books. Prosecution and defense briefs are arranged alphabetically by names of defendants; final pleas and defense answers to prosecution briefs follow a similar

scheme. Pagination is consecutive, yet there are many pages where an "a" or "b" is added to the numeral.

The English-language final pleas, closing briefs, and replies to prosecution briefs of several defendants are missing, as are a few German-language closing briefs and replies to prosecution briefs.

At the beginning of roll 1 are filmed key documents from which Tribunal I derived its jurisdiction: the Moscow Declaration, U.S. Executive Orders 9547 and 9679, the London Agreement, the Berlin Protocol, the Charter of the International Military Tribunal, Control Council Law 10, U.S. Military Government Ordinances 7 and 11, and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301. Following these documents of authorization is a list of the names and functions of the members of Tribunal I and counsels.

These documents are followed by the transcript covers giving such information as name and number of case, volume numbers, language, page numbers, and inclusive dates. They are followed by summaries of the daily proceedings providing an additional finding aid for the transcripts. The exhibits are listed in an index, which notes type of exhibit, exhibit number and name, corresponding document number and document book and page, a short description of the exhibit, and the date when it was offered in court. The official court file is indexed in the court docket, which is followed by a list of witnesses.

Not filmed were records duplicated elsewhere in this microfilm publication, such as prosecution and defense document books in the German language that are largely duplications of prosecution and defense exhibits already microfilmed or opening statements of prosecution and defense, which can be found in the transcripts of the proceedings.

The records of the Brandt case are closely related to other microfilmed records in Record Group 238, specifically prosecution exhibits submitted to the International Military Tribunal, T988; NI (Nuernberg Industrialist) Series, T301; NOKW (Nuernberg Armed Forces High Command) Series, T1119; NG (Nuernberg Government) Series, T1139; and records of the Milch case, M888, the List case, M893, the Greifelt case, M894, and the Ohlendorf case, M895. In addition, the record of the International Military Tribunal at Nuernberg has been published in Trial of the Major War Criminals Before the International Military Tribunal (Nuernberg, 1947), 42 vols. Excerpts from the subsequent proceedings have been published as Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuermberg Military Tribunal Under Control Council Law No. 10 (U.S. Government Printing Office: 1950-53), 15 vols. The Audiovisual Archives Division of the National Archives and Records Service holds motion picture records and photographs of all 13 trials and tape recordings of the International Military Tribunal proceedings.

John Mendelsohn wrote these introductory remarks and arranged the records for microfilming in collaboration with George Chalou.

Roll 4

Target 1

Volume 7

Jan. 30-Feb. 5, 1947

## OFFICIAL RECORD

# UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NURNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al
VOLUME 7

TRANSCRIPTS

(English)

30 January - 5 February 1947 pp. 2207-2553A

30 January -M-DJG-1-1-Gross - SW Court No. 1

> Official transcript of the American Military Tribural in the matter of the United States of America, rgainst Harl Brandt, et al, def modants, sitting at Nuraborg, Germany, on 30 January 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the Courtroom will please find their seats.
The Henorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal I.

Military Tribunal I is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascertain that the defendents are all present in Court.

THE MARSHAL: May it please your Honor, the defendant Charhouser is absent today in continuation of the illness for which she has been absent several days. The defendant Forl Brandt is also absent, faving been excused by this Tribunal yesterday morning.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants save the defendant Oberheuser, absent on account of mickness in accordance with the doctor's certificate which I have, and the defendant Karl Brandt, having been excused by this Tribunal, and the defendant Brandt's counsel. The secretary-General will file this certificate in the absence of the defendant Oberheuser.

Counsel for defense may proceed with their arguments.

DR. FRITZ FLEWING (Attorney for Mrugowsky): Mr. President: The defemment Mrugowsky is charged in the first place under Count I of the indictment with a common design or a conspiracy.

I shall prove in respect to this Count that the provisions of Control Council Law No. 10 concerning conspiracy on which the Indictment is based on this point Winst apply to the case which is here under review.

As a presentionary measure I shall further prove that the actual conditions of a conspiracy are non-existent.

Under Count 2 of the Indictment, "ar Crimes, Mrugowsky is charged with High altitude and Freezing experiments.

2207

Maleric experiments, and
Sea water experiments
Sulfanilaride experiments and
Epidemic jaundice experiments
Sterilization experiments and
Typhus experiments,
Experiments with poison and
Incendiary bomb experiments.

H e is, furthermore, charged under Count 3, Section 11 of the Indictment With Crimes against Humanity on account of the sense experiments.

### PAGE TWO

In connection with

The electronic Count II, 6A of the Indictment
The Welaris experiments Count II, 6D of the Indictment
The sea water experiments Count II, 6D of the Indictment

The experiments with epidemic jaundice Count II, 68 of the Indictment

The Prosecution in presenting their evidence submitted nothing to show that the defendent Mrugowsky had any part in these experiments. The Prosecution did not submit one document nor called one witness to prove his participation in the said experiments. The Indictment is entirely unsubstant—inted in these respects. It has merely been asserted that the defendant Mrugowsky, together with other defendants, is guilty of a special responsibility and participation in these experiments.

As regards the

Sterilization experiments Count 2, 61 of the Indictment

The Prosecution have merely produced, in order to charge the defendant Mrugowsky, an affidavit of the co-defendant Rudolf Brandt, dated 19 October 1946, Document No. 440, Prosecution Exhibit 141. This reads as follows at the end:

"Blumenrouther Poppendick and Mrugowsky probably also knew about this."
This is a mere assumption on the part of Brandt which is given without

any more detriled support and without reference to actual data and does not therefore constitute a proof of Mrugowsky's participation in the sterligation experiments.

### PAGE THREE!

The Prosecution have neither presented nor given any other proof for Mrugowsky's participation in sterlization experiments or even only for his knowledge of such experiments.

The Indictment against Mrugowsky is therefore inadequate in the points which I have just mentioned and I move that

The Indictment be rejected as inadequate in the points which I have just mentioned, Count II, 6s, C, D, H & I of the Indictment. And also in regard to III, 11 of the Indictment.

The defendant Mrugowsky is further charged in connection with

Freezing experiments, Count II, 6B of the Indictment

Sulfamilaride experiments, Count II, 6E of the Indictment

Typhus experiments, Count II, 6J of the Indictment

Experiments with poison, Count II, 6K of the Indictment

Experiments with incendiary bombs, Count II, 6L of the Indictment

With Wer Grimes and on account of the same experiments under Count III,

11 of the Indictment with Grimes against Sumanity.

On those Counts, I shall call the defendant Mrugowsky and other witnesses to the witness stand. I shall refer to the evidence given by witnesses interrogated by the Prosecution and by co-defendants as well as to
the evidence of the co-defendants given in the witness stand, I shall submit
documents and refer to documents submitted by the Prosecution and co-defendents.

### PAGE FOUR

I shall prove that the so-called Ding diary was placed together subsequently and thus is a fake which has no value as evidence whatsoever. I shall prove that the so-called work report drawn up by Ding for 1943, which the Prosecution have submitted, is a draft which has no value as evidence.

I shall do all this in order to prove that the defendent Mrogowsky under

the counts of the Indictment was not a principal in, an accessory to, did not order, abot nor took a consenting part in, and that he was not connected with the performance of medical experiments mentioned above without the subjects' consent and that he committed neither marders nor brutalities nor cruelties, tortures, atrocities and other inhumans acts. As regards the freezing experiments I shall prove that the defendant Mrugowsky had no part in these experiments at all. The Prosecution have submitted no conclusive evidence of his participation in these experiments.

As regards the sulfamilianide experiments, I shall prove that the defendant Mrugowsky was not in any way involved in those experiments. The Prosecution have not claimed that he took part in the performance of the experiments, and I shall prove that he had no desociation whatsoever with the sulfamilianide experiments.

As regards the spotted typhus experiments, the Prosecution have not claimed that Mrugowsky was involved in the experiments at Natz-weiler.

As regards the spotted typhus experiments at Buchenwald Concentration Camp, the Prosecution have presented comprehensive evidence. They have repeatedly claimed that Mrugowsky took part in these experiments and that he is responsible for them together with others.

I shall prove that Mrugowsky objected when his superior, Grawitz, for the first time referred to the intention to have spotted typhus experiments possibly performed on immates of a concentration camp.

I shall prove that the experiments on concentration camp innates were ordered against Krugowsky's opposition by Himmler and Grawitz.

Mrugowsky did not become actively involved in these experiments, but Dr.

Ding was directly instructed by Grawitz to perform the experiments.

The Prosecution have not claimed that Mrugowaky took an active part even in one single spotted typhus experiment.

I shall prove that during the whole period during which spotted typhus experiments were carried out, he was at Buchonwald only twice and not in connection with these experiments but for other reasons.

I shall further prove that the spotted typhus experiments which General Taylor himself calls on page 115 of the German record of 9 December 1946, "The most important but fatal spotted typhus research" were no crime at all. On the contrary, they constituted a research work which had to be carried out unless further hundreds of thousands of men, whose lives could be saved only with the help of these experiments, were to die of spotted typhus.

I should prove that the so-called checks introduced in the spotted typhus serial experiments were unavoidable if the end was to be schieved to obtain quickly a clear idea of the effectiveness of the various vaccines and drugs. But I shall above all prove through the evidence given by witnesses of the prosecution that the number of deaths given in the documents furnished by Ding and presented by the Prosecution is wrong.

As regards the experiments with poison, the Prosecution mentioned three cases in presenting their evidence:

In the first case which was carried out upon the initiative of the SS- Tribunal at Buchenwald, it is not quite clear whether the Prosecution intends to charge Mrugowsky in the same way as the others. I shall prove in this case that he was not involved. One of the two other cases is the killing of mix people by poison, mentioned by Dr. Ding in his diary as "Aspecial experiment performed on six persons by order of Mrugowsky and of the Heich Criminal Police Office."

I shall prove in this case that the "resecution have failed to give proof that Mrugowsky ordered this killing or that he even knew about it.

As regards the experiments with poison there remains the killing of three people at Sachsenhausen Concentration Camp, on which Mrugoweky reports in his letter to the Saich Criminal Police Office, date

12 December 1944, Document No. 201. I shall prove that this was not an
experiment to ascertain how much time it takes to kill a man in the
indicated manner - that is what the Prosecution assert - but an execution which had been caused by the Reich Criminal Police Office.

As regard the incendinary bomb experiments I shall prove that they were performed by Ding, not by order of Mrugowsky, but by order of other agencies.

2212

and that krugowsky was not involved in these experiments at all and narely received a subsequent report when the experiments and been concluded.

V

In addition to the experiments specifically mentioned in the Indictment, the Prosecution have presented further evidence concerning a number of further experiments which it includes in the Indictment.

I shall prove also in respect to these experiments that Nrugowsky was not in any way concerned with them.

The evidence which I have mentioned, in connection with war crimes, will also show that irugowaky has in no way consitted crimes against humanity.

Mrugowsky does not deny his membership in the SS, which was declared a criminal organization by the Interactional Military Tribunal.

I shall prove, however, that in many questions, some of which were of decisive importance, he was in open opposition to the SS.

In conclusion I repeat my notion to declare the Indictment against Vrugowaky inadequate insofar as it is concerned with.

> High altitude experiments Valaria experiments Sea-Vater Experiments Experiments with epidemic jaundice. Sterilization experiments

concerning experiments under Count II 6 's well as Count III 11 of the Indictment. Mr. President, members of the Tribunal:

The defendant Poppendick is mentioned in the Indictment as Oberfuehrer in the SS (Senior Colonel) and chief of the Personal Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police, end, like all other defendants, he is indicted under Counts 1, 2, and 3, as well as under Count 4 as a member of the SS niter 1939.

He is charged with special responsibility in the high altitude and freezing experiments, in the malaris and sulfamiliaide experiments, as well as in sec-water experiments and experiments in respect to epidemic jaundice and poison, and finally in respect to sterilization and incendiary bomb experiments.

In presenting their evidence over a period of about four weeks, the Prosecution have submitted far more than four hundred documents, of which only six dontain Poppendick's name in one form or another.

The Prosecution have called twenty-four witnesses to the stand and h ve interrogated them in great detail. Only one witness know Poppendick's name. No further evidence concerning the defendant Poppendick was submitted by the Prosecution.

In opening the defense of the defendant Poppendick, it is necessary to correct the designation which has so far been used by the Prosecution in respect to Poppendick when they called him the chief of the Personal Staff of the Reich Physician SS and Police and to replace it with the more correct mame "Chief of the Personal Secretariat with the Reich Physician SS and Police."

This is to prevent at the outset misunderstandings in respect to the position held by the defendant Poppendick.

It will now be the task of the defense counsel of the defendant Poppendick to define Poppendick's position with the Reich Physician SS and Police as well as his activity in the medical rervice of the 6S before and after its reorganisation in 1943 and furthermore, critically to consider his association with cortain experiments with which he is charged by the Prosecution, Although the Prosecution have in no case furnished even

epproximately conclusive proofs that the defendant Poppendick actually was the deputy or the assistant of the Reich Physician SS and Police and had, as such, knowledge of all secrit machinations, the Defense will clear up Poppendick's activity as chief of the Personal Secretatian, be it only in the interest of finding the objective truth.

The Defense will then dost in detail with the experiments with which the defendant Poppendick is associated one way or another and in connection with which he is represented as having a special responsibility.

The five essential documents which are to be above all the subject of a more detailed examination and of counter evidence are:

- 1. Certain entries made in Sievers' diery of 1944, PS-3546, Prosecution Exhibit 123.
- 2. Ding's Acridine Publication, no. 582, Prosecution Exhibit 286, which is stamped "No objection from the point of view of the medical service by order (signed) Poppendick."
- 3. The letter addressed by the Reich Physician SS and Police to Dr. Ding concerning the research fone by Dr. Vaernet No. 1300, Prosecution Exhibit 259, bearing the signature, "By order Poppendick."
- 4. Belachowsky's afficavit No. 484, Prosocution Exhibit 291, about the calmous supervisory committee in which Poppendick, who is promoted Gruppenfushrer by the author, is allaged to have been a member.
- Rescher's alleged menutes on a conversation between Rascher, Grawitz, Poppendick, Conserning the freezing mumo sheet, No. 320, Prosecution Exhibit 103.

The witnesses whom the defense of the defendant Poppendick will call will make their statements essentially in the form of affidavits. The Defense plan to call only a few of them to the witness stand. The witnesses will testify on the personality of the defendant Poppendick, on his position in the Race and Settlement Head Office, and with the Reich Physician SS and Pelice, as well as on has association with certain experiments with which he is charged.

As regards the experiments made by the Danish physician, Dr. Vaornet,

the Defense will submit expert opinions from medical experts.

Although no information has been received up to date as to the whoresbouts of the witnesses requested by the Defense, it is hoped that at just some of the requested witnesses can be heard on the questions under review.

The Defense at any rate have done all in their power to have the necessary witnesses available. Should, however, spart from the submission of a few affidavite and other documents, this whole group of questions be ultimately doubt with only by the defendant himself as a witness in his own cause or by questioning of his co-defendants, this should not place the Defense at a disadventage on the grounds that the evidential value of such athtements which are made under onth is considered very small.

It is certainly possible, particularly in this trial, for the Prosecution to chuck the credibility of the defendants in respect

to their evidence by confronting them and thus to essist in correctly source of their statements.

The work of the defense in this trial is considerably more difficult than that of the defense in the crial against Hermann Souring and others. In the former proceeding at Syernberg the charges made against the defendant were laid down in special trial briefs and the whole evidence of the prosecution in respect to the individual defendants was presented in one case after the other. Although article a of Decree Number 7 concerning the proceedance and competence of certain military tribunals issued by the Military Soverment of Germany specifically provides that the indictment should give defense the charges simply, clearly, and in sufficient detail, the indictment in this Gree Number 1 equinst Earl Branch and others, and the whole presentation of evidence by the procedution, have refrained from specifying in greater detail the charges against every individual defendant.

On the controls, in this trial the presentation has presented their evidence strictly succeding to the subjects feelt with end neve not defined in greater detail what the charges against the individual defendants are. They have considered in each area the individual experiments and the evidence presented in connection with them as a whole and have only occasionally consented upon certain documents concerning the defendants individually.

The defense therefore is confronted in this case with a certainly not very easy task critically to suprecisie the individual charges against the defendants, which have only been very loosely or very somewhately defined.

This is perticularly striking in the case of the defendent reprendick. His superior, Roich rhysician Dr. Grawitz, having died, reprendick is now to do what he never did during Grawitz's lifetime; he is to take his place here.

ruppendick, who was never sutherized to take Orawity's place and who had never received other newers from his chief, finds himself here in the defendants' dock and is to be rendered responsible for Dr. Gravita's deeds.

That at least is what the defense supposes they can infer from the presentation of swidence by the presentation.

In view of the evidence so far given, it will not be difficult for the cafense to refute the indictment against representation on all counts as unfounded, if the respected defense witnesses are made available and can be heard at humabers.

DR. WalsGarran (Counsel for the defendant Sievers): Nr. Fresident, Your Fonors:

On 1 July 1935 the society "Das Ahnenerbe" was founded and ontered on the registry of associations at the district court in Berlin. Air and purnose of the "Ahnenerbe" were to concuct research into the language, culture, history, and geography of the Indo-European peoples. That is to say, an institution devoted curety to research in the liberal arts. Heinrich Himmler held the top position in this society; his title was president and Chairman. Under him the position of curator and scientific director was held from 193; to 1937 by the professor of the Pre-History of Idens, Dr. Hermann Wirth, Berlin, and from 1937 on by professor Dr. Walter Wuest of Munich. Under th. one, in turn, was the General Secretary or, as he was called from 1937 on, the Reich Susiness Manager. This position was held by Wolfren Sievers. Fr. 1982 on the society "Das Ahnenorbe" - which until then, although it had been under Himsler's protection, had been outside the hierarchy of the USDAP or the SS - became on office under the Hein Office "rersonal Staff of the Reichefushrer SS". Professor Whest was given the title "Office Chief", while Sievers' title of Reich Business Manager remained unchanged. His notivity was and continued to be of a purely administrative nature.

Sievers was neither in a position to give research assignments, nor were he sole to interfere in any way in the scientific part of the experiments. The charification of this point means to me to urgently necessary, in order not to leave uncontested the completely deviating opinion of defense counsel for the defendant Schroeder, asserting that Dr. Hangen stood in relationship of subordination to the defendant Sievers.

Even before 1942 the then Obererst of the Luftweffe, Dr. Rescher, had canaged to promote his particularly subitions research eins with Himmler, Nothing would have been more suitable than for Dr. Rescher's ostensible re-

corporated into the medical service of the SS. Instead, Himmler incorporated Rescher's enterprises into the "Ahmenerbe". Because Dr. Rascher's work was in a quite unrelated field, Sievers made an attempt to have Office Chief buest induce Himmler to witheraw his order. The intention of Reich Buriness Kanager Sievers was of no avail due to the passivity of Office Chief buest. In spite of the apparent hopelessness of the case, Sievers on his own initiative, approached Finder in an attempt to get rid of the undesirable additict to the Ahmenerbe. He was unsuccessful.

This was the beginning of a development, the tragic climax of which Sievers could not suspect, much less foresce. In the same year Biruler created the Institute for Military Scientific Assessed of the Vaffen SS and Police. This institute comprised research projects in which Himmler was corsonally interested, almost all of them were war-connected; at first they were in part carried out on behalf of and with the assistance of the Luftwaffs. There was no uniform scientific direction. Himmler issued instructions to the hunds of the individual sections, seven of which later came into existence. The Luftweffs or the Reich Assessed Council also issued unders to individual institutes. The heads of these institutes made scientific reports to Himmler or to the huftweffs or the Reich Research Council. The Institute was financed exclusively from funds of the Waffen SS or the Webrascht but not from funds of the Amenerbe. Himmler assigned the administrative duties to the Reich Dusiness Manager of the Amenerbe, the defendant Sievers.

This work brought Sievers in contact with things which lay exclusively in the medical field. Doctors carried out experiments of various kinds. Sievers, as a layers, hed to procure materials, arrange for laboratories, pay expenses, conduct the correspondence, and perform other such administrative duties.

The prosecution has alleged that Sievers realized the criminality of all the experiments, or at least must have realized it. Through the mature of his work, it is alleged, he was guilty of complicity in part of the crimes which are here charged. It will be my task to show and to prove to the Tribunal: first, to what extent the allegations of the prosecution are incorrect; second, to what extent the activity revealed by the documents which have been submitted was due to Siever's own intitiative; and third, when end in which experiments Sievers realized or must have realized that they were irreconcilable with the rules of warfare and the principles of humanity.

I shell quite openly present the projects which Sievers realized were illegal and criminal. Thus we have come to the question: If Sievers realized that certain experiments were criminal, then why did he not immediatel give up his position as Reich Business densger of the Ahmenerbe and his work in the Institute for Military Scientific Research. Why did he not oppose Himsler's insense and criminal orders? Why did he do nothing to prevent people's suffering physical harm, often of the nost severe kind, or even losing their lives in experiments?

This second part of my defense will bring me into the field of activity of a very considerable group of the German resistance movement which during the war undertook to overthrow Hitler's Reich by violence.

The prosecution has examined a number of foreign witnesses before this Tribunal, who had been arrested as members of a resistance group in their own country and sent to Jerman concentration camps. They were there placed in the detegory of persons condemned to death. Today these determined and uncompromising fighters for freedom in their homelands are delebrated as heroes. Today no one asks whether these brave and feerless fighters counitted deeds which, objectively considered, were irreconcileble with the rules of warfare or with the laws of humanity. They are not called to account before a tribunal. And why not? Because everything that they did in the struggle against the enemy power was done out of scient lave for their humaland, for their fatherland. Thus everything which they did is stripped of illegality and legalized.

In Gurmany, two there existed, from 1933 on, a constantly increasing resistance appearent against National Socialism, the grave-digger of the Gerran Pelch. Since all the powerful resources of the state and Party were ruthlesely amplayou trinst everyone who opposed the National Socialist regime, the structo mainst this regime was subject to its own laws. Mon ato word willing to take the utmost risks had to penetrate the positions of their political opponents in order, under various forms of camouflage, to untermine those positions and in prinfully detailed work to make the prepartitions require for an armed aprising against a superior enemy. One of those men was welfrem Sievers, whom I trude fate his made a defendant in this trial, liber Slevers, in the trial of Gooring and others, proclaimed his membership in a resistance advement, Court and Prosocution were extremely smustical of this essertion. There was at that time no occasion to execute his at to onte. But in the present trial Sievers must be given the opporcuraty, in his own defense, to prove that to carly as 1931 a group which was strongly apposed to Mational Socialism acliberately ment him into the NSDAF in order to get at such insight as possible into this Party. From 1933 on he worker, on behalf of the resistance group, toward coming into contact with the himnest Pirty authorities. This goal was achieved when, in 1935, he successful in becomin. Business Manager of the Abnonerbe. Thus, he had penetrated to the entogrape of the Reichsfuchrer 55 and the Police, Himmler. who united in his hands all instruments of power within the state. There bo, on a period of great tension them. Sievers had to avoid carefully anything that might have brought him under suspicion of being opposed to the National Socialist regime. If he wanted to applieve his goal, he had to ttein to the circle of Himmler's confidents.

In 1942, Slovers was confronted with a fatoful secision, when, through Himmier's order, he came in contact with the wretched human experiments. Should be, when he recognized in this case or that the illegality of the experiments which Himmier ordered, refuse to continue to perform his duties in any form? With his Triangle from the resistance movement, he deliberated what was to be done. Would the human experiments be stopped, if his opposition was expressed more or less clearly? Never, Would the resistance

.

30 Jun. 17 -15-4-2-PRP- Kupperstein Court 80, 1

tion of an oworthrow by violence, lose in Sievers one of its most important exponents? Yes. Therefore the excision was that Sievers and to remain at his post. In consistent execution of these instructions Sievers therefore had so mester the duties which were assigned to him - the execution of which made engrance deceands on his spiritual resistance in such a way that there could be no suspicion of a hostile attitude. There thus arose for Sievers the undeniable measures of performing what administrative duties fell to his lot by virtue of his position, even in his contact with the human experiments.

Through withcomes and afficients, including a number of members of foreign resistance movements whose lives Sievers seved, I shall prove to the tribunal 1) that the resistance movement to which Sievers belonger was of considerable import acc, 2) that Sievers as an expendent of this movement was of creat importance in the Reignsfeebrung SS, 3) that Sievers had made preparations for an attack on Hitler and Himsler, the execution of which, however, was made impossible by the action taken by the officers' group and the mitu them made followed the unsuccessful attack on 20 July 1944, 4) that Sievers, in the execution of the duties which devolves upon him by virtue of him position, did no more than no had to do to carry out the orders given him.

I intund to call five witherses; two of them will testify to the activity of the Defendant Sievers, as Reich Business Manager in connection with comlimited to call the resistance movement, and one withers will testify to Sievers' notivity within the resistance movement to which be belonged. Finally, I intend to call the Temperature Sievers himself to the withers stand, and I should examine several of the co-differents on individual points.

I maps that I shall thus be able to give the Tribunal the necessary evidence for a judgment which will so justice to the special situation in Sievers' case.

DR. FROTZ (Commonl for the defendant Rose): Mr. President, Your Monors:
The defendant professor Dr. Gerbard Rose was appointed vice-president of
the Robert Koch Institute in Berlin in 1943 a research institute of worldwide
fame for the control of contagious discoses, after ise and been a member of

30 Jan. 47 -M-L-3-PAP- Kupporstein this Institute already, since 1936. In 1939, at the beginning of the war he was drafted into the German . comment as consulting hygienist and tropical hygienist with the Chief of the medical Survice of the German Luftwoffe, At first he was given the rank of Oberarzt of the reserve in was finally promoted on 1 May 1945 to the rank of Jeneralarst of the reserve - I wish to emphasize: of the reserve. Purhaps it could be asked, whether the defendent Rose attained the hi a position of vice-president and the high military rank because of hisif only medical -- music rahip in the NSDAP. or because he is "one of the most uniment scientists" in the fields of my lone and tropic a medicine as he was introduced he Tour Homors by Conorel Taylor in his spening speech of 9 Decembur 1946. The further evidence in this came will show that the latter is the answor. at first it is the tak of the before to make clear 1) whether and how Rose has filled the position of Vice-president of the Court Boch Institute on 2) that were his blace, authorities, and competencies, in his position as consulting by lumist and tropical coctor with the chief of the medical department of the Luftweffe. This clarification will shows a) that 2 so, ivenite his his position as vice-president and despite his his his milliony rook which be fin'lly attained, i not take part in Minming or in carrying out the experiments and measures indicted here, nor b) that he was the superior either in the civil field or in the Wehrenoht of persons who participated in planning and carrying out those experiments THE COURSEPUS. In the indictment professor Rose is charged with special responsibility for war origin and crists a rinot humanity which are considered as such in th experi into of heran beings with typhus ind other contagious diseases in the concentration camps Bucherwald and Nataweiler and in the alleged experiments on human beings with mentitue opicemies in the concentration camps Sanhsennousen and M tawoiler. First, as far as the hopatitis epidemics experiments are concerned, I -2223miss fore not appear at all in document book No. 8 submitted by the prosecution in connection with this matter. Howe iid not participate in the hepatitis research and moreover states that he had no knowledge of those alleged hepatitis experiments.

In the further course of this case I hope to be able to prove the accuracy of the Defeniant Rose's at telent.

though no never worked on typhus - if only just once - was in Buchenwald while those experiments were being carried out. I believe to be able to prove beyond bouth that this visit to the concentration camp Buchenwald was not made buchuse hose was concerned with - or even approved of these experiments but that, on the contrary, this visit was connected with his fundamental objection to such experiments on human beings, which lost to several protests by the defendant Bose. The witness Kopan has already foreibly frown our attention to this.

Nor can it be denied that in the course of its presentation of evidence, the prosecution of a produced wase documents and heard some witnesses from which a layers could conclude a cortain connection of the defendant Rose with the experiments of numer beings in Buchenwald and Notaweiler.

Thus Er. Hardy, for example, sampled document NO-122 - a letter by Professor Rose to Professor Harden of tod 3rd December 1943 with this reservation
sowing that he expected an explanation from Professor Rose. I hope to be
able to offer this requested explanation to the High Court in the course of
my presentation of evidence not only concerning this document but also concorning other existing correspondence, in a sense favorable to the defendant
Rose.

In the course of its oral statement regarding the extent of the accusations against Rose, as contained in the indictment, the prosecution has even gone beyond those contained in the indictment.

Thus, it has also connected him with the malaria experiments by Professor Schilling which the latter carried out in the concentration camp of Dachau. It was evidently let to this because of the Traquently recurring

30 Jan. 17 -11-4-5-PEP- Mupperstein Court No. 1

The prosecution has in the first instance overlooked the fact that Professor has is a malaria export of international repute and it is hardly instanable that his name would not be mentioned when experts are discussing malaria. Over the above this I hope to be able to prove that the defendant Rose - who altouther rejected Schilling's research methods quite apart from his experiments in Dashau - also here at also wronafully accused. The last vestige of fact about this will be removed when in my presentation of evidence, I come to speak about the senitorium of Pfaffenroom, where the defendant Rose on this part conducted colories experiments and used malaria therapy up to the arrival of the Americ as

rinally, Professor Rose, as well as all of his co-defendants, are accused of having participated in a constitute to count war origes and crimes against humanity according to Count I of the Indictment.

The prosecution sees proof of the existence of such a considerary especially in the repeated meetings of the consulting physicians during the wer at the conferences of the consulting specialists at the military medical academy. I shall prove that the defendant Rose, as for as his participation in such conferences is concerned, and in other respects, can on no account be considered a conspirator, neither as a leader nor as organizer nor as initiator or abotter. For it is just his attitude, be it at such a conference, be it at a meeting of prominent physicians, or be it towards the Reich health leader Dr. Conti, or towards the president of the R bert Kech Institute, Frederic Gildensister, which shows that there can be no question of this.

In the course of my presentation of evidence, I plan to examine the defendant Hose on his own case, to present various documents and affidavits as exhibits to the Tribunal and finally to question several witnesses and experts.

DR. S.UTER (Counsel for the Defendant Dr. Slegfried Ruff): Gentlemen of the Tribunal, I have now the task of submitting to you in what manner the Defendant Dr. Ruff intends to consect his defense:

- I) The subject of the indictment \_princt Dr. Siegfried Ruff deals murely with his \_rticipation in the high altitude experiments carried out in Dachau, as they are explained in Document Book 2. No further count of the indictment mantions Dr. Auff, except for the question of "Conspiracy." Consequently, the defense on its part, can limit itself to dealing with these Document high altitude as existents.
- II) The time of Dr. suff's defense will be to establish the following facts:
- 1) The high altitude or criments carried out in Dochau by Dr. Rusberg and Dr. Amediar with the approval of Dr. Suff were necessary for the clarification of the problem of the rescue of airplane crews from high

mititodas."

- 2) These emeriments were prepared in an unobjectionable scientific sensor by Dr. Ruff and his associate, Dr. Romberg, and were executed in an an bjectionable manner by Dr. Ruberg.
- 3) While carrying out tasse experiments, Dr. Ruff know that the only experimental subjects used for that purpose were German convicted criminals, who voluntarily out the solves at his disposal and who, as a reward for undersoing these ex eriments, were subsequently to be pardoned. Dr. Ruff made certain of a use facts at the time by waking various particleating experimental subjects.
- h) Dr. Ruff did not know Dr. Rascher closely before the Dachau high altitude experiments. He was at that time introduced to him by an absolutely reliable source and considered him to be a conscientious physician of the Duftwaffe.
- 5) Schind Dr. A. marg's back, this Dr. Ruscher proceeded to carry out still other experiments, to which Dr. Ruff never a we his consent and which he would never have approved had he been asked.
- 6) These other experiments of Dr. Ruscher were in no way connected with the paracoust descent excuriments carried out by Dr. E. Buff and the German air F ree were not at all interested in these other experiments of Dr. Ruscher's. It was only in the course of this resent trial that Dr. Ruscher's at in detail with what these su-called "experiments" of Dr. Ruscher were concerned.
- 7) When Dr. Half meand the unit a report by Dr. Homberg that a fatality has accoursed furing those additional, that is to say unauthorized, experiments for ascher, he (Buff), ordered that these experiments be discontinued and had the 1-m-pressure chamber returned from D<sub>s</sub>chau to Berlin, and he prevented having the low-pressure chamber out at their disconal during the subsequent period, although Dr. Rascher and various high SS officus repeatedly requested the ruturn of the chamber to Dachau for the purpose of carrying out further experiments.

30 Jan 47-M - 5-5 - LiQ - Lesser was not even a member of the Luftwaffe. The presentation of evidence on the part of the prosecution has already shown that Dr. Ruff was not present at a single one of the various meetings and congresses, where planned experiments were discussed. It is, therefore, quite obvious that the charge of conspiracy cannot do proved wainst Dr. Ruff! The prosecution was not in a position to establish even one single concrete assertion in that respect against Dr. Buff. It would, therefore, be in accordance with justice to dismiss the charge of conspiracy against Dr. Ruff immediately, since there is absolutely immufficient basis for the indictment in that respect. I horeby as ly for the insendate dismissal f the charge with reference to D nagiracy. My other statements with reference to Commpiracy I am not soing to repeat since a number of defense counsels have already submitted the legal busis with reference to that question, even if there were various differomes in their arguments, and different results. This brings me to the end I the case I the Defendant Dr. Ruff. cult-leterauge DR. Sabter: Wr. Fresident, now the spening statement for the Defendant Dr. Reburg, fell ws. The defense counsel of the Defendant Dr. Remberg is at the moment encased in an official journey for the purpose of inter: ating witnesses. He yesterday tele honed mo, saying that because of difficulties with trains, he would not be in a position to arrive here in time to appear in C art. He asked me to read the spening statement for the Defendant Dr. h where in his place and I ask for your parmined onwe are now soing to deal with the case of Dr. A sherg: I) The prosucution charges the Defendant Dr. Hone-tolfoung Emberg with: 1). Participation in a conspiracy to commit was crimes and crimes a minot humanity. 2). Participation in High altitude Experiments in Dachau from -2230-

Court No. I 30 Jan 47-4 - 5-6 - LJG - Lesser March until approximately august 1942, which were carmed but, as the Prospection states, to investigate the limits of human endurance and existence at extremely high altitudes. II) 1). With reference to the charge of participating in a conspiracy a minst the Dafendant Dr. R merg, I herewith present the application to quash the procedure and/or to acquit the defendant, Dr. " mbers, in respect of this count of the charge, for the following reasons: a) The Control Council Law N . 10 provides in Article II, Paragraph la, a possibility of punishment for particl, ation in a conspiracy only in cases of crises cominst, cace. Therefore, this rule is to be regarded as lex specialis and cannot simply be extended to war origon and crimes a sinct husanity, on which the entire char o is bein based. -2231-

30 Jan M-JP-6-1-Cook- ow Court No. 1 b) The delandant, Dr. Romberg has never been a member of any of the organizations declared as crisinal b the B.T, he was not even a member of the Luftwaffe; c) From the evidence presented it does not in any way appear that the charge in question is based on facts. 2) With reference to the charge made as to perticipation in high situtude experiments, it is to be stated: a) That is was not the purpose of the high altitude experiments in question to investigate and I quote: "The limits of hursh endurance and existence at extreme high eltitudes, and that these experiments were conducted properly. b) It is further to be stated that the experimental subjects used in these experiments are crisicals, condemned to teath by ordinary courts, who had valuateered and who were to be pardoned as a reward; c) That Dr. Homburg was, at first, to consider Dr. Rascher, whom he got to know only at the beginning of the experiments, a serious scientist. d) Then at a Inttor date Rosbing succeeded, after having taken nite of R scher's fatal experiments, with the help of his superior, the co-defen ant , Dr. buff, in having the low-cressure chamber idediately removed from techsu, and this against the will of Haacher and Himsler. e) That Dr. Rooberg never took any active part in the experiments of Dr. Essener which exceeded the plan; and finally f) That Dr. Roeberg is a sprious and conscientious scientist who had previously taken part in many high-altitude experiments as a subject. III Dr. Rocherg's statements, as well as those of several codefendants are to serve as evitence. The Tribunal furthermore will be. offered saveral afficavits." And in this manner the defendant, Dr. Romnerg intends to conduct his delense, and this brings me to the and of his case-THE PRESIDENT: Cowsel for referrent, Brack, (Wels) 2232

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, may I come back to yesterday's agreement of the defense counsel, which was that the cases of Romberg and Welz should be dealt with together.

THE PRESIDENT: Before proceeding with the statement on behalf of the defendant Welz, the Tribunal will recess.

(A recess was taken).

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. SIEGERIED WILLE: Mr. President, Your Honors, please permit me first of all to state several words outside of the official text.

In view of the opening statements of the gentlemen before me and the statements of the Prosecution against Professor Welz in the field of circumstantial evidence, because of the lack of proof, in order to counter this it is necessary for me to point out a number of apparently incorrect details in my presentation of ewidence.

My presentation will be somewhat enlarged in this way because it justified the actions of the defendant Welz, which are only a very small part of the incidents that occurred. In order to simplify the matter, as far as I can review it at this time. I shall not make fundamental statements about the legal questions because they have been sufficiently treated in the presentation of my colleague.

"The prosecution accuses the defendant welts of the sallewine craminal actor

- 1. Participation in a conspiracy to commit was primes and primes against humanity.
- 2. Participation in the commission of such origina.

I.

In detail, helts is accused by the prosecution of the following:

1. Participation in the Migh-Altitude Experiments by Dr. Rascher

Subsequent to a lecture on high-altitude experiments on mankays of the sudience is alleged to have stated confidentially to Hascher, a member of the sudience at that time, (Doc No. 1603-PS) that these experiments ought to be made on human beings. By virtue of his relations with Himmler, Hascher is then alleged to have received permission from him, in the summer of 1951, to carry out experiments with 2 to 3 criminals in Danhau.

The prosecutor connects this locture, as well as the reserve, with wells, for the reason that welts at that time was in charge of the permanent research office on the effects of high-altitude and therefore worked at the same institute as Rascher, (German Transcript p. 217). This is murely an assumption on the part of the prosecutor, I shall prove through witnesses and documents that it is without any foundation.

2. Other deductions of the prescenter are based on this assumption. Me declares that wells was a starting point for Reacher's further preparations, although welts did not get know Rascher at that time. Here the proscenter monthtos a reserving in Redolf Brandt's affidivit (Dec. No. 191), as ording to which Himmler had in June 1941 given selts, mascher, and Nottenber personnels. In this one-sided statement it was further alleged that helts had postponed the experiments again and again for four that they would give offense to the Medical Inspection. The truth is that welts had never asked for nor received this permission. The prosecution then alleges that helts used Ruff and Rasburg, of the Research Institute Adlershof, as a front. Before that, he is allegen to have voinly offered his assistants, Lutz and Lendt, the opportunity to collaborate with Rascher.

Through the necessary assignments weltz is alleged to have made a participation in the experiments possible. Because of this attitude of beltz, who secretly reserved for the Luftwaffe or for himself the credit for the scientific remults of the experiments, the Munich representative of the SS Main Office is alleged to have stopped the Dechau experiments without Rascher until Himmler's decision (Mrs. Nink Mascher, Doc. No. 263). Weltz is alleged to have heiged in this way until the spring of 1942. Then weltz apparently succeeded in evercosing Hippke's objections (German Transcript 2:0-222). The proceduter states that Weltz also received information about deaths subsequently. The witnesses Lutz and Weff were to give testimeny to prove this, but their statements did not really implicate the defendant. As regards the high-altitude experiments, the prosecutor draws the following conclusion from them.

It is true that welts did not actively participate in experiments, but he obtained expert assistants for Rescher, who was not conversant with highaltitude research (German Transcript p. 222).

## 3. Freezing Experimenter

Welts is known as the real discoverer of the freezing problem and was aware at an early date of the scientific investigation of it through animal experiments. Hence his basic experiments on small animals, and else the experiments on showed cats which were treated with irony by Raschor. Himmler's offer of an opportunity to experiment on human beings did not seem attractive to him, not to speak of his basic repudiation of experiments on human beings, a co-responsibility of belts for the freezing experiments, which only began in May 1942, that is, long after Bascher's disclassi from the assignment, council seriously be considered. Therefore, the prosecutor could proceed here only one single fact. In Document No. 343 a-PS, a letter from Milen to wolff, Milen notes the conclusion of the altitude experiments in Jarnau and suggests going over to freezing experiments which weltz was ordered to carry out. For this purpose lascher could be made available in the future. I shall prove through sitnesses that this order was never given.

The charge against Weltz is not complicity, but instigation and assistance. Weltz is alleged to have given Rascher the idea of making experiments
on human beings and afterwards to have helped him in their execution. These
accusations are in no small measure the results of the one-sided and noticably
prejudiced affidavite by Brandt, Ruff, and Rocherg, Lutz also is not an unobjectionable witness, as he is politically too implicated by his SS numbership. He therefore avails himself of every opportunity to make himself appear
in a more favorable light.

In reputation of the statements of the prosecution I shall prove through documents and witnesses:

- Institute. It was not Welts but Stabserzt Kottenhoff, of Luftgau VII, who gave the lecture on the monkey experiments. The suggestion to make experiments in the future, not on mankeys, but on two or three habitual criminals can therefore only have been sade by Kottenhoff. I shall substanticte this through presentation of a reprint of Kottenhoff's article in the magazine Muftfehrtsmodizing (Aviation Medicine) and through witnesses.
- 2. Rascher's assignment to Weltz' Institute took place at his own insistence and with the support of Kottenhoff. Essener wishes to netablish himself in Weltz' good graces."

Outside of the text, may I here clear up a misunderstanding and a false translation into the English Language? To habilitate, According to the German concept, that is to gain permission to be an active lecturer in the German Higher School. Now, I continue with the text:

The experiments on human beings that Himmler permitted him to carry out were to assist him in this. Neltz, however, repudiated the proposed experimental research, the gradual ascent to high-altitudes and the freezing experiments. The reason for this will be seen in waltz' basic negative attitude toward freezing experiments on human beings, as set forth by me under 1, 3.

I shall further prove that Welts, on the occasion of Hippke's visit

in the summer of 1941 explicitly, stated to him that experiments on human beings were to be made only in the most pressing cases and only on oriminal prisoners serving long terms. These prisoners would have to make themselves available voluntarily, and be regarded by a termination or shortening of their sentence.

3. Thus Rescher's assignment to heltz from the into number of 1941 or the beginning of March 1942 meant absolutely nothing. Rancher went to Munich only solder, without visiting the Institute. Therefore, in fulfills at of his official responsibility, Weltz ordered Rascher to report twice whenly shall prove that the accord time he reported, Rascher produced a telegram from Himmler stating that he should keep the experiments secret from everyone, even Waltz. I shall further produce witnesses to prove that helts discharged Rescher at that very time and requested his immediate transfer back to the Luftgau, at the beginning of March 1942. On the brais of document No. 318 I shall prove that already in this letter Reacher appears after 16 March 1942 on member of the Buff-Romberg-dascher experimental group with an assignment for the Research Institute for Aviation, at the Dachau Branch. From this it will be so that the inauguration of high-cititude experiments in Dachau, coincides in point of thee with Rascher's separation from Welts. Thus I shall make clear that boltz did not call Eascher to him and instigate his experiments, but that on the contrary, he kept Bascher at a distance and discharged him from his assignment when Bascher attempted to withdraw from welts! supervision.

4. In my submission of evidence I shall further take lusue with the assumption that Weltz wiched to provide Rascher with \_\_\_ "

And I must bring out a mistake in the text, it must be Weltz insteed of Werz.

"experienced helpers in the person of his assistants, Lutz and von Welts while making sure to keep himself at a discreet distance. I shall prove through witnesses that it was customary in Weltz' institute to determine and draw up in writing the working program through joint discussions of the collaborators. If, therefore, Weltz has ever intended that his institute

should participate in Rescher's experiments in Dachau, or that his collaborators should seriously concorn themselves with it, there would have to exist a written claboration of Rescher's program. I shall prove through witnesses that wells never suggested such a collaboration of his assistants.

- 5. I shall further prove through documents, and also through logical doductions that it was not Wertz' intention to induce Ruff and Romberg to enter into a plot with Rascher. His presence in Adlershof was fortuitous. It was only through this visit that Waltz found out about the work program in progross there involving high-eltitude experiments. I shall prove from this that there was no question here of a prearranged moeting. I shall further prove that there were discussions that took place among physicians of equal rank in good faith. The experiments were to be carried out on the doctors themselves or on hebitual originals, who were to be rewarded with a mitigation of their sentences. I shall further prove by witnesses that up until then Reacher had deserved this and that this work program of Dr. Ruoff's was also opproved by Hippke. It will be seen from this that this discussion offers no grounds for the contontion that walts deliberately associated himself with Rescher. The shipment of the low-pressure chamber to Dachau and the advance inspection of Dachna Concentration Comp by Wells will, in view of this, he longer be incriminating,
- 6. I shall further prove by documents and witnesses that the high-altitude experiments in Dachau from Worch 1942 on lay outside Weltz' responsibility.
- a) Furst, because at the beginning of Morch, Beacher had separated from his assignment with tolta;
- b) Also bicouse the experiments were carried out under the exclusive responsibility of Ruff in his capacity is loader of the medical department of the Research Institute at advershof. Among other things, it will be seen that the experiments were carried out under the heriting "Advershof hesearch." Institute, Dochen Branch." This latter I shall prove by documents."

There is a little change in the text there:

ne) I shall further demonstrate by witnesses that walts learned nothing of the results. He required neither current reports nor the usual scientific

analysis. It will be seen from this that his Institute was regarded as not taking part.

- 7. As incrimination through the freezing experiments the prosecutor murely pointed out that well-transmissioned as a participant in them. In appear to this I shall prove, from documents presented by the prosecution itself, that this intention was not out into execution. Enther, Professor Helmlochner was commissioned to carry them out in place of heltz.
- 8. I shall clerify helts' character and personality through the testimony of his colleagues. Through their testimony, I shall prove that his attitude toward life, as manifested in his many years of activity, does not fit him for the role that the presucutor escribed to him. Lelts is not only a scient-ifically distinguished physician, but also a humana one, upright, without timidity, and mithout depotism. He is no arbitious in such a way as eyer to derive benefit from the mork of his assistants.
- I shall prove this through affidavits by competent percens. His sense for the hooling and constructive setivity of the physician cannot be reconciled with experiments on human beings such as Rescher was carrying out. In fact, he opposed these experiments for so long that Himmler throatened to put him in a contentration camp as a traiter to his country. The chief prosecutor defines the goal of German medical science as killing and external ation; in refutation of that I shall prove that helts! life work was devoted exclusively to the preserving and saving of human lives.
- 9. Finally I shall bring forth my objections to the charge of compliancy, In my examination of the defendant and occasionally of the ather defendants. I shall prove, that weltr had alsost no connections with the levilar destors of the mehrancht and the SS who are getnered here. This directal and resulted quite naturally from his position as locate of a purely research institute that had nothing to do with the general medical minimistration. His only task was the electrical of scientific problems. Accordingly, it naturally follows that helts' participation was only of a scientific character. I know of only one such set of participation; namely, the congress on "Shipwrock and binter Suffering" (See note and winternet) on 26 and 27 October

1942 at Murnborg.

Participation in a conspiracy with such far-reaching goals, however, would also, require proof that the participants were criminal characters. Unpreseditated crimes can be alien to the person's essential character. A crime of such lous duration as a conspiracy is only conecivable if the perpetrator's character is criminal and if his deprayity is manifested also in his entire behavior. The switches that I have premised to submit regarding Weltz' personality and character will make clear the impossibility of such an assumption

30 Jan 47-M-370-8-1-Ninetone Court No. 1

Outside of the text may I make the following statement. The defense counsel of the defendants Ruff and Rembers have suggested irradiate discontinuance of the trial and I want to jumn this request at this time.

10. Finally, I fool it to be my duty to refer in quite general terms to the relieving objection:

It has now been established, after the submission of the prosecution's loculents, that Rescher was a criminal. It would have been a crime to support this Dr. Rescher, when we now see in all his deprevity. In the dynamical, notewor, of the defendant feltz's possible pull and responsibility, we are not concerned with this Dr. Rescher. I have reached the conclusion of my statement,

DR. GROZZEZITM: (Defense counsel for Viktor Brack) Mr. Iresident, your Honors. The prosecution recuses the defendent Brack of: 1) toking part in a correspond conspirately for the correlation of war origes and origes against humanity;

a) complesion of war origes; and 3) correspond of origes against humanity by participating in the authorisis and starilization measures as well as 4) remains in compare of the SS, and thus of a original organization, after 1 September 1939, although he know that the SS was used for the correspond of war origes and origins amount to know that the SS was used for the correspond of war origes.

In refetation of these accusations occursed for the forendant Brack will present that heavy of witnesses, forments, fills, and eye witnesses and also by showing the till, "Job Black An", "I secure", to prove the following and show his previolife and also his care of impates in its no narrows. This is an additional part of by part.

1) Dreck to be in the Fuebrar's Chancellery as specialist for Act II, where it was his function to builto all complaints and appeals addressed directly to the Fuebrar ty pursons from all wike of life, and to submit them to Reicheloite Boubler for his decision.

In midition to these duties have the instructed by Roichslotter Bouhler in 1939 to make the in the technical properation of such measures as were required in the execution of the track that Hitler had assimed to poubler; that is short-oning the worthless lives of incurably insome persons, often most critical diagnosis, by specifically designated dectors, and in the technical properation of the mention of these measures. Struck full no decests, after the development of German law since 1933, as to the constitutional legality of Molf Hitler's

30 Jan 47-M-FJC-8-2-Minabuck gourt No. 1 directives.

Brook organist out the instructions given bin and his other duties in his expectly as an abministrative official subordinate to Bouhler and subject to the instructions of Reichsleiter Bouhler, with no independent power to take Locisions.

Breck had no contact whatscover with any of the defendants who were planning or propering a war crime or a crime against humanity. Only with the infuniant Harl Broundt did he have a few more or less incidental conferences, the purpose of which, however, was not the condusten of war crimes or crimes a minst humanity.

2) The test which which Reicheleiter Bouhler entrusted Breck involved only incurably immone persons. Breck had nothing to do with the sures designed to entrue the lives of defected obliding (which was within the competence of the su-called "Reich Continuion for the Survey of Serious or Inherited Afflictions"), nor with concurse affecting incurable invalids in general.

The tosk assimud to Srack involved only German ins ne persons, excluding persons wounded in the first Torid War and industrial desualties. It did not include foreign nationals, perticularly there of nations at war with Germany, but on the other hand lift include Darty newhers and Jews living in Germany.

Break may close story to writings on the problem of shortening the workless lives of incurably income parame, which has been disjusted for contains by physicians, jurists, philosophers, ocientists, and ordinary citizens, and, for ethical rescans and on the bais of a carefully forced conviction feriving from his was experienced, education, spiritual orientation, and permanel study, answers in the affirmative the question, which comes up in connection with the above-contional problem, as to the justification of outplanagia in such cases.

30 Jan 47-16-5%-9-1-Patty - sw Court No. 1

Brack did not participate in the other extermination measures with which the Prosecution charges him, which were directed against Germans and non-Germans through a misuse of the organizational apparatus that Bounlar had created.

3.) Never in his life was Brack's attitude anti-Semitic. On the contrary, in many cases he interceded in the interests of Jews even at the danger of personal detriment. Consequently, Brack became an enemy of Heydrich and Borman. Just before the end of the war at the instigation of Bormann he was even sentenced to death by a military court martial. And I continue with my original text:

Consequently Brack was dissayed by his progressively clearer recognition of the radical intentions and extermination plans embraced by Hitler and his advisors.

purpose, abusing the confidence he enjoyed, he attempted to induce the men in the control to adopt means and procedure which apparently promised success but much actually, according to the state of research at that thee, had to be regarded as useless, and at any rate made a successful application of those plans impossible for a round rable period of time. This is the origin of Brack's correspondence with and sterilization proposals to influential persons in the government in 19/1 and 19/2. Brack's activity in these years will, in the light of the evidence that the defense will produce, take on an assentially different psychological aspect from that which the Prosecution has presented.

Brack did not furnish personnel for the extermination of Jews.

front with the Walfen SS because, after the failure of his efforts and realizing that the radical tendency was now irresistible, he did not wish to be associated with or even to come into contact with an activity that seemed to have criminal tendencies.

Fe transferred to the troops in ignorance of facts going to prove that the SS was used for criminal activities.

That is the end of my statements.

IR. MARK: Mr. President, your Monors, I am now beginning the opening statement for the Defendant, Dr. Becker-Freyweng.

The Prosecution charges the former Stabsarst of the Luftwaffe Reserve, Dr. Hermann Becker-Proyseng, first, to have participated in a complicacy and a joint plan to commit crimes against humanity and war crimes. These crimes are alleged to have been committed under camouflage as so-called scientific, medical experiments.

The indictment thereby was based on the assemblion that in the execution of individual asperiments or a rice of experiments several of the here present refendants or the agencies they represented at that time had taken part or were interested in them or should have had knowledge of them.

However, the Processition did not leave any doubt that in its opinion the cerry ne out of so-called medical experiments was only a pretext to do here to enumies of the Nazi State, to torture them, even to exterminate them. The Chief Prosecutor, General Taylor, devoted a major portion of his

address before the High Tribunal in demonstrating that the basis for such a sonspiracy were the dectrines of the National Socialist German Workers!

Party (BERLY) particularly in regard to the race question, and that also the personal basis of the cruel and aboutable crimes is to be found in those characters was freely fell for the results of those alogens about Race and State, without inner ties with and religious consideration, true medical calling, genuine science, and the ternal number values. That is the picture of the conspirator which the Prosecution developed before our eyes.

- 2) In contrast to that, Counsel for the Defendant, Dr. Hecker-Fraysong, will attempt to prove that the latter, as a result of his education and his concept of the medical profession, as well as his general philosophy, for the factual results of which we will offer evidence, is really unable to show such attitude.
- n.) Cowing from a Christian family, Dr. Becker-Froysong preserved his ruligious attitude at later times also as a student, as a husband and family father, he did not abandon his Christian position, evan at a time when many Germans broke with church and religion, herely as a matter of expediency, in accordance with the Party trend, and left the church.
- b.) Weither did he belong as a student to the Masi Students issociation nor did he belong, as a physician and young academic temener, to the Masi Physicians association or the Masi Academic To there Langue; only in serious scientific work and service to the ill did he see the fulfillment of his free caseon profession. His superiors and temeners were no so-called Masi Profession and temeners were no so-called Masi Profession and temeners are no so-called Masi Profession.
- dence to the Hig. Tribunal that Dr. Becker-Freywang -- as far as it was in als power -- sided and supported racially and politically persecuted people, thereby certainly not following the principles of the Party.
- d.) Iready from the beginning of his own scientific coreer the experiment carried out on himself is of exceptional importance. Already as a youn interne he tested now drugs on his own body and studied in experiments on hi own person, the results up to the limit of his physical enderance.

30 Jan 47-M-GES-9-2s-Futty-sw Court No. 1

At the Research Institute for Aviation Medicine in Berlin Dr. Becker-Freyseng carried out on himself even the most dangerous experiments that ever were carried out there and thereby contacted a serious case of cheumonia.

In more than one hundred experiments with lack of exygen he served as experimental subject for his colleagues, thereby losing his consciousness and exposed himself to other dangers; and when, after the war, he with other German aviation thysicians was asked to cooperate at the Arso-Medical Center of the Armrican Air Force in Heidelburg, he carried out almost one hundred dangerous low pressure chember experiments in less than a year's time, which caused an illness of several months duration to one of the participating colleagues. All these experiments on bimself did not bring any material gain to Dr. Becker-Freywarg. His scientific works are regarded as clean and absolutely reliable by today's critical observars, too. He cannot be accused of an unhealthy inhition, in unfactual finations or reckless egoties, and nothing of the kind could be proven equinat him.

Now r did he carry out any dangerous experiments in his own scientific field any other any than as an experiment on hisself or on similar minded co-workers.

s.) The outbreak of the wer interrupted the medical-scientific activities of Dr. Becker-Freyseng. In 1940 he was drafted as Uncererat of the Leftwaife Reserve and transferred in 1941 to the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaife.

The indictment characterises Dr. Becker-Freyneng as "Chief of the Division for Aviation Medicine" with the Chief of the Medical Service of the fuftwarfe. Actually no Division for Aviation Medicine at the Medical Inspectorate has ever existed; thus, this is a contention by the Prosecution that cannot be proved at all.

Tithin the Division 2 (the Medical Division ) there existed only a branch (a referrat) for Aviation Medicine. Dr. Becker-Freyseng was working there as an assistant consultant up to the middle of 1944

2246

30 Jan A7-M-CES-9-25-Putty sw Court No. 1

without authority to sign any papers and without any independent responsibility. Only from May 1944 until the end of the war Dr. Becker-Freyseng was himself the head of the branch (Referant). 30 Jan-M-JP-10-1-Sampson - sw Court No. 1

May it please the Tribunal that this clarification is more of activity and mainly responsi principle different from those

May it please the Tribunal: The presentation of evidence will prove that this clarification is more than a morely formal correction. Sphere of activity and mainly responsibility of a division chief were in principle different from these of a chief or even assistant subordinate to him. Amongst all the defendants, who had any function in the civilian or military sector of the German health service during the war at all, Dr. BENKER-FREYSENG is the only one whose activities were never autonomous, and who mayor was authorized to make independent decisions.

This, high Triburel, is the picture of the character of the defendnot Dr. BEUTER-PREYSENG, which the Defense will prove in detail, in order to desconstrate, that in no way does it conform with the description of a crimical conspirator the prosecution has drawn.

Furthermore the Prosecution's assumption that Dr. HECKER-FREYSING participated at the "Consulting Conference" of the year 1943 is incorrect. The Defense is going to prove that he actually participated only at the Conference of 1944.

Most of the defermants he saw for the first time here in the Prince of Justice, others he knew by name on account of their high position. With the numbers of the Luftwaffe he had naturally official contacts.

II.

In particular, the indictment charges Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG of participation or special responsibility for high altitude experiments, freezing experiments, sea water experiments and the experiments with sulfonseide, epidemic jaundice, typhus and other infectious diseases:

1) The Defense is going to prove, that Dr. BNCKER-FREYSENG had nothing to do whatsoever with the high altitude experiments and heard about these experiments only after their conclusion, and thenonly unofficially and by chance.

Furthermore, we are going to prove, that the low pressure chamber

30 Jan 47-11-JP-10-1-Sampson - sw Court No. 1

used in Rascher's experiments was not furnished to Dr. RASCHER by the Aviation Medicine

Franch of the medical Inspectorate of the Luitwaffe, that Dr. BICKER-FREYSENG actually saw this chamber for the first time about July 1942, when it already had returned from Dachau, and that he took it over from German A visition Experimental Station (DVL), as brand new.

Mr. President, I will omit the folling paragraph; I request that this paragraph be stricken from the record. I will now continue with the next paragraph.

"To illustrate the attitude of the defendant Dr. HECKER-FREYSENG to a request of Dr. RACHCER to be furnished with a chamber again, the Defense points out the following, and will submit evidence for it;

During the winter 1942-L3, an inquiry from one of the higher SSoffices was received by the Medical Inspectorate, about letting them have
a motorized low pressure charber with a two phase pumping unit, Dr.
BECKER-FREYSENG had an order to report the position of his branch to his
superior.

He commended a negative roply, with the argument, that none of the available chambers could be spared, and that there was no reason for the Medical Inspectorate to have RASCHER conduct high altitude experiments. It is obvious, that this refusal might have had serious consequences for Dr. HECKER-PREYEENG. He also saw to it the only German specialized fire would not manufacture any low pressure chambers for the SS and HASCHER.

# 2. Freezing experiments.

FREYSENG had also never to do. Of the fact, that freezing experiments had been conducted on human beings, he personally heard only through the lecture by Prof. HOLZIOZHNER during the Mucroberg Conference of Luftwaffe Physicians in October 1942.

Concerning this subject, the Defense is going to prove, that no one could learn from Prof. HOLZIOZENER's lecture, from what type of persons the experimental subjects were chosen. The general opinion of the participating physicians was, that the experiments were conducted on criminals sentenced to death.

Dr. BARCHER, who was conducting the experiments, had specially requested permission from HERRER to handle the matter absolutely socret.

Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG after the Justinberg Conference never saw FASCHER again, and never had any other contacts with him.

The Luftwaffe too, shifted away completely from RASCHER, and thereby got into disfavor with his sponsor, the Reichefuehrer-SS, HIMTER.

From documents offered by the Prosecution it becomes evident that

- 1.) RASCHER was from the very beginning in very close contact with the SS and particularly with HIMLER.
- 2.) That RASCHER continued freezing experiments without any participation of the Inftwaffs for years, and that under really perverted conditions.

Therefore, Dr. HECKER-FREYSAIG cannot be considered responsible for a participation on the freezing experiments.

3.) Typhus emperiments, Hepatitis and Epidemic Jaundice.

In all these three cases we are concerned with <u>nurely hygienic or</u>

<u>bacteriological questions</u>, even in the difficult specialized field of

virus research. With this field, Dr. REDER-FRZYSENG in his official

position at the Medical Inspectorate of the Luftwaffs was not at all

concerned, he never had to voice an opinion on any of these questions,

he never participated on a conference concerning them, or even heard of

them. These questions did not even belong to the field of aviation modi
cine which was the explusive field of activity of this defendant.

Scientifically Dr. REDERSE-FRENCE never worked on these problems either,

and is entirely unfamiliar with this specialized medical field.

Dr. BECKER-PRESENCE was in contact with the affair HAAGEN only insofar as his branch forcally world in single cases on research orders, in order to uniforally control the personnel regulations about allocation of funds, priority rate assignment of personnel, etc. Furthermore, all of these research orders had

30 Jan 47-15-JP-10-1-Sampson - sw Court No . 1

the same file note, (no. 55) and, therefore, merely for filing purposes were handled by his branch.

Thus it was nothing but an administrative and file matter! The real, that is the factual contents of the order were handled by the respective consultant for that specialized field.

That is how Prof, Bugen HAAGEN had received research orders about several bacteriological questions, and that already before Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG came to the branch in the fall of 1941.

In the case of the defendent Prof. Dr. SCHROEDER I dealt already with the dual position of Prof. HACEN the different types of research orders he worked on, and therefore how the ordering agencies opposed each other.

The Defense is going to prove, that Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG even in the summer of 1944 never considered the experiments HAAGENS as anything but of an animal experimental type. This is to be deducted from an order submitted to Dr. SCUHALLA, chief of the breeding institution for such experimental animals.

- 4.) Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG heard first about the Sulfonamid Expurimpute in November 1946, when he are served the indictment.
- 5.) The experiments about making sea mater potable. As far as these experiments are concerned, the actives, which had been guiding for Dr. BECKIR-FREYSENG as well as his proposal of carrying out experiments for this purpose on human beings, were already elucidated in my plea for Prof. Dr. SCHEDEDER.

The Defense will offer proof , that Dr. RECKER\_FREYSENG advocated the conduct of these experiments not for vicious planning or with the intent to torture, and to destroy. Only by the abultude of the follower of the other method "Berka" and due to the particular circumstances caused by the war then forced to suggest experiments to be carried out on innates, after the originally intended experimentation on soldiers of the Luftwaffe in a Luftwaffe or parachutist hospital and in the Military Medical Acadesy had proved to be impossible as a result of the war signation in the surrer of the invasion.

250

30 Jan 47-15-JP-10-5-Sampson - sw Court No. 1

The Defense intends to be in a position to prove that the planning of experiments, for which from the start the volunteering of experimental subjects had been the first condition, had been so prepared and that the carrying out had been laid down in such detail that according to all medical experience and medical estimates every procesuation had been taken to prevent any disturbance of health, but certainly fatal results.

In sharp contrast to experiments the outcome of which could no longer be directed by their irresponsible initiators, the nature, the preparations and the carrying out of the so-called sommator experiments guarant and that at any time when reaching the limit of undurance the single experiments could be broken off. And only in these seewater experiments did the defendant Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG participate directly.

The volunteering of experimental subjects was a really necessary requisite for the experiments, as it would have been impossible to obtain an absolutely unobjectionable observation result in forcing persons to take part in. Because the physician and scientist does necessarily depend upon the cooperation of the experimental subjects in evaluating subjective statements, like thirst, hunger and other complaints.

Lr. BEEKER-FREYSENG, therefore, is of the opinion to have done all that was at all possible according to the well-known standard of medical acience, especially as the supervision of the experiments had been put nto the hands of an experienced physician and of a blameless character.

This picture of Dr. BECKER-FREYSENG shows him as a man whose concern was serious ocientific research and objective work, who made very high demands upon himself, not sparing his own person, a man who does draw and who may draw a sharp line between himself and such "would be - scientists", who have made tests without considering health and life of their follow-man, finally as a physician who always endeavored to live up to the high ethical standards set by his vocation.

According to the opinion of the Defense after the hearing of the avidence

2251

30 Jan 47-M-JP-10-6-Sempson - sw Court No. 1

the picture of the defendant will be an entirely different one from the one which was painted by the Prosecution.

30 Jan 47-15-JP-11-Saslaw - sw Court No. 1

Mr. President, in addition and outside of this written statement
I would like to state several words. I am of the opinion that I am
antitled to also state for Dr. Becker-Freysang and also Professor
Schroeder, whom I am also defending, to make the request in their
behalf that the trial be discontinued in so far as this includes
their participation in a criminal plan or a conspiracy and evem perhaps
to a higher degree than is the case with one or the other of the
defendants. However, I shall refrain from making such a request because
I am of the opinion that the verdict will have to be uniform about all
the points with which the defendant is being charged. Therefore, I do
not consider it appropriate to decide about part of the Indictment
from the very beginning.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now recess until one-thirty o'clock.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

9477 30 January - January F Court I

#### DOPRECTED COFT

#### AFTERNOON SESSION

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

IR. HELCKKAME: Your Honors, Dr. Bolckmann for the defendant Dr. Konrad Schnefer.

### The SCHARFER Case

appears to be of a special kind, even to the superficial observer of this trial.

In those proceedings, which have lasted for wooks, the name of SCHAFFER has been mentioned only a few times by the Prosecution. He is alleged by the Prosecution to have participated in only one experiment conducted on concentration carp innetes, in which experiment a drug was tosted which was intended to render sea water potable.

The Prosecution alloges that, in spite of this very limited activity, Schooler was participant in the conspiracy which comprises all these defendants.

To prove its thesis of the conspiracy of all the defendants, the Prosecution has presented two points in detail.

- 1. The pervasion and corruption of German spaical science, primarily the young medical non, by National Socialist ideas, culminating in a disregard for human life which found its expression in the expericents on concentration comp immates.
- 2. The close cooperation of all defendants in various spheres of medicine, primarily in the Army, in the Luftwaffe, and in the SS, which put each defendant in a position to recognize the criminal activity of the others and to contribute his share knowingly.

In this connection I shall prove that, especially in Schaefor's case, those grandpisites do not apply:

Semantar has always been an outspoken opponent of Pational Socialian well of militarian. It was he who already in 1933 and in the subsequent years as a student and as a young physician opposed the measures which the Presecutor described so fully on 9 December 1946 (page 100, 101 of the German transcript, and which were intended to make of those young men willing instruments of the National Socialist regime by training them within the organizations of the Party, even at the expense of their scientific achievements.

In spite of extremely strong pressure - which the Prosecution has described very vividly - he refused to join the Party or any other organization, thereby enlangering his admission to the examinations. He finally had to give up his position as assistant at a university clinic, although his adjentific achievements would have entitled him to a position as lasturer.

Later, too - from 1937 until the outbrook of the war, still more during the war, and also after having been drafted into the Luftwaffe in 1941 - he continued to criticize the existing system and its excesses especially in the field of medicine, and last but not least the experiments on human beings which were conducted in the concentration camps.

How is it to be explained, then, that SCHLEFER held a position in the public health service of the Third Reich (German transcript page 57)

But how can the Prosecution contend that the share of each of the 20 physicians - including the defendant SCHAFER - in the conspiracy and in its execution corresponds most closely to his professional interests and his position within the hierarchy of the Third Reich? (cf. German transcript page 11h.

I shall prove that these contentions of the Prosecution concerning the position of the defendants within the hierarchy of the Third Reich and their close cooperation with each other do not apply in the case of SCHARPER.

chemist and as deputy section chief in private industry, since official positions were not open to him on account of his anti-Nazi convictions. In 1941 he was drafted as a private and after 5 months became Unterest in the Luftwaffe, since he was a medical man, An Unterest in the German Wehrmacht does not have the rank of an officer. Through an accidental meeting with his former fellow-student NECKER-FREYSENG, he was given the opportunity of

I AMERICA

entering the Research Institute for aviation Medicine. He seized this opportunity ismediately, because in this way he was able to continue his activities in private industry, naturally in civilian clothes. He rarely entered the Institute, and he seldes were a uniform. He knew only a few of the other medical men in aviation. He talked only once to his supreme superior, HIFFEE, and he talked only twice to HIFFEE's successor, SCHTOEDER. Such an unimportant person was SCHAEFER! One cannot speak of a position within the hierarchy of the administration and of medicine of the Third Heich.

During the war he held a position like many thousands of other Germans, who were glad to be able to escape the deadly dangers of the war at the front, who had no inner connections with this war and with this system, who even were its radical opponents.

He was given the order to investigate problems arising from distress at sea, particularly the problem of thirst.

He obeyed this order with great satisfaction, because he know that the solution of this problem would put an end to the tortures of Tantalus suffered by shipwrecked persons all over the world. He sat to work with adaptific thoroughness, which I shall prove in istail. A study of the entireliterature, which took months, enabled him to hold electure on thirst and the measures to be taken against it during distress at sea, by order of the Chief of the Medical Inspectorate, at the meeting in Nuernberg in 1942. The lecture contained pure theory and was not a report on experiments on human beings. The Prosecution asserted - the contrary on 12 December 1946, it is true (sheet 33h of the German transcript, but the complete Document hol, Prosecution, Exhibit 93, proves that this assertion is false.

The further cocupation with this problem Brou ht SCHAEFER to experiments, which were carried out by the ID-Farben. In a scientific cooperation with this firm, a drug was finally found, by which sea water could be made drinkable without any prejudice to health. This result was obtained by many chamical, and pharmacelogical examinations of the bactericide effects and experiments. No experiments on human beings with this

30 Jan-12-4-4-170-Burns Court No. 2

drug were nacessary, for SCHAEFER had recognized on the basis of all the other scientific methods of investigation that this drug was absolutely innocessure.

The drug was called "wofatit Sw" "IG Drug " or "Scheefer Drug."

It is fundamentally and also in its way of presentation with only very alight differences the same drug which was invented by the American Dr. Ivy and used by the US Armed Forces.

The Schaefer drug was completely ready at the end of 1943, and Schaefer's supreme superior, the Inspector of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe, intended to introduce it in the German Luftwaffe.

The Technical Office, another branch of the German Luftwaffe, however, opposed its introduction, offering as a reason that there was not enough silve available, which was needed for the production of the drug.

The important men in the Technical Office, Cherstingenieur Christensen and Stabsingenieur Shickler, iscanded the introduction of a drug which has been invented by Stabsingenieur of the Air Force Berks. It consisted of glucose, which removed or diminished the malt teste of the sea water without changing the actual salt content.

I shall prove with special exphasis that Schnefer opposed this "Berka drug" as being a fake, ever since the origin of this plan.

He wrote a crushing report on the results of experiments which Oberstarzt von Sirany had conducted with the Berks drug on volunteers, patients in a Luftwaffe hospital, by order of the Technical Office. Schaefer had been ordered by his superiors from the Medical Inspectorate to make this check.

The result of Schaefur's attitude in this respect was that he was suspected of sabotage by the men of the Technical Office and by the officers of the Luftwaffe.

Schoofer know very well what this accusation meant in the Third Reich during the fifth year of war. He know of other instances in which medical men had been persecuted by the RSHA, that is, by the Gestape, only on account of their diverging scientific opinions on subjects of vital importance for the war.

But nevertheless he explains his opinion of the senselessness of the Serks method also at the conferences of 19 and 20 May, during which it is proposed that this method be tested on concentration camp inmates. As a final warming he states that with the Berks method death Sets in on the 12th day at

latest. This is proved by the Prosecution Document NO 177, Exhibit 133.

This was all he could do under the circumstances, not being an officer, but the least important person emong the brilliant uniforms of the 13 high-ranking officers.

At these conferences Scharfer makes no "resolutions." This is impossible in the army. The top-ranking chiefs of offices present "order" and "command. But the defendant Schaefer is not even ordered to conduct experiments on concentration camp immates. He is not even assigned to the commission which had been appointed to determine the conditions for the experiments, because he is known to be an opponent of the entire enterprise.

Another chance for preventing these - in Schnefer's opinion completely pointless - experiments on human beings with the Berks drug, whether in the concentration camp or in the Luftwaffe nospital, passes by. On 25 May 1944 the world-famed intermist Professor Eppinger from Vienna declares that he does not consider entirely wrong Berka's idea that his drug would "sluice" the sea water through the human body without any injury, which Schaefer had hitherto considered absolutely absurd. Three more professors, outstanding medical men, shared the opinion of professor Epplager.

Thus Schaefor lost one more medical pretext to declare himself still more openly against the performance of these experiments.

No law in the world can demend of Schaefer more than he did, if one appreciates rightly and with understanding the general circumstances in Hitle. Germany end Schaefer's special situation on account of his official rank.

Now I already put the question why none of the participants in the conference of 19 and 20 May 1944, who in contrast to Schaefer kept silence, are present here in the defendants' dock, with the exception of Becker-Freyweng, and why just the inventor of a method which solves a very ancient problem of humandty is accused.

In none of the conferences mentioned in the documents of the Prosecution; in which Schaefer took part, was it proposed or ordered that the "Schaefer drug" be tested on concentration camp immates. Only for such an act could Schaefer have been held responsible. But he would never have shunned this medical responsibility, in view of the good quality of his drug, although

30 January-A-DJG-13-3-Feldt Court No. 1

he never have approved the use of concentration camp inmates.

The experiments with the Berks drug, which he is not responsible for ordering, were carried out without his cooperation.

Court I 30 Jan 47-4 - 13-la - LJG - Feldt

He therefore cannot be charged for having listened to the lecture of Professor Beiglbook, which fully revealed the uselessness of the Berka method.

Your Honors, I hereby ask you to consider the Prosecution documents and the speech of the Prosecutor, before listening to my case, and to take into consideration my statements concerning the Prosecution documents.

This exemination alone well put you in a position to realize that the Defondant Schaufer is not guilty. In accordance with the practice of American and British courts in penal cases, I request has a measure of precaution that the trial of the defondant Schaefer be discontinued, without the submission of any further evidence.

DR. Gaulik (Counsel for Defendant Hoven): The defendant Hoven has been accused on all four counts of the indictment.

Regarding Count 1 of the Indictment, common plan or conspiracy: In
the first place, the feet of a conspiracy requires a common plan or
agreement between et least two persons. The prosecution should, therefore,
have stated: 1, when; 2, where; 3, between what persons this common plan
or this common agreement was reached; and 4, what the substance was of
this common plan or agreement.

The prosecution should have made a particular point of stating that this plan or agreement sized at the committing of those war crimes and crimes against humanity, which are the subject of these proceedings.

Furthermore, the prosecution should have proved that the defendant Hoven took part in such an agreement.

Now that the presecution has concluded its presentation the following must be stated: the presecution has no reason whatever for assuming and has produced no proof whatever that a plan of this kind ever existed or that the defendant Heven took part in it.

I therefore ask that the defendant Heven be declared not guilty under Count I of the indictment.

The opinion expressed here this morning gives me occasion to add a few words at this point. I am of the opinion that the Tribunal does not

Court I 30 Jan 47-A - 13-2a - LJG - Feldt

need any instruction on how tress proceedings should be conducted most efficiently. I shall therefore morely comment on the question of whether such an application is legally admissible or not.

According to German penal law the Court can at any time refrain from hearing defense witnesses if it is of the opinion that the evidence presented by the Prosecution is not adequate.

As far as I know, and I make these statements with a certain reservation because I have foreign literature available only to a limited extent, this principle applies under the law of all states. This principle also arises from the k-ws of logic, for what purpose would there be in presenting defense witnesses on the count of conspiracy under the present difficulties of bringing these to Nuremberg and of taking up the time of the Tribunal by their examination if the Court is already of the opinion that what the Presecution has presented is insufficient to prove the participation of the defendant Hoven in a conspiracy?

I shall now c ntinue. If this application is refused, I shall prove;

a, that the defendant Hoven did not take part in such plan; b, that he

did not even know his co-defendants, with the exception of imagowsky,

until the beginning of the present trial; and, c, that he had only met

the defendant Mrugowsky once, unofficially, and that at this meeting

there was no discussion of an agreement for the committeent of war orimos

and crimes against humanity.

Rogarding Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment (war crimes and crimes against humanity):

Under these Counts the defendant Hoven is accused of having carried out on inmates of the concentration camp Buchenwalds a, typhus experiments, and b, the euthensein program.

As an introduction I should like to point out the following in order to clarify my presentation of evidence:

The fact that the presecution has proved that a crime has been committed does not suffice. Law No. 10 is only applicable if these crimes were committed an citizens of the United Nations. I gather from the ovidence so far submitted by the Presecution, and especially from the witnesses questioned by the presecution, that they also are of this view.

I shall now deal with the individual war orinos and crines against humanity with which the defendant Hoven is charged, and come first to the question whether there is a basis for the assumption that the defendant Hoven participated in the typhus amperiments - a punishable act according to Lew No. 10.

It cannot be doubted that hetween January 1942 and the end of 1944 typhus experiments were carried out on immates in the concentration camp Buchenwald.

The defendant Hoven was arrested in September 1943 and was, until March 1945, a prisoner in the concentration camp Buchenwald. This is clear from the evidence presented by the prosecution. It is therefore out of decisive importance whether and to what extent the defendant Hoven actually took part in the typhus experiments January 1942 and August 1943. The prosecution has stated corectly that it was not the defendant Hoven who was supervisor of the department for typhus and virus research at the Hygiene Institute of the Waffen SS which was established at the Euchenwald Concentration Camp, but that this was the late Dr. Ding-Schuler.

The activity of which the defendant is accused allegedly consists in

- a) having boon Dr. Ding's deputy.
- b) having selected prisoners who were used as human experimental subjects
- in the typhus experiments.
- Re point a) As has been correctly submitted by the Prosecution on the strongth of Dr. Ding's diary Doc. MO.265, Document

Book 12, page 36 to 56 of the German, pages 38 to 53 of the English text - the activity of the defendant Hoven as Dr. Ding's deputy has to be broken down into:

- 1) His work as deputy in the experimental station Block 46;
- 2) His work as Doputy in Block 50.

The evidence of the presecution has shown beyond any doubt that the experiments were carried out only in Block 46. In Block 50 only the typhus vaccine for the German soldiers at the front was manufactured. This is shown by the testimony of the witness Dr. Kogen, page 1193 of the German transcript.

Only the performance of the typhus experiments in Block 46, however, can be considered a criminal activity in accordance with Law Ho. 10. The nanufacture of typhus veccine in Block 50 is beyond any doubt neither a wer crime nor a crime against branity.

Only in Block 50, the section where the vaccine was manufactured, was the defendant Heven Dr. Ding's permanent deputy. This is shown industribly by the above mentioned Document NO-265, Document Book 12, pages 38 to 53 of the English and pages 36 to 56 of the German text, particularly by page 41 of the English and the sene page of the German text. There is the following entry on 9 January 1943:

"By order of the surgeon general of the Waffen SS, SS Gruppenfuchror and Major General of the Waffen SS. Dr. Genekon, the hitherte existing typhus research station at the concentration camp Buchenwald becomes the "Department for Typhus and Virus Research". The head of the department will be SS Sturmbennfuehrer Dr. Ding.

During his absonce the station medical officer of the Waffen SS Weiner, SS Hemptsturmfuchrer Hoven, will supervise the production of vaccines."

I draw the attention of the Tribunal especially to the expression "production of vaccines."

The defendant Howen was Dr. Ding's deputy in the experimental station only temperarily, during an illness of Dr. Ding's. This is to be seen from the entries on p. 37 and 38 of the German Deckment Book 12, page 38 and 39 of the English Document Book 12.

According to these entries, Dr. Ding vaccinated 135 prisoners in the period from 6 January to 1 February 1942, and afterwards on 3 March 1942, infected these vaccinated prisoners and 10 control persons with culture virus. On 17 March 1942 Dr. Ding, who had infected himself, foll ill with typhus. During this illness the defendant Hoven acted as his deputy, according to the entry in the diary on 17 March 1942. The next infection took place on 15 October 1942 and was made by Dr. Ding. From the entries in the diary one can see without doubt that in the period from March to October 1942, especially during the time when the defendant Hoven acted as deputy for Dr. Ding, no infections were made.

These entries are corraborated by the testimony of the witnesses examined by the prosecution, who stated that the defendant Hoven did not perform any experiments. Witness Dr. Kogon declared that the defendant Hoven could not begin any experimental series on his own initiative, but that only Dr. Ding, as head of the experimental station, could make decisions in this matter (page 1210 of the German transcript). The witness Kirchheimer stated, corroborating the testimony of the witness Dr. Kogon, that all the typhus experiments were begun by Dr. Ding. (page 1360 of the German transcript). Only Dr. Ding infected the experimental subjects. The defendant Hoven, as the witness Kirchheimer explicitly stated, was not in a position to prevent the experiments after the experimental persons had been infected by Dr. Ding. According to Kirchheimer's statements, the defendant Hoven never had a water medic in his heads, and never made any injections on the experimental persons (page 1355 of the German transcript).

of decisive importance in this regard should be the further testimony of the witness Kirchheimer that during a period of 14 years, namely from the end of 1941 until thebeginning of 1943, the defendant Hoven entered the ward of Block 46 only twice. The witness hereby left room for the possibility that the defendant Hoven was only visiting the Jewish prisoner Cohn, who was employed in the word as chief male nurse and whose life the defendant Hoven had saved (page 1355/6 of the German transcript).

If the defendant Hoven had been active in Block 46 as Dr. Ding's deputy, then he would have had to enter the ward more than twice during 1 1 years.

So for as the infection through typhus-bearing lice in November 1942 is concerned, the following facts are clear from Dr. Ding's diary - Document NO-265, page 36 of the German and page 38 of the English Document Book 12.

1. Through the infection by lice no persons died. The test persons did not even fall sick, for according to diary entry of 4 January 1943 five persons showed only atypical illnesses of short duration, i.e. illnesses which had no causel relation to the infection through lice (Document Book 12, page 40 of the German and page 41 of the English.)

2) Lice were sent to Buchenwald only twice. Both shipments were destroyed (page 39 of the German, page 40 of the English Document Book 12).

According to the testimony of the witness Dr. Monnacke, prisoners of the concentration camp Buchenweld were selected for the execution of the cuthanasia program in the years 1940 and 1941 by a medical commission which camp from Berlin and of which Dr. Mennacke was a member.

This commission filled out its own reports for every prisoner who was to be killed. Those reports were sent to the Reich Ministry of the Interior, where they were examined by three experts independently of one another (page 1880 of the German transcript of 17 January 1947). After the experts had given their decisions on the basis of the reports, another commission passed final judgment. On the basis of this final judgment the transfer of the persons intended for the outhanasia program to the suthanasia institutions was ordered by Berlin(page 1881 of the German transcript). The Bernburg asylum was decided upon as the one to be used as the suthanasia institution for the prisoners from Bucherwald Concentration Camp.

It is therefore incorrect when the prosecution asserts:

The defendant Hoven as chief physician of Bucherwald Concentration Camp took part in the authorsais program and personnelly ordered the transfer of at least 300 to 400 Jewish prisoners of various nationalities to the mernburg authorasia station where they were killed (page 107 of the German and page 59 of the English transcript of 9 December 1946). The high Tribunal will remember the chart which was explained in this court by the prosucution. This chart showed the offices that took part in the authorasia program. The defendant Hoven did not even appear on that chart. These explanations, in connection with the testimony of the witness Dr. Mennecke, show without a doubt that the defendant Hoven could not have given the orders is asserted by the prosecution. The transfer was ordered by Berlin offices after the expert and the firml commission and given their decision. The witness Er. Mennucke testified in addition that the defendant Hoven did not take part in selecting the prisoners for the outhanasie program. I refer in this matter to page 1930 of the German transcript. Dr. Mennecke also testified that the .. defendant Howen did not bring the prisoners intended for the outhannais program before the commission (page 1938 of the German transcript).

30 Jan. 47 -A-14-4-PKF- Blakley Court No. 1 according to the testimony of the witness Dr. Mennecke, the entire activity of the defendant Hoven in the program being carried out by Dr. Mennecke consisted in greating the witness Mennecks in 1941. He did not even see the defendant Hoven during the previous visit of the witness Dr. Mennecks at Buchenweld in 1940. This is understandable since, according to Document 20-591, submitted by the prosecution, defendant Hoven was not yet working in the concentration comp at Buchermald in 1940. Thus it may be accepted as proved by evidence submitted by the prosecution that the defendant Howen did not participate in the euthannesia program. deyand that, on the besis of testimony given by the witnesses Dr. Kogon and Kirchheimer it is to be accepted as proven that the defendant Hoven, in collaboration with the univerground camp government at Buchenwald, prevented the execution of authamasia program 14 f 13 by substituting counter-action 1) f 14. That persons, designated for outhanasis by Dr. Mennecke, uspecially the 1200 Jewish prisoners, were not transported to Bernburg is especially due to the intervention of the defendant Howen (pages 1238, 1292, and 1295 of the German transcript). I therefore request that the defendant Hoven be acquitted of the charge that he participated in the execution of the authonasia program. In the event that this application be rejected, I shall submit further proof that the defendant Hoven did not participate in the execution of the euthansain program, and that, moreover, he took counter-measures to keep this program from being into diffect, On the basis of the evidence submitted by the prosecution thus far only the following facts stand: The defendant Hoven participated in the killing of prisoners. The killing of those prisoners, however, was in no wise connected with the authanasia program. with regard to this I shall prove that: 1) the number of these killings was considerably lower then the witness acombild, for example, stated; 2) that those persons without exception, were not political prisoners and especially not foreigners, and, above all not subject to the United Nations, 2269

but exclusively German habitual criminals who acted as informers for the SS camp management and the Secret Police;

- 3) that all these killings were carried out at the instigation of the underground camp government;
- 4) that by each killing the lives of a great number of persons were soved, i.e. of political prisoners and Jews, and particularly of subjects of the United Nations.
- 5) that there was no other way to save these endangered persons than to kill these 55 and Secret Police informers.

In order to prove this I do not have to refute the evidence submitted by
the prosecution; and I do not need to present onything that has not been
discussed already in this room. The witnesses whom I shall call and the
documents I shall submit will supplement the testimony already given by the
witnesses for the prosecution. I shall prove that all the killings in which
the defendant Hoven took part were exactly analogous to the case Kushnir
Kushnarew described by the witness Dr. Kogon. Dr. Kogon, a person with high C
Christian athleal and moral concepts, a witness far superior to the average
prosecution witnesses, a witness whose truthfulness cannot be doubted in the
slightest, expressed the following opinion about the killing of Kushnir
Kushnarew: "I personally as a convinced Christian do not deny these people the
right to have killed indisputably dangerous persons in this emergency who
in collaboration with the SS endanger the lives of individuals or a large
number of persons in the camp." (Page 1298 of the German transcript.)

Hoven if I should fail to prove that the defendant Hoven had saved numerous political prisoners from certain death and helped many of them to find freedom, particularly non-Germans, Jows, and subjects of the United Nations, inder peril of his own life. This concerns among others numerous non-German persons endangered by the Night and Pog (Nacht und Nebel) Decree.

30 Jan 47-1-FJC-15-1-Foster court No. 1

Regarding Point 4 of the Indictment (membership in organizations declared original y the IMT.

According to the decision of the IMT persons are not to be charged with membership in SS organizations designated as criminal, if a) they withdraw before 1 September 1939; b) the state had placed them in the ranks of the SS in such a way as to leave them no choice whatever in the matter (page 16527 of the German transcript of 30 September 1946.)

on the basis of this decision of the INT I shall prove that the defendant goven received an order on 26 August 1939 to report for active duty with the Waffen SS and thus; a) left the allocation SS: to which he had belonged since 1934; b) was pressed into the ranks of the Waffen SS, compulsorily and against his will.

I shall prove in particular that the order to report for active duty with the Waffen SS had the same legal force as the order to report for active duty with the armed forces, and that it was just as impossible for a number of the Waffen SS to resign during the war as it was for a number of the armed forces.

DR. SPEINEAUER (For the Defenient Beiglboeck):

## Members of the Court:

In modest and simple language, but I hope none the less effectively, I am joing to explain to you in a characteristic outline the principles in accordance with which I am intending to put forward the arguments in favor of my fellow countryman, the defendant, Dr. Wilhelm Beiglboeck, university professor in Vienna.

In the big trial against Goering and others, I have had already an oppurtunity of reliving the growth and the disappearance of Adolf Hitler's Reich
which was finally marked by tyranny, enslavament, war and junger, terror and
less nurder. In this trial we again had to hear about bloodshed, cruelties,
maistan, assessination and mass nurder. Both trials have one thing in common,
tamely, that the victims were chiefly non "behind barbed wire" whose normal
fate already was to be deprived of their freedom, to have to serve as slaves,
to suffer hunger, long, drawn-out sickness and early death. Public spinion,
being almost crushed by the worries for their daily bread, follows the War
Orlan Trials which continue one after another with an ever-decreasing interest

and whome in particular the so-called doctors, trials, as the empty galleries show, with reductance and inner reserve. This is due to the fact that the pen who are here indicted should not open wounds but heal then, and that they should not kill but prevent death! But this circumstance does not only involve the danger of biased publicity but also that we, the guardians and servents of justice, unconsciously become hard, thus unjust, in view of the strungly optical effects of the facts with which we are here concorned unless we pay the greatest attention also to the so-called inner facts. For this reason I am coing to begin by replying in my defense to the question; who is this Dr. Paiglboock?

## 1. Personality:

As the son of a country doctor, he had personal experience from the thus of his early chilhood of the joys and sufferings of the medical profession and chose out of idealism the occupation of a doctor as his life's work. I am going to prove to you by questioning the defendant himself, by submitting documents, in particular certificates from his teachers, by presenting to you the long list of his works, that the Presecutor was not wrong when he said of

Beighboeck: "that he, although still young in years, is, nevertheless, known for possessing remarkable scientific aptitudes." Beighboeck had the good fortune of meeting among his teachers two people whose names are immortal in the history of medicine. They are the two internists, Franc Ohvostek, head of the Therd Medical Clinic at Vienna, and Hans Eppinger, head of the First Medical Clinic at Vienna. Chvostek emphasizes at the conclusion of his certificate not only the scientific abilities, the industry of his student, but, above all, his humane attitude towards the patients entrusted to his care. Eppinger, the famous internist who counted the most prominent statemen like Marshal Stalin among his patients, calls Beighboeck in his certificate his most capable student. Chvostek and Appinger are already dead, and the two certificates originate from a period before the experiments which are here under review, therefore, must be considered as correct and unobjectionable documents.

I can, therefore, sum up my remarks about the personality of the defendant by saying that the words apply which Euripades, the great Greek poet of tragedies says about Anamagoras, the philosopher:

"Happy is the man who achieved knowledge
For the benefit of research !
Never he feels the urgs to make his neighbor suffer,
Nor is he driven to do wrong for such motives!"

## II. Order from Above:

My second line of argument is going to be the reply to the question as to how it is possible that a man of such high qualities finds himself in the defendants' dock as an alleged criminal. The same question was asked by the Heidelberg psychiatrist, Dr. Mittscherlich, the head of the German Doctors' Delegation, when he wrote: "In the defendants' dock there are side by side with scientifically meaningless doctors experts of great reputation. From the prison witnesses with prominent names are brought in. Two famous scientists have committed suicide in connection with this trial. These events prove that in passing judgment on the events, considerations of a purely legal and criminalistic nature will not suffice;

Court I 30 Wan 47 - A - 15-2a - LAG - Foster

that, on the contrary, the question must be asked how it could happen that such men found themselves in the defendants' dock."

I am not going to reply to the question of the othical notives as a defense counsel until I deliver my final plea, and I am going to limit myself at this stage to the purely legal aspect of the subject. The reply is: Beighboock was forced to undertake the sca-water experiments for which he is indicted and has carried then out against his will, acting upon "en order from above" as a soldier. I am, of course, well aware of the fact that the Charter of August, 1945 and Control Council Law 10, which is the more direct basis of this trial, provides in Article 4, Paragraph 2, that the fact that a nam acted under the order of his superior does not relieve him of his responsibility, but that this fact may be considered as an attenuating circumstance. This, however, nerely nome that reference to "an order from above" alone is not sufficient to expandrate a defendant but that this provision is by no means intended to render it impossible to invoke generally valid principles of law such as the exclusion of guilt on the grounds of fear and coercion. Now we, fortunately, have a very remarkable precedent in this question, namely, the verdict of the International Military Tribunal of Muraberg which declares in respect of Article 8 of the Charter: "that a soldier was ordered to kill or torture in violation of the International Law of War has never been recognized as a defense to such acts of brutality, though the order may be urged in mitigation of the punishment. The true test which is found in varying degrees in the Criminal Law of most nations he not the existence of the order but whether a morale choice was in fact possible.

I shall prove to you beyond doubt by questioning the defendant himmelf, by producing evidence from superiors and colleagues, by submitting
letters written by the defendant during the critical period that the
defendant did everything in his power to disassociate himself from the
experiments which were contrary to his high conception of the profession
of a doctor.

III. The indictment:

## A.) THE CONSPIRACY:

Although it is contrary to the natural sense of justice to render any one responsible under criminal law for offenses committed by other persons, the indictment has, nevertheless, attempted to render the defendant, Beiglboeck, responsible together with the other defendants on all counts. In my final plea

I shall not only deal from the legal point of view with the question of the "order from above," but I shall also express an opinion on the problem of the legal character of the so-called conspiracy. At this store of the proceedings I shall deal only very briefly with the loral aspects by saying that the Prosocutor had no justification at all in this trial to use the argument of conspiracy since the most important element, namely, the legal foundation is lacking: if we turn to 'Combrol Council Low 10 for a nement, we find that only participation in a common lesign or a conspiracy in connection with a crime against peace is punishable (Article 2, la). For this reason I am not going to say one worl about the other experiments, although it would ceptainly be tempting to express an opinio as a jurist on the question of Euthenesia. At mny rate, one this cle rly results from the locuments, Exhibits No. 129 to 137 submitted by the Prosecution: that the defenant, Beiglboock, has hell nothing to do with the planning of the :llered crimes. I am cina to prove by questioning him and his co-defendants that he know only two of the so-called conspirators. These were Handloser, who ence mave a military medical lecture in Vienna a long time before the w.r. and behraeler whom he knew to his suprome superior just as any soldier knows the names of his generals without over hoving how any closer associations with them. Beigleboock subsequently not Becker-Freysong and Schaefer. All he can be indicted for from the point of view of criminal law, therefore, is his cooperation in the execution of these experients all this loads no to the next point of the proceedings:

- B. ) To Griminal Ch. r. tor of the Experiments in General:
- 1.) The Prosecution considers experiments as
- 1.) War crimes.

A more print facie consider tien of the Presecution documents revocals that there can never be my question of war crimes for the simple reason that such war crimes, according to Article 11b.

2276

30 J n 47-A-57-15-2-C-Foster Court No. 1

of Control Council Law 10 can only be committed in respect of a tionals of allied countries, and the Prosecution have uttorly failed to prosent any proof of this to the Tribunal; I may, perhaps, remind you in this connection of the SOS call addressed by the Assistant Prosecutor to the witness, Viewer: "Of what nationality were the immates which were used as subjects for son-water experiments?" and he replied, "I am unable to answer this!"

(Page 473 of the German record). The witness, Vieweg, also testified that the subjects of the experiments were without exception German-speaking appoiss. I shall, therefore, not utter a single word about wer crimes at this stage and I am now turking to the question as to whether the sea-water experiments represent so-call & crimes against humanity. Control Council Law 10 gives no definition but contents itself with citing examples like surder, rape, and other cases of inhuman treatment of the civillan population.

It is an old experience of law that the prosecutor speaks louder and nore emphatically whenever the facts as such furnish only little proof. Therefore, the chief mose mtor said comparatively little about the mass murders which have shocked the civilized world bedeuse they spoke for themsolves, but he has said relatively much about sea-water experiments. I shall, therefore, deal in my arguments of proof in the first place with

- a) the character of the experiments
- b) the manner of their execution.

As I am going to prove to you by mercluning the defendant himself, by producing witnesses and experts, the sen-water experiments which had been prescribed to my client from the outset up to the smallest details and placed under a subsequent check were experiments which could be stopped at any moment. In the same way I am going to prove to you that Beighboock, at any rate, actually always did interrupt these experiments early enough to render a damage to the subjects' health quite impossible. A glass of water or a completely harmless injection was sufficient to restore to the subject his full efficiency.

In his opening speech General Taylor said, "The experiments have produced nothing that could be utilized for civilized medicine" (page 119 of the German record). I must very definitely contradict this conception. So long as there are seafaring nations, there exists also the wish, and it has always been an air, which it was worthwhile to pursue, to render see water, which is an element available in such large quantities, serviceable to humentty, in other words, to render it drinkable to save men in distress at

humanity. I shall, therefore, prove to you that other scientists of the humanity. I shall, therefore, prove to you that other scientists of the Allics before Scheefer and Berka have attempted to solve the problem of rendering sea water drinks lie. I shall submit to you research work on this rendering be the Englishman, A. Farker and W.S.S. Ledell, and of the Aberitain Likinton, from which you may see that prominent scientists of the Allies have been engaged in studying in the service of humanity the same problem which is now being used to accuse Beiglboeck of a prime. May I also in this connection point out that it will always be one of the achievements of american science that an American professor, Andrews Ivy, was able to bring the same problem in a nore perfect way near to its final solution to bring the same problem in a nore perfect way near to its final solution

One may will turn with horror from the experiments which are the subject of this indictment, such as those performed by the andist, Dr.

Rescher: but one thing will clearly result from the submission of evidence,
madely, that the chief prosecutor is wrong when he raises the collective
suspicion in front of world poslic opin on by declaring that none of the
experiments with which the indicted physicians are charged has furnished
a contribution to civilization and humanity.

I now propose to turn to the last point of my statement considering the criminal character, namely, the manner in which these experiments were carried out. The chief prosecutor has also dealt with this aspect in his upening speech. He mentioned in particular the sea water experiments as a particularly etriking example when he said, "Nothing more terrible than a piece of gelatin, a sumewhat pervious filter, and some salt water would have given the German Wehrmacht within a few hours the reply to the question and a review. If they had heat to pay the small price of two dollars for each subject, the same price which American scientists must may for a cost, they might have considered the matter more carefully and might not have created a big organization and performed experiments on forty-two men who were tortured. Now, I have the greatest respect for the chief prosecutor who proved himself to be an eminent lawyer, an expert on cilitary cutor who proved himself to be an eminent lawyer, an expert on cilitary

matters in his great speech against the General Staff, but even he should submit to the principle that it should be left to the competent experts to give replies on special questions of detail.

Porce to the Reichsfuebrer SS, now shows that two methods for rendering sea water drinkeble were under discussion. The method invented by Schaefer, which for the nere setting up of the manufacturing plant required two hundred tone of iron and caused an excense of two hundred fifty thousand fastchsterks, requiring up to three tone of pure silver monthly for the production process, made this method practically impossible in view of the raw material shortage in the derman war machine. On the other hand the Berka method required no special manufacturing plant and no bottle-neck raw meterials and thus offered the possibility of speedy introduction by the luftwaffe and the news.

As is snown by the Vienne police record (Exhibits Munber 137, 188, and 139) the Borks nothed was rosed on the not unfavorable preliminary experiments carried out by Colourl Sireny. Cthough this nothed opened up only sogligible prospects of effectiveness, in spite of all the doubts expressed by the medical profession, even such small profit could not be turned down with sufficient certainty in war at the time when a great nation was fighting for its existence. This also led an internationally recognized scientist like the clinical physician Eppinger to favor the re-exemination of the two nothods. I shall prove this by the hearing of the defendant and by evidence which the famous pharmacologist of Berlin University, Dr. Huebner, will give. As has already been mentioned, the experimental procedure had been prescribed to my client up to the smallest detail. It will further be shown by the above evidence that the performance of these experiments on human subjects, which are in thouselves quite harnless, is absolutely justified. The penal lawyer, however, is also interested in the even more important question as to who the subjects were on whom these experiments were carried out. I shall prove by the hearing of the defendant and by witnesses that in the first place, according to Eppinger's proposel, these exmatters in his great speech against the General Staff, but even he should subsit to the principle that it should be left to the competent experts to give replies on special questions of detail.

Document Exhibit Number 132, report of the technical office of the Air Force to the Reichafushrer SS, now shows that two methods for rendering sea water drinkable were under discussion. The nathod invented by Schaefer, which for the nere setting up of the manufacturing plant required two hundred tone of iron and caused an expense of two hundred fifty thousand Reichanerks, requiring up to three tone of pure allver honthly for the production process. Dade this nothed practically impossible in view of the raw material chortage in the Garman war machine. On the other hand the Berka method required no special manufacturing plant and no bottle-neck raw materials and thus offered the possibility of speedy introduction by the Luftwaffe and the navy.

As is shown by the Vienna oclice record (Exhibits Number 137, 188, and 139) the Berke mathed was seald on the not ansavorable preliminary expericents carried out by Calcarl Sirany. Cthrown whis method opened up only nogligible prospects of effectiveness, in spite of all the doubts expressed by the medical profession, even such small profit could not be turned down with sufficient cortainty in war at the time when a great nation was fighting for its existence. This also led an internationally recognized scientist like the clinical physician Eppinger to favor the re-examination of the two methods. I shall prove this by the hearing of the defendant and by evidence which the farous pharmacologist of Berlin University, Dr. Huebner, will give, as has already been nentioned, the experimental procedure had been prescribed to my client up to the sumlest details. It will further be shown by the above evidence that the performance of these experiments on human subjects, which are in themselves quite hernless, is absolutely justified. The penal lawyer, however, is also interested in the even more important question as to who the subjects were on whom these experiments were corried but. I shall prove by the hearing of the defendant and by witnosses that in the first place, according to Eppinger's proposal, these experiments were to be performed in his own clinic or in military hospitals and that this proposal was rejected because of the military emergency and further that my client — and this I shall also prove — was explicitly assured that the subjects on which these experiments were performed were volunteers. In forming an opinion on this question, it will be impossible to neglect the fact that Beiglboeck, as will be proved by his defense, was personally and subjectively convinced that he was dealing with voluntary subjects for his experiments. Beiglboeck had been assigned to combat units in the operation form while the other experiments were

carried on, The concentration camp and its methods were absolutely unknown to his, and they have come to his horrified knowledge only in the course of this trial, at Dachau they had deliberately kept him apart from everything, And, finally, I am also happy to show with the help of original notes made by the medical assistants in these concentration camp experiments which have been found and by affidavits made by these same persons that Beiglbeock carried out these experiments which he had been ordered against his will as a soldier to perform after experimenting on himself and in accordance with the rules and precautions of medical science.

These documents when examined by experts will show beyond doubt that the experiments had no lasting damaging effects and caused no death among the subjects. They will objectively refute the contradictory evidence of the prosecution witnesses who gathered their knowledge from hearsay.

From this it must be concluded, however, that there can be no question of crimes against humanity either. In conclusion I can therefore say that if the o widence which I shall produce only yield half of what can be expected, the whole structure of charges erected by the prosecution on the subject of sea-water experiments will collapse like a house of cards and give effect to my submission to acquit my client, Prof. Dr. Bieglbeock, I should be happy about this because I would thereby have contributed for my part to preserving an eminent physician and man for my country which needs every man for its reconstruction; and I would furthernore have proved that scientific research and the physician in the more direct sense are not exclusive of each other but that they can well be a synthesis in the service of huranity and for the benefit of suffering mankind.

DR. KARL HOFFMAN: Mr. President, and Your Honors:

The Prosecution has submitted only a single document against Dr. Adolf FORORNY, written by himself. This is his letter to HIMLER dated Detober 1941 - Document NO-35, Exhibit No. 142. The ofter documents which the Prosecution has submitted in this case are outwardly in no connection with the defendant Dr. POKORNY. They even, as Bocument NO-39, Exhibit No. 153 and the following shows, start a whole new causal series, in which the 30 January 16-28-ATD-Maloy-JP

suggestion of the defendant Dr. FORDRET is overshadowed by the suggestion and by such a powerful agency as the deputy Gauleiter in Niederdonau.

"he defendant will now take the witness stand and give the reasons which led to his letter - Exhibit No. 1942. He will point out that as a physician and a person interested in Botany he was convinced, after studying the publications of Dr. Madaus and Dr. Koch, that the plant caladium, from the medical point of view, does not have a specifically storilizing effect, and that, from the betanical point of view, a plant indigenous to North America cannot be grown in Germany on such a large scale that it would be available in large enough quantities for storilimation. He will explain why in his letter he said exactly the opposite of what in his opinion was the truth. He will refer to a report which he received, that sterilization by operation was to be carried out on a large scale, but that it might be postponed if there should be any possibility of carrying out such storilization by means of a drug. The dofendant Dr. Pokorny will state that it was his intention to deceive by praising such a drug and thus to postpone the sterilization by operation as long as possible.

The Prosecution has already indicated that it does not propose to acknowledge such an argument. (P. 557 of the German transcript). The defendant Dr. Pokerny, however, will call witnesses to prove that he mentioned these considerations when he wrote his letter, and that he has not just invented them now. The defendant Dr. Pokerny will, in addition, reveal what the Prosecution could not present, the fact that he received an answer to his letter and that he in turn answered once more, so that in all the crimes with which he is charged his active participation involved only letters.

The defense will show that Himmler's efforts to produce a sterilization drug from caladium were unsuccessful. The witnesses to be examined on this point are the responsible men of the Madaus Firm in Drosdon. The chemist of the firm will discuss the analysis and synthesis of a caladium 30 Jan-15-Se-A-ATD-Meloy-JP Court I

plant in the hothouse: Finally, Dr. Koch, who has already been mentioned several times in the course of this trial, the medical director of the Madeus.

Pirm, will appear as a witness. Dr. MOCH will be asked by the defense to wha extent he minself believes that the scientific assertion which he mada, that caladium can be used to sterilize a human being, is true. He will also be maked by the defense Whether it is at all possible in practice to produce enough caladium to justify even thinking of poisoming by caladium, Finally, he will also be asked to testify, what progress the caladium experiments whi HIRLER had ordered had made by the end of the war. It will then be shown that the caladium experiments were; at the end of the war, still in the stage of animal experiments and had not yet led to any usable result. If the witnesses from the MADAUS Firm in Dresden should in this connection not seem to be disinterested witnesses; Instructor (Dr. JUNI of the Pharmacolog gical Institute of the University of Wuersburg, who has been called by the defense as an expert, will discuss objectively the scientific value of Dr. MADAUS' and Dr. KOCH's thesis of sterilization with caladium, Moreover, he will state specifically whether caladium is capable of sterilizing a human boing at all. He will finally state how high the general scientific value of drugs produced by the MADAUS Firm is and what German physicians think in general of the preparations which the MADAUS Firm has produced. Dr. JUND will say in this commection that it is his opinion that German medical men know from experience that publications and drugs of the MADAUS Firm do not have absolute scientific validity, because it must be considered that this is a firm whose aim it is also to sell the drugs which it produces. Finally, the defense will call witnesses who will testify to the reputation the political attitude, and other conduct of the defendant Dr. POKORNY during his civilian activity up to 1942 as well as during his period of military service. Evidence as to Dr. HOKORNY's attitude will furthermore be given by numerous affidavits which will appear in the document book.

R. HOFFIGHT: The opening statements have now been concluded.

comments to make concerning certain questions which have been raised by the opening statements of the Defense Counsel to the Tribunal. Does the Tribunal wish to adjourn prior to those remarks for the afternoon recess?

THE PRESIDENT: What are the nature of the comments the Prosecution desires to make and how long will it take to make them?

MR. NoHAMET: They concern briefly to motions and the nature of the motions to dismiss certain paregraphs of the Indictment as contained in a number of the opening statements, as well as the attack on Count 1 of the Indictment; that is to say, the Count which charges conspiracy to commit war crimes and crimes against humanity.

The Prosecution, of course, is not prepared at this time to make any argument on any of these points, but I think it would be well if we could reach more agreement as to the manner in which these questions are to be noticed. In other words, the Prosecution would look with disfavor upon the procedure now, to settle each and every motion to dismiss prior to the time the Defense Counsel began to put in evidence on bahalf of their defendants. We would prefer, if it is suitable with the Tribumal, and with the Defense Counsel, to have the Tribumal rule upon the particular metions to dismiss, as made by each Defense Counsel, prior to or immediately after such Defense Counsel has put in his approval.

THE PRESENCE: You mean the rollings will be made in the case of each individual defendant when that defendant has rested his case?

MR. McHAMEY: That would suit the Prospention very much. The problem is this: The first question raised by substantially all of the opening statements is the legal basis for the crime of conspiracy.

That question is common to all

Court No. 1 30 Jan 47-4 - 17-la - LJG - Beard

frosecution would be prepared to submit a brief on this question at a rather early date, I should think, at the least, by Monday of next week, so that the Tribunal could at any time rule on the question of conspiracy. Be that as it may, I do not think there is any necessity for a ruling on that particular question because I do not think it affects the rights of any of the defendants in so far as proof is concerned. In other words, a conspiracy is made out normally by showing the participation of the defendants or their connection with the subsequent crimes; that is, with the war crimes as alleged in Count III or the crimes against humanity as alleged in Count III, and which is not normally the subject of separate proof in and of itself.

And, I should think that whatever the ruling may be on the conspiracy question, each of the defendants will put in all of the proof that he has available; that he was not connected in any substantial manner with any of the crimes charged there --- Counts II and III.

As to the motion to dismiss with respect to certain paragraphs of Count II, there may be a slightly different question there which might require an early ruling by the Tribunal, but even as to that I am not sure,

The third question which has been raised by the opening statement is that a number the defendants have been implicated by proof of criminal experiments which were not explicitly particularized in the Indictment. For example, the blood coagulation, fleckfieber and warfare experiments. The Defense Counsel uniformly have taken the position that they are going to ignore this proof on the ground they were not claimed with the crimes which were involved in the experiments. As to that point, I should think there would necessarily have to be a ruling by the Tribunal before any defendant, who is affected by such proof, has completed his case.

and, I might say, without extended argument, that the Prosecution takes the position that the defendents have been sufficiently charged under paragraph 6 of the Indictment with having committed war crimes, in that they performed medical experiments on involuntary human beings which resulted in murders, tortures, and other inhuman acts.

The sub-paragraph of paragraph 6, purports to give particulars of certain of such experiments, but it is explicitly stated in the Indictment, and in paragraph 6 that the experiments were not limited to the sparticularized. The Prosecution urges that, because we have made every effort to advise the defendants in great detail of the nature of the crimes with which they are charged, we should not thereby be limited in submitting our proof and incriminating these defendants because they happen to have been involved in one or the other experiments which are not particularized in the Indictment. This last point I should think, would require an early ruling by the Tribunal. The ruling on the motion to dismiss, and particularly on the conspiracy Count, I think, could be handled on an individual basis sensetime before the defendant had rested its case.

To rule on all of those questions, as raised by the defendants, would put an intelerable burden upon the Prosecution in preparing the necessary briefs for all 23 defendants, and would also require extended study by the Tribunal before a ruling could be reached, and this would result in a delay in the continuation of the presentation of the defendants evidence for, I should think, a minimum of a week, and very likely much longer than that. So, my position is that we be persented to file brief on each defendant at a time, I should hope, before he begins to present his defense evidence. Such briefs, of course, would be mide evaluable to the Defense Counsel,

and at some point before the conclusion of his case the Tribunal would reach a ruling on the question reised.

that before the defendants are call upon to proceed with their evidence, the Prosecution intends, itself, to mak a dississal as to any count against any defendant?

MR. McHANIY: That may well, be, Your Homor. I am not prepared, at this time, to make any detailed statement on that point, but after a careful analysis of the proof as it has gone into the presecution's case in chief, we will attempt to be quite liberal in climinating any points which we do not think are genuinely in issue and not genuinely proved by the Presecution.

Counsel, to cortain evidence that has been introduced, as not falling within the charges named within the Indictment, that the sonner that question be settled the better in order that the defendants would know what evidence they would have to prepare. If that question was not settled until each defendant was called, he would probably feel compelled to prepare evidence on these points which might or might not be pertinent.

MR. Norange: I think that is very true, Your Honor, and that was the third point which I have stated has been raised the opening statements of the Defense Counsel.

THE PRESIDENT: I understood the Counsel for the Prosecution, but
I had the impression that the Counsel for the Prosecution though each
one of these questions could better be settled as the case of each
individual defendant was called instead of settling it in advance. I
may be incorrect. I may have misunderstood the Counsel for the Prosecution.

M. McHAMET: I probably did not express myself clearly. I think the question could probably be ruled upon rather easily and without the submission of any extensive briefs. It simply is a question of whether or not the

charge contained in paragraph 6 is proof enough to permit the Prosecution to make proof of experiments which are not perticularized in sub-paragraphs of paragraph 6. And, once the Tribunal has decided one way or the other on that question then it will be either incumbent on the defendants to meet that proof in their case or they may if they chose disregard it.

Of course, the evidence, I think, no matter what way the Tribunal rules on the question just raised would be admissible and could be considered by the Tribunal against the particular defendant, sepecially on the conspiracy count, and in any event, going to the notice and knowledge of the particular defendant; that is, knowledge of the scope of medical experimentations on concentration comp inmates.

Going to the question of admissibility of evidence, it is simply a question of whether or not it now constitutes a charge of crimes against the defendant upon whether he could be found guilty by the Tribunal; and, that is the question which, I think, would have to be ruled upon probably before any of the Defense Councel presents any evidence in order that they would be advised of the Tribunal's attitude on that point. JUDGE SERRING: Mr. McHaney: the Tribunel understands as far as Count
II and Count III are menderned, charges of crimes against Humanity and
wer crimes they are in and of themselves sufficient basis on which to
predicate proof of criminality. The particulars of sub-paragraphs A to
L. inclusive, are not a limitation upon the general allegata, but morely
descriptive particulars of the general crime charged. Is that what
you contend?

MR. McHAMEY; That is correct, Your Honor.

IR. FIMMING: Attorney for the Defendant Nrugowsky; Nr. President, the Presecution has just suggested that it did not soon desirable to them if the Court should now decide whether the indictment is insufficient on certain grounds and should therefore be dismissed.

I would like to point out the following: The majority of defense counsel held the point of view that there is no logal basis for the charge on the count of conspiracy. If the Court decides this question now, there will be no necessity for any defense counsel's going into this question during his case. It will therefore save time for the defense counsel and it will also save time for the Court who would have to listen to statements of defense counsel later.

Furthermore, the Prosecution in regard to Count II of the Indictment, has stated that they consider it desirable, unless I minimderstood the translation, to state in detail what is charged against each defendant only when the case of that defendant comes up.

If the suggestion of the Prosecutions followed on this point, the consequence would be that the defense would not know, during the whole period of preparation, for which counts it must prepare material.

you origin, in the case of Defendent Mrugowsky, I have five counts of gount II of the Indictment. I have stated that the charge is not founded and should be dismissed. The question now is whether I must call witnesses for these five counts, have efficients prepared — generally, prepare the ontire before — or whether the Court will now excrime the question whether the prospection has presented evidence on these counts which may be considered complusive proof against the Defendent Mrugowsky.

If the Prosecution has not submitted such proof, then, in my opinion, the sharps should be dismissed and the Tribunal and the defense will not have to concern themselves with these counts engage. Other lefense counsel are in exactly the same position.

Further, the Presecution pointed out that during its case, it submitted interval on counts which were not included in the Indictions at all. I may point out that a regular charge, as lamanded by the Charter, has not been under

The Charter requires that the Defendant knows when he is charged and with what he is charged, and that he be presented with this information in pafficient detail. We do not know even today, which of the defendants the Propocution is charging for the various experiments. I refer, for example to the Polycul experiments and cimilar experiments.

In the entire case of the Prosecution, this has not been stated. We are told only now which defendants are charged with which crimes, and what evidence and what proof the Prosecution has. I should therefore like to tak the Tribunal, whether at the present stage of the trial, a decision can be reached as to which counts the charges are inadequate and not according to the charter of the Tribunal, and should therefore be dismissed.

I ask that so defense counsel may know for the preparation of our case, what we have to deal with and what evidence we will have to present.

DR. FERMING: Attorney for Viktor Brach, Mr. President, part of defense counsel in their opening statements did not mention the incidensability of Count I of the Indictment. I do not know the reasons for this. I can only speak for myself, consequently, when I say that I expressly and intentionally did not discuss this question because I consider it a part of the final ples. However, since the Presecution has brought this important point up for discussion, and has suggested that it might be expedient to have the Tribunal decide on certain important points now, I should like to state, expressly for my client, Viktor Brach, that he agrees with the statements of defense counsel who objected to Count I this serming.

MR. McHaNEY: I would like to state the Prosecution recognizes that the issue of the legal basis of Count I is being raised by all defendants. They need not make their application. We concode they all raise this question, although it has not been mentioned by all of them.

THE PRESIDENT. The record may show that that is understood and agreed to by the Tribunal.

DR. SEIDEL: Attorney for the defendants Gebhart, Oberhauser, and Fischer; Mr. President, to supplement the statement of my colleague, Dr. Flemming, I should like to add the following:

I make the application which the Prosecution has just suggested. In the course of the proceedings, documents have been submitted concerning experiments and modical experiments which are not listed in the indictment.

At the beginning of the trial, one defense counsel stated that the Indictment did not fulfill the requirements of the Charter. It was pointed out that the Indictment did not list, in detail, the crimes with which the defendants are charged, and that it limited itself to legal statements.

I refer to Article IV of the Charter where it says:

"To preserve the rights of the defendants, the following procedure is
to be taken: The defendants are to be given a copy of the Indictment as
well as all documents within a reasonable period before the proceedings. The
Indictment is to list the charges clearly and in sufficient detail in order
to inform the defendants about the punishable actions with which they are
charged."

I take the liberty of pointing out that before the proceedings

the defendants were not given any documents whatever. I take the liberty of pointing out, further, that in all these nine counts of the Indictment, for example, the experiments on Polygol are not mentioned.

Then, months after the beginning of the trial, the Prosecution comes with new charges. This is in opposition of the Charter, and the rights of the tefondents are being infringed upon. Those rights were to be protected by these rules.

I, therefore, make application that the intention of the Prosecution to introduce new charges be rejected.

secution on this point. I do not want to even begin to engage in extended argument. It cames as something of a surprise to hear any defense counsel, who participated in Case Number I before the International Military Tribunal, suggest that we have not edvised the defendants of the nature of the crimes with which they are charged.

The Indictment in this case contains so many more particulars and is so such more definite than the Indictment which was sustained before the International Military Pribunal that there is no comparison between the two. The Prosecution has made an effort to advise each and every defendant in the dock of the major charges against him.

We now hear the suggestion made that we are to be limited because of the particulars which we have given. The crime charged against these defendants is that they have committed war crimes and crimes against humanity in that they have unlawfully and criminally experimented upon involuntary human subjects. I submit that it would not have been a closed question if Paragraph 6 without any particulars whatsoever would have been a sufficient indictment, particularly in face of the Indictment which was sustained in Cas. I.

also, in the charge sheets which are submitted to the defendants before the dilitery Commissions, such as the one at Dachau, they have tried upwards of 75 men on a charge sheet of not more than one page and which contains only 229h the defendants were not given any documents whatever. I take the liberty of pointing out, further, that in all these mine counts of the Indictment, for example, the experiments on Polygal are not mentioned.

Then, menths after the beginning of the trial, the Prosecution comes with new charges. This is in opposition of the Charter, and the rights of the defendants are being infringed upon. These rights were to be protected by these rules.

I, therefore, make application that the intention of the Prosecution to introduce new charges be rejected.

IF. MCHANEY: I think the Tribunal understands the position of the Prosecution on this point. I do not want to even begin to engage in extended
argument. It comes as something of a surprise to hear any defense counsel,
who participated in Case Mamber I before the International Military Tribunal,
suppost that we have not advised the defendants of the nature of the crimes
with which they are charged.

The Indictment in this case contains so many more particulars and is so much more definite than the Indictment which was sustained before the International Military Pribunal that there is no comperison between the two. The prosecution has made an effort to advise each and every defendant in the dock of the major charges against him.

We now hear the suggestion made that we are to be limited because of the particulars which we have given. The crime charged against these defendants is that they have committed war crimes and crimes against humanity in that they they have unlawfully and criminally experimented upon involuntary human subjects. I submit that it would not have been a closed question if Paragraph 6 without any particulars whatsoever would have been a sufficient indictment, particularly in face of the Indictment which was sustained in Case I.

also, in the charge sheets which are submitted to the defendants before the lilitary Commissions, such as the one at Dachau, they have tried upwards of 75 mon on a charge sheet of not more than one page and which contains only paragraph of the Indictment. These sen are charged under Paragraph 5 and under Paragraph 11 with having committed war crimes and crimes against humanity respectively. We describe the way in which those crimes were subsisted.

If the Prosecution is prepared to concede it has not proved that Karl Brandt was implicated in the high-altitude experiments or that Blome was not implicated in one of the other experiments; it is not a question of discussing any part of the Indictment. The crime charged is contained in Paragraph 6. The Prosecution may well concede we have made no proof on a particular sub-paragraph thereof; but it constitutes no dismissal of anything against that particular defendant.

It does relieve him of the liability of going forward with proof that he was not implicated in that. Of course, this Tribunal has every right to now review the evidence in the prima facte case undo by the Procedution. If it decides we have not put in a prima facte case against Karl Brandt on the high-altitude experiments, the Tribunal can so advise Earl Brandt, and he will not have to go forward with proof on that particular experiment. But, cortainly, there is no question of dismissing anything in the Indictment.

Earl Brandt stands equally accused under Faragraph 6.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel's position is correct in ruling that if the Prosocution has not made a case under a count, it would not call for a dismissal. It would call for a ruling that the defendant would be relieved from going shead with rebuttal evidence on that certain charge or count or what it night be called.

WH. NCHAUSY: That is correct. That is the way the Presecution understands it.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PAUSIDENT: The Tribunel has taken note of the questions raised by the defence counsel as to whether, as a matter of law, not a matter of fact, the defendants may be held to enswer the charge of conspiracy to counit wer crimes and crimes against humanity. This is a basic legal question which, because of its extreme importance, the Tribunel is of the opinion should be answered only after the question has been fully briefed and angued by all parties to the cause. Therefore, the Tribunel will not pass upon the question at this time, but will reserve its ruling thereof until final hearing and after full consideration and argument and will incorporate its conclusions on the questions in such opinion and judgment of the Tribunal as will finally be rendered.

Second: Something was said by the Prosecution impediately prior to
the effector of the prosecution to put any defendant upon proof as to any charge
which the prosecution might feel it had felled to establish. In view of
the statement of the prosecution, which the Tribunal commends, the Tribunal
succests to the prosecution that it be prepared to make any announcement
upon that matter which it wishes to make prior to the opening of the
defendants' case on Monday. 3 February 1947.

Third: Concerning: questions raised by defendants' counsel as to evitence introduced by the prosecution concerning acts of defendants not specifically charged as crimes by paragraph 6 and paragraphs "A" to "L", inclusive, of the Indictment, the Tribunal announces that it reserves its ruling upon any such question until the close of the case. Is there any further matter to be called to the attention of the

MR. MC HANEY: In connection with the Tribunal's ruling on the second point, I would like to ask if it would be satisfactory if on Monday we made such announcements as we may have to make with respect only to the case of Karl Brands.

THE PENDENT: Itseppears to the Tribunal that it would be only fair to the defendants if that amnouncement were unde as seen as possible. It might not necessarily be used Monday norming, but, if any such announcement is to be used, it would be well to make it as seen as possible so that the defendants would be advised that as to any such specification or charge it would not be necessary for them to procure witnesses or introduce evidence. That was the only point in the mind of the Tribunal is suggesting that announcement be used Monday norming. The Tribunal is not holding the Prosecution to anything in connection with that uniter, this if the passencement, if any, is underested as seen as possible, with due report to the uniter which I have just mentioned, that would be satisfactory to the Tribunal.

MR. MC HAMEY: Very well, Your Honor,
THE PRESIDENT: Does that chawer your question?
MR. MC HAMEY: Yes, indeed.

DE. RAUSCHEREICH (Counsel for Defendant Schaefer): Mr. President,
I have a question which has been touched upon neither by the discussion of
before the recess nor by the ruling which has just been given by the
Tribunal. It is a question connected neither with the question of the
conspiracy per with the statements by the

Court I 30 Jan 47-A - 22- Ib - LJG - Haynes

Prosecution.

I made the request with regard to the defendant Schaefer that his case be immediately dismissed. I believe that I have understood the pember of the Prosecution correctly to the effect that he means that such a dismissal could only be decided upon when the case is being presented. However, when the case is being presented and the presentation of evidence has been completed, then we may just as well wait until the verdict.

It is the pract ce of the English and American courts to which I have referred, as far as it has come to my knowledge from the literature which has been made avmilable to me, has the effect of finishing the case before the presentation of evidence; that is, after the presentation by the Prosecution when it is already demonstrated that the defendant can not be sentenced. Therefore, I want to point out once more the possibility with regard to the case of Schaefer to make a ruling to this effect before I present evidence on behalf of the defendant Schaefer. That is the question of the dismissal of the proceedings against Schaefer and his discharge from confinement.

DE. WEISGERGER (For defendant Sievers): Mr. Fresident, may I be permitted to ask one more question withregard to point No. 17 If I have correctly understood the ruling of the High Tribunal, then the decision can only be made on Foint I when the defense has made a statement with regard tothis question.

In my presentation this morning I stated that I have not taken any stand with respect to the question of the conspiracy because I have joined in the statement of my colleagues, and, therefore, I have not and any statement of my own in this connection in order to avoid repetition. If the Tribunal should desire a statement of all the Defense Counsel who have not touched this question specifically, then I would request the Tribunal to make a statement with regard to this subject.

THE FRESIDEMT: I stated that this question would be reserved until the close of the case when all defendants may be heard upon the matter. The ruling of the Tribunal is made strictly without prejudice to the right.

Court I 30 Jan h7-A - 22- 2b - LJG - Haynes

of any defendant to urge the matter of the conspiracy charge and its imapplicability to any or all defendants. The right is expressly reserved to any or all defendants. The right is expressly reserved to the defendants to argue and present that question at the proper time at the close of the case.

Upon the question just suggested by Counsel for the defendant Schaefer, which has been suggested by other Defense Counsel, the Tribunal will reserve its ruling upon any such motions, which may be renewed at an appropriate time. The Tribunal strictly preserves to the defendants the right to urge the question which has been suggested. The Tribunal at this time reserves its ruling.

There being no further question to some before the Tribinal, the Tribinal will recess until 0930 o'clock Monday Morning.

(The Tribunal recessed until 0930 hours, 3 February 1947)

## CORRECTED COPY

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribumal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, et al., defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 3 February 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal.

Military Tribunal 1 is now in Session. God save the United States of Aserica and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, you ascertain if the defendants are all prosent in the court room.

THE HAPSHALL May it please Tour Honor, all the defendants are present in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in the court.

The defense may proceed.

ME. NoRAMET: May it please the Tribunal, prosecution wishes to announce that in order to shorten the trial and to eliminate argument it reluntarily removes as issues in this action, the following charges contained in the indictment. Against the Defendant Karl Brandt, the Charge in paragraph 5a concerning participation in the high-altitude experiments; against the Defendant Handloser, the Charge in paragraph 6a concerning participation in high-altitude experiments. We will have further announcements of this sort to make in the course of the next few days we expect. It is understood that the removal of those issues from the case with respect to the foregoing-defendants constitutes no admission by the presecution that such defendants did not as a matter of fact participate in those experiments.

elimination of the Charges as stated by the presecution.

Pio defense may proceed.

DE. SERVATIUS (Counsel for the Defendant Karl Brandt): On behalf of the Defendant Karl Brandt, and with the permission of the

Tribunal I shall call the defendant to the witness stand at once.

THE PRESITED: The Tribumal grants the permission. Defendant Warl Branck will take the witness stand.

13. FG SAFSY: Lay it please the Tribunal, we have no objection to the defendant Brandt taking the witness stand at this time. However, I wish the record to show that the prosecution has not been advised that the Defendant Brandt would take the stand at this time and henceforth I will ask that all defense counsel comply with the rule of the Tribunal which requires that a minimum of 30 hours notice be given to the prosecution. It is to be expected that prosecution will have a few questions to put to one or the other of the witnesses to be called by the defense and we would like to have some time to consider these questions.

THE FREST WES: In the future the defense counsel will observe the rule and give the presecution 24 hours notice of the calling of any witness whether a defendant or a witness.

IN. STRVATIUS: I'r. President, on Saturday the Parehal of the court asked me who the witness a would be that I was going to call and I teld him that the defendant himself would be the first to take the stand. I assumed that the presecution too would be informed accordingly. That is how the error areas.

FARL STANCE, a defendant, took the stand and tratified as follows:

BY JUDGE SERVING:

A Hold up your righthand and be sween, repeating after no; I swear by God, the Almighty and Omniscient, that I will speak the pure truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The with an repeated the eath.)

TOTEL CAN INVESTOR

BY ST. STWASIUS:

- Q Witness, state your name and when you were born.
- A My name to Marl Brandt and I was born on January B, 1964.
- C Will you describe to the Tribunal as clement of your life until 2301

you started studying at the University.

A First of all, in my hame town at Muchilhausen I visited school and secondary school. In 1919 when imphilhausen and Alsace had been eccuried by the French I was instructed to leave and I temperarily took up residence in Thuringia, where I visited a prep school for secondary school, and the last two years until my matric I spent at Irosden in a bearding school where I ressed my matriculation examination. I passed my matriculation in 1923.

C What did you decide to study end how fid you arrive at that do-

A On my cother's side my family was one of cocers. So that it was a fairly obvious thing to so to study medicine. A brother of my methers has been a pupil of the first psycholicist in Eurick, Professor Borel, recently mentioned by Professor Leibbrand.

Where did you study until the completion of your studies?

A First of all at Jone. I should live to point out that those days were very difficult ones for us. It was the time of inflation with all its social complications. My studies at Jone did not proceed altegether too amostiy. Since I was studying medicine and was intending to bucous a factor. I was short of connections with real intirate during the pre-clinical part of my studies. Just as soon as my fourth term I visited the clinical lectures and in that menner I got into touch with my later chief, professor lammus, who in those days was the Chief modical officer at the surgical clinic at Jepa. I owe it to him that after four terms I succeeded in caseing my physicum examination so that I actually arrived at the clinic prenaturaly. After I had passed this phyticum "racination, I first of all roce ded to Freiburg where I continnot my studies, and since even in those days I had already decided I would be a surgeon, my further studies were adjusted accordingly to this surgical teaching and I changed universities quite frequently. I went to Borlin where I studied most of all under August Bier. I went to bunich because there refessor Sauerbruch was lecturing. And finally, 2302

for the purpose of passing my State examination, I returned to Freiburg where I was during 1927 and 28, during the winter terms, to pass my examination. I immediately proceeded to pass my doctor examination so that the spring of 1928 for the conclusion of my studies at universities.

Q. Them where did you actually receive your practical instructions as a practical dector?

A. I had previously mentioned the name Professor Magnus who at the time had been medical officer at the surgoons university clinic at Jona and in the meantime he had become chief dector at the hospital at Bergmanns Heil at Bechum which was a large hospital with a great deal of accident surgery, accident cases. From there I went upon completion of my study and temperarily during my year of practice I also practiced at Chemnitz and at a General Hespital in Weimar. And from the beginning of 1929 I became assistant dector at the surgeon's department at Bechum. Work in that hespital had the particular characteristic that the medical department was closely connected with the places of work where the actual accidents had occurred. As far as the coal mining industry is excepted, that and the steel industry, was leading there.

Q. Did you have any special training in any medical branch?

A. During this accident surgery, injuries to the skull played a particularly leading roll; surgery upon extremeties; and, one important chapter, injuries to the spine. Perhaps I shall have to go into this more thoroughly. Every assistant doctor at the hospital at Bergmanns Heil found it his most difficult task having to take care of patients with injuries to the spine. These people were usually paralysed in the lower organs of their bodies. And, they meant to us the greatest human demands. Every one of these patients know there was no help for him, and that his fate during a period of weeks, months, or in exceptional cases, years, would be ended. There was a tromondo neuralgic pain, never leaving the patients a mement's peace, day or night. And, to all of us it was a great effort, again and again, having to visit those patients, having to step up to their bods, and having to say a few words of greetings, which practically were nothing other than just words. After a cortain time, again and again, those patients would make the came request: "Doctor, give me an injection! I cannot stand it any more, " I think that may description of this situation, however extensive it night be, would always fail to live up to reality. I did not intend, when I first went to Bochun, to remain there for good. I was intending to complete my training as a surgeon. During my period of studies I had already made contact with a man known not only in Germany, but beyond the berders of this country, a doctor named Albert Schweitzer, And, I intended, once trained as a surgeon, to assist him with his work as a doctor in Lemberone, French Kongo; whon, in 1932, I was ready for the carrying out of this plan it was no longer possible since a request was cade that I should serve in the French army, which probably would have meant having to adopt French citizenship.

So you dropped the plan7

A. Yes, that was the reason why I abandoned that plan-

So, one could say there was a national consideration?

A. Yose

3 Feb-M-JP-2-2-Beard-JP Court I Q. Then, what did you do after your time at Bochum? A. There was one particular interruption during the time I spent at Bochum: In 1933, more by accident than by design, I was present when a car accident occurred, during which the Puehrer's Adjutant, Wilhelm Brueckner, suffered a severe facture of the skull. And, a niece of Hitler's was travelling in the same car, and other passengers also being injured. At that time, I carried out immediate care on the persons, and certain surgical treatments, and by request of the Fuchror, I spent six weeks in a small village bespital attending to Wilhelm Brucckner, as a dector, and then in the autumn of 1933, I went back to Bochum. In 1934, in January, my chief at Bochum, Professor Magnus, was called to Moin the University Clinic at Berlin, meanwhile having been uncated by Professor Bior. Q. You, yourself, were at Bochum. And, who become your chief then? A. Professor Magnus went to Berlin, and I went to Berlin with him, and likewise, Professor Restock to Berlin and again he became the first assistant to the chief; so that altogether I have been with him now for 19 yours. Q. and, then until the beginning of the war, you remained in Berlin; did you not? A. I was in Borlin, yes, until the beginning of the war. There I vorked at that clinic, where I was, first of all, the head of one station. And, boginning in 1936, I think, I took charge of the accident section, and the Polyklinik which I headed. The Polyklinik had a large intake of patients, amounting to approximately 18 to 20 or 21000 patients per amun passing through. Q. What happened at the beginning of the war? Did the situation change at all? A. I shall have to come back to that at a later time, showing which after 1934, I acted as Escort Physician to Hitler; which meant, that during the period of the war I had a special task, that of Inspector of the Armed Forces, attached to the Headquarters of the Fuehrer. Q. Did that mean your complete separation from the clinic? 2305

- As No! I did not separate from that Clinic, I tried overything I could to remain in contact: And, later on, when I became General Commissioner for Health and Sanitation; and even as Roich Commissioner, I had my office there. I was doing my work and directing it from that clinic.
- Q. Which personalities in the medical field, had influence upon .
  you in your medical profession?
- A. If I am to give you the names of my teachers once again, passing through them quickly: then, first of all the Surgeon Lexer, von Moeller, Hiss, and the general psychiatrist, Hocher and Freiburg in Munich, were the decisive personalities in my medical training.
- Q. Through having not those outstanding experts, did you ever find yourself drawing toward scientific activities?
- A. No; in the first place I was interested in the clinical work, and as far as scientific work was concerned it was note or less a hobby with me.

- Q. Did you work on any scientific things at all
- A. Together with my chief. Prof. Magnus, I did carry out a certain smount of work, writing works about surgery on skulls, injuries of the spine; and there were certain special exeminations which I carried out during inspections of choice, But it would be wrong to say that I had played any leading part at all in any scientific field.
  - Q. Did you carry out any laboratory work?
- A, Apart from the fact that temporarily I was the head of the laboratory at the clinic, I did not carry out any laboratory work. Maybe I might add at this point that approximately in 1936 I spent some extra time working in laboratories due to the fact that an event occurred outside which influenced the situation. May I refer you to the testimomy given here recently by Prof. Leibrand, which night mean that it is important that I should go in to detail. There was a man called Von Brehmer who had approached, Stating that he had found the cause of cancor, Through those channels he went to Georing with this story; and Georing took this tremendous discovery to Hitler, who is turn instructed no to discuss the affair with Horr Von Brohmer. In order to give the matter a scientific and expert background, we obtained my chief's permission, the permission of Prof. Magnus, to ask Brahmer to come to Berlin, where investigations carried out on his instructions produced no unaninous results, no clear-out results, so that the idea had been and could be turned down by experts, meaning that a cause or one of the causes of cencer appeared not to have been found. Then the results from Brohner were taken under the wing of Mr. Streicher right here in Nurnberg. Stretchor established a patients' department, to which he gave the name Paracelsus Institute; and there one can well say in the nost irresponsible manner examinations and observations were carried out. The result, however, was

that the seriousness of these experiments of Brehmer's was pointed out to Hitler, this time by Streicher.

Therefore, once again I was instructed to carry out certain observations, this time here in Nurnberg, and to confirm if possible what You Broknor had already stated. In order to be quite sure of those observations, I brought along with me a photographer; and the findings on the cancer patients were photographed and recorded over periods of weeks. The result was absolutely negative. Although this was so. Stretcher used this opportunity to make public statements without criticism, without responsible dealing with these possibilities for treatment produced by Brehmer. He was not even ashaned to publish photographs which I had made. For instance, in the case of one scientific cancerous infection of the breast of a woman, a photograph was taken in order to check the diseased one; but a healthy one was published in the reverse, saying that this was the cured previously diseased breast.

In that connection, of course, I had a very serious arguments with Streicher, which, as far as I was concerned, had two practical results. Firstly, the president of the police suggested to me that if possible I should avoid coming to Nurnberg, where on the other hand I received the information from the Fuehrer never to go to Nurnberg without him; in other words only when accompanied by him. This I carried out.

In order to demonstrate how in fact this charlatan business was actually progressing in Germany after 1933, then after Brahmer had discontinued his work in Furnberg, on the strength of the documentary evidence Himmler first of all took him under his wing I was still receiving photographs in connection with which Himmler himself was making atatements in relation to the investigation of fact as to whether the person was either suffering from cancer or night have become a cancer patient:

- Q. Witness, did you have any additional surgical training?
- A. I have told you that in the main my training as adjutant surgeon took place mostly in Bechum, I had further surgical training in Berlin, which, as years went on, was supplemented by the fact that I was working under Geheimrat Reichel where a special method of operating on stemachs was being used. In addition to that, with an order to acquire additional knowledge of stemach surgery, I spent several menths at the University Clinic of Benn. In order also to collect experience with breast surgery, I spent several menths with Sauerbruch.
- Q. Just before that you had mentioned those special tasks with reference to the Brahmer-Streicher matter. Did you have any other special tasks given to you which actually fell outside your normal scene of activity?
- &. The work in Berlin had the difficulties which the construction of the task from the point of view of space brought with it. It mount to us at the clinic in Berlin the task of having to plan new buildings. In 1937 I received the tack at the time in collaboration with Speer, the plonipotentiary of the reconstruction of the capital, and the special task of architects of developing plane first of all only for a surgical clinic and later for the entire university clinic at Berlin. This was work which extended over a period of years and which led to the result that during the first years of the war there was a final plan concluded. I shall give you the cost of the building so as to give you an idea about the matter. It sucunted to approximately two hundred eighty million. The buildings which reached the height of the radio tower in Borlin and which could house all the students in addition to four thousand patients belonging to the various clinics, all the proclinical institutes were there, the pathological and anatomy institutes, and so on. Arising from this connection

3 Teb-N-SU-S-4-Blabley-JP

were building plums. I carried out the planning for the evacuation hospitals during the war which then received the special name of special evacuation hospitals. 3 Feb-M-FJC-4-1-Cook-JP Court I

Q. We shall come back to that matter later. During the planning of these works in Berlin for the Clinic, did you have any other medical sasistance?

A. It was nocessary to carry through this building program and it was necessary to have assisting physicians. My closest collaborator was Prof. Costock. At that time Professor Rostock had become my clinical chief since Frefessor : agnus in the meantine had transferred to Munich. I asked Professor Jostock to give no his assistance nainly because of his organisation of talent. In addition, I knew that because of the personal friendship which existed between us there could be found a special reliability. Here perhaps I may point out the personality of Professor Rostock. Dwory person who had any doalings with him will at first say, and the empression used in Germany would be that Hostock is a good man, and as our common teacher Magnus he had a consciously human attitude towards patients and then this attitude can be found in Rostock, and it originates from a born friendliness. With reference to the treatment of his subordinates in the clinics and the nurses or assistants, it is a fact that they, as well as the patients, respected him. He is medically absolutely reliable, and all of his activities were devoted to the benefit of the patient, and he was superb teacher for he students. The Prosecution has already pointed out the respect which has to be granted to him as a scientist and rightly so. How magnificent it is may be soon from the fact that the faculty of Berlin neminated as their Dean and with that they showed very clearly and with that he became very clearly ropresentative of all the German medical faculties.

Q. Mitnose, I shall now turn to your political caroor. When did you join the NSBAP?

- A. I became a member of the National Socialist party in January 1932.
- Q. And what was the reason which load you to it?
- A. For no a decisive reason was the conception of the social quostion. At that time I was an assistant in the Ruhr territory and every person who records these years will imagine the hopeless situation

which existed at that time. There was unemployment and suffering, a very unclear prospect of the future. There was unreliability. All of this in a country of this red earth demanded conothing from us.

From the start, because of family acquaintances,

Q. Was such a combination of activities, both in the SS and in the Arry, semething sustemary?

A. With the exception of my own ease I know no other.

and in accordance with that the SS promoted no.

Q. How was your relationaship to Himsler? Did you belong to his pleaser circle?

A. I had no personal relationship to Himmler. I pointed out before that I really had serious medical differences with him - von Brohner and Streicher, And also during the War when a closer contact night have taken place there was certain personal tension of the circle around Hitler and the circle around Himmler.

Q. Wore you always officially asked to attend Gruppenfuchror discussions held by Himmler?

A. As I said, I had no relationship to Himmler. This also extended to the official field. I took part in none of those so-called Gruppen-fuchror or SS leaders' discussions. I was never invited to attend any one of those. Even professionally there was no centact between us. Sometimes it even went so far, and documents will probably confirm, that Himmler consciously tried to remove me from his circle and his path and exceptions even pronounced prohibition to get into any contact with me.

3 Feb-Mill-5-3-Gross-JP Court I Q. How was contact of the staff belonging to Hitler and Himmler? Was there a close cooperation? A. They did not collaborate, they hardly saw one another, and even from the point of view of location were very far apart. At several occasions the word "Fuchrer Hauptquartier" is used here and one may gain the impression that it was a little camp where everyone was together. That wasn't the case at all. Hinmler's Headquarters or the Institute of the ONW, the Institute of the Wehrmacht Sporation Staff and the real Fuchrer Headquertors - and that was the only one that could bear that name were very far apart, semetimes 20 to 30 kilometers apart and numbered a large amount of porsonnel each. I remember that at one time when we had to move from the Ukraine to another territory we had to transport something like 18,000 human beings. Q. And how did those two staffs collaborate personally? Did you have any closer relation with Reichsarst SS Grawitz? A. I had no personal contact with Grawitz. Ho was a typical subordinate of Himmler, judging from general utterances, one who was completely devoted and subordinate. During the last part of the War in 1945 I had a fow discussions with him but not in the capacity as Retchearst SS but as Prosident of the Red-Cross, since at that time together with the Chief of the Army Medical Service I tried to designate certain cities as hospital cities in order to keep them away from the War in that manner, and for this purpose we needed Grawitz in order to use his connection with the German Red Cross and thereby ostablish connections with the International Rod Cross in Geneva. Q. Then what were your political ties in your office? A. In any office from a political point of view I was left complotely along up to the autumn of 1944, and by that I mean my office which I hold over since 1942 after I became General Commissioner for Health and Modical Services, not a single one of my co-workers, neither in my office Planning and Economy or Science and Research, was a number of the SS, not even a member of the general SS. In the Department of Planning and 2314

Sconney, even up to Movember 1944, I had two Jewish workers out of ten secretaries I had, and who came from industry, together with their section chief. I chose my collaborators only going by their professional knowledge - everything else did not matter to he.

- Q. Did you then roject the SS on principle?
- . No. I nover saw in the SS a gathering of mon who had only mot in those units a lead through. And if I think of the young officers wan were members of the Waffen SS who worked in Eitler's Headquarters as Ordnance: Officers, then I think of those four men, three have died, and the fourth was heavily wounded. I, whonever I wear the uniform, always were it with an idea to have a special moral obligation and I did not wear it without pride.
- Q. Witness, I now come to another subject, scnething which we have already touched upon, namely, those special hospital institutes we have already discussed, specific task of hespital planning. Will you shortly define your attitude to that?
- A. I pointed out that arising from the planning of the Berlin University Institute I had a special study of hespital buildings of the entire Cormany. Before the beginning of the War I made many journeys shroad with an architect and I inspected hospital buildings and studied their organizational frame work and that needed certain knowledge of the situation of hospitals in Cornany itself. Before the War, and I am just giving you the round figures, we had approximately 550 to 600,000 hospital bod in Germany. And you have to take away approximately 250,000 and 250,000 belonged to General Hospitals, that is to may, general hospitals, surgical clinics, etc. The same amount, that is approximately 250,000 bods up to approximately 300,000 comprised the mental institutes. Bods for tuberculoets illnesses. This was a bad situation which probably now has become such worse. There were certain centers of gravity in this hospital concern which were mainly at points where industry was concentrated, that is, in the Ruhr territory and central Gormany. In consequence there was a lack of bospital space in those territories and it was especially serious there. In the year 1941 the first English air raid took place on the town of Enden. At that time the entire hospital was destroyed. Dr. Todt who was carrying out the ropair work and the rebuilding as for as possible and who

with a defend that a new hospital be built in Endon. On the same day when unking this defend I was assigned by the Headquarters to designate evacuation newpitals for other cities which were in Canger. These plans, which in the beginning were and tractively shall, extended in the subsequent years, that is up to 1542-63, and from this whole question there developed even later after Took had died.

Then, in connection with Speer and his department, Building, there developed the task of the building of the special Hospital Institution Action Brandt. Up to the year of 1944 approximately 30 institutions which at that time had operated, were being used. In every case there were complete hospitals with 500 bods each which were built according to a system of villes and were located approximately 20 kilometers outside the limits of the city in danger. Starting from the year of 1943, those hospitals had to accept mainly such patients whose treatment would probably last for some considerable time, in order to use the space inside these cities in Canger for patients where illness was only enticipated for a short time.

In this connection I should like to point out that it was necessary to start a special transport system of the sick which was intended for those hospital institutions which were all under my administration. These were busses and tracks which were rebuilt in accordance with its purpose and which had on their outside the designation Hospital Institution Action Brandt. There wasn't one of our destroyed cities where these busses were not used — these busses for the sick — and it frequently occurred that they not only collected sick people and injured people who were effected by the last raid, but they also had to take care of evacuating other people. I assume that this was submitted here, I think it was in the form of an affidavit by Neser, where the question of general sick transportation come up.

Q. Witness, this was a special assignment belonging to the building system which you received for the institution of these special hospitals.

Did you administrate this work from the Fuehrer Headquarters and did this take up much of your time?

A. I exercised this activity, which at first was a planning activity, certainly up until the year of 1942, and I directed it from the Fuehrer Headquarters since I was tied to that Headquarters. It was only in the year of 1942, in the summer, when I took over the Office of the Commissioner General, that I received a representative so that I was in a position to move about more freely. In addition, the situation was that the Fuehrer himself currently wanted to be informed about these building operations. It was a habby on his part to build and the building of hospitals and bunkers was

really a thing which played an essential role during the last years of the war.

- Q. Did these resignments extend to other fields?
- A. Later, certain connections began with the entire air raid precaution medical program, which really had more to is with the defense against poison gas, but still established a certain connection with hospitals.
- Q. Was this poison gas decree in any relation to the assignment to the hospitals?
  - A. No.
- Q. And that took place in the year of 1943 1944 and that was the situation as it was then. At that time you had already become the Roich Commissioner General forHealth and Medical Services?
- A. I became Reich Commissioner for Health and Medical Services through a Fuehrer Decree of July 1942.

THE PRESIDENT: At this time the Tribunci will recess.

(A recess was taken.)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

Earl Brandt, is tostifying from notes and other papers which he has before him. I object to this and if the court over-rules this objection, I will ask that the Prosecution be furnished with copies of these notes and translations thereof.

IR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, in the trial before the International Military Tribunal, it was permitted for the defendant to testify on the witness stend and to take notes with him in order to simplify the proceedings in view of the extensive material, otherwise, the examination will be carried out, but it may take a little longer.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection of the Prosecution is over-ruled.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - Resumed.

## BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, now I come to your position as Commissioner General for Medicine and Hoelth matters. When did you receive this commission?
- A. By a decree of July, 1942, I was assigned to this office. It was called the General Commissioner for health and midden matters.
- Q. I shall hand you a copy of this decree and please connent on it.

  It is Document No. 080 and it is in Document Book No. 1. Will you please first explain how this decree came to be issued?
- the winter battle in the East in the winter of 1941-1942 and a report came through Dr. Took and various other agencies to the Fuehrer. I was sent along the road from Smelenak toward Moscow because very many battle wounded were said to be there who could not be taken care of. The information was inaccurate and in part entirely incredible. I went to the area of Wiasmar and there at the railroad station in the small village, the picture was about as follows: There were ten big freight trains, closed freight cars all filled with wounded. The temperature was between 35 and 40 degrees celsius below zero. Some of the bandages and dressings of the

wounded were actually frozen to the floor of the cars. The Locantives were fregon and the boilers broken. The nedical personnel were trying to holp the wounded as much as they could, but, of course, this was practically impracible. In the district, there were about 10,000 wounded, At the railreaf station, there were two medical officers with a minimum of modical supplies and those two officers could hardly stay in the town itself. There were small buildings, which had been arranged to receive the sick, 'jut they were over crowded and the situation for the wounded was similar. Mohrmacht agencies, with which I established contact, attempted to argribe those conditions to a failure of the medical command. The same a titudo was taken within the Wehrmacht operational staff. Actus'lly in the medical service, the medical services were to be given the responsibility for the failure of the strategie command and especially for the inadequate supplies of winter clothing. I went on and followed the river toward Hesenw until I seme to the bandaging places and there I Learned from the replacements that there were inefficiencies here.

About 30 Milomotors from Moscow at a small station by the name of Moscheisk freight card had piled up with Soda water, all freien and completely unnecessary. The nest urgently needed medical supplies were lacking. Discussions with the competent medical agencies in this area, in the Central sector, showed the impossibility of getting aid fromt the Army. About 10 kilomoters away from the station of Wissner, which I mentioned before, there was an airfield. At this Airfield there was a medical officer who had nothing to do, but he had orders not to leave the airfield. After three days I returned to headquarters. I described the situation as I had found it. The only possibility of getting aid since the Army would not help was for the other branches of the Wahrmacht, prinarily the Luftwaffe and the Navy to come to the aid of the Army. At that time, in January 1943, this was possible only by special and precise order from Hitlor himself. In this way it was possible to arrange that within 24 hours, with the aid and support of our own couriers to the Fuebrer Hoadquertors, that 45 medical officers were summoned to this area, and the nearby stations of the Navy and the Luftwaffe, probably the Koenigeberg people sent the necessary supplies. From that time on the question of unified medical corps went under unified command in the Wehrmacht and was not dropped, but nevertheless it lasted 7 or 8 months, until most of the difficulties of Jurisdiction were solved, and this decree of 28 July 1942 was issued. The essential point is the reference that an agency of Chief of Wehrmacht Medical Service was to be established. and on the civil side the Reich Health Londer and Socretary in the Ministry of Interior was to be given the responsibility, and I myself was made responsible for a special task and to coordinate the needs of the Wehrmacht and the Civilian Sector. For me personally there was still another domand, that I was to have a representative at headquarters so that I was not obliged to be there as much as before. The decree

was issued because of the needs of the front. For the Vehrmacht head there were a few basis shortcomings. And attempt was made to investigate this case in 1944. The new chief of the Vehrmacht Medical, Generalebors-tabserst Handleser, who had previously been Army physician and Army medical Professor, retained this position. The organization as set up was not adjusted properly to the Luftwaffe and the Navy, because the Army itself was not represented, but that the Chief in charge of all three branches was of the Army.

Q. Now, would you please look at the decree itself and connect on those points where you yourself are named, that is "3" and "4" of the decree?

As "3" says I empower for special tasks and negotiations, for special hospital supplies, and so forth, "I empower Prof. Dr. Karl Brandt, subordinate only to me personally and receiving his instructions directly from no. to carry out special tasks and negotiations to readjust the requirements for doctors, hospitals, medical supplies, etc. between the military and the civilian sectors of the health and Nedical Services."

Point "4" says, "That as Commissioner General I am to be informed of all happenings in the Military and Civilian Sector," The practice under this decree meant difficulties for me too.

JUDGE SERING: Just a minute, ploase, Witness.

Dr. Servatius, in the translation which we have here, which is apparently the official document filed with the Secretary General, this decree of 28 July 1942.

TR. SERVATIUS: Yes.

JUDGE SERRING: It takes care of Paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 5. "4" apparently is neitted.

TR. SERVATIUS: There is no "5" on the decree. It must be a mistake in typing. No. 4 reads, "My plenopotentiary for Health and Medical Service." THE WITEESS: ... I had direct contact with the Luftwaffe and the Navy and a Medical Officer of the Luftwaffe was assigned to him.

NM. NoHAMEN: That had botter be repeated, the inglish text didn't come through for six or eight sentences. I think it would be best if they repeated that for the record.

BY DR. SERVATIUS: Will you please go back? The English translation did not come through, - and comment on points "3" and "4" of the decree?

A. Section 3 says that I am empowered for special tasks and negotiations to adjust the requirements for dectors, hespital and medical supplies between military and civilian sectors, and the Health and Nedical Services, and that I am subordinate only to the undersigned, that is to Hitler personally, and receive instructions directly from him.

Section 4 says that I am to be kept informed about fundamental events in the civilian service of the Wehrmacht and Medical Service. It says he is authorized to intervene in a responsible manner.

- Q. Then the purpose of the decree was to guarantee this cooperation?
- A. The purpose of the decree, from my point of view, was to adjust the moods of the Mohrmacht and needs of the Civilian Health Service to carry on the negotiations, and in addition was from time to time given special tasks. Those special tasks, of course, cresulted from direct instructions from Hitler.
- Q. Then you did not get any general authority, but special instructions in each case?
- A. In the course of the next two years I estimate 15 to 20 special decrees were signed by Hitler for the individual tasks. It may be one or another of them can be submitted as a document.
  - Q. Cen you mention any such special assignment?
  - A. In the beginning I had to establish hospital bases. Such

a decree was formulated very clearly and signed by Hitler. But the one department was important, — I believe I have to tell this, that when this decree was issued when Hitler signed it the SS was not included. Apparently Himmler had already talked to Hitler about it. Since there were basic differences between Himmler and me in our impressions of the duties of a modical officer, and we had quarreled about it on one occasion, I was of the opinion the medical officer is first a dector and secondly an efficer, while Himmler was of the opinion he is first, and officer and secondly a dector. This was made evident by the fact that medical officers of the Waffen SS, not like to Army, Many and Luftwaffe, and so forth, were the insignia on their shoulders and could not be distinguished from a regular officer.

Q. Now, in number 1, paragraph 3, the Waffen SS is mentioned. Will you please explain the meaning of this paragraph? It says; "For the purpose of coordinated treatment of these problems a medical officer of the Mavy and a medical officer of the Luftwaffe will be assigned to work under him, the latter in the capacity of chief of staff." Now, there follows the sentence: Fundamental problems pertaining to the medical service of the Waffen SS will be worked out in agreement with the medical inspectorate of the Waffen SS."

A. I began to point out before that there was a medical officer of the Luftwaffe and one of the Mavy as limited officers to the Rewly created chief of the Wehrmacht medical service, but that although the Waffen SS, as long as it was assigned to Wehrmacht units, was under the control of the Wehrmacht medical service, the Waffen SS did not send an officer to Mandloser. And later when a request to that effect was made to get closer contact, it was refused.

This is what I just said with reference to myself; that the SS, the General SS as well as the Waffen SS, were outside this decree for the medical and health service. This is the standard decree. The later decrees for the General Co missioner or the Reich Commissioner were besed on this one and it was never repealed.

. Now will you please put this decree to one side? Now, did you built up a big agency for these duties?

A. No, In Berlin I had a sort of post mail office in the Reich Chancollery from where we had courier connections and documents were brought to me constantly. The mail which we received was first of a quite general nature, but after a short time, six or eight weeks, it consisted primarily of inquiries and demands from industry. These requests were quite outside of the task as originally intended.

It had been intended that I was to coordinate the existing installations of the medical service.

what was the significance of the fact that industry approached you with many inquiries and requests and opened up problems?

I obtained insight into the production situation in [42 and [43], and on the basis of the information which I received I saw that unless a unified planned economy was established the production of medical sumplies would be crippled completely in [43]. This was becamed within the production agencies themselves there was no unified leadership. There were three agencies which conflicted with each other; First, the Ministry of Economics, the actual production agency; then, under Gooring, the Four-Year Plan; and finely, the Ministry for irmaments and War Production.

side from the fact that the limitations of the jurisdiction of those three offices were not clear the organization within those agencies was based on the raw saturial situation and not adjusted to the demand. The kinistry of Eponomics and the Speer | injury had created special conditions and working conditions, specialist groups which took care of the materials. There was no central agency for medical su plies; for example, our surgical materials, our catgut, was in charge of a group who took care of musical instruments. This group for musical instruments was interested only in getting strings for violins and so forth, but they did not care whether we had surgical catgut or not.

- Q. Witness, those demands from industry opened up a new field then. Did that lead to Docree Number 27
  - .. You, this led to Dooree Number 2.
- Q. I shall show you this decree. It is Document NO-61, NO-051, NO-0

(Document handed to vitness).

- as Decree 2 of the 5th of Spetember 1943 was to the effect that I was sutherized to take course to coordinate and direct centrally the problems and activities of the antire medical and health science and research as well as to the organizational institutions concerned with the manufacture and distribution of medical materials.
- 7. Witness, can you tell me what your collaboration with the civilian and Womrmacht sectors was?

A: I must distinguish between two things; first, the necessity for mo, from the small office of three or four persons which I had at that time, to develop two offices, one dealing with neterials, planning and economics, and one with the scientific matters, the office for science and research.

These two offices, tagether with me, had to coordinate first the material needs between the Wohrmacht and civilian sector. Our position

was that of a differential. Through this second decree the first decree was not repealed. It was outside the authority of material needs as well as science. I did not establish any connection with the SS, and until the fall of 1944 when there was a visit by Genzken, the SS did not attempt any coordination.

My two offices, planning and occnomics, and science and research, Professor Rostock was in charge of the latter, had assignments which more or less complemented each other. If I referred to the production difficulties before, I did so in order to describe the necessity and the need for that production. The agreement with the three competent production agencies was that I was the only responsible representative of the needs of the Wehrmacht and civilian sector. A plan of demands for civilian supply had to be set up first.

Until 1943 in spite of the war conditions this plan had not been completed. The Ministry of the Interior had no ides of what these demands were. With the mid and support of behavacht agencies and industrial agencies, it was finally drawn up. This plan of civilian demands was worked out in detail and coordinated with the control plan of the behavacht which had been worked out in the meantime. The adjusted plan, coordinated plan between behavacht and civilian was dicussed with the production agencies again, and this was the first task of my office for science and research.

Production; that is, industry, attumpted to prescribe what we could get. It was Rosteck's task to establish what was necessary and not what was offered us, in a very difficult procedure. For example, our pharmaceutical preparations which, up to them, had been produced in numbers of about 35,000 different preparations; they were divided into groups reduced to 12,000 and 5,000. And finally, a program was set up in which only about 40 absolutely essential preparations were contained. The same was true of x-ray machines, instruments, all pieces of equipment of a medical nature. The distribution of the supplies within the Mehrmacht was within the behamacht and within the civilian health service, their own organizations, and I had nothing to do with that.

2328

Q Then the office for science and research gave research assignments Itself as they have been discussed here?

A The office for science and research did not give any research assignments in that precise form. It supported research.

It must be considered that in 1943 and '44 in general there were other demands on the medical and health service, not only in thwarting scientific activity, but the Ministry of the Interior and the Propaganda Ministry, the Party Chancellury and others tried to have studies, particularly medical studies, stopped. In 1943 a decree was drawn up and signed that all the universities were to be closed. At that time I tried to have this repealed and as far as the medical faculties were concerned, I succeeded.

The Office for Science and Resparch under Restock received from
me the assignment to try everything to prevent the interruption
of medical studies which was planned in 1944. In individual assign
norm to which hestock supported -- I could mention the attempt to
cultivate these cultures -- the question was brought up of finding
an agar substitute which is necessary in arbitrary work. He supported the work with the electronics microscope and various other
thin a which he hims lift can describe better than I.

I should only like to say one thing, first of all, that the work which seatook did, he did on my 'whalf on my or ors, and I muself, if I claimed successes formerly, ponth wed to bear the responsibility for everything alone.

Q. You spoke of attacks on modical studies. That was the postition of modical science at that the in conoral? Please comment briefly and consider that the empers Professor Leibrard has bestified here.

A. i.r. Leibrand described the situation quite correctly in many points. I do not want to to very far back. The situation in 1955 was that with the beginning of the influence of politics on everything, an attempt was made to have politics provail in universities as well. The if tional Socialist bergue of Students was close of all these units and organizations which were formed. That had to a false conclusion. It was believed that if this was actived, science itself would be furthered, everything that was active in any way, all the active forces available where an attempt was made to direct them into politics. It was not realized that science itself, scientific research and work ersentially has rething to do with politics. A number of non who obtained influence agree balf educated.

3 Feb 47-A-FIC-10-la-Putty court No. 1

The resulting inferiority feeling they tried to compensate for by trying to push the scientist, the real scientist, aside as unequal to them, and on the other side helped the dilettante. I refer to the description of you Brehmer which I gave this norming.

Now when the war had begun the question of science was overlooked entirely. Deferments for the universities had not been given so that teachers wore auddenly drafted, and the training of the students was distorted in some cases. Considerations of basic research had been overlooked, and the idea of research on the basis of expediency prevailed. The lack of interest of the universities themselves led in 1945 to the decision to close the universities altogether. There were a unber of doctors with whom I was in contact at that time who exerted their influence to have the universities reopened and continued, the dean of the University of Bonn, for instances Schulemann, and one of theaster, Siegmani, and Squerbruch, eni, of course, Rostock who was dean of the Barlin faculty and exerted a certain influence in this respect. After that there was an enormous number of little annoying difficulties. Everyone was suddenly interested in these questions of universities, and everyone had something to do with them. The High Commander of the Webracht was interested in it because of deferments. Sauckel as plenipotentiary for Labor Corritment wanted to have students given some sort of labor duty. Continuents for establishing institutions, etc., were in charge of the Hanistry of Boomonics. The Speer Ministry refused to take charge of the . If special papers were to be printed, the Reich Press Chamber opposed it, and in spite of all that there was no person who was really in charge of the universities. I may this only to

show that from my Office of Science and Research that this was the primary decisive task, and I attempted to keep physicians free of politics as far as possible. Without knowing it directly, I found support in the efforts of Dr. Blome essentially directed against Dr. Conti. Professor Leibrand has already described Conti. He was a political expenent and called himself that, and saw the task of the health leadership which he represented entirely from the political point of view.

That the training of the young doctor, the student, a suffered especially during the war in Germany I don't believe I need to emphasize especially. Everyone knows how difficult training opportunities were. Nevertheless, a great deal was certainly done for research and actual medical treatment of the doctor at home, and before 1939 the medical officers were on the whole outstanding. There were some scientific successes, the development of sulformides in the surgical field because that was the closest to me, the bone treatment according to Fuetscher and the further results of heart surgery are significant.

Q: Witness, I come to a different subject. You were given an assignment celled by the Prosecution Chemical Warfare Agent Decree from the first of Narch 1944. We do not have this Decree itself, but you wrote a letter about it to Himmler, a copy of which is here. It is Document NO-Cl2. That is also in Volume I of the document book. I am not certain where it is in the document book. It is a very brief letter. I shall read it so that the Court may take notice of it.

Derlin, C inrob 19th. The Gener 1 C crissioner of the Machiner for Medical and Moulth Service. To Secret. To the Moichsfuchrer SS and Joseph Amister of the Interior, Derlin. Reichsfuchrer. Inclosed you will find the Interstation of M of an order from the Fusirer which is to be distributed only to a very Midted number of persons. On behalf of the Reich Marshal I ask you may to inform the case lutely essential and locating personalities in your field. I will be pretained if you will ask these condenses to not in touch with as — then there follows the telephone number — "so that I may be in a position to cottle this patter quickly because of its post over easy. Heil Mither! Berl Drandt."

and the special scereous? Without, will you ploose passe before you ensure? The interpreter con't follow of the property.

THE RESIDENT: Counsel, I underst dest to say that this downert was already in evidence.

Dr. Shiwatus: I to not know the number in the Inglis. I curent D k, so for the other document I will look up the page numbers furth the recess.

To MASICHT: Can you infor the the maker of the Smillider

Die Silvitius: I will have to find that out. I turns' wor a list restor by to Lt. Correct of the Inf writin Conter -- this corning, not poster by.

- Q. Witness, will you please explain this letter!
- A. First I should like to point out that the telephone number which sounds somewhat mysterious was the telephone number of the Reich Chancellery extension 183 was my room in the clinic in Ziegelstrasse.

The order which is mentioned there, which was a decree dated I liarch 1944, was morely a production method of equipment against Chemical Warfare and had the following history: When, in the Fall of 1943 air faids on Germany wore intensified and there were certain rumers which caused the four that there might be gas attacks. Chemical Warfare and various agencies obtained gas masks. Since my office. Plane and Economics, was working on a general medical supply, the Red Gross which was one of our customers, and the HSV, had the impression that I night be in charge of gas masks too. I got two inquiries and requests for delivery for about 100,000 marke. As I had nothing to do with those I sent them to the competent office in the Speers Ministry. After about four months, at the beginning of 1944, I received the same requests again. I took them, myself, to the head of the Armanent Delivery Office; his name was Dector Schieber. I loarned from him that he could not deliver the 100,000 gas nasks that were asked for because in the previous year the increase in production of such apparatus had not taken place, but production had actually been reduced. Mr. Schieber himself -- this was senewhat embarassing and unpleasant to lir. Schiober because in the mountime confidential agents had brought the nows that the Americans had shipped special equipment for that purpose, which brought gas to England; and, that gas from the former African Thouter of War was being transferred to Italy. Under this situation, Mr. Schieber called a conference about the end of February, and advised me at this conference that all industry and development who had anything to do with this matter participated. The result was that the supply of Chamical Warfers defensive apparatus was disastrous in view of this situation. Within the Wehrmacht, within the Russian area alone, there were about 10,000,000 masks, with two to three times as many filters which had been abendened there. This was about two-thirds of the total production since 1933. The Airraid Warden

Service had about twenty percent of its needs. And, for kinder gas masks there was about seven or eight percent of the needs available. The production of chlorius calcate was adequate for making it safe for the population of one large city after one large raid. Mr. Schieber asked me to inform the competent agencies, which he could not reach directly, about the result of this discussion. Since I went to the Fuchrer's Headquarters the same night and met Goering, who was really the man responsible for hir raid precautions, I informed him. Goering had no idea of this situation at the time. For him it was of the same importance; for him, it meant that after one gas raid over Gormany, the war would have been over. And, with this attitude and with the drawn-power of conviction, he informed the Fuchrer, himself.

On the next day, I was called to the joint confurence and asked whether I would take the production of ges masks into my office for planning and oconomics. I took the point of view that such on assignment which might have further developments was not exactly communaurate with my office of Planning and Econolics. I said that I, myself, might sat up a parellel organization to coll borate with the competent agencies. And, that is how the decree come about, which in eddition to g s masks gave me control of gas itself, is production. This was necessary, because in view of the raw material situation, the provious counter-quasures which we had produced could no longer be produced, so a substitute meterial had to be selected, and these had to be tusted with our German grace. It was necessary to be informed about the effectiveness of these g ses. On the whole, this essignment was indressed to the ministry for armiment and har Production at the Production Agency, and to me in a sort of control capacity, for the execution of the program which w s to be set up, and where the needs of the wehrmacht and the needs of the

Civilian sector were to be adjusted and coordinated in so far as possible.

Any assignment of our own schuntific research was not given in easy sense.

When I returned to Berlin with this assignment and tried to learn about the situation as a whole, that is, to find out the competent agencies for the productions and distribution and use of this apparatus. I learned that there was no unified command. It was quite confused. Not only were the individual matters in different hands, but, for example, the Airraid Police had the right to take measures during an attack, but at the moment of all clear, their authority coased, and the measures had to be considered and continued by the aviation limistry. These things were impossible since a large number of ergenizations could be effected by the decree, which I did not know at that time. But, on the other hand, because of the rumers of Chemical Warfare, we had to take every procedules in these defense measures so that they would not become imown and thus start new rumers.

I was forced to send all my letters as top secret or as top military secret. I turned to all leading men in Sormany so that I would not get in contact with subordinate agencies who might think they had send-thing to do with it, but who actually did not. So, I did not send these letters to the Reichefuehrer SS Himmler, but to the Reicheministry of the Interior because in the Ministry of Interior were the decisive agencies of sirraid procession.

Q. Mow, did this activity, on the basis of the decree, take that much of your time?

A. The work with this program was the decisive work for me in 1944. This program developed like an avalanche. One Heich agency and one specialized group, one after the other, joined in it. There was not only the very painful development of a new gas mask for the population, but at the end we had to build airraid shelters.

gument; they were taken care of together with ventilation problems in the Navy. It was a program which affected perhaps mere people than any other program of the Ministry for Armament and War Production. I did not establish any special agencies for this work. I worked by collaborating with the competent agencies which actually carried out the work for me.

IE. SERVATIUS: Nr. Prosident, I am poning to another subject now.
THE PRESIDENT: The court will recess until 1830 hours.

# Fob 47-A-GIS-12-1-Blakley-(AK)

## CORRECTED COPY

AFTERNOON SESSION.

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 3 February 1947.)

KARL BRANDT -- Resumed

DIRECT EXAMINATION -- (Continued)

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

Q. Witness, you were speaking about the emergency situation of air-mid precautionary measures. Were there other fields where a similar emergency provailed in fields with which you were in contact?

A. As far as we are concerned with medical matters, I received an additional field of tasks in connection with the so-called Geilenberg program. This dealt with a merely industrial program for the rebuilding of a cortain works. Howaver, this robuilding played a decisive role for me. I must say at first how the medical care was handled in industry during the years of the war. When pointing out certain matters about our medical science, I said that we tried to centralize everything and bring it into a certain direction; and I said that the result, of course, was different. It was similar in our civilian medical care. The reason was that the leadership itself within the civilian care was not unified at all, although it was always cleared from political points of rigw. Industrial work was cared for medically from three different sources which not only had no connection with day agother but really quarreled with each other. At first it was the group of works physicians, an institution which was subordinated to the Gorman labor front. That is Dr. Loy, who had really nothing to do with modical matters

3 Feb 47-A-GES-18-1-Blakley-(AK)

as such but who from his own initiative and from his medical organization instituted about fifteen thousand organizations. He had desired to push aside all read medical efforts in industry. These works physicians had to care for the supervision of the work with reference to hygienic institutions, prevention of accidents, et cetera; but they had already started to dare for the actual medical treatment of the workers.

This actual medical trustment in factories was the task of the medical insurance companies, an office which was headed by Dr. Grothe, who was a collaborator of Dr. Conti, the Reich Health Leader whom Dr. Leibrandt

3 Feb 47-A-GES-12-2-Blakley-(AK)

mentioned recently. A third institution was added, the medical confidential service, which also carried out expert opinions. This was an institution of the Reich Minister of Labor; in this case Dr. Delte, and to which other social institutions were added. These three organizations generally came to the worker in industry; and thus it occurred that in a real case of emergency the jurisdiction was left unclear.

\*Now, in the year of 1944, the first air-raid against the German ball bearing works started and shortly thereafter started against water works. Since as a result the entire war potential was endangered, the previously mentioned Geilenberg decree was issued by the competent minister and the Fuehrer. In this Geilenberg decree there was a clause which concerned itself with medical care for industrial workers. I was commissioned with the task of carrying that program through. I could only do that with the assistance and support which under the circumstances had to come from the framework of civilian and perhaps also the military sector since I had no organizational institution of my own.

A justified prerequisite for such a measure was the knowledge of the necessity and the size of the need which might arise. I have already indicated that I had quarreled partly with certain party organizations; and Dr. Ley in this case belonged to that, with reference to his work, medical institutions which were based on a purely political level, and Dr. Conti, who followed the same direction. When a few days later, after this decree was signed, the Leuna works near Merseburg were attacked, I immediately went there

3 Feb-A-058-12-2-Binkley-(AK)

in order to look over the situation, I was not introduced to the works physician as soon as I arrived; but I was lod to the works manager, who told me that I would not be able to speak to the physician. He explained that, owing to a circular after the issuing of the Geilenberg decree, Dr. Ley had ordered that his works physician should not deal with me and that people commissioned by me should not speak to him and give me any information.

I then succeeded in speaking to this works physician privately. I happened to find out that under certain circumstances assistance could be

brought from other surrounding works so that there was no additional military help necessary, that is, medical offices and material. But even for that I needed an insight into the situation as D. Contiguined it in medical insurance organizations. I established connection with Dr. Grothe by telephone and asked him to come to me with material about Launa. He said that he would but did not come parsonally. He only sent a personal friend, who told me that Conti had forbidden him to speck to me. This meant that the order with which I was counissioned failed completely since I could not do anything in any matter at all.

I then spoke on the telephone to Dr. Conti, who confirmed the fact that he had probleden his subordinates
to spouk to me. Consequently, I went to the headquarters
of the Fuenrer and told him that I wanted to resign by
offices since under these circumstances I was not in a
position to perform the tasks which were put to me.

7 Feb 47-A-MAA-13-1-Cook-(AK) COURT I And how were these difficulties finally overcome? A. After very violent quarrels during the course of which Martin Bormann who was continually in the headquartors played some part, it finally came to the hithorto mentioned decrue, about the health and medical service, accord ing to which I was appointed at the same time to Reich Commissioner, which gave me the possibility to deal with an agency concerned with the medical service and to give them directions in order to be able to execute my own part. Q. E shall later come back to this first doored. You were speaking about difficulties in the civilian sector, was it similar with reference to the Wehrmacht? A. Within the Wehrmacht these difficulties were perhaps not so obvious and open but they existed in practically the same way, and above all they were supported by the different concepts which existed about the tasks of the chief of the medical service, that is the leadership, and the medical service itself. In addition the question was never closed about the collaboration between the chief of the Wuhrmacht medical service appointed in 1942, and the other medical chief belonging to the Luftwaffe and the Navy. Q. Do you remember the directive for the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service of the 7th of August, 1944, where you too received a special function? A. This official directive was a special directive approved by the Fuehrer on the basis of a decree which he had signed. The decisive thing about it was that a differentiation was made between the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, the Army Physician and the Army Medical Inspector 2341

5 Feb-47-A-Ma.-13-1-Cook-(AK)

on the other gide, who until that time were concentrated in the hands of Chief Handloser of the Army Medical Service.

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, that is Document No. 227

I don't know whether the Tribunal has a copy of this document. I have not been able to find the page in the Document. Book during the recess, but I now hear it is contained in Document Book No.1, and if the document is available I should like to hand it to the witness. (Document is handed to witness)

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

Q. Did you define this directive which you received on the basis of this

5 F0 b 47-4-MA-13-2-000K-(AK)

## decrees?

1 50

A. To indicate my own tasks I must point to the position which the new Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service received from the strength of this decree. That situation was that within the Wehrmacht the Fuehrer was the Supreme Commander. To him were subordinate, as the next executive agency, the Chief of the OK', Field Marshal Keitel. The normal channels for the Chief of the Medical Service of the Armed Forces should have been that the Chief of the Medical Service of the Navy and also of the Army should have ended at the highest place, that is, in this case, at the Fuehrer's . That

That should have been the case through the Chief of the OKh, especially through Handloser, that is to say through Handloser as Chief of thu Wahrmacht Medical Service. In actual fact, however, the Supreme Commandor of the various eroud forces circumvented the Chief of the OKW, Keitel, by taking the direct route to the Fushrer, so that quite obviously Kictel s no active organ within the Lahrmacht lezdership. Since Hitler himself was at the seme time Suprema Coun nder of the \_ray, the newly created Army Physician and Army Medical Inspector were also therewith directly subordinated to Hitler, and the Chief of the Ladical Services of the aread Forces wald remin be direussented naturally. In order to find a link for the Chief of the Medical Service of the armed Forces in this decree of the 7 August, 1944, the directive states: That he, accepting his morely technical proof, should load the medical service according to the general cutlines of the Fuchrer. These general cutlines with which we are concerned were nersures which were to be taken in secondonce with the Decree Me. 1, and which were to be adjusted to the civilian medical service. I give you two procise examples. Since there was A lock of medical equipment, especially because of the inrends of the wertime moods, the Volumetht had to put some material at the disposal of the public, and at the erms time had to keep from a certain amount of hospital space for the civilian reministration, but there are other points of view in this decree maich are pertainly important. There is the needly erected army physician, army medical inspector. He was at that time appointed on the brain of the proposal and if I resember correctly without may influence of General oberatebarrat Hondlesor, speciated by Himmler, who in the meenting had become the Supreme Commandor of the Reserve Amp, since the 20th of July. This was possible, since coinciding with the 30th of July, the Chief Adjutant of the mehrmocht with Hitler was injured, and his successor, General Scheundt, was in close connection with Mertin Bormann, and the General of the inffer-SS, Regulain, who at the same time was a limison man of Himmler to the Fuehrer. If it is of importance for the creation of that decree, I should like to point out one contenes with reference to my special view

of the decree, that is to say, the Chief of the Medical Service of the Mehrmacht, or through, so far as the special field is concerned, the Medical Service of the Mehrmacht, the organization within the frame work of the Mehrmacht. This establishes clearly that the representation is really carried out by the Medical Chief himself. If such a unified solution was established with reference to the Mehrmacht, it would necessarily have been necessary to do the same thing on the civilian side, that is to say, the creation of the office called the Chief of the Civilian Medical Service. Proparations in accordance with that were actually made for this Chief of the Civilian Medical Service, and this is semething where Mertin Bermann was interested and it was provided that the health leadership would also have to be represented in the face of foreign powers.

3 Feb 47-EHH-14-1-Gross-(AK) court I Q. Witness, these are the difficulties which lead to this so-called decree? A. Yes. Q. Who was the auccessor of Schmundt? Wasn't that General Burgdorf? Would you please correct yourself. You mede a mistake before. A. The successor of Schmundt was General Burgdorf. DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, there is obviously a mistake of translation with reference to the Geilenberg Program and to water works, hydrating works is montioned. This is something a little different than water works. These are really petrol works we are concerned with, the production of fuel from coal. THE PRESIDENT: Concerning which document is that? DR. SERVATIUS: It is not concerning a document. The witness had said that large difficulties had started in connection with the Geilenberg Program and he mentioned hydrierwerke which was translated "water works". THE PRESIDENT: You referred to the translation her 7 DR. SERVATIUS: I now come to Deorse III. It is document NO----, in Document Book I, behind the first two decrees. I hand the document to you. THE PRESIDENT: I will ask the translator if he recollects the phrase which it is contended was mistranslated. MR. RAMLER: (translator): Yes, sir, I do. THE PRESIDENT: You are confirmed that the translation really was incorrect and that counsel's suggestion is correct? MR. RAMLER: As far as I know it is correct. I thought 2345

3 Feb-EBH-14-1-Gross-(AK)

X 24.

that the word itself could be translated as these works. However, before consulting a dictionary I can't make a definite statement as to what is the correct word.

THE PRESIDENT: You will investigate the matter?

MR. RAMLER: Yes, sir. We are concerned with merely a technical expression.

Teb 47-EHB-14-2-Gross-(AK)

## BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, would you define your attitude to that decree, especially in relation to former decrees I and II?
- A. Through Decree III of the 15th of August 1944, where at the same time I was appointed the Reich Commissioner for Medical and Health Services, it can be said that the first and second decrees were not rescipded. This can be seen by the words "at the same time as well". It also says in the text "in this capacity this officer ranks as highest as Reichs authority. " That is whenever I signed in my dapacity as Commissioner for Modical and Health Services, not when I did it as Commissioner General. This justified me to take care of matters concerned with the Hedical and Health Services and issue directives. On the strength of this decree it had at least formerly become superfluous that in the case of directives from the Fuehrer I did not have to re-issue another directive, but I could do it without anything else in writing. That was important with reference to the multitude of tasks that had in the meantime arisen. A big concrete example about the collaboration between me as General commissioner and later Reich Commissioner with the Wahrmacht will explain that. I previously pointed out there the situation which at that time prevailed among our wounded. From this developed the concrete task of rebuilding of hospital bases in rear areas. A further task was collaboration with the air Force and with special Air Squadrons put at my disposal which came from the contingency of the Fuehrer Headquarters and which were necessary for the transport of wounded and the transportation of medical equipment to the front. In this manner thousands of heavily wound-

7 Fub-EBH-14-2-Gross-(AX)

1- 8

35

160

ed persons were brought back. For this purpose I needed some plan in order to judge the needed material, and for this I needed the development of Troop Special Service in the detailing of medical educational possibilities, the

7 Feb 47-A-DJG-14-1a-Gross-(AK)

commitment of transportation department of sick, of hospital trains going back to home cities, the collaboration with industry as I mentioned before with reference to the Geilenberg Decree and many other matters. The case is similar in Civilian Sectors. The difficulties which I had with Mr. Conti were just mentioned by me. Here we wore concorned to keep physicians at home as far as possible and at the same time cover the needs of the front. The creation of evacuation hospitals, of hospital cities as they became necessary, depecially toward the end of the War, which were to accept sick people from the various "gaus". Measures were necessary to take care of the heavily damaged areas of western Germany. If one tries to recall the situation as it prevailed at the time in one's memory, one knows that it was not possible to do anything by long ways of negotiation and to clarify matters it was necessary to get immedintely and make decisions and to do everything which seemed could be attained and which seemed necessary. It happened to me in Hamburg that after the severe raids there a transportation department which belonged to the Police and consisted of thirty to forty sick cars could not be used since the President of the Police could not be reached and gave no order.

- Q. From this new special task which you received one could only conclude you received new jurisdiction to carry through these tasks?
- A. The possibility of execution was to be set up. I should have said it should have been set up because it only seemed like that when the decree was issued at the end of August 1944, at the time when Germany itself was an area of

5 Feb 47-A-DJG-14-1a-Gross-(AK)

warfare.

Q. Witness, we shall come back to it. I should like to submit charts submitted by Prosecution with reference to the position which you held, your own plan, the plan signed by you, and I should like to illustrate your position using these charts to explain how far you were superior, whether you had ordere or directives, and so on. Before I submit these charts I have a few tochnical corrections to make withreference to the translation. I am being informed about the following mistake. It was said that the army Medical Inspector as of 20 of 7-44 was a pointed without randloser's influence. In the translation the opposite was said, namely, appointed by Hand-

\* Fub 47-4-DJG-14-2g-Gross-(AK)

loser. I wish to correct that. Witness, it is true, isn't it, that the Hedical Inspector of the Army was appointed without Handloser's influence? Didn't you say that?

A. Generalartz Walter; who at that time was army physician and army Medical Inspector, became ovident to Himmler since he had instituted certain hospitals and there in he saw something very special and on his own initiative, probably through Burgdorf and Fegelein, appointed Walter as Medical Chief of the Army without Handlosor, exclusive of any influence in that respect.

Q. I now submit to you those six charts which are documents coming from Document Book Volume I, at first 645. The chart is hunded "Organization under the Reich Commissioner, Karl Brandt." Those two lines, however, were struck out. Is this chart available and does the Tribunal have 117

THE PRESIDENT: Do you have the exhibit number of that charty

DR. SERVATIUS: The exhibit number I am afraid I don't know. The chart was submitted in the first document book and is NO-645. I am just hearing that it is exhibit #3.

5 Feb 47-1-MAA-15-1-Lesser-(AK)

- Q. Witness, would you define your attitude to this chart and say something about its creation?
- ... The decisive thing about this chart is that at the head, the designation "Organization of the Gorman Mudical Service under Reich Commissioner Karl Brandt" was struck out and this was then signed by me. In order to understand it further I must say something how this chart originated. In the last year during the course of 1945, I was repeatedly interrogated about my activities and position in 1945 in Kranzberg. In connection with these interrogations two charts were made by me and on these charts I illustrated the possible organization as it maybe should have been at one time. During these conversations, in order to keep everything simple, certain designations of the offices were not considered important and these two charts were then submitted to me here with the question whether I made them, which question I answered in the affirmative. I then signed these charts but with that signature I did not mean to say that whatever is contained in these charts, and there is no accompanying text to it, is correct. It could not be correct at all, since it says on the chart here on the right side, "The Chief of the Civilian Health Service, Dr. Conti. From my present testimony it can clearly be seen that there wasn't such an office but that it was the wish of the people who participated that there should have such a position. This "Chief of the Civilian Medical Service" firstly did not exist at all and there was no department in the Reich Labor Service co-ordinated, as it is indicated on this chart, so the entire combination is wrong on the civilian side as they are described in my two

7 Feb 47-A-Man-15-1-Lesser-(AK)

charts, that can only be understood if certain explanations are made.

On the left side it says "The Chief of Medical Service of the Wehrmacht." The Reichserzt-SS and Police was never subordinated to that office. At that time, during discussions, it had been mid in order to render a complete understanding of this chart possible, that in this special respect the Reichserzt-SS and Police was also subordinated to Handloser but not generally.

My department for Medical Science and Research with the name of the Chief, Professor Dr. Rostock, never had any connection, for instance, with the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute, and the arrows which can be seen here do not show that there % Feb 47-4-MAA-15-2-Lesser-(AK)

16

was no subordination of these offices to me. The Office of Science in the Reich Ministry of Education on the second chart on the laft is an office of the Reich Ministry of Education and that can very clearly be seen, and comprises, as the word science generally does, not only medical metters but also philosophy and astrology. This is similarly the case with the other offices described here.

The Military Medical Academy is an institution of the Armed Forces. The rew of positions as there indicated at the top of the chart only show offices where medical matters were worked upon or could be worked upon.

- Q. To whom was the Military Medical Academy subordinat -
- A. The Military Medical Academy was a purely armed forces institution and I am sure Generaloberstabsarzt can give more information shout this relationship.

I must now point to the Department of Planning and Economy, the leader Sickinger, Here, for instance, there are arrows pointing to the Reich Ministry of Economy or the Reich Ministry of Armament and the Four Year Plan. That, of course, does not mean that I could give any directives to the Four Year Plan, whose chief was Goering. The chart can only be used for the purpose of giving some kind of idea or picture of the entire field of the Civilian and the Wehrmacht Sector. This adjustment was my personal responsibility. In other respects the Office of Science and Research and Planning and Economy were for the purpose of adjusting the needs in these departments and bringing them up to the needs which at that time were necessary.

Q. And you described the whole thing as a working chart

7 Feb 47-A-Man-15-2-Lesser-(AK)

or a working plan?

A. The words "working plan" was not mentioned for the first time in Eransberg two years ago but in order to give this chart some kind of a heading, since "Organization of the Medical Service" was struck out, I agreed with one of these gentlemen, I think it was Herbert Mayer, to the words "working plan." It only has a meaning where the necessary explanations are given. I might read the text which I added at that time.

"My own offices were subordinated to me personally and I was responsible for them, that is, the Department for Medical Science and Research, and the Department for Medical planning and

Shonomy." Now follow the names of the medical chiefs. "For such tasks as areas from special situations to me or to my own offices, there was a power of direction of the various offices as they are described in the plan, that is, from the Office of the Reich Commissar for the execution of such tasks as far as it was not in contradiction with the other offices from which they received direct superior directives. For the execution of these mentioned tasks of my offices, I bore a personal responsibility. The tasks of the General Commissioner, the co-ordination between Wehrmacht and Civilian Sector, that is in reference to medical and health matters, continued to exist as the basical task of the Reich Commissioner. The Decree 1 of 1962 which referred to medical and health service was not rescinded through the decree for the appointment of the Reich Commissioner.

Q. Mr. President, another mistake of translation is pointed out to me with reference to Handloser. It was said that the Reichsarzt-SS Grawits in certain respects was subordinated to Handloser. Would you explain what you said, witness?

- A. I do not recall my sentence.
- Q. I shall repeat it to you.
- A. The Reichserst-SS was in no way subordinated to Generaloberstabsarst Handloser. He was completely outside his jurisdiction and competence.
  - Q. I should like you now to consult the second chart, which is ...

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, the Tribunal would like to know whether or not Defendant Brandt, the witness, and his counsel, would care to have the Defendant Brandt prepare a new chart showing his present idea as to what the true picture is, to be compared with the chart concerning which counsel has just examined the witness?

DR. SERVATIUS: I have not prepared a chart, as is suggested, but I can do that later and submit it to you.

THE PRESIDENT: With such a chart before it, the Tribural could weigh one chart against the other and probably understand the situation more clearly, together with the evidence of the witness in connection with the chart.

% Fob 47-A-MAA-15-4-Lesser-(AK)

CYCETA

DR. SERVATIUS: Yes, Your Honor, I am going to draw up a chart, as you suggest.

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

I now submit to you the chart of the Armed Forces
Medical Services which was signed by your co-defendant,
Professor Handloser. It is the Document NO-282 and it is
Exhibit No. 9. Would you define your attitude towards
this chart?

3 Feb 47- -- DJG-16-1-Meehan= (AK) COURT I

A. At the head of the chart, it is said and confirmed what is established in the official directive of August, 1944, where it says that the chief of the armed forces medical service will have to work according to the general directives of the Reichs Commissar for Medical Health Services. It does not say, however, and that it confirms in the same chapter, that the Chiof of the Medical Service of the Wohrmacht is technically in charge of all medical problems, according to the directives of the Chief of the OKW. I believe that General Oberstabserzt Hendloser wanted to indicate here with reference to his relations to me and I am sure that he will be able himself to explain the official relationship between me and him. In addition, I I am of the opinion that ar. Handloser has tried to describe matters as they actually were, especially when one points out to the note which he made himself, According to my opinion, one must fraw a clear out line between the bureaus of the army. Mavy and the buftwaffe on one side and the field of the Waffon 38, the Organization Tout and the Rolchs Labor Service, that is the affiliated associations as they are issignated. I know of the difficulties and particularly the responsibilities which Dr. Handloser experienced in order to receive medical technical ruports from these latter three organizations. With reference to the left boxes in the chart, that is Army, Navy and Air Force. I should assume that the description is correct cortainly in principle.

Q. Then, I ask you to look at Chart No. 3 and this is Document No. 418, Exhibit No. 12. Mon't you define your attitude to this chart?

A. It is a chart, which originated from Professor

7 Feb - - DJG-16-1-Meehan-(.K)

Schroeder and is an organizational chart of the Reich
Medical Research Organization. The chart looks very complicated and I am not very well versed in these relationships
of positions so I could not gain a complete picture of these
charts and various arrows where I could say they are correct.

- Q. Will you please refor to your position ?
- a. As far as my position is concerned, the designation according to my opinion is not very clear from that crossed line and technical subordination should be deduced. The decree from the Vehrmacht Medical Service of August

7th, 19AA says very electly that the technical subordination has to go to the chief of the OKW of the Armed Forces. In this chart here, some such description would have to be given. Furth record, it is not clear to me why, for instance, from my Department Economy, there is no connection to Hendloser, while there is such a line from the office of hid Research. I think that these rol tionships which were with seeind the chief of the army Medical Service have to be described, at I described them before end that everything class in this chart can be left uside.

Q Then, I ask you to lock at the next chart. It is No. 419. This is also a chart signed he the co-bearing Schroder and is for the time from January 1, 1944 enters.

a I can only my the same thing in connection with this chart as I had said before; I as not well uses a informal elect the general matters with reference to my position at the sate mentional, it many 1, 1944 as no change occurred comp red to demany 1, 1963. The only quantity near to the designment of the Reichs Countestrant to the course three-quarters of a year later and cannot be included in that plan.

3 Feb 47-4 -SW-16-1a-Meshan-(AK) COURT I Q. As the fifth chart, I should like you to look at Document No. 416. This is a chart headed "Organizational Chart of the Medical Service of the "SS" up to August "1, 1943 drawn up by the co-defendant, Professor Dr. Mrugowsky. I ask you in this case to define your attitude insofar as it concerns your position. A. There is a blank line here going from my name personally. On the basis of the decree of July 28, 1942 the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Service and this black line is explained as the channel of commind. The decree, which we discussed this morning, gives you no indication and does not justify such a description. It says that my function was the coordination between the Wehrmacht Medical Matters and Civilian Medical matters and some especial tasks and I quote the last decree of the 7th of August once more, that is a much later period so the superior office is really the Chief of the O.K.W. It can be seen from that the superior agency is the Chief of the O.K.V. That means that this black on this chart is cortainly not correct and I am sure that Dr. Mrugowsky wanted to indicate some other description. Q. How about your connection to the Reichsarzt SS Professor Grawitz? A. That, of course, is completely ridiculous. I had no connection at all to Grawitz and certainly there was no channel of command emanating from me. I think there is material to confirm that. I said this morning that after the signing of the decree the SS as such was very clearly out-in side my jurisdiction and that was ione by the Fuehrer himself in the presence of Himmler. Q. And I should now like to ask you to look at the last 2355

Tob 47-4-34-16-1a-Meehan\*(AK)

chart, No. 417, which is a second chart signed by the coisfendant Professor Dr. Mrugowsky, which deals with the period starting from September 1, 1943.

A. This chart is essentially the same as the one I looked at before. I should like to define my attitude with reference to my

R Feb 47- - SW-16-2a-Meehan-(AK)

own position. It is wrong because on September 1, 194% I neither had the order to institute a Department for Science and Research nor was the second decree that is concerned with that matter issued at that time. With reference to Handloser and Grawitz, the same relationship exists as is indicated on the chart.

Q. Will you put those charts aside now? On the basis of the third decree, you had received a special position with reference to the execution of your old task. How did these new authorities actually affect practical developments?

A At that time in the autumn of 1944 I had to take two basic difficulties into account, one was that the civilian charter was non-existent, that is decrees for the Chief of Civilian Medical Service. It was suggested by Minister Lammers and should have received similar designation, as the Chief of Medical Services of the army, but it never originated. On the other hand, the authority of Dr. Goobbels took place at the same time with reference to results for total warfer. That has as its consequence that Dr. Goebells interfered and had the power to interfere in every department. he could dispose of, and adopt measures which to him as he said at the times seemed necessary for optical reasons. One of the first messures in this respect was a min the attempt to discenting, studies at highschools, and for this reason I had a heavy quarral, a serious quarral with him. I must point out that at thet paried of time the discussion between Dr. Conti and musulf had intensified and Dr. Conti, with the support of Martin Bohrmann and his connection with the porty chancellory and Gauleiter, made difficulties in every respect. Durin such a quarrel Dr. Goobbels arrived at a formulation which I did not understand at the time, when he said that I had to be quite close that there were Martin Sormann against me, and with him the Gouletters and clas Dr. Jonti. "fterwords I found out that the real cause that Artin Bermann was a sight my appointment as heich Commissioner, and for this reason during my absence from the Puhrer Hondquarters put forth a number of

3 Fub 49-4-8W-17-14-Maloy-(AK)

arguments, which in his opinion would inci minate me. Certainly some mention was made regarding my attempts to establish connection with the Red Cross, and a few other maybe not so other important factor: were mentioned. At any rate a few days later I lost my position as Escort Physician of Adolph Hitler, what a' that time I occupied. Hitler in these days had been 111, and he was anly present in the headquarters for one day. A' this occasion I was told that he would receive medical supplies frot Professor Morroll, among which strychnine was available. . t was not quite clear to me what he meant, since Professor forrell, As personal physician since 1937 was respinsible for internal diseases and had the order not to inform me about anything which concerned the Pushrer himself. When I investigated the matter I found through a servant that Morrell actually in January of the year 1934 had ordered those tablots which contained stryohnino, but which at that time Hitler took in such an amount that he daily reached the maximum dosage. I pointed that out to Himmler, and I also spoke to Professor Morrell. I tran left and two days later I was ordered to come back again, and very shortly without any further words was dismissed from that position as Escort Physician. Wy deputy was immediately dismissed too, and in his place the Escort Physician of Himmler, whom I did not know until later was appointed as my successor. Starting from this period of time my office

was placed under control and ever increasing controls were exercised. That is something I found out because of conferences which were heard at the Fuehrer's Headquarters which I attended a few times because of official business, and I was warned of a possible interference by Martin Bormann. In October 1944 I asked all my stuff to come to mu, There were only a few people, and I clearly told them about my situation. At the same time I told them that this should not desist thom in any way from their technical work; everything which was not technical, which did not belong to their tasks should be left using, and whatever was necessary should be continued under all directorises. One has to look at the entire situation for that period of time, including the beginning of 1945, It was hardly essible to mint in a openection with one another. The posmibility of Conti, in territories where troops were, Conti's position was not very important any longer, since the army Medical Service also had to take care of civilian noods. At that time orders were given to transfer offices at that time located in Northern Germany. I had received directives from the Ministery of the Interior to transfer my office since it was not tied down to Berlin to Thuringia. This opportunity was at the end of March, and the first april days of 1945. I sent my family to the location of my office. This was used as reason for my arrest later, and I was con demnad in a very populiar , rocedure by the Gas bourt in Thuringia. The procedure was peculiar for thereason that the judges who were to participate, that was an So Phorgrupponfuebrer, and one Sa Fuebrer, had received a handwritten letter by Hitler before the procdure started, where it was said that a death sentence was to be passed on se. In this manner questions and answers were never in compliance, because my answer was always interpreted in such a manner it would had to my sentencing. It was pointed out to me I was giving directives which caused the hospital to fall into enemies! hands, a measure which was a matter of bourse, because there was no purpose in sending wounded people from western Germany to Eastern Germany and from Castern Germany to western Germany. It was pointed out I estab-

2359

Court No. I 3 Feb h7-A - 17-2b - LJG - Meloy

lished connections with Switzerland, and I think it concerned my attempt to establish contacts with the Red Cross in Geneva, in order to point out the medical difficulties in the overrun countries, -- in the overrun territories. A sort of reserve of medical supplies, which was called by us a so-called "peace reserve", such a store was interproted as a sign of depotism, and there is hardly any need to mention any one point.

3 Fub-1-GES-18-1-Nicebuck Court No. 1.

at any rate the result was that I was transferred on the same day to the Gestape Office in Prinz albrechtstrasse in Berlin after the death sentence was confirmed, and I think it is due to Speer's effort that a postponement of the execution took place. A postponement of 24 hours, since it was tried to include Professor Rostock in that procedure was, in the meantime, had gone to sourthern Germany. Rostock was to be brought to Berlin, However, they were not in a position to find Rostock at that time since the communication systematic not function quite well, since, in the meantime, the enemy had ponetrated into Emeringia and Saxony.

Ifter another few postponements of days and half-days I was transferred to a Gostape office in Schwerin at the end of April. On the 26th of April this office received a teletype from Barman from Barlin that I had to be sent to the prison in Miel, but because of the advance of the Russians this Gostape office had to have towards the west, to Floreburg, so that they could not go to Miel, which had as its course that I was subsequently liberated around the middle of May.

Q. So that was your situation, the situation under water, you received your authority as Solch Commissioner. That was the reason I put that question to you.

DR. SERVETIUS: Mr. Prosident, I am now coming to the experiments in detail and this may be an opportune adment to start a recess.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in recess for a few moments.
(Recess was taken)

THE PRESIDENT: I will now the reporter if he has a correction to make for the benefit of the record, the translator, I went.

INTERPRETER )ar. Remotion) You, your Bonor. The word mentioned earlier today, the German word, hydrierworks, anould have been translated as hydro-constion works, which is the works for the process of the refinement of gasoline.

THE PROFIDE T: Counsel my proceed. I will suggest to the reporter and the Socretary General that they were that the translation as contained in the record appears correctly.

3 .6b-A-Gca-16-2-Nanabuck-Court NO 1. Q ( Dr. Servatius). I have one more question on the 1d subject. Witness, when did you lose your position as ascert physician of the Fuehrer? Was it after you had become Muich Commisi nor or bef re? A No that was afterwards, shortly ofterwards. Q Was there any connection as far as motives are concerned? A One may assume a consection because I because "eich Consisioner against the will of Tortin B rooms and he tried everything to influence Ritler against me. he probably submitted the letter from me which he had obtained from Dr. Conti when I j incd the SS Physicians' League which I mentioned this merning, when I put down in writing that I did not want to do any active Sa or SS service. I have been told about cimilar things and arguments. Q That is on ugh. New I came to the experiments. As Dreument KB N mber 2, I submit = chart, the c rr ctars f which you will cortify-DR. SPEWARDS, Mr. Prisident, the object is not yet ready in English. I shall turn in 'n c py in Gorain. "t is primarily a chart which is understandable in itself. It show the our til a f the experiments in columns and there are red lines or camis -high show the poition of the witness in each coac. Right at the bett m his last p site o an "cich Commisince 'nd above that his position ofter the sec of decree, at that during the exhaination he will always have picture if the chr n logical relationship of an experiment with the position of the witness. Q ( By Dr. Sarvatius) Witness, you have the decument before you? A Yos. THE PRESIDENT: Just a memont. His the Fr occution a copy of this chart? M. MHARY: yes. Q (By Dr. Servitius): You prepared this chart. Is it correct as far as the entries of your p sition after the second and third decrees chren'l gically? A It is correct as for as this deer t is concerned, and I have alsentered under Number 6-B, Lest, and in 6-A, Sulf maide, when I learned If these two groups of experiments one raing the Birt and Gobhart experimonts.

3 Feb A - LJG - 18-3 - LACUCE Court No. 1

DR. SERVATUS: Then please put this chart to one side. I effor the document as Exhibit Number 2.

THE FRESTDENT: Referring todocument of June 4, 1945, this document was identified January 27 as Kerl Brandt's Exhibit 1 for identification. It has not yet been formally offered as an exhibit before the Tribunal in this case. Does the defendant desire now to offer it as an exhibit? It was morely identified. I mean this document, counsel.

on 27 denotes 1947 you workbited, as a recollect, to one of the prosecution's mitnesses an assempt from "life" minister. At that time the Court was of the man to talk again to end then be catalogued as Marl Srandt's exhibit for identification only in order to climinate confusion, that it should be offered prior to the exhibit you have in your band, as werl Brandt's Exhibit Marber 1.

DR. Sarvarius: I should like to make this a subbit 1, but it is not available at the manner. You as may I left that number open and subset this a comput as it iblt by bor. 2.

THE MESIDENT: Very woll.

to Earl Branck's Document No. 2, and I understand it is now being offered as Exhibit No. 2 for Marl Branck. I don't think we have any great objection to it soing in an an exhibit, but there is some question in my mind as to whether or not it really isn't a matter of argument rather than a document which has any probative value in an of itself. It simply purports to give the chronology of the various of parliants charged in the indictment together with the three learness which vested the Defendant, Marl Branck, with certain outhority. I don't know but that it would be better if this document not be included as an exhibit in the record but simply presented in a capture of argument.

THE PRESENT: Counsel for the Prospection may may the to a tudy this securent. It can be used today for an inction. Counsel may study it tomight and inform the Tribunal in the secure if he agrees that it is
correct or if he does now, and then Counsel may gross extrine the mitness
upon this particular dominate if he leaders to be set. The Tribunal will
delay passing on the industrially or non- destablish of the dominant
until temprose corning, but it may be used this of the formula for
the Defendant in tempiration of the victors.

of ithous, I shall a late the indictment. First we come to the Precing to which they are listed in the indictment. First we come to the Precing experiments here during the trial. We you have any part in them?

amorizants for the first time ofter I me arrested. If I recall correctly, it washest year when I was here in Euroberg. Force that I had no know-lodge of them. It is not quite elect to se in what way of Office for Science and Research could have been informed about it. It is possible that a general report was received there, Scorotair sail once in another connection that copies of reports were sent there, but I believe that the freezeing experiments were so low, ago -- I don't have the table of chronology here.

May I — that I don't believe I had that Office for Science and Research yet at that time. The freezing experiments were in May — they were already concluded in May, 1943. That was at least three months before the nominal decree according to which I was to establish an Office for Science and Research which actually went into operation only in 1940.

- Q: You want to correct yourself; you mean 1447
- A: Yes. 1 44.
- Q: How about Count C, makaria experiments? >
- A: I learned of malaria experiments only after I was arrested. I know nothing about them before. During the whole war I had something to do with malaria on two occasions quite independently of the experiments mentioned here. Once the dean of the University of Bonn, Professor Schulemann, in his capacity as a medical officer had a small malaria hospital near Bonn in which the normal treatment of refractory patients was carried on. Because of an air raid on Bonn, the continuation of this hospital and the University Institute was endangered, and he approached me on this matter. We never discussed any experiments. I never made any such request of him or he never made any such request of me. The other time when I had something to do with malaria was that Professor Rose, who was carrying out malaria treatment on insone persons, or at least had a part in the, approached me about the sheltering of these patients. He came to me because - it was wither 1943 or 144 - because in connection with the transfer evacuation of hospitals I was connectied with an agency of the Propaganda Mimistry, and he knew from the Luftwaffe through some agencies of the Air Raid Procuttion Medical Service that I was connected with it. I bld Rose at that time to go to

33

the competent agency in the Ministry of the Interior, and he did whatever was necessary. He took up the necessary negotiations. I had nothing else to do with malaria or with patients suffering from malaria.

Q: What did you have to do with lost experiments? Do you remember the diary of Sievers, the final report of Himmler — the final report of Hirth?

A: After I had received the assignment to make gas masks on the first of

N Feb 47-A-GES-19-N-Putty-(AK)

March, '44, at the end of the same month Siever called on me and on Himmler's orders, as he said, he gave me a final report of Professor, Hirth about the treatment of lost injuries. He visited me in my office in Belitz. He said nothing else. The word " hnenberbe" was not mentioned. Nothing was said about any other work of a scientific nature administered from there, and I promised him that in April, in the next month, I would visit Professor Hirth myself. Sievers could not give me any further information about it, and apparently did not have my inclination to do so wither. Then in the following month since I was in Strassbourg for another reason, I visited Professor Hirth there once. That was at the end of april, 1944. Mr. Hirth explained to me the assential points of his plans of treatment, and with the aid of a number of animal proparations, he explained what he had called eignificant in this final report. It was the question of supplying the organism with vitamins and the role of destroying poison which the liver plays in connection with lost damage. I assume that I was with him for about one hour , certainly no longer. With the aid of a number of explanations of a chemical natura which I cannot repeat altogether, the final conclusion was that it was necessary if one wents to treat lost injuries to introduce certain vitamin groups into the organism in larger quantities. In this conversation between ar. Hirth and myself the question of illegal or special human experiments was certainly not brought up, not because there was the impression that we were trying to avoid anything, but because the questions asked during my visit to Hr. Hirth were of an entirely diffferent nature from the beginning. I was there after I had read Hirth's report in order to obtain information about

N Fob 47-A-GES-19-N-Putty-(AE)

the special vitamin questions.

It was, of course, Mr. Hirth's interest to present
his special view-point; and if one considers general lost
treatment, this was not anything so special. The previous
treatment with liver oil salve had the same im. It is not
clear to me whether in the course of 1944 I had any further
connection with Mr. Hirth. It is possible that there was
some corespondence. It cannot have been important. I never
saw Hirth again. I never visited him again. I had no further
contact with him.

3 Feb 47-A-GES-19-3-Putty-(AK)

the special vitamin questions.

It was, of course, Mr. Hirth's interest to present his special view-point, and if one considers general lost treatment, this was not anything so special. The previous treatment with liver oil salve had the same in. It is not clear to me whether in the course of 1944 I had any further connection with Mr. Hirth. It is possible that there was some corespondence. It cannot have been important. I never saw Mirth again. I never visited him again. I had no further contact with him.

% Feb 47-A-DJG-20-1-Beard-(AK)

Q. Now, what about the sulfanilamide experiments.

Did you understand the question about sulfanilamide and
the treatment of wounds?

A. Shorthly after the beginning of the war and in every theater of the war the question of the sulfanilamide was discussed. At that time sulfamilamide was exactly the same thirg that a few years later, in the United States, penicillin was. Every one was interested in it, and the Professor Morrell, whom I mentioned before, had developed his sulfanilamine experiments, and the question of sulfanilamide was discussed at large. A sulfanilamide treatment in the Wehrmacht was started with the clear formula, with the aim -- I must mention the name of Professor Krueger because anybody who had anything to do with it know what it was about, and besides Professor Brack, who was a consulting surgoon at that time, should have been interested in the question from a purely scientific point of view. This was my connection with Professor Rostock and with the Chief of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces, Dr. Handloser. An attempt was made amongst the troops to obtain clear results. - clear findings about the effectiveness of Sulfanilamide. I, myself, in 1944, had a special hospital, ambulance, and so forth. I had made them available, thinking that instead of the usual type of observation, we would have to accompany the wounded with a type of hospital from the first wound at the front until final healing. The doctors should always be under one chief; that is, the treatment of the patients should be controlled from the beginning to the end, which otherwise was interrupted by transfer from one hospital to another. In all questions of sulfamilamide there was no case of the

N Feb 47-A-DJG-20-1-Beard-(AK)

Gebhardt experiments. I know that Professor Gebhardt once thought that after he finished his experiments, but before the report in the Military Medical Academy, he had informed me about this question. I believe he was mistaken when he said this, and he will probably confirm this himself, that he made a mistake. I can only say that before Gebhardt announced his experiments in the Military Medical Academy, I was definitely not informed. I did not order the sulfanilamide experiments. I did not have any other connection with them. I was informed by the report

7 Feb 47- - DJG-20-2-Board-(AK) COURT I in March 1943, in the Military Medical Academy - May 1943, in the Military Medical Academy. The nature of the report of Gebhardt did not give me the impression that the experiments, themselves, had been conducted in any criminal manner. Q. Did you object to the report? A. No, I had the impression, and Gebhardt will probably confirm this, that Gebhardt gave the description in such a way that those present at this report had to gain the impression that he had tested a limited number who were effectively condemned to death. So, I certainly did not object in any form; otherwise I would have a different memory of the report than I do. I cannot recall the actual working of the report. I remember the fact that I was there, and that I did not object. From that, I conclude that Gebhardt made his report in such a way that these who were present, who heard, the report had no reason to object in any way. Q. Persons condemned to death were mentioned. Did you have any misgivings that such death sentences were completely unobjectionable from the legal point of view? A. No, I did not have any misgivings in that form. I said before that if I received such I would have misgivings. Q. Now, I come to the experiments on transplantations and regeneration. Were you informed about them? A. I can say nothing about these experiments. I was informed of them only here in Nurnberg. I knew nothing about them before. I have nothing, whatever, to say about them. I was temporarily of the opinion that they were reported in some other form; although I was not present at the discussion but later on, that was not the case. Q. Now, you are charged with participation in the Sea 2368

7 Feb 47-A-DJG-20-3-Beard-(AK)

Water Experiments. Do you have anything to say about that?

A. I learned of the Sea Water Experiments for the first time through the Indictment. I knew nothing about them beforehand. I did not learn of them — I had no part in them, and I only knew of them after reading the Indictment. I could not, at first, realize what it was about. I assume if

5 Feb 47-A-DJG-20-7-Beard-(AX) COURT I Schaefer says in his affidavit that he thought that the higher circles of physicians knew of this problem, that it was an open secret, he probably meant the people who participated. Q. He will be able to tell us that. Now, did you have anything to do with removing poison from water? A. I had a conference in Berlin for obtaining apparatus for disposing of poison gas. It was a question of obtaining apparatus for the war against chemical agents. Apparatus for removing poison from water was discussed but no final decision was reached. I met Mr. Mrugowsky once, who was connected with such apparatus; this removing of poison from drinking water after gas attacks. Q. Then, the Indictment liet's experiments with Hepatitis. A letter from Grawitz to Himmler says that you furthered these experiments. Did you, yourself, work on this question, clinically? A. I never did any work with Hepatitis Epidemica. If so, it would have had to have been during the war, since the war this disease was of no importance in Germany (during the war). I did not deal with this question because I was too busy with other things in the first place, and in the second place such a purely internal disease is of interest to Hygienist, and was relatively uninteresting to me as a surgeon. Q. Did you issue research assignments on this subject? How about Doctor Dohmen? A. I do not know why I should have given a research assignment to Doctor Dohment Of course, the question of Hopatitis was Pt question which interested everyone. Every one encountered it everywhere in the East. But, for that 2369

5 Feb 47-A-DJG-20-3-Beard-(AK)

reason I would not have given special attention to that disease. It had no relation to other things which interested me more as a surgeof. I know the letter. I was told about it last year. I saw it here for the first time, this year. It says that I had asked Mr. Grawitz to have a Dootor Dohmen carry out special Hepatitis work. Doctor Dohmen, the letter goes on, was to obtain seven or eight prisoners for that purpose and the lives of these prisoners would be endangered. It is not clear to me in what

S Feb 47-A-DJG-20-4-Beard-(AK)

connection and for what reason, in the letter, mentioned my nome as the instigator of Hepatitis research for in all the rost of the correspondence and in all the other documents there is not even the slightest hint that I had any particular interest in this question or that I was so interested that I would have started it. Assuming that the experiments were carried out, I would never have received any report citing results. There are indications against the sense of this letter, uspecially when it says these experiments are to be carried out on persons to be condemned to death. Hepatitis Epidemica is not a disease as dangerous as all that. I have inquired meanwhile, and know that for example, compared with Malaria it is dangerous only about tenth of a fraction as Melaria. Today, I have already discussed my relationship with Himmler and with Grawitz; that was not invented; that was actually the truth. On the other hand, all the correspondence - in all the correspondence, Hepatitis, one year later, after the first letter failed to have the desired effect, Professor Schreiber is looking for a way to approach Himmler in order to have Hepatitis research work continued.

T Fob 47-A-DJG-21-1-Blakley-(AK)

Schreiber was the deputy for epidemic control in the Reich Research Council so that I may assume for a reason which is not quite clear to me that Gravitz possibly confused Sohreiber and me in the first letter. That is conceivable The letter is dated the 1st of June, 1943, a short time bufore there was the mesting of the Military Medical Academy; and probably Grawitz, who was present, talked to Schreiber as well. In any case I am not able to give any information about this question of hepatitis and certainly not about any experiments which actually took place. I have no information; I received no report; and I did not hear from any other source even now that these experiments were really conducted. It seems to me significant that the witness Schmidt who was here testified that the experiments were not conducted in Strasbourg, as Dohmen, who was to conduct them, was there for only two or three days himself.

MR. McHANEY: If it pleas: the Tribunal, I don't like to get up and object; but it seems to me that the witness should confine himself to answering questions which are put to him by his defense counsel and refrain from making arguments on the basis of the evidence which has gone in. He has now been discussing at length the testimony of various witnesses and the assumptions that he draws from them. I think that argument can be taken care of by defense counsel at the appropriate time.

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, the answers were no doubt all essential. Whether I chop these questions up in pieces or let the witness speak consecutively makes no great difference in my case. It would only take longer and would be confused. But if the Court wants me to ask him short questions. I will do so.

T Feb 47-A-DJG-21-1-Blakley-(AK)

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel had been proceeding satisfactorily with the examination of the witness. The witness has included occasionally matters of argument which might properly be made by his counsel but has not assumed much time; and the prosecution witnesses were allowed considerable latitude also. The examination may proceed in the manner in which it has been conducted herebefore.

Q. Witness, you are accused of participation is sterilization experiments.

3 Fob 47-A- DJG-21-2-Blakley-(AK)

You know the documents which the prosecution has submitted. When did you first learn of this problem?

A. I learned of this problem of sterilization after I was arrested here; and I learned for the first time only now the type of procedure. From this fact that two or three minutes of X-ray treatment was intended to sterilize I want to prove that I certainly did not know about it for that is such an unscientific conception of this biological process that one cannot imaging any doctor would be in favor of such a plan. I was connected with it by the fact that a Doctor Schumann, who was connected with an agency of Bouhler, was involved in this question. I cannot remember ever having seen or talked to this Doctor Schumann. I certainly never gave him any instructions to take up this question of sterilization; and I was not consulted, I was not asked whether he could do that or not; and the name of Dr. Glauberg, who also played a role in this, I heard only here during the trial. Concerning Dr. Schumann, whom I mentioned first, and the agency in which he worked, I must add that I never entered his office and, of course, I had no influence on the hiring of porsonnel by Bouhler's agency.

Q. We'll come back to that when we discuss outhanasia. What part did you have in the typhus experiments?

A. I just the fact that such experiments were conducted, as far as I can recall in interrogations last year; but it may have been at the end of 1945. It is asserted in the documents that my agency and Prof. Rostock were informed; but this means only that the subject but not the manner of execution was discussed if at all. Rostock was not asked for approval for the execution of these measures; but I know that in this

5 Feb 47-A-DJG-21-2-Balklay-(AK)
COURT I

experiments only what has been presented by the prosecution here in documents.

Q. Now, did you hear of the report at Hohenlichen and protest by Prof. Rose?

A. No, as far as I am informed this protest of Prof.
Rose's was not reported in the minutes of this meeting at
Hohenlichen. If typhus was dis-

\* Feb 47-A-DJG-21-\*-Blakley-(AK)
COURT I

oussed then, this was within a certain group of interested persons; and I did not participate in it.

- Q. Witness, now there are a number of points which are not directly the subject of the indictment but which have been brought up here in the course of the trial, the questions of biological warfare, polygal treatment, phlegmon, cancer research. Did you have anything to do with any of these fields?
- A. Of those which you have mentioned, phlegmon, cancer, I can answer only that I learned of them solely here through the prosecution. Also about the polygal experiments. I may say the following about the polygal experiments, that Prof. Rostock on the basis of a publication about the blood coagulation drug polygal in the Munchener Weekly approached this paper, this magazine, and asked for further information. The fact that he approached this magazine on the basis of the published article shows clearly that he had no previous knowledge; and after that he did not receive any further information about these things. I know nothing about phlegmon experiments. I know nothing about them before. If biological warfare is discused, I must explain a little. When I received the assignment on the 1st of March, 1944, about the chemical warfare apparatus. Field Marshal Keitel spoke to me and asked me whether I was informed about biological warfare. I said "No, " then he told me the following: In 1947 and apparently at the beginning of 1944, too, altogether three times on express orders from Hitler, he had passed on instructions that preparations against defense measures, against biological warfare, were forbidden as well as offensive measures; but he said it would be important if I had some knowledge of what work foreign countries were doing on

S Fob 47-A-DJG-21-S-Blakley-(AK)

biological warfare; and he said that through Generaloberstabsarzt Handloser I should go to Stabsarzt Klieve, who was
gathering the material, I obtained the permission from Handloser to talk to Elieve; and then I did talk to Klieve; and
he showed me the material which agents had brought him,
which showed that preparations for biological warfare were
being made by the United States and, above all, by Russia.

5 Fgb 47-A-DJG-21-4-Blakley-(AK)

He himself had no special laboratory or anything else. He was only registering those reports on paper. A letter was submitted here or a file note, rather, from Mr. Klieve, which is supposed to show that I had close contact with Blome concerning the question of biological warfare, This contact certainly didnet exist in this form. After I Tearned of this clear order of the Fuehrer to Keitel, I could not on my own initiative make any preparations for biologoial warfare. I could not even present counter-measures. The file note of Klieve probably means that Slome wants to tell Klieve that his institute in Posen was being generally supported by me. That is true. At the end of 1943, I think, Blome called me up, called me on the telephone. I pointed out this morning that I was concerned with construction and repair questions concerning medical matters. He asked me to use my influence to have his institute in Posen promoted from the construction angle. It was to become a general serum institute. Since I received this request by telephone, one can see from that fact alone that we cortainly did not discuss biological warfare. I wrote a letter to Speer's construction office and suggested that this office should support Blome on his plans as far as possible. Those were all the connections I had with biological warfare.

3 Fubrurry-1-0J0-22-1-Conk Curt N . 1 Q How a's ut phlogm n and cancor? A I know mathing about phloga a experimente. I learned of them only hore. As far as cancer is concerned there was never any question of experiments. I know that Blome was the man in the Reich Research Council in charge of cameur and that he had begun "of ro the war t be interested in this question of concor research. I may rediscussed with him that experiments were measury or shuld be carried at. I assume he had a intenti a except wirk which mi ht be c mineted marking white. Q N.w about the typhus acttor. I clauve there was a mistake. You soid that Profess r Mose wasin Monlychou in 19hd, resn't that in 1943 in Burlin, in the Wilstery Medic 1 Account? A You, that was a distance on my are, that is a c afusi a botwoon the mosting in Rounlychon and the other mosting. Q Now, without, will you please tell us about the general aspect of the experiments. The are award that or rigents in human bein a can be criminal. Will y u please coment upon this? A First f :11 I can say that an are dute a human beings have been e nemetod as 1 m as any scientific off ric nove boom made in medicino. T what extent they can been clearly classified as crimes and has to decide on the basis if individual cases. I do not and to go into the experiments right n w since I know if the only from the Prospection side, but to what extent bunns experients are still confected today I can show by a literary reference, a reference to somethin which eve into my hands last year. Professor Kau'ri, I believe f the University f Boston, pointed out that if one wente to also ,r cross in the concer , relian on the lutely has to conduct cortain very car ful animal experiments and then an world have to decide from the enimals. With concer no woul' have to exceens the rooms by taking a part of the liver and ther rouse and examinin, them according to special methods. Then that has been done, it will be necessary to conduct similar experients very carefully in human bein s to, that is, rea ve parts I creams, the liver, ste. I - n & men this in the sense that me e nsiders such things fr a the scientific . int f view which e con within 2375

the border line between right and wrang. One would have to conduct such experiments because from a cortain point in a in logical comparison between animals and human beings is no longer possible. We heard recently that criminals are, under cortain circumstances, used for such experiments. This is a nationed taking a chance. The original is given the opportunity of reestablishing himself by a recisi n f ir vitance and it is not measurily connected with any allowintion of his imprisonment, but these the white are mly the emsequence of il I istic toloking, and as Professor Leibrandt said, the further revenced and the were differentiated scientific wirk becomps, the word accurately must it be mine at the final objective. How fast this differentiation has advanced in the List Coars is shown by an exemple I have unbiamed, block During the first World War we had no idea there were blood groups, haraly ben years later almost every no knew memothin ab ut the four blod or ups, and to by we re-like that within the individual groups there are five differentiations, just as bloc was or inally divided into f ur bl < r.ups. Adienly in all ichly science with bhe cir of technology, one with the "i" f finer of 1 ical investigation is reveneing into the strates here in which actuall als the burns bein blowelf oun bring the final feets n, an' we know today very well that antaria experiments must in the last analysis to conducted on human bein a. The same is true of the classic typins on 'on we fever an' ther infectious 'isocses.

In the experiments which were a newtood in Germany the personality of Himmler certainly did play a role. I have that he was a rearry a teacher and he never 1 at this quality and he was tempted to take up this a foolie kinds and certy that no. He was districted 1, he let no no see his files. God-hardt will be called to testify to this too, not if one wants to escribe the "scientific fields at the which he a neuron hi self, one sees the dilettantism. He makes proclaim, he had a districtly he tried to make the line out if water and coal. He is read his way into a local matters. This morning I so he if you B chart and his cancer rose rob and on this side he had his excavations and Goodness knows what also. I assume he proceeds have

considered as insent if he had heard that in 19hb I made attempts under the most difficult conditions to obtain endeds necessary for experimental pur-. sos. I must say that the questi n of the off ctiveness of German chemical werfore was a correct in connection with the counter measures, and the other eacht tild so they had difficulty often unimals. I turned to de catcher sociation and I was told that was mit on a ht from the parallelian to makin with a but that a nkuya were muched and them I trief through all the sorlegical arrange to get the a skeps to other so that they would be available for the experients. In the samer . 19hb I 1 arned that even that was n t on w h and in contact with the 5 per ministry we have ab ut 200,000 france in Swiss currency which was and available to the it seemed the ally opertunity to it the mouseary ackeys within from Wirth Africe or Iron discritor, on the sunt a son t Sprin on how a new securit and but there and br with them by down we come, witho Inftwaffe and we had a conte in Bordonus and to k over the soult icel ordens in Dresten because that scorped the fostest to us. I cito this miv is reor to show that the prindiplo was a toget to e proof the human of rights, but that or in which c about those questions was that the aniel or est entered the fociety fact rand that human experients were a nacces all under cortain circumstunces in unit portain a leti tions. If a sporks flowin a criment et all, then in a gini me no des not o more the result of the experiments with the justificati a f r it. .. justific" x, right may lead to a acceptive result measure it was not the final than and the right thing and one must ordered no rinciple, that an exercisms must not be kept as small as p seible, but it must be as bi , as extensive as necessary, sethant the result is actually used the.

The . MSPORT: C art will recess wheel nino-thirty o'clock in the

(The Tribunal and urner until 0930, 4 February 1947)

## DORRECTED COPY

Official Transcript of the American
Military Tribunal in the Matter of the
United States of America against Earl Brandt,
et al., defendants, sitting at Eurnberg, Germany,
on 4 February 1947, 0930-1630 hours, Justice
Beals presiding.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you accreain that the defendants are all present in Court.

THE MARSHAL: If it please your Honor, all the defendants are pres-

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record that all defendants are present in the Court.

Defense Counsel may proceed.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION\_Continued

## BY IR. SERVATIUS\_Resumed

Q Witness, yesterday afternoon you were discussing your attitude toward experiments on human beings. Will you blease say when such experiments in your opinion are permissible and what the guiding principles in such cases are?

A I said yesterday that within medical scientific research in cortain diseases and under certain conditions, in order to guarantee further development experiments on human beings are absolutely essential. I said that there can be general reseases for this.

Of course, there can also be special reasons — I refer to the war—for special experiments and special work in certain directions.

It is a matter of course that before one undertakes a human experiment all possible animal experiments must be conducted first, and that the exacution of an experiment on human beings requires all medical and possible precautions.

I indicated briefly that one can not judge retroactively from the results of an experiment its justification and that, vice versa, a negative result does not mean that the experiment as such was not justified.

If one does conduct experiments, they must be kept on as small a scale as possible and, on the other hand, must be sufficiently extensive that the results are certain.

I believe that there are two basic questions which one must consider if one intends to undertake a human experiment. That is the question of the importance and the question of the unimportance. "Importance" is bynohomus with "necessary", in the interests of humanity, which one must consider as represented by individuals.

as such is not dangerous, or is as little dangerous as is humanly possible, then I consider that such an experiment is not such disputed.

It is different when I do not say that the experiment is important, the subject is voluntary and the experiment not dangerous. If I say that the experiment is not important, a human experiment, seen from the point of view of the unimportant, is in my opinion impossible. That is, perhaps, the first point where one could actually speak of a crime. If the experiment is unimportant, the subject a volunteer, and the experiment not dangerous, this is nevertheless no justification for the execution of such an experiment, because it is in the first place unimportant.

The question becomes difficult as soon as the question of the voluntary character of the experimental subject is discussed in an experiment which is recognized as important. It is that the subject does not volunteer, even if the experiment as such is not dangerous. In such a case, the words "not voluntary" must be defined, and one will come to different points of view.

Recently the question was discussed whether a prisoner can volunteer for an experiment. I do not want to take the definitely opposing view which was taken at that time, for I consider it quite possible that a prisoner may volunteer to have non-dangerous experiments performed on his person, but from the moment when there is danger—that is, danger to the life of the experimental subject through the experiment—at that moment, the physician as such can not alone decide whether or not to carry out the experiment. Here it is necessary that a superior authority give at less-t approval for such an experiment; that is, permit it.

Here the question of persons condemned to death becomes acute: whether the person condemned to death volunteers or not. I will leave that

chance, and the decision is more or less left up to him.

These experiments will also be discussed where the importance of the experiment is recognised, the subject does not volunteer, end the experiment is dangerous, or, even if the subject volunteers, where the experiment is dangerous.

It will probably be necessary to settle these questions basically, probably on an international basis; all the more because on the basis of the indications given in literature, every civilized state today — if one considers human experiments a orine—every state is guilty. I should like to say that the higher scientific research is carried, the further this development has progressed in a state, the greater would be the guilt. The purpose of an order for experiments would be given; the point of view would be established from which experiments can be conducted, and, in the third class, for the execution, of the experiment itself, the necessary methods would be established.

Q. Now, witness, will you please some back to the experiments carried out here in Germany. Would you have been able to stop such experiments?

A. It is difficult to enswer such a question bince it is more of a theoretical question, but nevertheless I do not believe that I would have been able to stop then. If I had been informed about the methods of execution in the case of the dangerous experiments, I would certaily have been told that they were persons who had been condemned to death; and in the non-dangerous experiments I would have been assured of the voluntary nature of the subject, also the importance of the experiments, which in some cases were equal to a strong dispute. All this would have been pointed out so on the whole I consider it impossible for no to have stopped or prevented the experiments as such.

THE PARSIDENT: The Tribenal understands the witness has blaced basic stress upon the importance and the non-importance of the experiments, having in mind the goal to be attained. The Tribunal would be interested in learning the attitude of the witness concerning his view, having in bind the circumstances of the occasion and his view of the importance or non-importance of the experiments coarged in this indictment to have been conducted by the defendents. Do you understand, sir?

DR. SabvallUS: Yes, sir. Nitness, you have heard what information the Tribunel wants about the experiments. You know the experiments. Please speak of the cold experiments, the freezing experiments, as you know them.

THE WITNESS: I must state that I know the experiments only from the prosecution's side and that I do not yet know the attitude of those who actually carried then out. I could possible express my views on the experiments more clearly if I were asked to do so at the end of the exemination of the actual defendants; if I must now comment on the actual experiments, I might later have to make some corrections.

DR. SERVATION Mr. President, I do not know if I understood the court correctly.

THE PRESIDENT: The point is this, Doctor. Dut un assume for the moment that the prosectulou's verson of these various experiments is correct.

Based upon that supposition, the court would be concerned in knowing the attitude of the witness about them. If an untold theory or point of view is presented by the several defendants when they take the stand on their own behalf. I am confident the Tribunal will allow this defendant to go back on the stand and express his attitude or view in consideration of the entire swidence then before the Tribunal.

## BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, you heard what the judge has said. Would you please assume that what the prosecution has presented is correct?
- A. If I speak of the cold experiments, they were conducted in the year 1942 and the occasion for these was a demend of the Luftwaffe in order to bring aid to those fliers who were in energency situations. A superior state of interest, which I mentioned before, was no doubt decisive in this case. The execution of the experiments, insofar as they concern Kr. Rascher, seem to me exaggerated for his person from a certain period of time on. I know that similar experiments in the year of 1941 were carried out in the United States with similar results, prisoners were not used but insome persons were used. The cooling was down to 25 degrees body temperature and there were six cases of doath. I assume that similar interests and conditions were decisive as were later decisive for our Luftwaffe. That these experiments themselves have certain importance is shown by the fact that the American Air Force also ennounced in the past month that the experiments in Dachau had given then an advance of several years in their own research, so the experiments in Dachau have led to a generally positive result.
- Q. Witness, about the high altitude experiments. Can you comment on them also, even though you were not a specialist? At lease please express your opinion.
- A. The high altitude experiments were possibly initiated for the same reasons. As far as the person of Dr. Rascher again is connected with them, one must assume the same thing that I just said about the cold experiments.

  I believe that from a certain point on he acted beyond the limits necessary.

  One is the importance of the experiments being carried on as small a scale as

possible.

- Q. What is your opinion about malaria experiments?
- A. They are a typical example of the fact that experiments on human beings are necessary. Research into melaris can be conducted only with human beings. I referred to Dengue fever yesterday where the situation is similar and the references, which you yourself made recently to the research in America now being conducted on one hundred prisoners, speaks for the fact that this is not of a criminal nature; that it is simply a depend in the interests of humanity and one knows about one pillion persons dying ennually in India of malris and the depend to help here is all the greater.
  - Q. Flease speak about the Lost experiments?
- A. The Lost experiments are to be considered first of all as nundangerous. They have generally been carried out ever since chemical warfare
  agents have existed. A low quantity milligram of Lost is out on the skin in
  order to examine the reaction and later new methods of treatment are developed. It is a typical example of the fact that the volunteering, the aspect
  of the volunteering mass, is decisive. I know that in the Military Medical
  academy practically every officer candidate made such a Lost experiment on
  himself. The degree of danger is virtually none. This would be an experiment which fell into the first group which I said was necessary, voluntary
  and not dangerous.
- 2. You have also heard of the sulfonanide experiments. Please speak about them.
- A. In 1941 and 1942 the question of sulfonamide was debated in the Vermacht among the physicians of the Wehrmacht and at home. It was not clear whether the use of sulfonamide administered locally on the wounds, or orally, that is, by administering sulfonamide tablets, whether this would give protection in all front hospitals. This question was debated and the decision as to whether sulfonamide was to be applied or not was at that time quite unsettled. There were individual surgeons and consulting surgeons who held the point of view that for the hospitals sulfonamide was to be rejected in caring for the wounded in operational methods and one should not put

sulformenide powder on the wounds and think that that was enough. I know that in the experiments of Professor Gebhardt and other agents possibly the death of Heydrick in Pregus played a role, perhaps as setting the time for this assignment. I said yesterday that I myself was of the opinion that this question should be solved in a different way by catablishing a sort of movable hospital which would follow the patients and thus control the effectiveness of the sulformenide.

- . Q. What is your opinion about the transplantation and regeneration experimental
- A. According to the one-eided presentation of the prosecution I cannot understand this problem completely. I do not understand the reasons for the type of experiments conducted and I wish to ask that I speak on this after Professor Gebhardt has testified himself.
  - Q. You have heard of the sea water experiments?
- A. The sea water experiments possibly fall within the framework of the two experiments mentioned where the interests of the Luftweffe were the impulse, which in the last analysis came from Guering and were executed with that impulse. Whether dangerousness played a special role I cannot say.

- Q What is your opinion of Hepatitis research?
- A Hepatitis Epidemica was a disease which effected all the Wehrmacht in the last severely. It is known that certain units lost 40% to 50% by this sickness. The disease, as such, is not dangerous; in the literature which was published only last year, 1946, it is said that special measures for prevention are not necessary because there is no danger to life. I said yesterday that the mortality figure is about one—tenth of that in the case of malaria. If experiments were conducted, and I assume that they were now, they were no doubt not dangerous and it is probable that the methods used in the investigations, such as the stomach juice investigations, or the liver functions, were not dangerours. The disease, as such, in view of the number of losses which the Wehrmacht had from this desease, was of special interest, and I assume that experiments in this connection were conducted in other countries in the same way as I assume they were conducted here.
- Q Sterilization experiments were also mentioned Schumann and Glauberg will you please comment on them?
- A The Schumann experiments seemed to me to have been useless experiments. I cannot imagine that with two or three minutes of x-ray treatment one can effect sterilization. I cannot declare myself positively in favor of the principle. There were no medical indications of why the sterilization was to be carried out.
  - Q Will you please comment on the Thphus experiments?
- A Typhus is a disease which is to be considered as much more serious than Hepatitis or Meleria. Consequently, because of the sectual loss of life through typhus the superior State interest in this disease during war time was all the greater. In order once more to give a comparison of the dangerousness of these diseases, one can assume that Typhus is 100 to 200 times as dangerous as Malaria. An example occurs to me on the question of the experiments. In the first World War a Turkish doctor, in order to study typhus and its transference from one human being to enother, infected

310 Turkish soldiers with infected blood, about 170 of them fell ill. The others had already had typhus and apparently were immune. Of the approximately 170, 65 patients died. The result at that time, it was 1916, was decisive in many respects for the medical service of the Turks and they sought an excuse, a juristic excuse, for this physician who was responsible for these deaths, by declaring him temporarily insane.

Q. Now there remain the phosphorous experiments. Will you please speak about them?

A. The dangerousness of phosphorous experiments, as such, can be judged only as in the case of the Lost experiments. I saw innumerable phosphorous burns on women and children in our cities and the experiments conducted in Eucherwald must have dealt with the same symptoms, since phosphorous came from English incendiary bombs; The wounds healed after suitable treatment by various methods. Death occurred only where there were extensive burns and where the symptoms of the patient were those as in burns in general.

DR. SERVATIUS: Does the Tribunal desire any further information on these individual experiments?

JUDGE SERRING: Assuming the Prosecution's testimony to be true concerning the charge of extermination of Jews for the purpose of completing a skeleton collection and the later extermination of tubercular Poles, will you have the defendant comment upon what military necessity existed, if any, for conducting those programs?

Q. Witness, you have heard the question of the Tribunal. Will you please comment on the tubercular Poles and the skeleton collection?

A. There was certainly no military interest in question in the skeleton collection. In the case of tuborculosis, I must assume that such things did occur. I would not consider it justified. I consider it necessary that as long as one can help a human-being and as long as there is any prospect whatever, one must help him. That one may consider transfers of tuborculosis patients from one place to another is a thought which is not infamiliar to me. When I spoke of these special hospital installations yester-

day I can add that we considered moving tuberculosis patients and concentrating them at certain points in the Allgan and several such installations, hospitals, tuberculosis hospitals, which already existed, or in the southern Black Forest near St. Blasien; but I see no justification because a person is sick or suffering, or because he can no longer work, to kill him, no matter what his nationality is or what his age is.

Heals. I understand the witness, in discussing experiments upon humanbeings, to stress the danger to life only. I would like the opinion of the witness upon such experiments which it would be reasonably anticipated or known would result in serious physical injury to the experimental subject, whether internal or external, as to whether that would not also be an element to be considered, as well as danger to life only? BY IR. SERVATIUS:

9 Will you please comment on that?

A It is a question of serious sickness and of subsequent symptoms to be considered but in my opinion a distinction must be made between whether there is actual danger to life or possible danger to life. I said before that I consider an experiment as not dangerous if it is not dangerous as far as a human being can judge. Of course I admit that there is no experiment which actually and under all circumstances is not dangerous, since even in the most simple injury there can be complications. But it is not expected. For example, if a malaria patient, who has contracted malaria through an experiment, cannot get rid of malaria and does not respond to treatment, that has to be evaluated differently then if the malaria could be treated and cured. But the conditions under which one undertakes such experiments is that one assumes that it is not dangerous.

THE PRISIDENT: The witness did not quite enewer my question. I intended to request the opinion of the witness as to whether or not the perticulty certain serious bodily injury, permanent injury, either internal or external, to the experimental subject, was not also a serious consideration

and that the only consideration is not the possible death of the subject? That is, in any experiment upon a human-being if it would reasonably be anticipated that the experiment would result not in death but in serious personal injury to the subject, either internal injury or external injury, would not that also be a serious consideration in determining whether or not any experiments should be conducted?

a Such a point of view is decisive in the selection of experimental subjects. I would consider that of about equal importance
with giving a person condemned to death an opportunity to preserve his
life under the conditions to be expected. I failed to point out
one thins — that is, that in all experiments one must make it clear
to the subject what the experiment is about and what results may be
expected. Whether the experiment is dangerous or not, this seems
to me to be a decisive factor in the question as a whole.

## BY IR. SERVATIUS:

Q Witness, how I come to another subject; you were not alone on these things, you were in a circle of officers and associates. In this way you could learn of many events and be informed of them.

Will you please explain your relationship to the group, so-called?

A I must distinguish between several groups. Of the 32 co-defendants, I met nine only here in the prison in Murnberg. Those are Buff, Romberg, Becker-Freyworg, Weltz, Sheeffer, Hoven, Riegelbock, Pokorny and Miss Oberheuser. I knew by name, but did not have any closer connection with three, that is Poppendick, Fischer and Ruldoph Brandt. With six others, Mrugowsky, Schroeder, Gebhardt, Blome, Rose and Sievers I had only brief and occasional contact, and only with Sievers did I have any contact in connection with the experiments which are the subject of the indictment. I was at a conference with Mrugowsky once on an apparatus for removing poison from drinking water, which had nothing to do with the thing under discussion here. It was a discussion in connection with an assignment to determine the application of apparatus to determine use in chemical warfare. With Schroeder, even before he was Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe, I met him once or twice in connection with the question of construction of hospitals, mince he too was interested in such matters from the Luftwaffe side. I saw Consken only once. I no longer remember exactly what year it was, I believe it was 1944 when he approached me in order to get some medical officers for the Waffen SS, whom he had asked for from the Army and not obtained. He thought in this way he could have his wish fulfilled more quickly. I did not know Gebhardt before the war. I met him the first time during the war, at the beginning of the war with Poland when the headquarters leaders and Himmler's Headquarters vere in a special train, and the trains were near each other at Gross-Beuern where the troops were on maneuvers. There was

s big troop bandaging place where Polish soldiers were being cared for, and Gebhardt and I helped there day and night to take care of the wounded, It was my first personal contact with him. I saw him several times when Eimler visited the Headquarters, but we did not really talk with each other. I was with him a longer time the first time at Hohenlychen at the meeting in 1944 in the spring. I have known Blome since 1941, I believe. I met him with Mr. Conti. There were the differences which I had mentioned between Dr. Ley, Conti, and so forth, and Blome had taken up a very definite attitude against Conti. I set him occasionally on the sems question of landership of Wehrmscht physicians, but we never discussed the things which are under discussion here. Rose visited me once in 1944, I believe. Yesterday I mentioned his desire to have the paralytics, whom he was treating with malaria, put in a hospital, and I was in contact with him a second time, I believe it was in writing though, when he asked for additional food rations for those malaria patients. I pessed on his request to the food ministry. I referred to Sievers yesterday. I am him only once, when in connection with the apparatus against gas he cave me a final report from Birt. He did not tell no about the Ahnonerbe at that time, or about other experiments which were being conducted. Then there is another group of gentlemen, Handloser, Rostock and Brack. I discussed my contact with Professor Rostock yesterday. I worked with him for 19 years. Generaloberstabserst Handloser, after 1942, the events over Visson, I came in contact with him and since that time I have had increasingly close contact with him. At the end of the war, his office as Chief of Medical Service was open in Belitz, a small suburb of Berlin, where I was also located and from the practical necessity of location we came together there. I did not discuss the experiments which are on trial here with him. The last is Brack. I set Brack in 1934 as the Adjutant of Buhle, the head of the Chancellery

of the Fushrer in Berlin. I had more closer connections with him later in 1939 or 1940, when the problem of authennesia had been brought up, and then until he went into the Wehrmacht in 1942 I saw him frequently.

- Those were the individual persons; what contact did you have with the medical societies?
- I did not belong to any sedical society until 1933, I was a member of the German Society of Survey, and in 1933 I resigned as that I had no connections with these societies.
- Q How about the Estional Socialist League of Physicians? You mentioned it once?
- A In 1933 I joined the "attendal Socialist League of Physicians.

  Before there was any meeting or discussion I was cent material and with a request to make a speech on racial questions. I refused because in the first place the material given to me seemed to me too privitive, and in the second place because I did not have adequate scientific knowledge to say anything basic on this question. I do not mean to say I would not recognize racial points of view as such and that I do not think on the whole they play an important role in the lives of nations. I did not participate in the first meetings of the Matienal Socialist League of Physicians in Bochum, at that time, and more or loss from insinces I continued to remain a member. Other organizations which might be important here, Professor Liebrard mentioned the Altrone organization the other day. I was never there. Meither Conti or Blome asked me or invited me to participate in any of the meetings.
  - & What were your relations with Captit
- A My relation with Dr. Conti was at first very uninteresting. We had no contact of any kind. From the moment when I had the task of coordinating Wehrmacht and Civilian health mattern, a tension arose between us which never coased. It was well known that I had to

be careful whenever we might get in public that it was not expressed.

Q I have a question about the meetings; were you not invited to meetings, were you not informed there about the questions, the issues?

A I took part in few meetings during the war. Until 1942 it would not have been possible, because as Escort Physician I was tied to the Ecadouarters. From 1942 on I was in Marburg, once at a celebration. I then participated in the third meeting of the consulting physicians of the Military Medical Academy, which was in 1942 in the spring. One morning I epont at the meeting of German soldiers in Drosden. In the fall of 1943 on behalf of the Fuebrer, I had to give Sauerbruch the Knights' Oross of War Merit Cross. There was a second meeting of consulting physicians of Econonlychen in 1944.

Q At this meeting at Hohenlychen did you hold the welcoming address?

A You.

A I will show you this opening speech, which is Document NO 984, which is not in any document book; which was submitted in one of the last sessions. I do not know whether the Tribunal has a copy in English.

a I must explain the history, the events leading up to this secting, and point out the reason why it was held in Hohenlychen. In the spring of 1944 it was hardly possible to hold a meeting in a German city, because of constant air raid alarms the execution of a mocting would have been impossible. For a reason which I do not know Gethardt learned of this. Gethardt learned it had not been settled where this meeting was to be held and no doubt offered Hohenlychen as the place for holding the meeting, and Generaleberstabsarst Handloser accepted the invitation.

It was possible to put up the many participants at Hohenlychen and receive then, and there was enough room to hold the individual conferences. Hohenlychen w. s. at the same time, an SS Hospital. The supreme Chief in tals c so was Finaler. I had to participate in this conference because a word was to be given on behalf of the Pushrer by each one of the representatives from the Luftwaffe, the ray, and the Mayy. In order to decide who was to alld the opening speech there, in any case, I had to distribute the decorations. Gebharit, who was the nost, asked me to hold this speech, and ne said this was in agreement with Himmler. I did not discuss this question with Simpler himself either before or after and for formal reasons I made this speech in the mame of the Brichfushrer. I pointed out the necessity of common work of the doctors, in the interest of the soldiers, and gave this decoration to Gebhardt himself, first. I emphasized the value of Mohenlychon as a place of knowledge where post-operative care was carried out. Hohonlychon had gained a certain reputation in Germany during the Tlymics. Gobbardt was in charge of the medical care of the sportenen and through the nature and method of this treatment he was a man who was known far beyond the borders of the Reich.

The t Hohenlychen was to play a special role in this direction was because in the medical Zone ther was a hue and cry for a new Hohenlychen, and it was apparently agreed to establish a new adspital in Inedenschoidt. Enhanlychen, as a sports place of treatment, in the case of Gabhardt, was a well known conflict with us.

gutzeit was the consulting need of the Mohrmacht Medical Section. And I had expressly given him the sward, because, in addition to his good work itself, Internal Medicine, which he represented, was to be honored as the text reading. Gutzeit was theonly Internalist that received this neard.

So far as surgeons were concorned, Gutzeit had already received the Enight's Gross from Gobhardt, and the third was Professor Thooniss a well known brain surgeon known in German medical circles. Herman Backmeister, at the head

of the medical Center at St. Blasien as I mentioned a while ago, received the sward in view of his work and participation in the fight against Paberculosis.

BY DR. S RV. PIUS:

Reich Research Council; you were a member of the Board of Directors of the Reich Research Council, were you not?

In 1943 I booker a member of the Roich Research Council. At that time the Reich Research Council was under the Education Minister, and by a special decree in June of 1942 they removed it from the Education Minister and it was put under Generalartz Schreiber. Scientific work was to be put on very broad basis of general science and Schreiber second the right nen to do this. The Roich Research Council consisted of a president and an administrative mechine. Then, it had a set of loadership staff, about thirty or forty branches of different types of research. There were heads of each of the specialist groups. Medicine coming under the head of Classic I Medicine was placed under Sauer Wach. There were a number of Deputy Ministers. The Deputy at the head of the Rei h Medical Control was Dr. Schreiber who was mentioned posterday. Iside from the Board, and this addinistrative mechine, the Reich Research Council, was a representative

arrangement. There were representatives of the highest Reich Authority, and from agencies of which one could assume that they had some contact with scientific work. The Plenipotentiary Minister was in it. Speer was in it. And I was also one of the members, there were over twenty. The Board did not have any right to issue instructions and could not exert any influence on the heads of the specialist group and the deputies and the Commissioners. This was not possible because the composition of this Board was not from the point of view of Profession but of Agency. And it did not in effect play any important role itself, by reason of the fact that from a military research viewpoint, the Society was fourded in July 1944. This fact was not even reported to the members of the Board, and I myself learned of it only on the basis of the document. I must point out that in April 19hh, shortly before the end of the War, I received an appointment from Goering himself. That is, as the individual Deputy over a specialist group to take up the point and establish medicine and to take charge of them. I was to be the Deputy General Surgeon within the Reich Research Council. I received the degree only a week before my medical agency was dissolved in 1944.

- Q Were you connected with the Reich Research Council through your office of Research?
- A It could only be a matter of general names which Professor Restock has for all office which director with Prof. Mensel. He had no right to issue instructions to the heads of specialist groups because they were undergoing supervision; there was no other connection. Rostock made all of the appointments himself.
  - 9 What is the Ahmenerbe Society; what was your connection with 117
- A Until I was arrested, I considered the Ahnenerbe Society.

  Organization a sort of SS Administrative Agency concerned with Research in
  connection with antiquities of some sort. I did not know any details or any
  facts of anything that was done. I never had any contact with the Ahnenerbe
  at all. The only contact I had with Mr. Sievers is that he did not inform me.

  I did not even know that he came from the Ahnenerbe.

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. President, now I come to the next count of the indictment about Euthanesia. Shall I begin now or efter the recess?

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, you may continue with the examination of the Defendant.

BY IB. SIEVATIUS:

Witness, you appear to have participated in the experiments on Euthanasia. I shall show you the decree of the 1st of December, 1939, that is Doc. 360. It is in Document Book Mo. 14. Please discuss how this decree came about.

A After the end of the Polish campaign in about October, the Fushrer was at Obersal, burg. I cannot longer clearly remember the advance but I was called to him and he told me that because of the Document, which he had received from Professor Bouhler, he wanted to carry out a solution of the Buthanesia question. He gave me general directives of how he imagined it, and the fundamental; insens persons, who were in such a condition that they could no longer take any conscious part in life.

These people were to be given relief by death. Ceneral instructions about information which he himself had received followed, and he told no to got in touch with Boohler himself about the matter. I did no by telephone on the same day, and then I informed Hitler about my talk with Boohler. Then he sent so later the decree, not in the form in which we have it here, but in a similar form, and certain changes were made. My request was that a precaution be introduced for the participation of Poctors, and I used an expression which was familiar to mo. It said that buthanasis could be carried out on persons incurably sick with probability bordering on certainty. Since this formulation was strange to him, it was added with the most critical judgment of their condition of sickness. This decree was signed about the end of October, which reeds as follows: "Reichsleiter Bouhler and Dr. Brandt, M.D., are charged with the responsibility of enlarging the authority of certain doctors to be designated by name in such a manner that persons who, according to human judgment, are incurably ill can on the most careful diagnosis of their condition of sickness, to accorded a mercy death."

2396

4 Feb. M.JP-6-1 Minabuch (MSD) Court No. I Q Did you talk to Bouhler? A I talked to Bouhler only by telephone at first and after the decree was signed I did not talk to him immediately but sent the decree to him in Berlin. Q And what was Hitler's idea of euthanasia? What did he understand by it? A The decisive thing for his was what is expressed here in the decree; that incurably ill persons - really I should have said insane, other persons were always exceptions - a mercy death could be accorded. That is a measure dictated by purely humane considerations, and nothing else could be thought under any circumstances, and nothing else was ever said to me. Q You said that the Fuehrer save you the assignment on the basis of a call from Bouhler. It could not have been a call from Bouhler alone. There sust have been other reasons. A It was not a call. It was some kind of a documentary producure which was decisive. It may be that the Fuehrer already had there documents or that Bouhler spoke to his about it. I don't know exactly. 1 11 But this was not the cause of the euthanasia problems being taken up. 112 In his book, "Mein Kampf", Hitler had referred to it in certain chapters. The law for the prevention of the birth of sick children is a proof that Hitler had concretely concerned himself with such problems earlier. The law for the prevention of the birth of children of congenital decasese followed the events. It was written because people with congenital discases existed. It is a problem which affects the whole world. Similar laws have been passed in other countries. Dr. Gehardt Wagner; who was the predecessor of Dr. Conti. at the Party rally in Murnberg discussed these questions. I did not talk to Erhardt Wagner at that time and had nothing to do with these things, but I have heard now that in 1935 Gerhardt Wagner had a film made presenting the problem of the insane. It was apparently a film made in asylume with insane persons. 2397

4 Feb. M.FF.6-1 Finebuck (MSD)

Q Witness, were there not requests received by Bouhler and the Fuehrer!

A Requests to this effect were certainly received constantly by Bouhler

366.1

H E

27

The Chancellery of the Fuebrer always received such things. I only know that these requests were passed on to the Reich Ministry of the Interior. I syself know of one request in the spring of 1939 which was sent to the Fuebrer himself through his Adjutant's office. The father of a deformed child approached the Fuebrer and asked that this creature should be killed. Hitler turned this matter over to me and told me to go to belowing immediately — it had taken place in Leipzig — to confirm the fact. It was a child who was born blind, an idiot — at least it seemed to be an idiot — and it lacked one leg and part of one arm.

THE PRESIDENT: We will have a recess for a few moments.

(A recess was taken.)

This conference took place at Zoppot near Dansig. There the question of the introduction of an Euthanasia law was discussed, and Mr. Lammers maintained the point of view that such a law would have to be worked out through the general administration. Because of the long administrative channel connected with this, Hitler apparently objected. The whole question at that time was that no result was achieved between Hitler, Lammers and Conti.

- Q. Were you at that time already informed about the matter, about the question of the legal problem with the Ministry of the Interior?
- A. I was not informed at the time, and I have only been informed about it now. I did not attend these conferences, and I have neither discussed them with Conti later on nor with Larmers about the question of Euthanasia.
  - Q. This, all these incidents took place in the year 1939?
- A. This conference near Danzig took place in the middle of September and when I referred to the Decree and its signing, this took place towards the end of October.
  - Q. Now why was this matter dealt with and started during the war?
- A. I must assume that the Puehrer was of the opinion that such a problem could, first of all, be carried out more smoothly during the war because the opposition which might have been expected from the side of the church would not play such an important part during wartime as it would in other times.

At the same time I am handing to you a document, it is a sketch composed

time being I have submitted a plan in Garman; it is not very important

top containing the names, so that you will also be able to follow the

fidavit and I want to read it. It is Dommont IB 8, with the sketch

belonging to it No. EB 15. I want to submit both of them as Exhibit No.

torn 11/9/1904 at Haren, near Aachen, at present a defendant before Mil-

itary Tribunal at Nurnberg, depose the following affidavit, after I have

2402

3. The plan will later be presented to the Tribungl in Inclinh, and I hope

it will be ready by noon. I shall now read the affidavit: "I, Victor Brack,

because I am not going to deal with the details in the plan, but only the

In connection with this sketch, the defendant Brack has given an af-

Mr. President, the plan has not been translated as yet, and for the

by the defendant, Brack.

Cerman copy.

200

27 19 12

205 1

37 - 53

\* 10 End !

21. 15

200.00

1 - 1

been informed that violation of an oath, by standing or making false statements will result in severe punishment. The plan submitted was Document NO 426, Exhibit No. 106; it is plan No. 254, which I am submitting, was at the time partially composed by my statements and partially according to the suggestions by Dr. Hochwald, who is an interpreter. It was drafted by members of the prosecution. At the time I objected that such an organizational plan had never existed, and now this only can be construed by me, and therefore it may contain incorrect statements. The Prosecution assured me of the fact that the plan had only been composed according to the best of my knowledge. In the meantime, I have discovered by looking at verious documents of the Prosecution, and I have obtained a clearer picture than I had at the time; and, therefore, I have composed a new plan about the Euthanasia Program and its organization, and it shows the relationships to the persons and offices involved in it and that they actually existed. I on submitting this sketch with the request now to submit this plan to Military Tribunal No. 1. The truth and correctness of the plan I have certified. The plan forms a vital part of this affidavit. Nurnberg, 27 January 1947. Then follows the signature of Victor Brack. And then the document concludes with the certification by Dr. Freechmenn, counsel for the defendant."

Witness, first of all will you give us your opinion as to the sketch of the Prosecution, and only as far as the top agencies were concerned, with which you were connected; that is without first going into details.

A In the plan So. 253, which has been presented, there is a line under my name which connects to the name of Bouhler, and below there is a further line in the program of buthanasia. This connecting line is incorrect so far as it has been drawn to my name. The administrative machinery for the execution of the Buthanasia program was an establishment which was exclusively subordinated to Mr. Bouhler. It had been established by him and it was administered by him. Likewise, the connect-

ing line from my name to Dr. Conti, is not correct because it did not exist at all. The upper connecting line from Bouhler to Brandt, which has been struck out in part, and which in part has been continued as a line, to Minister Brack is not correct in this form either because when anything took place as far as the administration was concerned, that is, it all connected to the Ministry of the Interior. Then this also originated with Bouhler. In my opinion, in order to explain the exetch, it would be appropriate to state that also the statement in the affidavit by Brack would have to be repeated once more because also, the other exetch in this plan which refers to the subordinating agencies are also incorrect, and I would also consider the title Euthanasia Frogram as incorrect, because it was not described or outlined from the very beginning as far as its extension was concerned.

We You may put the sketch aside now. Now, I want to deal with the sketch which the defendant, Brack, has composed. I am now handing you the plan. Have you already seen the plan?

A Yec.

Q Can you confirm, with regard to precision, that this plan has now been drawn correctly according to your opinion?

A In my opinion this plan lacks the connecting line between Bouhler and myself; and furthermore, it is correct that the red line from Bouhler to the executing agency of buthanasia is Tiergraten Strasse 4, and that from there also the connection for the Reich Commissioner for the mental institutions and to Dr. Linden is correct. I assume that if such an organizational plan as now drawn up, it is correct in principle at least.

- Q Of what agency was Bouhler in charge?
- A Bouhler was the Chief of the Chancellery of the Fuehrer,
- 4 And with what did the Chancellery of the Fuehrer deal?
- A The Chancellery of the Fuebrer, first of all, dealt with the private matters of Hitler himself; then, it was also a receiving agency for everything that arrived in the forms of requests and complaints; and

Gourt No. I

from there compensations and other assistance was granted concerning requests, and then later — during the first Bouhler was able to make certain decisions, and then later the job was taken over by Dr. Bornann.

Q What was the character of Bouhler?

A Bouhler made a mnewhat reserved impression. He was very quiet and whenever somebody met him he gained the impression that he was dealing with an honest personality. In his character, he may have been

What was the party political connections of Bouhler!

A He may have become a member of the party at a very early period of time. Hitler had known him for many years, and certainly before the time prior to 1923, and he had an unlimited faith in him and confidence. As a result of this fact he obtained knowledge about many things in his official capacity. He certainly could always act as a barometer toward Eitler as to the attitude and feeling of the population. I did not know anything more in detail about his office, but I have said that I made the acquaintance of Brack in 1934, as an Adjutant, and prior to the time of 1939, and later after 1941 or 1942, I did not have any closer contact with him.

What was the contact between Bouhler, Conti, and Lammers?

A I knew of Lactors, and that a close relationship existed there, and certainly was the same with Dr. Conti, and the Ministry of Interior. Since a lot of mail arrived in the Chancellery of the Fuehrer, it was also the task of this office to distribute the mail further to the compatent agencies.

Q Did Bouhler deal by himself, particularly with the Buthanasia problem!

A I assume he was also personally interested in this problem. Later on I also heard that he was one of its originators because he feared under the circumstances that existed in the individual districts, later on he would come to deal with this question individually, without having - without being able to cause the proper effect there in the districts. I cannot judge as to what extent this plan was dealt with. You to the question of Euthanasia. When I discussed this question in October with him, he had made the decision to carry out the question and so solve the Buthanasia problem, and he maintained the same point of

which I previously stated. He has not stated anything to me about Suthenesis, as it is described by the word, nor has he made any other requests. The words "useless eaters" never fell in my presence; and I do not think that they played any important major part in this problem because that refers to the consumption of food, and the number of patients concerned did not play any important part.

- 4 But now you must have had some personal contact with Bouhler?
- A Later on I met Bouhler; that, as far as I know, was only in November. Previously I had not spoken to Bouhler because Bouhler was in Berlin and he continued to work there by himself after he had received my letters.
- Q There was no special organization necessary in order to sarry out Buthanasia?

A Tes, a special organization was established, and it is located in the middle, and it is sketched in pink (referring to the map before bim). Shall we use the map once more? I want to assume that this representation, by dividing it into three parts, is correct. There was a Mutual Transport Company which was closely connected with the problem. Then, there was the Mutual Transport Association, which later on was connected with, and carried out the removals. Also in the Ministry of Interior there was the Reich Association for Mental Institutions which dealt with the disincels, and the evaluation of the questionnaires and so forth.

These three or four mentioned business titles were common names which were used during the time that Buthanasia was being carried out. I finally mentioned the Beich Association for Mental Institutions; that was the group which, first of all, dealt with scientific evaluation of the questionneires, the group of diagnosis, and the previously mentioned agencies which evaluated the questionnaires.

Q Where was this agency located?

A This agency was located at herrapten Strasse 4; which is

A Yes, there were physicians working at the T.4. The main diagnosticien and the chief diagnostician were there. The main official agency

Wow, what was you task, at the main office, at that address?

A The task of Bouhler was connected with that of execution. My task within the entire Buthanasia Program had already been determined by the decrees. It consisted that I, together with Bouhler, had the authority over the physicians who were participating in this program, and furthermore, we had the provisional tank toward the Fuehrer, himself. And, then, I had to maintain a certain contact with Bouhler with regard to decisions about questions which could be debated in any way.

Q What was your agency and how were you included in the administrative apparatus?

A Idd not have an office, and I was not included in the entire organizational machine. Outside of special authority which was given to me by virtue of decrees from the Fuehrer, I did not have any instructions ordirectives in any form. I was not the superior in any way toward this administrative machine,

- Q. Well, who did have this superior position?
- A Bouhler; it was Bouhler's T.4, and that was his agency.
- What was the connection with the Reich Ministry of Interior?
- A The Reich Ministry of Interior had another agency in connection with this program in the form of Doctor Linden, who was responsible in this question that is, the Reich Committee which I have mentioned before. Between the office of Linden and the executive reency of the Buthanasia L4, there was a corresponding direct channel.

1- 1

I am now submitting Document Bo. 156; I was unable to ascertain in what document book it is contained. It is a very short letter and I shall read it. I shall submit the report to you in the German text.

MR. McHANAT: The Prasecution has not been furnished with either a copy of a Cerman translation or an English translation of this document. I remember it is a document which we had some discussion about in the early stages of the trail. The document, itself, was submitted by the Proses cution to the Defense Information Center, but was not used in the trial. I have no objections to this document. This matter was not introduced. in evidence by the Prosecution, and if it is now offered it will have, of course to be an Exhibit for Karl Brandt. Furthermore, it is not certified by any one; however, I make no objections on that ground since the document was secured by the Prosecution, and we are willing to edmit its authenticity. However, I think that unless the Defense Counsel rather promptly established a more smooth working procedure for the introduction of documents, and furnishing of copies and translations for the Prosecution, we will be in a rather hopeless confusion with respect to the progress of the case. We will have to start maintaining files on the Defendants documents, and it is roing to be rather difficult to be going back constantly to be sure we have received copies of the documents.

JUDGE SERRIEG: Mr. McHaney, is it not true that some of the confusion that is beginning to arise is because of the fact that the proper administrative scency has not yet been able to translate these documents into English?

MR. McHANEY: I am not sure that I understood the question. Will you please repeat it?

JUNCE SERERING: I understood from Dr. Servatius that elthough he had been reserving exhibit numbers for some of these documents, he had not been placing them in evidence solely because of the fact that the Translation Division had not yet been able to put them into English. Is that true?

4 760 M.JP 9 3 a Beard (MSD)

MR. McHAMEY: I understood his statement to that effect.

JUDGE SIRBRIED: It may not have been exactly that, but I understood
it in that manner.

MR. McHARET: In so far as it applies to this document, it has been in the possession of the Defense Counsel for two or three months, so obviously there should be no difficulty in getting it translated. I am perfectly willing to have the document so in as an exhibit now, but I would ask that Dr. Servatius and the other Defense Counsel be advised that it would be necessary in order to proceed smoothly with the trial that the Prosecution be furnished with advance copies of these documents, both in English and in German, as the rules of the Tribunal prescribe. And, only in that way will we be in a position to make our objections at the time to the documents as offered.

THE PRISIDENT: In the interest of time, English copies of these documents should be furnished to the Prosecution, and should also be made available to the Tribunal. I do not know whether the fact that this has not been done has been due to administrative procedure or to some other reason.

IR. SERVATIUS) Mr. President, I would not like to state all of the technical difficulties which the defense has had with the translation as well as the other sections. It is very difficult to run everything smoothly and we are trying to keep these difficulties to a very small extent. With regard to this Document So. 166 I have looked through the document books because I assumed that they had already been presented as an exhibit and therefore I assumed that it had been translated because I was given this document by the presecution. I request that it be temporarily admitted and I shall then submit it as Exhibit No. \*\*.

THE PRESIDENT: That procedure will be followed. The prosecution has consented to that and it's all right with the Tribunch. What number is this exhibit given? What number as to the defendend Brandt?

DR. SARVATIUS: It will be Exhibit No. 4.

The resident: Those are two documents, Exhibit No. 4 and Exhibit No. B.

DR. SERVATIUS: They are Exhibit 4 and 4-B. I want to read the short letter that they have not translated. The letterhood is "Chief Prosecutor, Stuttgart, 15 July 1940, secret.

"To Reich Minister of Justice

"Attention of Ministerial of Dr. Stadeldann or his deputy

"In office, Berlin

"Subject: Information as to the unnetweal death of innetes of institu-

"Enclosure: One anonymous letter with envelope. The enclosure address to the Chief Prosecutor at Ravensbruck.

"I enclose the following for neknowledgment. Since recent similar rumors have come to my knowledge, I request instructions if I should initiate investigations and how for this purpose the Secret State Police and the State Police I shall find at Stuttgert."

Then follows the signature. \*By order of, signed Holshauser\*
Then comes a remark.

\*Dr. Freisler has discussed the netter with Holzhaeuser personally and secondly no action is to be taken and third the incident is to be kept to-

4 Feb 47\_M\_10\_2\_SEM\_Surns (MSD) Court No. I rether with all other matters pertaining to the subject." This letter which has been sent to me by the prosecution has the following inclosure with it: "The Chief of the Chancellory of the Fuebrer of the USDAP "Berlin, W-8, 5 June 1940 "Voustrasse 4 Wilhelmstrasse 65 Dear Dr. Guertner: "This refers to the telephone conversation of the past few days. I want to inform you of the following: by wirtue of the authority of the Fuehrer, I, as the sole person repsonsible for the execution of these tanks, have issued the necessary orders to my collaborators. In excess of this the degree of such suiding regulations does not seem necessary to me anymore." Q Then follows the signature which I consider to be that of Bouhler. Witness, will you state your opinion with respect to the to the second letter? Vill you tell us whether the statement by Bouhler about his position is correct? A The reference of Bouhler with respect to his position within the authanasia question is certainly correct. I cannot also confirm that the signature of this document is that of Bouhler. In this case he refers to his position at Tiersertenstrasse 4, from where this work was being carried out. As a parallel to that there existed another outside office of the Reich Minister of the Interior as far as I know in the Columbus house which has also been casually mentioned in this connection. Have you ever been at that office in the Columbus house? A I have never visited the office in the Columbus house and I have never visited the office at Tiergarton 4 either. Q But you did work together with Bouhler. Of what did your mutual tasks consist? A They consisted of the fact that first of all we were together with the Fuchrer to discuss foreign questions which resulted and later on the 2412

Procedure was that exetimes Bouhler alone and I by myself went to the Fuchrer and then he, Bouhler, obtained these documents again.

- Q What questions were discussed there?
- A In the beginning some very fundamental questions were discussed.

  First of all the question of the veterans. That included those in the first World War. Then some persons who had become injured in the line of work and the following were to be excluded from Euthanasia; foreigners. Thus the order only applied to German Nationals. Another exception—I cannot recall any other exceptions and by myself I could not confirm that the instructions were given to exempt Jews from the framework of Buthanasia as far as the German Nationals were concerned.
  - Q Now, what was the procedure?
- A First of all by the use of a questionnaire all of these sick persons were to be registered. This was not so much discussed and disputed as there was a secrecy in connection with the use of Euthanasia, which had been expected by the Fushrer. He was of the opinion that this entire Euthanasia program could be carried out without the public obtaining any considerable knowledge of it or without it having indefinite influence on the public. Mr. Bouhler as well as myself pointed out several times that this was improbable and that perhaps it would be most appropriate to make the Suthanasia problem public. However, this was fundamentally disapproved and Hitler claimed that the administrative apparatus

4 7eb 47-AFFC-10-Se-Burns gourt No. 1

I just contioned the questionneire and it was of courte, not seary to state that complexes of what diseases would be concerned which were to dealt with by mithanasia. In this respect the question of the disease, the, the confluct, the duration of the sickness, the reaction of the patient to methods of treatment, etc., played a major part. Administrative, technical institutions, registry officials which had been instituted in the unist rial institutions in connection with the Ministry of the Interior were also discussed.

Q We will later on refer to this question again in detail. You have heard of the question 14-7-13; In what way was this action in connection with the cuthannels program?

a The action 14.F-13 had nothing at all to do with the Suthanasia program in my opinion. I only want to correct the word "program" because it has a different meaning in the case of suthanasia. Dr. Bouhler and I had instructed cortain physicians to carry out suthanasia and flad given them the authority to do so. Then we were to see that these patients were then transferred to these institutions in a certain method. First of all they were registered by means of questionnaires. The questionnaires contained certain formulations which were to enable us to gain a picture about the condition of the patient.

The Beich Ministry of the Interior sent these questionnaires to mental institutions and other institutions which kept mental patients in order to have them filled in. At six month intervals these institutions had to send these questionnaires back to the Beich Ministry of the Interior after they had been completed and with the corresponding enclosures. These questionnaires were sent to Tiergartenstrasse 4 to this office which has previously been described, the Reich Association for Mental Institutions. There the originals of the questionnaires were filed and photostats of than were sent to three specialist physicians who were completely independent of each other. These specialist physicians then made a diagnosis on the basis of the evailable information; and they made their decision either by a negative or a positive statement towards the patient. In a case where the questionnaires were sent back to Teirgartenstracce 4 showing a three-fold positive diagnosis them they were submitted for further decisions to a chief expert who by himself now again dealt with the question,

It may perhaps be important to point out that these experts and chief experts were specialist physicians; that they were directors of large mental institutions and mursing homes; and that in part they were professors in German universities. Even if the chief experts with Dr. Dinden of the agency of the Reich Ministry of the Interior;
which, by virtue of its superior status, now instructed the mental
institutions to send and to transfer these patients to an observatory
institute. They were then transferred there with all their case histories
and documents so that this institute was able to obtain its own discress
and obtain its own picture aside from the questionnaires and documents
which had been submitted. Even if the physician in charge there expressed himself in favor of suthanssia, another order was sent to Tiergartenetrasse 4 and smother agency; and from the last mentioned agency
again as a superior office, from there the order was issued to transfer
the patient to a suthanssia institute. In the case of the transferring
of patients, the previously mentioned transport for Patients Company
participated. That was an office subordinated to Tiergartenstrasse 4.

In this suthanasia institute the doctor in charge there had to make his final dedicion. If he wanted to have the patients subjected to suthanasia or if he had any singivings on his own initiative, from the number of patients transferred approximately four to six percent were seain returned to their original institute because with the responsibility of the physician in the observatory institutes as well as in the outhanasia institutions the physician could not declare himself agreeable to suthanasia from the standpoint of the medical profession.

In my opinion the number of two to four percent shows two indications, First of all, that when the basis of the procedure was questionnaires and diagnosis, very clear diagnosis of the patients took place; and in the observatory as well as in the suthanasia institutes the physicians who were working there were able to decide by their own initiative and responsibility. With regard to this whatever we have heard here of the action 14.3-15 is in contrast; and in my opinion it does not have anything at all to do with the considerations which had been determined by the decree of the let of September 1939.

- Q Do you know the meaning of 1447-131
- A I would say I have heard the description of 14-I-13 here for the first time. Previously I have hever discovered anything at all about it; and therefore I could not have any idea. As far as I can see now on the besis of

documents, it was a file mark which originated with the Economic Administrative Office of the SS. Without knowing for certain what it really means, as far as I know it shows clearly for the first time in the ledger of the Economic Administrative Main Office, the WVHA, which called Dr. Mennecks to Berlin or to Oranienburg in order to get some more instructions and information there.

Sefore, when I was asked as to the connection of suthanasia to the concentration comps, I stated that I am of the opinion that no connection could possibly have existed because the patients who were included by us in this program under normal circumstances would not be located in a concentration camp. Dr. Monnecke has testified here that 14-F-13 had nothing to do with medical practice but that it was quite clearly a measure which can be traced back to racial, political reasons and that therefore it has quite a different origin.

Q Then how do you explain the connection that physicians who worked in authanasia matters now also make their appearance in concentration camps?

A The connection is not clear to me. Of the two names which appears here, Dr. Ebner is unknown to me. Then occasionally this Doctor Schumann has a de his appearance; and I do not have any idea about him either. I am of the opinion that this complex 14-F-13 under the circumstances may actually have been connected with what Reichsleiter Bouhler either expected or feared; and when he thought that the euthanasia proferam could arbitrarily be used by the individual district leaders for their own individual actions, in this case 14-F-13, in my opinion the decisive factor must have been Himmler because it is also shown here that he alone was entitled to decide as to the lives of prisoners, and he also always made the decisions solely by himself.

Even subsequent measures after 1941 to which I shall yet refer speak for the fact that some individual actions of district leaders did play a part in this but that in the documents pertaining to 14\_FI13 the fact becomes apparent that 14\_F-13 did not cover all the concentration

4 Feb 47.11111A-pkp Blakley (MSD)

197 200

camps. But this action only made its appearance in the Bavarian area and for the most part in Mease and Thuringia; I cannot see any connection between 14-3-13 and whatever represented outhanssis to myself.

Q Now, I have one question about the extermination camp of Lubling.
THE PRISIDENT: It will be understood that the two documents offered,

4 Feb. 47 -W-11-2A+ FKP Blakley Court No. 1

Dominant Num r 156, were munitual in evidence as the defendant Karl Brandt's Symilait 4.

DR. SERVATIUS: Yes.

THE PRESERVE. It occurs to so that it possibly might be of convenience and save time if the prosecution could propore a list of the documents which it has introduced in evidence, this coinc in chromological order and followed by the number of each document as an exhibit; and if that list were furnished to the defendants, it would be a compar tively simple matter for a defendant to ascertain whether or not a particular document was already in evidence and consequently had been translated into English and also the exhibit number of that document. That is just a so estion.

The Tribucal will now recess until 1:30 o'clock.
(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

Corrected Copy

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, & February 1947.)
THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

KARL BRANDT - Resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued).

## BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, you were speaking of Action 14F13. Now, something similar took place in the East, in Lublin. Did you have any connection with that?
- A. I heard of these events in Lublin only here. I learned that an SS Fushrer named Lubucznik played a role in it; I did not know the name Lubucznik. The other persons mentioned in that connection were not known to me either. I believe it was Doctor Eberle again, and the Doctor Schuhmann who has already been mentioned.
- Q. Aside from these big actions other things happened; for example, war wounded are supposed to have been effected. What do you know about that?
- A. In the course of 19h1, Field Marshal Keitel reported to the Fushrer once that war wounded from the first world war were being included in the Buthanasia program. He had not heard of it as an exact case but only as a rumor. The Fushrer immediately ordered that all the evidence available on the subject should be examined. I passed on the order to that effect to Bouhler and he examined the files. That was in the early summer of 'hi perhaps. It was learned that there was one clear case as follows, it was an insane person who had been drafted from the institution in 19hh. He was in the army for six or eight weeks and was released again because of his mental condition and returned to the same institution. There the case history was not started over again but the old one was continued, so that it was not clear from the documents alone that he had participated in the war. In the course of the later investigations two or three other similar cases were discovered. There was, however, no case of

4 Fob 47-4-12-2 - LJJ - Postur

which impery incurred during the wor and Le to a mintal disturbance, or Sh to disture has a normal by any event of are It was a temporary service of the patients. I believe there were four altogether, as for as I can remamber. This disture which had to cummanism later had no connection with the war service. I know not angular count that matter and the report to this effect was sent to itler.

- Q. How about those injured in vers, or these who become invited, did you have that I not that?
  - A. I haved nothing about any lies nor the bong such think was intioned.
- Q. Di you not receive reports in this communition from instit to me in Posterania?
- A. In 19th, '45, I cannot still enough a ther it was 'th or the beginning of '55, at brill intervies I have an accounty surviewed working in the conscillors an ories to the constant among and in one case in Preservation, authorisis was being an error of out in ment I institutions. I informed both a self-continued interviewed by the institution of the continue both coses in Sexony indicated the participation off the continuer. I know that the substance stopped. Souther make if not in bouch with the constant officers. I did not have that these above only limited sensors for any may outside of the protected of suthernoons in the constant officers. I did not have the these above only limited sensors for any may outside of the protect of suthernoons is it wristed up to 19hl. In other words, they were really a riously ill persons.
  - 1. Then you were led only a west I winte of view in arth arein?
- A. I was concurred only in our hy later and some directions clearly trising from the opened itself. I, and as i r as I know, couldn't have intensified this intensit in anyway, on all contrary, if anything sound questionable the rest that it as question is led us to conclude that this wishing summed was a reson titlest execution.
- Qt You see to the proceedure, is to true that a questionners form would be basis for it?

4 Feb 47-4 - 12-3 - LNG - Foster

- A. First basis was a questionnaire.
- Q. I shall show you such a questionnaire in document book 1696 PS, will you please comment on it.

(Document handed to witness.)

THE PRESIDENT: Has this document already been received in evidence, counsel?

DR. SERVATIUS: I assume so. It is in the decument book. There are several questionnaires, all with the same contents. I assume that it was offered in evidence. It is on page 209, part 2.

- A. The questionnaire indicated, gives indications for determining the disease. There are general registrations, whether the person is a twin, whether there are insane relatives, and then an indication about previous history, the course of the disease -- .
  - 4. Witness, just a minute.
  - DR. SERVATIUS: It is Exhibit 357.
  - Q. Witness, will you please continue.
- A. There is a note that in every case there are adequate indications of the mental condition they are to be added. We are getting individual diseases which are mentioned, Schizophrenia, in addition to the condition, whether it is a fresh attack or a final condition. The reaction to treatment is also asked for. And then there are similar subdivisions under feeble-mindedness, epilepsy. There is a question about the treatment itself, and it is asked when treatment was parried out, whether there was permanent success or not. Then, it is asked about primes as to be evaluated in judging the condition of the patient and it is asked about the value of the work of the patient.
  - Q. What was the purpose of this questionnaire?
- A. The purpose of the questionnairs was the indications given in it which were given by a specialist were to give a picture of the disease to another specialist who was to judge the case.
- Q. Bo you believe that this questionnaire is adequate! Don't you think that it is too superficial to form a final judgment?

A. The questionnaire alone would probably not have been sufficient for a final judgment, it was necessary for the records of the patient himself to also be consulted but this was certainly done in critical cases by the chief expert. And when the patient was transferred the observation institution had all the records and the patient himself was observed so that there were various opportunities for control.

The other documents were added to the questionnairs to help in understanding the entries. Then it was indicated whether the person was a foreigner or not.

- Q. Now, witness, the question of crimes is included here and it is asked about paragraphs 51 and 42b of the Penal Code.
- A. I have already pointed out that these questions were designed to have a standard for judging the condition of the patient himself. As it was similar to the questions of the employment of the patient, it was not intended to form any legal judgment on the basis of this information.
- Q. The questions about the patient's ability to work, was the purpose of that to remove persons incapable of working because they were incapable of working?
- A. Euthanasia was not intended merely to remove human beings.

  It was designed to free his from his sufferings. It had nothing to
  do with his ability or inability to work.
- q. Then if I understand you correctly these symptoms of mental deterioration, that he can no longer wark, or that he has been a criminal --
- A. They are to be evaluated in this connection, but they have only a partial influence on the decision. The decisive thing is the entire, the whole condition.
- Q. Witness, how was the responsibility of the doctors concerned with the questionnaire divided?
- A. Every individual doctor was responsible for what he did in the course of those measures which led to Euthanasia. Each doctor was absolutely responsible for his judgment. The chief expert was also responsible, and the doctor at the observation institution, as well as the doctor in the Euthanasia institution, were also responsible. It must not be assumed that the doctor involved in these measures would have been obliged to carry out Euthanasia if he did not agree

Court I L Feb-A-SK-13-2-Foldt-LJJ on the basis of his own decision. He had the right and the duty, if he did not approve, to refuse to carry out Euthanasia. Q. Well, what did these powers mean to the doctor? Didn't this put him in a special situation? A. First of all the doctor was given enormous responsibility. It was not only a responsibility in view of his right to decide about life and death, but was also burdened by the fact that he was responsible for the continued suffering of this human being. One must mention . this in order to make clear the extent of the responsibility. Q. Now, was the responsibility on one doctor alone, or was it divided? A. No, the responsibility belonged to each person who was concerned. I estimate there were ten, fifteen, perhaps even twenty experts who, according to instructions and directives which they had received, together with the chief expert, had carried out their activity. Q. Were these exports independent of one another? A. The experts worked completely independently of one another, and that was how it was intended from the beginning. Not the questionnaires, but photostatic copies of them were sent to the experts so that none knew what the others had decided. Q. Did you help to select the exerts? A. They were suggested by the Reich Ministry of the Interior and Bouhler assigned them their duties. Q. Did you negotiate with them? A. No. Q. Did you instruct them? A. No. Q. Was there any appeal? A. No, there was not. It was our opinion that since each judgment was independent, each successive judgment was a type of appeal, and we saw in this measure a sufficient safeguard against any excesses on 2425

failures of the system which might otherwise have occurred. And besides. because of the necessity for secrecy, no other appeal procedure was pos-

- Q. Did not the physicians involved have any legal misgivings?
- A. In practice they no doubt did not; otherwise they would not have been able to participate. If they did have legal misgivings, they were certainly dissipated by the entire nature of the procedure itself. First they were instructed about Euthanasia in the Fuehrer's chancellery by Bouhler himself. They learned that the Reich Ministry of the Interior was connected with this measure from the beginning to the end. The Ministry of the Interior had recognized the decree and acted according to it. It supplied the necessary information. It assigned the physicians. The questionnaires went through the offices of the Ministry of the Interior and later through those of the provincial governments. The institutions themselves had been supplied by the Ministry of the Interior. The Ministry retained its position superior to the institution. Registration offices had been arranged in the euthanasia institutions and the physicians knew that the Reich binistry of Justice was informed. The financing of the whole thing was clear and regulated so that the doctors certainly had no justification for having legal misgivings.
  - Q. And what do you yourself think of the question of legality?
- A. One decisive point for me, perhaps, was that the head of the State himself had given me this assignement, and I certainly could not expect that I was given such a decree for any criminal action. And at a subsequent time for me as well as for the others, it was soon that everything was done as if everything was in order, and it was in order as far as we were concerned.
- Q. How about the question of the approval of the patients? The Insane?
  - A. The question of the approval of the insane was disregarded.

The point of view was that the insane person himself is in no position to judge his situation. These were not persons with light cases, but the worst ones. For this reason since the patient lacked understanding of his own situation, there could be no question of approval. If one were to say that the patient gave his approval, that means exactly if one says he did not approve. In individual cases where this question of authanasia was discussed with mentally healthy persons, sane persons, the point of view was gained that the patient cannot decide about himself, but that the decision must be left to the doctor alone. Through momentary pain the patient may be so deceived, just as through a relative comfort he may be deceived about the severity of his disease. Here one can judge only on the basis of the diagnosis, and considering the condition and the prognosis, and only the doctor can reach the decision. It cannot be left to the patient himself.

- Q. Than what about the approval of the relatives?
- A. In the case of the insane, the consent of the relatives was not obtained for similar reasons. First of all, the question of secrecy was important. But more important from the medical point of view was the fact that the layman is not able to judge the condition of his sick relative. He lacks knowledge of the disease. From actual criminal considerations he could not give his consent. He can be deceived by uncritical pity, and actually the relatives were not considered in this respect. Another decisive point was that one cannot expect a relative to decide about the life or death of someone else. It was the opinion that the doctor, with the support of the state, has to take the responsibility.

especially in the case of insene persons. The relatives frequently are inclined to give their consent to Enthanasia. There is an indication in literature where in about 160 questions to relatives of idictic children, 140 parents can consent. Also the many appeals and approvals within the Euthanasia complex showed the attitude of the relatives toward the attent, but this is no reason for giving the responsibility to the relatives, who are un-ble to bear it.

Q What was the purpose of secrecy, was there something to be concealed?

A The secrecy was certainly demanded by the Fuebrer for political reasons; mainly an account of the internal political situation in connection with the question of propaganda, and so forth. I do not believe that inner olitics was the decisive thing; some solution could be found in that respect. Final resistance from the churches, for example, could not be obtained permanently.

The Mow, witness, I show you a letter from the head of the Mental Institution, a Fr. Schleich. It was Document No. 530, Exhibit 374, Document Hook 14, Fart 3. This is a letter to the Reich injeter of Justice, in which this head of a mental institution objects to the procedure. Flease read the letter and comment on it.

A The letter from Mr. Schleich to the Reich ! inister of Justice points out that among the member there is great uncertainty in connection with the removal and the death notices about insane persons. "Is it possible," the second aragraph says, "that such a measure is being carried out without such a law having been problaimed." And then it goes on, "In consequence of the complete secrety in which this measures are being carried out not only do the wildost rumors go about among the people (for example that also people unable to work on account of age or injuries receiving during the first world was have been done away with or are to be done away with). But also the impression, —— I shall skip a little —— as if a totally arbitrary menner prevailed at the selection of the porsons concerned."

- Q Do you consider the attitude of the suther of this letter correct?
- A I consider the attitude of the author of this letter as absolutely correct. If a physician who is in charge of an institution has no idea of what is actually going on, in my epinion it was his duty to inquire of the competent officers whether semething unjustified was not being done. From the Documents, which have been submitted here —— this is the only letter of this type —— one should really wonder that no more of such letters were handed in. The necessity for such letters arose from the awayard attempt at secrecy which was not practicable. The letter was also interesting because it refers to remore arising from the lack of clarity. I said before that in the case of the war wounded, there was senething of the same kind.
  - Q Were there any similar complaints?
- A I syself saw few complaints. I learned of others from Bishop Gahlon in Lorenter; and from Martin Bornen I learned of the letter from the Bishop of Wurm. I know, and the Documents will show, that a large number of other letters were received which were sent either to Beuhler, to the Reich Minister of the Interior through sinister Largers, or the Reich Minister of Justic. In any case, they were sent to the nearest agency. As far as I know, only the letter which I have mentioned before from Gahlan and from Yurm were referred to Mitter himself. Whether he learned of them later on, I do not know.
  - Did you hear of eny other objections, rurors or complaintal
- A I heard about complaints and objections, first, in the course of

through Dr. Boehm, who was connected with a small group of physicians who went to see Martin Bornarm, who came to bee me! He expressed his objections to the secrecy and he spoke of the rumors which I have mentioned. Then, later I was in contact for the same reasons with Pastor Bodelschwing of the Bethel Institution. I had several talks with Dr. Bodelschwing. He came to see me and I went to see him at Bethel. My contact with him was not on an administrative plane. It was our mutual need for discussing these questions. The consideration of secrecy was discussed by the two of us. The question of legality of Buthanasia on principle. We observed that there were no clear indications in the document itself, and that that could be explained by the fact that the Christian Church is opposed to these ideas. It was mentioned to a man like Luther; he was of the opinion that such deformed children should be drowned. It was said that the solution of this problem is less theological, but rather not so right, or -- the concept of pity was discussed. Talking to Paster Bodelschwing in Bethel, I visited patients with him. We were in the Children's ward and after that we discussed the individual children, not as to whether we should actually subject this particular child to Euthanasia or not, but as to whether such a creature is still a human being, whether it has any feeling itself. I recall children of eight or ten years of age, who were really nothing but a pitiful, miserable creature. Children known as "head cases" could not even sit up, with an enormous head and a tiny body. And Pastor Bodelschwing also felt it is a blessing if such pitiful creatures are (pause) - if an end is put to their lives.

We were not always of the same opinion, but it was possible for us to respect each others' views and to understand each others' views. Pastor Bodelschwing is of the opinion that if a legal regulation of this question was publically made, the decision of the state would have to be binding on all, of course. That had no connection with the fact that innerly he was most closely connected with the church, and that he saw even in the most pitiful creature, a human being.

- Q. Now, it was stopped. Execution of Euthanasia was prohibited.
  What was the reason for that?
- A. The talks which I had with Faster Bodelschwing were perhaps not the final, decisive element in this, but there were quite a few other considerations, misgivings which had arisen meanwhile from the question, and so forth. But the responsibility of the Church did play an important role in having Euthanasia stopped in the summer by the end of August, 1941.

The Fuehrer gave me orders to act on these instructions and from the Headquarters I immediately passed on the news to Dr. Boehler and he did whatever was necessary. That is, the Euthanasia institutions were in this case given the order, through the Reich Minister of the Interior, that there was to be no further Euthanasia.

- Q Could you yourself not have stopped it sooner?
- A I believe that objections or opposition from me would not have been sufficient to stop it. Far from that I approved Euthanasia in the for I have described it. The quastion at issue was the matter of secrecy.
  - Q Now, was the idea of Euthanasia given up completely?
- A No, after the end of the war Euthanasia was to be resumed, and was to be carried out further.
- Q What was the affect of this stopping of Euthanasia for the organization?
- A Aside from the fact that no more Euthanasia was carried out in the Euthanasia Institution, there was no further consequences. The questionnaires continued to be sent in at six months intervals. They continued to be registered and evaluated, but nothing also was done about them.
- 7 Now the Reich Committee for Deformed Childrens interest, was that also effected?
- A No, the task of the Reich Committee was not offected by the stoppage.
- Q Was there a definite problem in the Reich Committee as in the other Euthanasia institutos?
- A No, the procedure was not the same. The questionnaires in which certain entires were to be made about severe malformation. Schmidt had referred to these committees. These questionnaires were sent to the Reich Committee, which was subsidiary to the Reichsministry of Interior; from there they were coordinated with the necessary other documents; they were filled out by midwives, and dectors, and maternity hospitals. The purpose was that such deformed children were reported and were sent to the special institutions.
  - Q And to whom was the authorization given?
- A In concert with Euthanasia, which had been mentioned before, the authorization was connected with the individual child; there was no general authorization that tested a case. Authorization was requested and was given.

4 Feb. 47-4-ATD-15-2-Gallacher Court No. 1 How does the consent of parents as to being necessary anter? A The consent of parents was necessary. The consent had to be obtained sometimes through the official position, and sometimes through the institution which reported the case, and it was Mr. Linden's task to det these documents together. Q And what about letting these children starve to death. Now, did you learn anything about that? A Mo, I do not believe it in that form. I believed that it was the manner of malformation in the inner organism which caused complications. I never learned anything about children, or cases of children or adults where instructions being given to let these patients starve to death. The food rations given to these institutions in my opinion were exactly the same as given to the normal consumer. Since they were not scutely sick parmons, there was the idea that it was not necessary to give them special rations. . The concerns had to send food rations as to the murses, doctors, and so on. Q You said before that this procedure of the Reich Committee was not effected by the stoppage which was put to Euthanasia? A No. Q Was it continued to the end of the wart A In the year of 1944, in the second half of 1944 Mr. Bouhlor informed me that a clear execution, which were difficulties of correspondence for technical reasons, was hardly possible, and he asked us for this reason that Euthanasia be stopped here as well. In 19h2 since I was no longer tied down, I gave up my authorization. I did not learn that after-

Q If I understand you correctly, the reason for stopping the activity of the Reich Committee was the technical reason of the general postal conditions at that time?

wards, after the stop of 1944, any further Euthanasia approval was given

A Yes.

for children.

Q Did you work in this procedure until the end?

A No, in 1942, as I have just said, I gave up the authorization

which I had received since I was no longer so easily available, as would have been necessary.

Now, Witness, if you consider this whole Euthanasia . '1 s a whole, is there not something horrible about it?

A It has the appearance that it might look horrible, it can look inhuman, but in this light in the execution itself was not considered from that actual point of view alone when you consider what is behind it. The human beings who cannot help themselves, and whose tests show a life of enffering are to be given aid. This consileration is not inhusen. I never felt that it was not ethical or was not noral. I know that the external circumstances at the execution in spite of this sector of secrecy, and that went through the sector of secrecy, regrattable instances occurred repeatedly, irrespective of all andasvors to prevent them by the authorities. It has been said here that two urns were sent to one address. It was said that because of past diagnosis difficulties arose at the death, which is regrettable, but that this does not effect the principle, and in my opinion cannot shake this principle. If one discussed the problem of Euthanasia openly, and tried to form a basic understanding, a basic mutual understanding of the fact, then in my opinion a way may be found for such execution in the future. The problem on such is not new. It has always been interested, and has been discussed for centuries. A Professor Leibrandt mentioned the cath of Hippocrates. That means that part of it wideh calls upon the doctor to not to give to a patient poison even on the atient's request. This is a dogma which is the basis of our science of diagnosis today, and our knowledge of prognosis, and the knowledge of the limits of therapy, can no longer be maintained in this form. I am convinced if this Hippocrates were alive today he would formulate his oath differently. Hippocrates was not a man who did not give life its due. In Athens about the year of 430 there was an epidemic, and Hippocrates was asked for tit. He gave the simple instruction that the patients should be left alone, nothing could be done for them. He did not do that because he had any idea of the prognosis, or the

infection, for he had walls built to keep the wind out of the city; no, he did that simply from the feeling of what is health and what is sicknes. You see, the Rippocrates cath is also cited today, and it is said that patients and sufferers are not to be given any poison, and a doctor single declares and asserts such a thing, that is, either a lie, or a hypocristy. There is no doctor today who does not give a suffering patient narcotics, and tries to make the final hour of a dying person easier. One can say that is not suthanasia. In any case it is against the cath of Hippocrates. It begins by not giving the patient who is expected to die any more heart stimulants.

The next step is to give him narcotics. One may hang a copy of the Oath of Hippocrates in one's office but nobody pays any attention to it. The patient expects the doctor to help him and the relatives also expect it and I may mention in this same connection that since I have reco 'r. a newspaper that the sick person was finally released from his suffering. But these thoughts have nothing to do with the concept of demonic order which Mr. Leibrandt mentioned. It is shown by the fact that today, and perhaps at tade very moment, in other countries the question of authanasia is again being discussed. The exponents of it -- churches are joining together, Evangelical and Methodist, and the physicians' leagues are joining them. I know it is said if one knows that a doctor has something to do with euthansais then he could lose the confidence of his patient. This confidence of a patient which has once been won is not capital that one holds for interest. As I see it, the duty of the doctor is to earn it anew at every contact with his patient and the same is true of institutions. One cannot say that an institution's reputation is taken away; the institution is not there to preserve a reputation; it is there to take care of sick persons and to help them. If one speaks of institutions, one must have an idea of what that is. One hundred years ago was the beginning when the larger institutions were first opened. I remember the name of Ferell of Zurich. But, in reality, these institutions are gold cages. One may well say that life behind these walls is the most horrible, the most unfortunate for a human being that there is. In the last few weeks and months pictures have been published in magazines which show something comparable to What has been said about concentration camps and it has even been called that. The people are not for these institutions. There have always been difficulties in the financing of them, and there is no modern state where this question is not repeatedly discussed. It is said that such a thing is necessary - the state should be willing to supply this money and where positive aid can be given, where human beings can be given care of human beings, they should get it. And from the church side too, I should like to mention Luther again. It was often said that the

existence of an idiot is not as God intended it. It is felt as unnatural. If, in connection with our practice of euthanasia in 1940 and 1941, letters were received in large numbers which were quite understanding that is another factor in favor of it. I do not want to refer to the large amount of literature concerning Euthanasia itself. In many cases one copies from another and the problem is avoided. But one thing seems necessary to me - that if anybody wants to judge the question of suthanasia he must go into an insane asylum and he should stay there with the sick people for a few days. Then we can ask him two questions: the first would be whether he himself would like to live like that, and the second, whether he would ask one of his relatives to live that way -perhaps his child or his parents. The answer cannot be connected with the concept of demonic order but it will be deeply felt gratitude for his own health and the question of whether it is more humano to help such a being to find a peaceful end or to care for it further -- this answer results without being expressed. In this connection I have a reference to literature where it says about having a child with a hereditary brain disease kept alive for three and one-half years and that this creature screamed for three and one-half years. I see nothing particularly humane in this. One can say that the execution is not very ple asant and the death of a human being can be herrible, but everything in life that is biological is not pleasant - it can be horrible and unaesthetic and unpleasant. One can say that of everything from the beginning to the end of digestion, but, in the last analysis, this constitutes life and it is necessary. An operation is not pleasant but it can be useful, and the bloody act of birth is certainly not pleasant nor is the end of life, which may last for days, pleasant. What is important is how one considers these processes. They become bearable to us and grow into what they are in reality by seeing in them something enlightening. I believe if one holds this point of view, then in the consideration about cuthanasia, a poor suffering creature is included in this thought which in reality goes far beyond the limited earthly existence, that they can be included in the concept of existence altogether,

and to mention Hippocrates once more and his period when one spoke of Loges and ordering understanding, I believe that the doctor can create the necessary scientific basis for suthanasia and the theologian can work it into his work and the lawyer and the state authority can give the doctor the opportunity to help human beings, including such miserable creatures.

- Q. Now, Witness, what was Hitler's point of view? Did he ask anything unmedical of you?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Do you know whether he knew anything about the Extermination 14; F 13?
- A. I do not know whether he knew anything about that. I did not know this term earlier and I never heard it from him.
- Q. Then did he say anything to you in favor of extermination --
  - A. No, he did not.
  - Q. Do you believe that he know what was going on?
- A. I do not believe that Himmler carried out these measures without Ritler's knowledge. It is my opinion that he know about it in some form. The question is whether he gave the orders or whether he gave hints so that Himmler carried them out in this form. The relationship between Himmler and Hitler may have been similar to that between Martin Bormann and Hitler. In that connection, it was cortainly so that if Hitler made some statement in the course of conversation, Mertin Bormann passed it on as an order from the Fuehrer. If Hitler perhaps said in conversation: "A man like Paster Niemoeller can't be let out of a camp. Such people are to be treated in such and such a way", then Martin Bormann reported to Himmler that "Pastor Mismoeller is to be kept in a concentration camp for the rest of his life". It is possible that Hitler and Himmler were in a similar relationship, that - I am basing this again on Martin Bormann - Hitler did not actually give an order but that he knew that Martin Bormann would pass on a statement of his in this form and, on the other hand, that Martin Bormann passed on an order or a statement which

Court I 4 Feb-A-MAA-16-3-Karrow had the effect of an order. Q. Witness, must one not assume that both thoughts were united in Hitler's mind, medical extermination and outhanssia, and that Hitler learned of them? A. This necessarily did not exist. Aside from the fact that I knew nothing about it, it was one of Hitler's characteristics -- that events and plans and projects were kept so secret that actually only two people learned about them. I am thinking of Frince Philip of Hesse with whom he talked at the Fuehrer Headquarters. He had supper with him once and after supper he went out of the room and was arrested at the door. I am thinking of the beginning of the campaign in France. We left Berlin by train the night before toward Hamburg. Everything was arranged to go from there by ship to Norway. Even the officers of his entourage were not informed that there would be a war with France. At night near Lehrte on the way to Hamburg, the train turned and went toward the west where the fighting had already begun. It was so with many measures. Then there was no reason that more men -- the very spallest circle of people -- would know about such measures. I can say that of the circles around Hitler one person did not discuss his tasks and assignments with others unless the Fuebrer himself felt it necessary or spoke about it. Q. Then you learned nothing through Adolph Hitler about the extermination matter? A. No. Q. As you consider the whole thing do you feel today that you are incriminated by enthanasia in any way? A. No. I do not feel that I am incriminated. I am convinced that what I did in this connection, I can bear the responsibility for it before my conscience. I was notivated by absolutely humane feelings. I never had any other belief than that these poor miserable creatures, that the painful lives of these creatures were to be shortened. The only thing that I regret in this connection is that external circumstances brought it about that pain was inflicted on the relatives. But 2139

I am convinced that these relatives have overcome this sorrow today and that they themselves feel that their dead relatives were freed from suffering.

q. Mr. President, I have no further questions to put to the wit-

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal was informed at noon that the defendant Oberheuser desired to be excused at three o'clock for reasons of health.

Does her counsel know whether that information is correct?

DR. SEIDL (for Oberhouser): I heard nothing about that.

THE PRESIDENT: If for reasons of her health, the defendant Oberheuser desires to be excused at three o'clock from forther attendance of the afternoon session of court, she may be so excused. Counsel may obtain information whether such absence on account of her health is desired.

If it is necessary, she may be excused. The Tribunal will now have a short recess.

Court I L Feb-A-FJC-18-1- Haynes-LJG THE HARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session. May it please Your Honors, the defendant Gerheuser has taken the permission that was granted prior to the recess and is now absent from the Court. THE PRESIDENT: Let the record show that the defendant Oberlouser is absent with the permission of the Tribunal on account of illness. Does any of the Defense Counsel desire to cross examine the witnessdefendant Karl Brandt? EXAMINATION BY DR. MELTE (Counsel for defendant Handloser); Q. Witness, during your examination of yesterday, you cited the decree of the 28 July 1942. This is decument NO-080, in document book Number 1, on the strength of which you were appointed Commissioner General for Health Matters and Generaloberstabarst Handloser was appointed to the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, and Dr. Conti received new authority. Did you participate in the origination of this decree? A. I explained yesterday that the decree resulted from the incidents which took place in the East during the winter campuign of 1941-1902. Q. Witness, I do not desire any repetition. I still remember that, and the Tribunal remembers it too with reference to what happened during the winter months of 1941 and why it was the cause of these measures. I am mainly interested with reference to the wording of the decree, where I am sure that you participated and which you got to know as it was originated. What conclusions can be drawn from the wording with reference to the interpretation of the authority of the individual participants? In my case, I am interested in the Defendant, Professor Handloser. That is the reason I asked you whether you participated in the origination of this decree. A. I have seen this decree being composed, and I know the circumstances which led to it and individual sections. I know the difficulties with regard to competence which played a major part in this, but I have 2441

not taken part in its formulation.

Q. For purposes of interpretation, it is more important that one experienced it. In a document which was not an evidential document but which was submitted to the Tribunal by General Taylor and which was basic information about the German Medical System, the Prosecution has stated that on the basis of a decree of 1942, Hitler appointed the defendant, Handloser, as Chief of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces. In this capacity, Handloser co-ordinated and supervised the activities of the Medical Services of all three branches of the Armed Forces, as well as the Waffen-SS. In this manner, he became supreme Health leader in the military field.

I am now asking you if that is a correct interpretation of the decree, the origination of which you experienced and the wording of which you know.

decree. The decree stated that the newly appointed chief of the armed Forces Medical Service wanted a uniform control and work on the common tasks. But surely no superior relationship conditions existed with regard to the chief of the Mehrmacht Medical Service with the chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe, of the Navy, and of the Waffen-SS. It was likewise true with the units of the labor service, especially the difference with regard to the competence which prevented that if it had not been there, otherwise a corresponding relationship with a

A. No, no military relationship with regard to issuing orders existed with the Wehrmacht chief of Medical Service toward the Navy and Luftwaffe, and after all, the text is different from the formulation.

Q. Words like "atcoring", "leading" are sometimes misleading, and you, yourself, have said that the purpose was to coordinate all of the tasks which applied to the Wehrmacht. Now, I want to ask you how that was actually achieved. How was the inclusion of this new office actually carried out in effect. Was any assistance necessary from all sides or how was it in reality?

A. In reality, for the time being, it did not have any effect whatsoever as far as the chief of the Wehrmacht was concerned. I pointed out yesterday that Keitel was circumvented by the chiefs of the Luftwaffs and the Navy and so on. As a result of this the same thing applied to the leadership of the Medical Services because the official status of the chief of the Johrmacht was dependent on the chief of the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht. It also would have been the normal way to have a direct way to go to the centrally located chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, and the further way to go to the directing agency. In order to represent common interest with regard to the fulfillment of the tasks, it is always difficult to define. It can also be explained and it was not mutual as far as sedicine,

the end of the war. In any case, it could not have a decisive effect any more.

Q. Would it not have been of importance in that regard, that
this very minimum of a staff, as you described it, was newly extended
and had to be extended?

4 February---DJG-19-3-Beerd Court No. 1 A It falls within the same frame work which I have just hinted. During that period of time such a measure of time was not possible any more. I personally experienced the development of that office beside my own office, and I know exactly the difficulties which arose at the time which made the fulfillment of their task impossible. Q At whit period of time was your office, Science and Research, practically put into affect? A Prom approximately the middle of February 1944. Q Do you know whether General oberstabsarst Handloser belonged to the Roich Research Counsel? A I know that he was not a mumber of it. Q You know Generalstabsarzt Schriber; do you not? You mentioned that he belonged to the Reich Research Counsel as a Phenipotentiary for combating epidemics. was Schrieber in that capacity, subordinated to Generaloberstabserst Hondloser? A He was subordinate to Handloser. I said this morning that the members of the operation staff of the Reich Research Counsel were subordinated to Goering personally, and thus they could not be subordinated to anybody else. Q Do you know that General stabsarzt Schrieber exercised a double function. And I am putting the question to you for the reason - because I want to know whether Schriber had to report to Handloser in his capacity as Sanitery Inspector? A I do not think so, I don't think he had to do that, and I don't think he did it. Q Do you think he was allowed to do so? A In my opinion, he would have then had to obtain the permission of Cooring. Q Very well, did you ever discuss experiments on human beings as they were carried out in concentration camps with Generaloberstabsarzt Handloser? A No, I have not even hinted at them. Q Professor Leibrendt at one time mentioned Doctor Bernhardt as an -2445-

4 February-A-DJG-19-4-Beard Court Mc. 1 Oberfeldarst of the Armed Froces, and in order to clarify this point, I want to ask you what control did Dr. B rnhardt exercise, what was he in relation to Handloser, and to the chief of the armed Medical Service? A As far as I know Doctor Bernherdt, as far as the Lehrmacht was concorned, belonged to the Air Division at Muenster. He was a member of the Luftwaffe. He was from there assigned to a certain tesk or he was classified as essential. At least he was working in the agency of Doctor Conti in the Reich Ministry of Interior, and there took over the mental functions. I have never heard anything about it. Q Sop that in this case, there was no connection with the chief of the Medical Service? A No. DR. NELTE: Thank you. I have no further questions. BY DR. PRIBILLA: Q Witness, Brandt, you know the defendant Professor mostock, and have known him for some time? A Yes. Q Were you active on the same clinic as he was? Q And you went with him to Berlin, and you were then the Oberstabsarzt in that clinic, actually exercising that position until the beginning of the war, yes? A I did not exercise that position practically during the war, although I was at the clinic and continued my work there. Q But, even before the war, you were already escort physician of the Fuehrer, and your duties were performed at the clinic in so far as your activities as escort physician to the Fuehrer permitted? A Yes, that is from the beginning of the war in 1939. Q The situation changed in as much as you were more or less permanently outside and only on occasions visited the clinic? A Yes. Q During that time from 1939 to 1943, did you frequently see Professor hostock? -211164 February-A+DJG-19-5-Beard Court No. 1 A No, I met him on occasions. During the first part of the war he was always continually outside of Berlin, so that little personal contact between us existed. Q Whenever you did met him, and that is from the time of 1939 to 1943, did you then inform him about your activities as escort physician to the Fuebrer? A No, I did not inform kin about any details. Q Did you inform him about your other activities, for instance, in connection with the Bithanesia program? A No. The only subject of which I informed him was in the preliminary work which was connected with the sick transport and other latter hospital facilities. Q For what reason did you not inform him about your authority and activities which you exercised? A That was in the fundamental part of the orders which I received, which I was not even able to state to Rostock in detail. It was necessary for me to keep this thing to myself and to only inform those who were immediately effected by them. That was a directive which we always strictly complied with, and which applied to all of those who were in the close circle around the Fuehrer. Q If I understand you correctly, there was a concrete order to that effect, namely, to keep everything secret? A Yes, secrecy by all means, yes. And, you personally felt, yourself, obligated to carry out that order, even toward your acquaintances? A Yes, neturally. Q Now, through a decree of the 17 of august 1942, and that is Document 080, Exhibit No. 5, did you recieve certain coordination tanks on the economic field between the civilian sector on one side and the military agencies on the other. You know the decree? A That is a decree which also simultaneously appointed me General Commissioner, yes. Q And, that was in August 1942? -2447L Yeb. 47 A-20-1-PKP- Lesser gourt No. 1 Q. In order to exercise these tasks did you institute a new office? A. Not in the beginning. At the beginning I exercised this activity from the Fushrar's Headquarters and only had a mail connection with the Reich Chancellery, but than I did not have any further office. Q. With reference to this activity which started on the basis of the decree of 1942, did Rostock take over any official activity for you? A. No. Q. And then in September 1943 the second decree was issued, and that is Document 081, Exhibit No. 6. On the strength of this decree the co-ordination tasks were extended and you were given the task of co-ordinating the various interests, that is, in the field of health services, and, as it states in the decree, you were to steer these matters according to directives or by way of directives. How could that have been understood, judging from your knowledge at that time? A. I myself received curtain directives and I had been given the authority by virtue of the same decree to appoint deputies, with reference to Rostock, in the course of the subsequent time, on the occasion of the second decree, he took over the office for Science and Research again by virtue of the directive which I gave to him. Q. You already previously stated a number of tasks which were taken over on the basis of this decree. I shall not revert to that once more. Later, in connection with the office of Rostock it will again be clarified. But I went to ask you very concretely whether, on the basis of this decree, there was any directive from which could be seen that you had to deal with research, that is, concrete research essignments or research fields? A. No, these were not concrete fields but in the beginning we were dealing with fundamental questions of the higher schools, which Rostock was to handle. That was the maintenance and continuance with regard to students as well as with regard to teachers. Of these tasks there is perhaps one which I could mass now --collaboration with the Office for Planning and Economy, which dealt with matters and saw that these supplies were set according to medical viewpoints. It was a fixed task for Rostock which arose from the office of Planning and Economy. -2448-

- Q. Mitness, if I understand you correctly, I can conclude from your memor that you were concerned with general questions but were not concerned with any concrute assignment of tasks in the field of research?
  - A. No, there were no concrete tasks in the field of research.
- Q. You already mentioned, witness, that you chose Rostock because he was selectist and you gave him the task in order to maintain scientific levels in Gormany and you mentioned the differences with other arencies which you experienced. How did it came about that you managed to choose Professor Rostock as your collaborator? Was there any political intention, any tactical intention, in connection with that?
- A. I selected hostock because in my official position I wanted to have men to represent my two office groups who could cortify to the outside that this was a medical office. I initially selected Rostock because he was a professor at the University and because I knew him; any political considerations did not play any part whatspever. If they had played a part I would probably not have selected Rostock.
- Q. Witness, my question was not quite answered. When I asked you whether you hid any political reasons, then I could also have meant that you either wanted to choose a specially active representative of National Socialism or that you wanted the contrary.

were from astablishments which until then had occupied themselves with these problems. There were certain offices of the Speer Ministry and there were individual men from the proving grounds, there were responsible people from

air raid precaution system, etc.

15 - 5 Q

Q. You at least informed Rostock about thest tasks which you kept for yourself?

A. I did not bother him with them because Rostock had so much to do with the clinic that I was glad when I could devote all my time to these other tesks. He did not receive any further information from me.

Q. Now if your were informed about one of these fields, and I am, for instance, thinking about the information given to you by Dr. Sievers about the results of experiments of Professor Hirt with reference to Lost damages, didn't you then inform Dr. Rostock about this susstion either?

A. No. I did not inform Rostock about that.

that Professor Krueger was the consulting surgeon with the Army and Navy

A Professor Krueger was consultant physician with the Group South and Group Center in the East. I have at one time seen him in the vicinity of Posms and I had also visited him later on. He was problematical personality and from the very beginning he had decided himself for a certain Sulfamilamide drug at a period of time when the effectiveness of Sulfamilamide for prevention of injuries did not seem secure. For this reason, he was combatted in his opinions because it was believed that the younger medical officer depends too such on the effectiveness of Sulfamilamide and as a result of this might neglect the necessary treatment of the wounds,

Q Professor Krueger was then the representative of the groups, which attached a special importance to Sulfamilamide when combatting wound infections contrary to other groups, which were of another opinion?

A In contrast to others, yes, At the time, the opinions were still completely experimental and the effectiveness of Sulfanilumide had not as yet been determined.

Q Yesterday, you spoke about the difficult situation of the German troops in Russia during the winters of 1961 and 1962; will you agree with me when I say that in view of the experiences of that winter the question of the effect of Sulfanilamide was a military, medical problem of the first degree?

4 February-A-DJG-22-1-Ninabuck Court No. 1 BY DR. FRITZ: (Defense Counsel for Defendant Rose) Q Witness, did you know the defendant Rose before the 8th of May 1945, that is , before the collapse. A Yes, I spoke to him once. I met him once, yes. Q Did you ever have any other official connections with the defendant Rose as are mentioned in his affidavit of September 1946 which is Document 872, Exhibit 4087 A I only had two dealings with hose, and since I don't know what document you are speaking of I think that we are there concerned with malaria treatment of peralytical questions, and then again there were nourishment questions concerning the same persons. I think these are questions where I dealt with Professor Rose, Q Did you at any time speak to him about any points as were mentioned by the prosecution before May 1945? A No. Q Did you have any correspondence with him or did you deal with him in any other form directly or indirectly A No, neither directly or indirectly, nor by way of writing. 2 Did you over see the defendant Rose as a participant in a discussion where the points were dicsussed which are here under indictment or where reports were made on those points? A In order to answer this question, one would have to assume a common participation in such meetings, but I cannot remember any one occasion where I saw him or spoke to him. I said this morning what meetings I attended. If Professor Rose also attended these ceetings it is certainly possible that I saw him, but certainly we did not establish any contact or speak to one another. Q Witness, you were just speaking about meetings. I meant conferences, discussions. Moetings usually mean to describe larger congresses. A I had no discussionns wh tacever where Rose was present or where I met him. I cannot remember that. DR. FRITZ: Thank You. Mr. President, I have no further questions. -2456-

-2457-

ter. This morning during your examination you repeatedly spoke about differences which you yourself had with the Reich physicians' leader, Dr. Conti, and you also spoke of differences which the defendant Dr. Blome had with the same Dr. Conti. Br. Blome was the deputy of Dr. Conti, and I am interested to know whether you can give us any more information as to what kind of differences existed between Dr. Blome and Dr. Conti, and to what these differences referred?

A Without going into details, I would like to describe them as follows:
Whenever physicians are respresented as a community Dr. Blome was of the
opinion that only professional reasons must be decisive for the manner in
which they are represented, but that it would not be correct to involve a
physician in political questions and put him into a political harness,
thinking that one could further medicine by that.

In this connection whenever I got into contact with Dr. Blome I had many discussions with him. At these occasions it was clearly mentioned that in addition to the personality of Conti himself, which brought difficulties of its own with it, these mentioned material reasons were decisive.

Q In this connection, Dr. Brandt, I should like to put the following question to you: During these conversations which were carried on by Dr. Blome with you, did he say anything about wanting, under all circumstances, to take out physicians from Farty matters and that for this reason he desired to separate the union of personnel which existed between the Reich Chamber of Physicians on one side, and the Main Department for Public Health on the other and he wanted to do that for the reason that this so-called public health office was an express Party institution?

A The situation was as you described it, yes, exactly. Even in December 1944 we discussed this question. I think it was even after the decree which was mentioned this morning, the decree number 2 where the Army Medical Chief was separated.

Q Dr. Brandt, do you remember anything about the following: With reference to these conversations which you had with Dr. Blome did he complain to you that Dr. Conti, the Reich physicians' leader, kept many things away from him, Dr. Blome, so that Dr. Blome was not informed about important matters in the Reich Chamber of Physicians and matters which concerned the leadership of the medical profession? My question is whether he told you that or whether he complained to you about it?

A He did that and the personality of Conti was always mentioned, who was a distrusting person, a difficult person to cope with, who concentrated everything to himself personally, everything that happened in his office, so that not one collaborator of his could receive any insight in the cotivity which he himself exercised.

Q Witness, one further question. Do you know that this Dr. Conti, spart from other offices, had two main positions? Which were these positions?

A These were the two positions. One was a Party position, Reich Health Leader, and the other, Under Secretary of State of the Ministry of the Interior.

Q That is Reich Health Losder; is thet identical with the Deputy Reich Physicians' Leader:7 A The position was that, as far as personnel goos, he was the physicians leader, and at the same time, Reich Health Leader. In addition, in the state sector he was Under Secretary of State of the Ministry of the Interior. Dr. Blose was his representative in his capacity as physician's leader; that is, morely partly a representative of Contile personality, not on the state sector.

Q The latter is very important to me. On the basis of your knowledge of questions of competence, you said that Contd in his capacity as State Secretary was not represented by Dr. Conti?

- A No.
- Q For that he had other collaborators?
- A Yes, for that he had officials of the Ministry of the Interior who represented him.

Q In that connection, Dr. Brandt, I want to put the following questions to you: This morning you told us what position you yourself held within the authannels program. You naturally know the jurisdiction and tasks of the participating agencies and persons. Did the defendant Dr. Blome exercise any function in the authanasis program?

Was he in any way participating in it?

A I did not meet him at all, and I heard nothing about that.

q Witness, I am putting this question to you for the following reason. You remember that on the wall behind you there used to be a chart which was made according to the statements of the defendant Brack, and on this chart which I think you had, there is in the center a square for the State Secretary Conti in the Reich Ministry of the Interior, and you, I am sure, will remember that to the right of this square there was a smaller square which said Dr. Blome. You remember that, don't you? You already explained that Dr. Blome had nothing to do with the suthamasia program and did not represent Dr. Conti when he noted in his capacity as Secretary of State. Now, is it your opinion that this chart which was made according to the statements of the de-

L Febr. LT-A-ATD-22-La-Minabuck Court No. 1

fundant Brack, is wrong in respect to the square where Dr. Flome's name is mentioned?

A This square with reference to Dr. Blome is wrong, and Dr. Brack In his new chart already corrected that!

DR. SAUTER: In that case I have no further questions, Mr. President.
Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it afticipated that there will be any further cross examination by any of the defense counsel tomorrow morning?

DR. FROESCHMAN: (Counsel for defendant Brack) Mr. President, I have a number of questions which I want to put to the witness, Dr. Brandt, which will take some time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Secretary General, here is another paper that balongs in your custody.

The Tribunal will now recess until 9:30 tomorrow -

No. McHANEY: If it please the Tribunal, I would like to ask that the Tribunal rule that the witness, Karl Brandt, be confined so that he may not be talked to by any of the defense counsel, including his own defense counsel, until he has completed his examination on the stand.

DR. SERVATIUS: I don't know whether I understood correctly. I certainly shall be able to speak to my client, or is that to be excluded?

MR. McHANET: I think he has now taken the stand and is to be treated as any other witness, and I think it is proper that in the case of any ordinary witness appearing before this Tribunal that he not be talked to by either side during the course of his examination once that examination has begun.

JUDGE SEERING: Mr. McBaney, does the Tribunal understand from your statements that during the course of prosecution when the witness had once taken the stand, that throughout the course of the examination no member of the prosecution or its representatives attempted to discuss any matter with him at any time during the course of the examination?

MR. McHANEY: Well, I think that has been uniformly true, your Honor. However, I can't speak for everyone. However, in view of the Tribunal's position, or rather, the question just put, I will ask that when Karl Brandt sees under cross examination tomorrow, that his defense counsel not be per-

mitted to then confer with him; at least, I would like a ruling to that effect

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. Fresident, I would be in complete agreement with this ruling. As soon as the witness is at the disposal of the prosecution I will not speak to him. However, before cross examination has started I rust have the possibility to speak to my client.

THE FRESIDENT: The Tribunal is of the opinion that under the circumstances at this present time counsel for defendant Karl Brandt may speak to him until the defendant is turned over to the prosecution for cross examination, and after that time the witness should be under the rule to not talk to engone until his cross examination by the prosecution is completed. I understand that counsel for the defendant, Karl Brandt, is in accordance with that principle?

DR. SERVATIUS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: The same principle will be followed with the other defendants when they take the stand.

The Tribunal is of the opinion, and I think the Tribunal's ruling was clear, that defendant Karl Brandt's own counsel and no other counsel for any other defendant or anybody else, should consult with the defendant Karl Brandt during the course of this night. That's understood.

The Tribunal will now recess until 9:30 o'clock temorrow morning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 5 February 1947, at 0930 hours.)

## XURRECTED COPT

Official transcript of the American Military Tribural in the matter of the United States of America, against Kerl Brandt, et al, deferdants, s.tting at Muernberg, Corman, on 5 February 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the Court Room will please find their seate.

The Honorable, We Judges of Military ibural I.

Military Tribural I is now in seasion. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribural.

There will be order in the Court,

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascartain that the defendants are all present in Court.

THE MARSHAL: May it please your Honors, all defendants are present in the Court Room.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the fact that the defendants are all present in Court.

KARL BRAMET - Resumed

MOTTAKETAKE

BY DR. FROESCHILLING

Q Witness, restorder in the last part of your discussion of the Euthanasia program - I would like to address some questions to you in that connection. Do you know that in August, 1939, an oral order had already preceded the written decree by Hitler to Bouhler?

A. No, I do not know anything about that. However, I compider it possible because otherwise this concrete order to Bouhler would not have been given. I assume that perhaps Bouhler may have had a certain contact about the preparations which were taking place between Dr. Conth and Larriers, which, at the time, were immediately discussed at Darrig in the middle of September.

Q Mesterday you have already spoken of exceptions — the persons who were not ellowed to fall under the euthanesia program — and in this connection you have already mentioned the war invalids. Have I understood you correctly that those war invalids were to be excepted from the suthanasia whose mental disease was in direct connection with an injury during the World War I or World War II?

A Yes.

Q Do you know anything about the fact the the defendant Brack, together with his collaborators, drufted the law?

A I have only found cut about it at a later period of time. At the time I did not know anything at all about it,

Q It should be of interest for the Tribural to know the number of insane in Germany in 1939. Mould you be able to give some information about that subject to the Tribunal?

A I cannot give the exact musber for the year of 1939 to you. In general we have no idea about the number of mentally diseased in the country. The ranber which I know had probably been determined in the year 193h, but I cannot ony that with cortainty. The main contingent concerns people suffering from paralysis in all the phases of the Massase. In this connection, of course, there were not only the sick persons who had to be confined to an institution but altogether a number of patients who were subjected to general medical trantment. The number as estimated at approximately one million. The next biggest number of patients was that of schizophrenia which was located around six hundred thousand and that was out of a population of about eighty million. This achizophrenia, at the same time, represents the montal contingent for the mental institutions and that was approximately two hundred thousand. for the number of beds available, that means 70% to 80%. Of these cases of schizophrenia, approximately 20% could be cured. The remainder continued to be patients in mental institut dons. The same number of approximately two hundred forty thousand patients is the number for spileptics and for the mardo-depressive patients.

The statistics for the Huntington Disease stands at about 60% against the others. However, altogether, the number of mentally insane and idiots was approximated at one million. Altogether there may have been three million mental cases.

Q How many, in your opinion, of these cases were confined to institutions?

A Of the three million, the ranher of two hundred fifty thousand occupied beds in institutions. That is, about aim hundred thousand were under constant medical care and one part of them, approximately two hundred fifty thousand, were confined in hospitals while the others were treated by a private physician.

Q Do you have an insight into the fact of now carry people were included in the enthancels program described by you yesterday in the years 1939, 1940, 1941, until the end?

A The number was computed from our statistics, one thousand —

10 to 5 to 1, in which count is the number of persons who are healthy;

ten of these are under medical care, five are under constant treatment
in institutions, and one comes under the authorasia program. That

means that amongst one thousand healthy people there is one such case.

That again is figured from the population of sixty million — that is,

approximately sixty thousand.

- Q However you had no access to exact statistics?
- A As far as I know no statistics have been compiled.
- Q Now, one other question.

Who gave orders within the suthamesia program? Did you give them or did Bouler give them there with respect to the physicians?

A Yesterday, I stated that the T-4 was an office of Bouhler.
As far as I know the physicians were suggested on the part of the
Ministry of the Interior. In the course of that time I attended about
four conferences which took place at the office of Bouhler where the

expert and three other experts also attended. The remaining con-

5 Feb h7-M-5M-1-3-Karrow (IL) Court No. 1

ferences which took place elsewhere were carried out without my participation.

5 Feb- EBH-2-2-Lesser (IL) Court No. 1

Q You have stated th t the decision about the diagnoses as to their incursbility was in he hands of experts who worked independently of each other on the individual cases, is that correct? 5 Feb-EBH-2-3-Les er (1.) Court No. 1 A Yes. far as their diagnoses were concerned? in an off mial position.

Now : n your opinion ware these exports now, in a cartain sense, State Organs? I want to repeat the question: Were the physicians whose task it was to make diagnoses, through the fact that they had been given the authorit; were they now in a certain sense organs of the State as

A W .th regard to the decree they have been just in that capacity,

Q They did not have an or "icial position but with regard to the decree they have had that capa it. Atness, with the specialized physidans is it possible to determ us such cases of i, neurable mental diseasee with absolute cortainty?

A Within the franchers of the general mean a comince with your, that possibility exists.

Q with the imufficiency of humn imenied; e can a mintake occur in the person of even a physician with much ox, wi encay

A A mistake is, of course, possible.

Q New, does not now a deputy of the head of the State have to bear this possibility of mistakes in mind?

A This possibility was taken into account by the fact that a number of exports and also subsequently a number of observatory possibilities of the patients were made available to the physician; furthermre by the fact that after laving been confined to an institution for 5 years, the institution where the patient was located would still subject this patient to a period of long observation.

Q Therefore, to sun up for conclusion, you have seen in the security measures which you have just mentioned, you have seen State and medical guarantees?

A Yes, the State and nedical guarantees.

Q Then I do not have any further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there may further cross-examination of this - 2469 -

witness on the part of any lefense counsel? . L're being none, the Prosection may cross-exemine.

## CROSS IT WITH TION

## BY MR. MCHANEY:

- Q Herr Brandt, since you I we just seen liscussing Euthannain we shall continue on that subject. I would like ask you how much pay-chiatric training you have received?
  - A I have had no psychiatric training in particular. .
- Q How much first-hand knowle die have you received on insane patienta?
- A I am afraid I shall have to ask you to repeat the question.

  I did not quite understand it.
- Q I asked you how much first land knowledge or experience you have had with image persons.
- a First of all at the arivers by I had my ordinary training and afterwards I had no more proctical experience in teaching regarding insone patients.
- Q How many insane asylums have you been in for the purpose of observation and study?
- A I did not have any observation in or visits to insane asylums.

  I said yesterday that I had been to Bethel and at a later stage I once
  visited a special climic at Kassel. Apart from that I have no special
  expert knowledge in the field of psychiatry.
- Q Let us go back to the Fuebrer's letter of 1 September 1939. That is Document 1630-PS, Prosecution Exhibit 330. I will read it to you, although I am quite sure you are very familiar with it. It is dated Berlin, 1 September 1939.

Theichsleiter Bouhler and Dr. Branit are charged with responsibility of enlarging the authority of certain physicians to be designated by more in such a manner that persons, who, according to human judgement, are incurable, can, upon a most careful diagnosis of their condition of sickness, be accorded a mercy death."

- 2470 -

## (Signed) "Adolf Hitler"

This program was not limited to the incurably insane, was it?

A It was stated in its content that in the case of a vardict of incurable disease, mercy death could be granted. I do not know whether you have just mentioned incane patients. All I heard was patients.

Q That is the point I am making, Herr Brandt. The order itself does not say "incurably insane patients" but just "incurable persons."

- A Incurable persons, yes, I sec.
- Q And I therefore put the question to you that the program was not limited to insome persons, was it?

A To begin with it was not a proper program at all. What I consider to be a program is an exact stiling on the limits within which people were to be dealt with in this nather. It was generally left open just by using the word "patients" without quoting numbers or types of illnesses. Without that there was a defirate limit imposed in this matter. Since the word "petienta" is used tids also entails the possibility to deal with insene patients within the framework of this outhamasta and to relieve them of the degree of illness they are suffering from. The affair was designed for impano patients, such as Tab at a later stage, on collaboration with Dr. Linden's corresponding department in the Himistry of Interior, carried out later. Individual cases of individual patients was were not insane did coour and did actually come to my knowledge. It mid not come to my knowledge on the other hand that they were subjected to enthermeda. To more there concorned generally with applications coming from patients or from their relatives themselves, which either want to the Chancellery of the Fushrer or were dealt with by the Hindstry of the Interior or some other agency which transmitted them there, in such a case Bouhler's department, und, incidentally, one individual case dealt with by me which came to our clinic for observation. Generally speaking, these patients were erabled to go to a sanitarium or some other institution.

it is defectiveness of the mental structure; and it is connected with the disintegration of a man's personality; and, of course, those people because of the disintegration of their personal structure were included in that program which you have just described.

Q: Well, I am sure that we all realize that, Herr Brandt; but you had made such a considerable point of the nummitarian notive of relieving the patient from subjective pain and suffering; and I'm putting it to you that there are a large number of persons who can be classed as insome who are in fact perfectly happy people. Isn't that true?

A: I don't think that an insane person is a happy human sing, nor do I think that the life of an insane parson, considering the alrounstances under which it progresses, is something which is within the keeping of human dignity. These are considerations and trains of thought with which one will have to grapple again and again. Under cortain aircumstances this may be nothing but an argument with words; but the fact of the condition of such putients and the fact of a condition unfit for the continuation of life — that is the accisive factor. In that connection the question by the psychological burden which someone will have to bear who is, for instance, suffering from the condition of depression.

Q: Of course, if you bese the justification, the corel justification, on relieving people from pain and suffering, you can see that it is both consistent with that high moral principle and the wording of this Fuehrer letter to apply authonosia to so-called impaless cases of the corelass or cancer or syphilis and what not; land that true?

A: That is not possible. I have already said carlier to Dr. Froeschmann and I emphasized it yesterday that as far as the status is concerned it cannot be considered a burden and patients who are still in a position to be helped should be helped. The burden which is ofter all nothing but a burden to the budget must not under any circumstances play a part. That wou i be a sad condition. It is a sad condition in all divilized states that they do not raise the money and the fund ossential for this purpose, both, I mean, for the supply and the welfare of such persons who are worthy of such care in insune neylums. On the other hand as to care for tuborculosis patients, I should dislike to see those people included in the outhennesia program under any circumstances. It is a principle and most decisive difference which play a part here. I have told you carlier that outhenesia can only play a part in the interest of the patient himself and under no circumstances must any other interest, any other facetr, be of significance in this connection.

If I apply this to conditions in Germany, then before the war we spent

February + O.C. da 2 E akl - III Court No. 1 three hundred fifty million - on a ram it . thaga asylurs, migh in conparison to other states is : large . un of :oner. In spits 85 tinig as a total expenditure it is a : Miss f or consider that three hundred fifty millions a day went for the pursuit to ou to mar, or if you occupare it with the cost of a battleship, which is alout the same. These funds, this equipment, and the pay 1 . the a ter personnel is semething which every well organized etc a ough to raise, Q Now, this Fuelwer leatur does. It say that big patient has to be doomed to an early death, does it? A He says that if the effection is wet originally judged by the doctor then the mercy deaths can be granted. In the case of incurable diseases, any other questions midch might have played a part in this connection are not dealt with in writing in this ferree; but appropriate instructions issued by the Pusher to Boulder or to me in order to have then passed on to Bouhler for its carrying out were, Coult with in that mybber. g "fell, I don't think you amount in question. I do not it in another my. The outbanasis program as not limited to persons Who were doored to die an early death, was it? A I don't know missiber you are applying the words early leath to the age of the person or the condition of his illness. It might have been possible - it was possible that patients were included in the program who under certain circumstances might have been able to live another two or three years; bit it was not the case that with reference to the insume patient only the aged person was affected. Of importance were the questionnaire and the stage of his illness which were shown in these istalls and facts. Q Dr. Brandt, isn't it true that you can have a person of fifteen years who is suffering from incurable instantty of one sort or another, yet who may live to ripe old age of seventy-five? Isn't that true? - 2474 -

5 February 40-0-3-3-Blakley (ID) Court No. 1

A It's most improbable. It might under ceptain circumstances happen occasionally, occasionally; but if sensons aged fifteen is insane, it is most improbable that he will live to the age of seventy-five. I am pretty sure that this would be one of the most outstanding exceptions. Generally

it wouldn't be the case at all. The life of insome persons who fall ill at an early age usually comes to an end fairly early, too.

Q: Well, what is the average length of time that on insome person spends in an asylum in Gormany?

A: I am afraid I can't tell you that, what the average figure is. It will depend on the hype of disease; but I can't give you an average ago, I'm afraid.

Q: Now, do you want the Tribunal to believe that the cuthonasia program was applied only to such persons as in the judgment of the experts would die within a period of one year or two years? Is that what you want the Tribunal to believe?

A: I behieve that the translation into German is not as clear as it is necessary for me to understand your questions. Maybo I might ask you to speak a little mame slowly so that the interpretor can keep the page.

Q: I aksed you, Doctor, whether you wien the Tribunal

A: No, it was done on request of the Ministry of the Interior, which was the superior agency of that institution.

Q: But, Herr Brendt, ultimately it was your responsibili

and the responsibility of Bouhler to designet, these men and to authorize them, was it not?

A: With the authorization and the signing, I corried out an order which was given as, a task of the selection of these men, I mean. We purselves could not carry out the designation of these men; and in that connection therefore we relied upon the meagnized describent, which right from the beginning was responsible for such institutions; and we had to rely on them; and we did rely on them. There was no other solution in practice. Otherwise it would have been necessary for one of us to have first of all the management of such institutes in our hands; and of sourse that was not the situation.

Q: But as a good follower of the Fachror, you of course account responsibility for their designation, isn't that right?

At as to responsibility for the designation of those men, the designation, the selection, took place through the Ministry of the Interior, not through me.

Q: So if distance were made in scleating these hen and they plaked out a few reprehensible characters, you don't accept responsibility for that? You had nothing to do with it? Is that right?

A: No, I couldn't say that. I wouldn't say that I not nothing to do with it. The selection did take place; nowever, through an effici-l resney; and also of course it was to make the difference between notherization and the netticl carrying out of such an action. Authorization alone is not the only decisive factor in the putting into effect of this plan. There were various channels which were instituted; and the possibility of a wrong selection therefore appeared to us to be out of the question.

Q: Now, you must have been interested in who was boing selected. Do you remember any of the names of the people who were authorized to accord a mercy death?

A: I can't tell you a single one of these names.

There were chiefs of institutes.

I have just reed one name, Pfanamouller; and then the name

Nortell played an important part.

Q: Now, how about the name Renno?

A: It doesn't mean a thing at the moment/

Q: He was stationed at Harthein.

At No, the name Benno does not mean a thing to me at

hed been given in connection with the Reichs Committee Children. Those, of course, were different doctors.

Q: Ten to fifteen men — well, let's see that
we understand one mother. Were these ten or fifteen
men the men who actually gave the injection in the
extermination station or herded the people into the gas
chember? Mere these the ten or fifteen who were author—
ized to administer

5 Tob-M-GES-7-40-Blaklep-JP Court I

the morey deaths, or was that Hayde and "istache and the top exports? Now, whom are we talking about here?

A. In my opinion we are talking about these people who had authority to carry out the outhannesis as such. They were the people who had to carry out the work of experts. Will you repeat the question, pleases

Q. Pu not sure that the translation came through so I'll repeat
it. I understand that you are stating that ten to fifteen men were a
quitherized actually to carry out suthenssis in the outh main stations?

As I do not think that there were as many as that simultaneously. The total was that high. One or two doctors were working in the cuthansoin institutes who had authority; and, if I recollect it properly, then the total number of institutes amounted to six, so that this would bring us to a total of twelve. But I also believe that doctors changed so that one might have dropped out and then another one would be given authority. At any rate, the figure was a very low one.

I am sure they find drop out. Longer to you the Firth went to the East to help with the extermination of the Jows, and Schlumann went to succhaits to help out with the extermination of the Jows, but I am curious to know why a man in your position with the responsibility to designate these mon with authority to perform exthennels could not remember the names of 10 or 15 men who were neturally doing it. You recalled only posterday that two to four percent of the people ment to a outhannels station were rejected and weren't killed. I can't understand in the face of such remembels manary that you wouldn't remember the names of 10 or 15 men?

A I can't remember the names. I am quite sure that name of those people concerned were sent to the Best with my knowledge, noither for the extendination of the June nor for any sterilization ectivities.

- Q How meny authornada stations did you visit?
- A I have visited on one occasion.
- Q that station did you visit and when?
- A Grafence. This must have been on or shout the beginning of 1940. It was at a time when the first edministrative set up there had begun to operate in connection these were departments instituted in connection with Edmintry of the Integior. It was because of these registrar offices and news which had some from there, there had been objections on Bouhler's part right from the word "go" and on my own part regarding the secret procedure connected therewith, and I desired to be once more informed about the problems on hand and so I ment there on one occasion and see it there upeals. Apart from that I have not visited any other of these institutes.
  - Q So you went to Grafeneck in 1940; do you remember what month?
  - A I cen't tell you exactly water month it was,
- Q hall, was it the first part of the year or the second part of the year?

- A. It seemed to me it was in the first half of the year?
- Q. I suppose you met the doctors there?
- A. Yes, I saw them.
- Q. But you don't remember meeting Schumann who was at Grafeneck?
- As No, I don't remember the name of the doctor who was working there.
  - Q. Now, how many observation stations did you visit?
  - A. I didn't visit any. I only went to Grafeneck.
- Q. I want to understand this, Grafeneck was a suthanasia station that is where the people were actually killed. I now put the question to you, how many observation stations did you visit, which is a place they stopped and they kept them before they went to the suthanasia stations?
- A. I have never visited an observation station, neither an observation station nor a suthanasia station. I have

Q. You understand I am not arguing with you that this really was a Var me-sure. I just think there is some fundamental disagramment between us as to the reasons it was carried out during the wartime.

I am suggesting to you it was carried out during wartime in order to sliming to the kind of so-called worthless lives, to bloom hospitals for possible wounded soldiers, to make more doctors and nurses available for the care of the wounded soldiers, to

5 Tab-W-5-2a-PKP-Malor-JF Court I

sliminate the necessity for the care and feeding of these worthless lives.

Inn't that the reason that the program was initiated at the beginning

of the War?

A. Regarding the question of food, this expression now used by us "useless enters", let me say this to begin with, if we take into consideration 60 million population for simple reasons of arithmetic, and then take into

consideration 60 million patients, and this work concerning them, then this means nothing further than the maintenance of these 60 million people, or 6 to 8 calories per head of the population per day, and I am sure this would not be a decisive factor in the condition of the war, and another consideration if this had been decisive this certainty would not have recained stopped at a time when the food situation beginning in 1942 was infinitely more complicated, and certainly we would have restorted the program, and it would not have been dropp. ' until the end of the war. I think these must be decisive arguments speaking against the conception of the "useless eater" and gaining space for patients, and gaining medical offices may have been a consideration which yas more of an administrative nature. It is not, however, decisive since this gaining of patients! space 'n amount of 60,000 beds did not play and would not have played an important part. During the war we had up to a million wounded, apart from ordinarily sick people, so that 60,000 would be a very small percentage of that. In fact the gaining of a corresponding number of bads could not be expected apart from the first gain of just a few thousand. Since the further arrival or accraing of incame persons was taking place at a very rapid rate, such considerations as I have just described were in any case without any interest whatever to the medical man concerned - to the doctors conwerned. He was only concerned with his patients, not with economical, political or administrative considerations.

Q. Was it not also decided that those persons able to work should not be killed?

A. The ability to work has nothing whatever to do with these enthanasia or not suthanasia. I pointed out yesterday the question sontained a statement not concerned with the extent of the program but in order to find an expression there, just as it can be in the case of a griminal person, a question of the mental condition of the person himself. We are not concerned with the process of the work of a few years

there.

- Q. Well, I take it then that the questionnaires were filled out on those able to work as well as those unable to work. Is that right?
- A. We were not concerned with the question of subdividing them into people capable of work and people not capable of work. What is significant is the reaction of the person to this treatment and the resulting statement as to how and to what extent he is capable of work, how he can work and what he is capable of doing, in order to form a ploture about mental activity and ability to concentrate, and

5 Feb-11-310-5-10-Walou-JP Court I in order to form a picture of that and in order to find out to what extent the person binself can be a possibility, seen from that point of view. Tou have told us that twice already. I wish - a would pay close attention to the formulation of my question, and answer it preferably in m briof ranner. You said that consideration of ability to work all played a part incofer as a diagnosis of the patient's condition is concerned. I then put the question to you whether or not individuals thetadid not fill out questionneiros were from both thems able to work and those unable to work, and I am asking you for a "your or show answer" . As The question whother someone is expable of work or not can be answorld with a "yes" or "no". I can answer it "yes" or "no", if you put it separately with the man emapable of work and the one not capable of work. Q. Horr Smadt, you have stated that there are questions to be answered in the questionnaire which concorn the ability of the men to work, the patient to work. It has to be stated whether he can work and if so what he does. How, you state that that question is not out in there for the purpose of removing from possible outhernasin those this to work, while applying outhannesis to those unable to work, A. I understand that. Q. I then put the question to you that quite mert from the content of the questionnairs was not required that the questionnaires in the maylums be completed and be filled out on those same persons in the asylum who were able to work as well as those unable to work. It is simply a question upon what patients did they comilete questionnaires, and I have secured no answer. A. Upon porsons who for at linet 5 years had been in the institute, Q. How wie that remint A. The questionnaires were filled in regarding patients who had boon in the Institute for at least five years. And in reference to that it is possible that patients had questionnaires filled out about them who were perfectly empable of work, patients likewise who in no way were 2486

5 Feb-11-5-10-Maloy-JP Court I

included in the outhersain program. The institutes received instructions to send questionnaires to the agencies concerned every six months stating details about patients who had been in the Institutes for at least five years or more.

- Q. Mow, I think we are about to get the answer. You state then that questionnaires were completed then at least on one class of persons who had been in the asylum at least five years, and that questionnaires were filled out on such persons irresponsive of ability to work;
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Well, I trust you see the importance of the question, because if in fact the requirement was that questionnaires be

rilled out only on those persons who had been in the asylum for five years, and those who also were unable to work then there night be a serious question as to whether or not really ability to work was not a very great and compelling consideration in the applicability of the program to insane persons. I don't get a clear picture of just what you did to get this authorasia program in operation, and what you did after it was functioning; would you go over that just ordefly now please; what did you do to get the program under way and what did you do after it was functioning, briefly and concisely?

A I had nothing to do with the putting into effect of the program. The carrying out of this program was headed by Mr. Bouhler. In fact during that period I myself, with a few exceptions caused by different circumstances, such as the Fuebrer being in Berlin, I did not come to Berlin myself during all the years, and in 1942 I was tied down in the Fuchrer's Headquarters where I had no deputy for the carrying out of the program and preparations, and which were mentioned carri | out by Bouhler in collaboration with Linden's agency. I myself was present during just a few conferences held by Bouhler but my function was to be able to inform the Fuehrer repeatedly from the point of view of general medical considerations regarding any special causes, any special events which might occur in the carrying out of the rogram and in connection with which it might have appeared necessary to Bouhler to have the decision of the Fushrer obtained. Apart from that it was my task as designated earlier to authorize, together with Bouhler, the doctors' selections for the program. On the chart, which is banging behind me, it is apparent in my opinion just how Bouhler, through the corresponding channels of orders, authorised the carrying out of the authorasia program, and how from that the channels lead off to the agent concerned in the Ministry of the Diterior. Wy function within the carrying out of that program was individual, not in any way in existence apart from the a thority, the authorization which I have told you about. - 2488 -

Q Well, you have spent considerable time telling us what you didn't do. The only two things that I think you said that you did do were to assist Bouhler in cooperation with Guertler in designating the doctors to perform

the suthemasis, and also as acting as something in the nature of a limited man between the Jushrer and Boubler and the rest of the program, is that right?

A That was in fact my actual function in that connection, with one difference though, that I did not designate, I did not select the factors. I have then the authority, the selection and designation come from the Minister of the Interior.

I take it that elthough you and Bouhler were charged with the responsibility for this program, you in fact, do not eccept that responsibility here before this Tribunell

A For the corrying out of such, no, and I might call your attention to Boubler's letter to the Minister of Justice, Guertner, in which he states quits clearly he glone was responsible for the carrying out of the program. I, myself, could not have been responsible for the carrying out of the program since during the period this program was running, during the period of 1941, I was in granting and never in Herlin, with the exception of a few days and was working in an office pway from Scalin and it was never planned in this connection that I should be at the head of the program, or its corrying out or that I should supervise it from the point of view of a medical expert. I could not have been in control because I was not a psychiatric expert, but a surgeon.

Yell, now Earr Brendt, entheresis involves a considerable medical problem; how could a layers like Bouhler formulate all these plans to carry out the progres, a rather elaborate structure? It seems to me that possibly you must have conferred with Bouhler and jointly reached some understanding of the mechanics of the progres, the questionarie, the places where entherms was to be carried out and a whole host of problems which your Fuebrer surely must have had some interest in?

A Those matters which you have just septioned were principally discussed between Bouhler and Dr. Linden's department, and not with me; because firstly, I have no knowledge as to the number and situations of those asylute, and secondly, the interest, - perhaps that is not quite the right word - should I say perhaps my; relicination in the natter was corrected with memeral medical problems, but not special medical problems, which the chief expert or experts had dealt with and were dealing with. I had said earlier that I had not actually perticipated in the conferences dealing with these antiers, and in

feet the authorising of the medical experts too was carried out by Bauhler and not by me.

THE PESIDET: The Tribungh will be in recess.

(m recess was teken.)

THE MUTSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

CROSS EXAMINATION - Resumed

BY ME. MCH. MEY:

G Herr Erendt, before the recess we were decling with the question of your responsibility in the operation of the Euthanesia program. I have understood your testimery to be to the effect that if any crimes were occurated in the operation of Euthanesia, then you were not responsible for these origins and have been falsely charged in the indictment; is that a correct conclusion?

A I did not any what you have said just now. Ascording to my opinion, my formulation was a different one. I should like to set that within this Suthannaic program, arises were excluded according to my opinion and that one are to differentiate benically be seen the logal program as defined by the decree and all other matters which have heppened in Lublan with storilization and the IA-F-13, which has not been ment and yet. All these things have nothing at all to do with the Buthanesis program and are in no way on the same midical and human lovel as was defined by the decree.

question. The purpose of this question is, I am asking you to assume that crimes were conditted pursuant to the operation of Euthanisic. It is a question of f or whether such crimes were committed, but right now, I went you to essume that, and I am trying to determine who can be responsible for these crimes. I have understood your testimony in such a manner that it leads me to the confusion that if crimes were committed; you assert you were not responsible. Is that right?

A I did not learn that crices occurred within the framework of that program. If crimes had occurred and I assume that - then they only have occurred at the Suthenasia station Itself, but that too is impossible since the patients who came there only same through a number of experts who passed an opinion on them. The purpose was that within that program every person - and I said that yesterday - that is every physician had to eat within his own responsibility and all physicians had

5 Seb 47-Mi-Mir-6-1-Mochan Sourt No. 1

to set independently of one another. If anyone had committed a crime on that station, the person concerned would be

responsible for that arise personally; because if he had commisted such a crit, he commisted it contrary to the directives, which he was given as a physician.

with this point. Let us essume that there was no valid German law permetting Buthanesia and I suppose you are not ignorant of the fact that a number of German courts have already so held; I take it that you don't responsibility for the operation, the functioning of the Buthanesia progress on the assumption that it was criminal; you or wo?

. To execution we not cerricil out as a arisabel program. I protured that the state program was corried out, it was handled in such a manner that everyone had to small with program was corried out, it was handled in such a manner that everyone had to small with a program was entired out, it was handled in such a manner that everyone had to small with a perturbated 1, the execution - that it was a logal program. In a lotter from Outsider to Bouhler, it is make that there can be no logal completions. I saw this letter here on order, but it justifies by opinion that at any period of time me, who particulated in that program, considered it as a seclutally leads so that the execution of a crime during its execution example be considered.

question if we exclude your responsibility; would you say that if the program was criminally carried out that the deceased Bouhlar could be found responsible for that?

a The entire program, and I must repeat that, was not considered by Bouhler; Bouhler as of the same opinion as I was, namely, that the Enthanceis program was not original. Cortainly if he had assumed that it was criminal, he would not have participated in it may more than empone also.

- tidatt you receive reports on the operation of the program?
- a Yo reports were made as far as I know, and I therefore did not receive may reports.
- I thought you stated that part of your responsibility, as small as it was, was to report to the Jushrer about the operation of this program. How could you report to the Jushrer if you didn't receive any reports or otherwise gain knowledge of what was coing on?

A I had those first discussions to other with Boubler and the Fuebrer. When you said report I thought you meant a written summary and
statement, and I then said that no such reports were under As for as
directives were concerned, all of them were diven orally and a report.
I understood a yearly report of some report diven at a certain period
of time about the results of the execution, and I wanted to say that
such reports were not made according to my opinion. I haven't received way, and I certainly haven't seen any.

- Well, how detailed knowledge did you make to the return functioning of the pro ram and what reports did you make to the Fuebrer!
- A With reference to the manner of the execution in the form of reports the Fushrer was not informed by we. Whenever any exceptional questions cross and whenever something important came up which Bouhler sidn't want to decide on his own initiative, he either approached Hitler himself corsonally or he maked me to report the matter to the Fushrer and then inform him in turn.

To What was the neture of some of these special problems that Bouhler didn't want to take responsibility for? We might be interested in those.

A for instruce, there occurred the case of the children, children that were coven or eight years old and were to be included, in this Reich Committee matter or whether they were not to be included, or the question came up whether they were too old, and in individual cases such matters were reported.

2493

With reference to the enthanasia program there were administrative technical questions which partly were because of completning letters and ones that started after September 1946, and then werever also concerned with letters that ceme from the church. At that time I came into contract with Pastor Bodenschwanzler and I talked about that yesterday, and these were the matters we were concerned with.

. In other words, you were something in the nature of a trouble shooter, were your

5 Feb 47-11-110-7-2-Ninebuck (PK) Court No. 1 A That would not be correctly expressed in that way. I was asked to condern myself with these difficulties without being sole to decide upon ther wreelf. I You menti med the authonosis of children. I take it that that organization, the Reich Committee for research on severe hericitary diseases, who cart of the whole enthropsis picture as wet up after Hitler's letter of 1 September 139; is that right? A Mo, this Reich Committee and strong been or maised before. It was only up to the time of this decree it was not criled outhounsin but merely a collection of these children, I mentioned one case of Leipzi: yesterday which had nothing to do with this Committee. Well, that would be the actual Millin of children did not start until after Richer Immund this letter of 1 September 1939, fid it? A It did not take place before October since only from that moment on the authorization had bemin; that is, from the time this was simed. and the extermination of these children: that is, the authority for doin: that, came from this same document of 1 September 1939 addressed to you may to Scahler, is that right? A You. . Now, dien't you help formulate the questionnaires which were used in this wo rout A I was mesent durin- one conference where drafts of these questionnair a were travented. The drafts and questionnaires had been prepared by the plaistry of the Interior and we were concerned with the questionnairs in connection with the Reich Committee. The questionnaires which were memores for euthannels progra, according to my recollection, were ards without my participation. They were prepared by the expert Mysicians who were at the disposal of the Ministry of the Interior. if they had not already been ready at the Ministry of the Interior before October. . Yow, you know Mr. Heyde and Mr. Blotsche, didn't you? A Yes. ? They were the so-called top experts for questionnaires? 5,004

A It was necessary to so down two flights of steirs, to so through one connecting corridor located at the penter of the building, then so up one flight of steirs and to the other side, then so close a corridor for about sixty faters

and at the end of t hat corridor Bouhler's office was located.

- ? That sounds to be about a sixty minute trip; is it?
- a Bo, certainly not.
- I Di! Hoyde and Fietache go over these questionneires with you in the corly stages of the program?
- A I can not remember Flatsche having been present. The discussion of the questionneires for the purpose of euthennein only started, according to my opinion, with reference to the questionneire where the symptoms of the disease were mentioned. Raydo, I think, who present at that time, but I don't think that the questionneires were drafted at that time. I think discussions took place after the questionneires had been finished and printable. With reference to the contents and the formulation of the questionneires, I was in no position to participate. This was carried out by the expert physicians themselves.
- 4 Are you willing to swear that Heyde or Mietsche never went over one of these completed questionnaires and discussed their discussed with your
  - A I don't think that that has happened.
- What orders were issued by you and Bouhler concerning the classes of persons upon whom the questionneires were to be filled out or completed in the resymbols?
- A If onything was seld by me during these discussions where I participated, it could only have been concerning the fact that the medical responsibility had to play a decisive role and that the physician had to be quite clear about the measures that he make and about the measures that he make, and to be aware of the responsibility which he took. I can say that measurely since even at other occasions whenever any such question arose, I always unintelled that point of view.

Court No. 1 5 Feb 47-18-9-2-LJG Putty (AJ) Q. Herr Brandt, suppose you had a non-German National in on insens neylun for a period of thirty days. He had just been committed and had been there thirty days. Did they fill out - questionnaire on that person or not? a. The pr cedure of the filling out of questionneire in reference to Germans or non-Germans made no difference at all. A questionnaire was filled out in the sens way for a non-German as for a German. It was not the principle of nationality but it was the principle of the illness. Q. all right. I don't think you have answered the question yet. Suppose you had two mersins, one a German and one a non-German who had been in the asylum for a period of thinty days. Did they fill out a questionnaire on both such persons or one of them, and if so, which mal a. The questionneires were worked up in in the same way whether we ward concerned with a German or a non-German. Q. I didn't ask you whother they were worked on in the enns way. I asked you if they were filled our. Now is your enswer that they were fills out? A. According to my recollection, they did not have to be filled out at that time sireedy, but only at such a period when the patient had been in the institution for a period of five years. It depended on the length of time he stayed at the institution with reference to the question of filling or not filling out. Q. Harr Brandt, I am not in the least bit of a hurry. I will keep you on this stand for three days if necess ry to get responses to my questions. I go back to me hypothetical question. We have two persons who have been in the seylum for thirty days. One is a German and one is a non-German Setional. I ask you whether they filled out the puestionneires on those two persons. a. According to my upinion, they need not be filled out. They were only to be filled out if they had remained for another four years and eleven nombhe in that institution.

## 5 Feb 47-M-9-4-LJG-Putty-(AJ)

Q. Now just exactly to whom did Bouhler mass down thisorder that non-German Nationals were to be executed?

A: This order, according to my opinion, he had to pess on to
the agency which worked on these questionnaires. I didn't ask Mr.
Boubler about it. I didn't ask him to whom he passed this order since
he received the order very clearly, and I am are that he massed it on
in the same form as he received it. I am not informed about the
interior structure of this agency, and I was neither informed about
the manner how this administrative apparatus worked in detail. I can
only say that Boubler really did that since he, I am sure, executed such
an order.

Q. Well, you are sessining that he did; that is what you mean to say, ien't it?

- A. If Bouhler received an order, he must have executed it.
- Q. All you know is that he received the order?
- A. Yes, that he received the order.
- Q. The, Herr Brandt, if this progrem was not to be spolied to non-Germen Nationals were susctionneires submitted on Non-German Nationals?

A. I cannot say envithing about the single reasons about that. It seemed simpler to have these questionneires filled out by these institutions with the order to get out the non-Germans.

Q. I haven't heard mything about any order to sort out non-German Mationals. All I know is that the quantionnaire contains a blank label "Nationality." Are you now suggesting that orders went down to the asylums that they were to sort out non-German Mationals?

A. No. The procedure was reversed. The question of deciding upon a foreigner or non-fireigner was not left to the institution, but at the Central Steion I-4 the differentiation was made on the basis of these questionneires, these foreigners and non-foreigners, was wounded, and with reference to these questionneires where we were concerned with war wounded and foreigners, we kent then there while the others were distributed;

among the experts for further treatment. In this manner it was made impossible that during the later procedure the transfer of such a patient couldn't be made possible to an observation or Euthanasia institution.

5 Feb -EBH-10-1- Beard(sw) Court No. 1 Q. Herr Brandt, do you realise that the execution of non-German nationals in this so-called Buthanasia order would have been criminal? A. This killing was absolutely incontrast to the order which Hitler gave in this connection, absolutely. Q. In the face of your testimony, Herr Brandt, I must suggest to you that it appears to me quite ridiculous and absurd , that you would have put yourself to the trouble of filling out questionnair s on persons who were from the beginning exempted from the program. Why did you go to that trouble? What was the point in it? A. Maybe it was just a general registration. Other questionnaires were attached to the original questionnaire; namely, the institute to which they belonged, and that was necessary for the purpose of statistical summation about the mental institution space that was available. Q. You are suggesting that these questionnaires were really something in the nature of a survey of insane persons in Germany ; is that right? A. No, I do not mant to may that. I want to may that in the procedure when one turned to these institutions there were further materials nocessary for administrative purposes. You have to differentiate a questionnaire as to the kind of illness, and the questionnaire with reference to statistical data, which was needed. Q. Now, Herr Brandt, the questions ire was designed and issued for the sole purpose of implementing the Buthanasia Program, and I put it to you that it is a little incredulous that this questionnaire would be completed and filled out end sent to Berlin on a substantial group of people as to whom the program had no application. A. I do not know whether you are putting a question to me or whether you are merely giving me your epinion about it, as it came through the translation. C. I am asking for your comment on the opinion which I have just expressed. Isn't that procedure a little bit abourd in your opinion? A. No, at this time everything was compressed, summarised, as far

as possible, and I know everybody knows what it means to fill in a questiontionnairs. During the last 15 years we had to fill in so many questionnaires, with so many details, which had nothing to do with the original point that it does not seem at all abourd to me that we used such a procedure to receive additional information — additional data.

Q. Well, let us carry it a little further and see what happened to the questionnaires of these non-German nationals. They were filled out, presumably all over Germany, and they were then dispatched to Berlin, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, we have heard some talk about these questionnaires being photostated; is that right ?

A. You.

Q. I ask you, did they photostat the questionnaires filled out on non-Gorman nationals?

A. According to my opinion, no. I do not think they were further worked upon, but I am of the opinion that at the collecting point they remained as they were; at that no photostatic copies were sent to any experts.

Q. In other words, at the collecting point in the T-4 in Berlin
the questionnaires were corted out so that no non-German national questionnaires were photostated; is that right?

A. I am of the opinion that it was so.

Q. Now, Herr Brandt, suppose I put this question to you: Were any questionnaires of non-German nationals expertised? And, your answer to that would be, no?

A. I think that it is highly improbable that that was done, for in this case it would have been superfluous work for these non-Germans were executed prior to the execution of the Euthanasia. I do not think that any photostatats were sent on for the purpose of expertizing.

Q. Well, Herr Brandt, I am happy that we can agree on this absurdity, but I want to gut this other absurdity to you. You recall the testimony of Witness Newmocke? Do you not recall that Menmecke testified before this Tribural that he, himself, expertised a number of non-German rationals! questionnairee?

A I do not remember this testimony in detail, but it is quite possible that he said it. If you are telling that to me now, if this superfluous work had been carried out in one or the other case, I am really not clear why it was not done because it was really superfluous; but, it is possible, even if this expertising was carried out, then I am quite sure that a transfer of the patients was not carried out, and I am of the ponviotion that on the basis of this procedure such foreigners were expluded. I do not know with reference to what period Mannacke spoke, and when he received such questionnaires for expertising, but naturally I know such expertising had no sense whatsoever.

Mennecke testified concerning the period from 1940 to 1941. Now, Witness, you apparently were mistaken when you said that these questionnaires by non-German nationals were not photostated; and you apparently also were mistaken when you stated that the questionnaires of non-German nationals were not expertised. Now, just exactly where was the safeguard in this program which made it impossible for these non-German nationals to get transferred after those questionnaires had been expertised?

A The safeguard could only have been with the central agency in the T-h. It was not possible at any other agency as far as my opinion goes. From there the order was issued that patients were transferred through the office of Linden; and on the other hand, the transport directives were given from there, so this information must have been infitiated from T-h, and there the separation between the German and non-German was made.

Q But you, yourself, do not know exactly where that sorting out of non-German nationals took place, do you?

A No, I do not know where it took place. It is my conviction that

It could only have taken place at the central agency because only there did .

the questionnaires arrive with the designation "foreign", and only there
did they may the possibility to separate these questionnaires, Germans and
non-Germans.

- Q. And, that was before the questionnwires were photostated; was if not, Herr Brandt?
- A. According to an opinion that occurred before the questionnaires were photostated. The judgment and expartising of those questionnaires was superfloods and foreigners were to be excluded.
- Q. If you do not know exactly where the Germans and non-Germans were sorted out, how c a you swear to this Tribunal that non-Germans pationals were not actually transferred to a Euthanasia St. tion?
- A. A guirant... With anceles so to may test is the order which was given to Doubler very clearly, and water I am quite certain no excepted. I think it is quite out of the question test when no received the order to exclude foreigners and an annual news test largeded.
- C. But, that is no assembles on your part, Herr Brendt? Is that right?
  - A. I comput r .. . It is any obser manner thus I have just soid it.

Q Now, Herr Brandt, we have talked about these questionnaires and the classes of persons about whom they were filled out; and you have testified that it made no difference whether a man worked or not; that they filled out the questionnaire on him. You further said that it made no difference whether he was a German or a non-German; there was no distinction made between the two and questionnaires were filled out under the same circumstances for both classes of persons. Is that right?

A I said that it was my opinion that it was so.

Q I want to show you now Document MO-825. This is Prosecution Exhibit 358. It appears on page 216 of the English Document Book, Number 14, Part 2. Herr Brandt, I want you to turn to page 3 in that document where it says up at the top, "Instruction Leaflet." Do you find page 3 of the original, Herr Brandt, where it says "Instruction Leaflet"?

A Yos. Yos, I have found it.

q "Instruction Leaflet. Read excefully before filling out the questionnaires."

A Yos.

Q Thurn was an instruction localet sont to the saylume, was there not, advising them how to complete these questionnaires?

A Yes.

Q And this instruction leaflet tells them upon which petients the questionnaires are to be completed, deepn't it?

A Yos.

Q Lot me read to you the first paragraph: "All patients are to be obtained who (1) are suffering from the following illnesses and cannot be employed, or for mechanical work only, plucking or similar work, in the institution."

Now, Herr Brandt, doesn't that instruction any quite clearly that those persons who suffer from the illnesses enumerated but who can be employed, who can work, are not to be enumerated or are not to have questionnaires filled out on them?

- It look at it in the following way. These persons had to fill in the questionnaires when they were afflicted with these diseases and, referring to Paragraph 2, when they had been in the institution for five years.
- Q Now, Herr Brandt, let's not lizy with one another. You can read the German language; and I den't want you to make a striament which is not true on the face of the discussent. Now isn't it true that Paragraph 1 and Paragraph 2 are sutually exclusive? Isn't there the word "or" between Paragraph 1 and Paragraph 27
  - A Y68.
- Q all right, let's ferget the enswer you were about to give and livert our attention to Paragraph 1. Doesn't it state there that quest-termaires are to be filled out only on those persons who have the enumerated illnesses and who cannot be employed, or for mechanical work only, plucking and similar work? Isn't that what it mays?
  - " You, that's what it says there.
- Of Then your testimony was quite incorrect that amployment or chility to work played no part in the authorsais program except insofar as it permitted a diagnosis of the patient? Isn't that right Herr Brandt?
- In question of cutyut of work is such is not listed because of the affect of the work but is listed in order to jud; the health of the potient and condition of the potient. If a patient is in a situation where he can work in an institution and work in factories, as is sometimes the case with people with epileptic discuss, it shows that he can under no circumstances he as severely ill that it would warrant his folling within the fresework of this or massis program. Therein I do not see an intensification; but I see in it a safeguard in that the people who were only middly ill but who were still in need of the institution should be excluded. It has, however, nothing to do with what the patient netually puts out in the form of work.
- Q Now, Doctor, you don't sericusly suggest that you cannot have persons very severely ill, incurredly ill, of the diseases here listed, you who are able to perform some work; and you are trying to insist to this Tribunal that you

can mutametically state a priori that if persons with those illnesses can work then there is no justification for putting them to death. The point seems to be that if they are afflicted with the same illnesses and for one reason or another cannot work, then you put them to death; and I'm asking you if then one of the most decisive considerations is not the illness as listed in Peragraph 1 but the ability of the person to perform work.

A The ability work o'n only be considered when

considering the condition of the patient. It is quite absurd to think of the cutput. The output of work as such makes no difference at all. It plays no part. Even if it is productive, it is not decisive because whatever a man can do in such an institution is only small arrands.

Q What was it that you said wesn't important, the output?

A I said that it was not important, that the out ut of work was not important, and with that I understand the calculable value of the work because it plays no part whatsoever incommend as there are only small tasks being performed in such an institution. The output of work can only be considered when you consider the ability to work which in turn is the condition of the patient.

Q New, Herr Brandt, let's turn back to the questionnaire itself, one page back in the document book. Do you find now at the bottom where it says "Kind of Employment"?

A Yos.

Of the insume person because it reads "Kind of Employment, most precise designation of work and output; for example, agricultural labor, does not to much, or a locksmith, most specialist; no vague statements like demostic work, but particular ones, rose cleaning, and ac forth; also state always whether employed continuously, frequentl;, or only temporarily". Now, I think that they were very much interested in knowing quite a lot about the ability of this person to perform work, and I suggest to you that they were interested not for reasons of diagnosing the condition of the patient's mind or the nature of his illness, but to find out his value as a useful work—to the Gursan war machine. For instance, a locksmith, good specialist, who could make book fuses or the perts for a V-1. Do you reject that suggestion?

A Yes. I think it is out of the question that an insens person could be used for the production of Vel's or Vel's. This reference here in my opinion is in connection with a reference on page 2, number 3, where patients are listed who were in these institutions ever since five years before. If a man is in one such institution for five years, it is under the circumstances

quite possible that he had even been there for longer, and it is possible the he worked in such a factory and that this reference is concerned with his output of work and as such shall be taken into consideration when judging the condition of the man.

When it says here, "working as a locksmith", etceters, one would have to investigate what actually was produced in these locksmith's workshops of the institution. It is simple repair work which is being carried out there. Partly they were used for therapeutical measures.

That one patient who had soldsophronia for five years could have a good output of alarm clocks while another patient with the same disease for the same period of time could make wood carvings, wood . oppers for bottles, with a reasonably good output. Now, what diagnostic judgment can you draw from those two hypothetical cases?

A None wintecever.

(A recess was taken until '330 hours )

# on corrected copy

Page 2511 is non-axistant.

#### CORRECTED COPY APTERNOON SESSION

Bil Whitehau, the Informal is again in session.

DR. SEIDL: (Counsel for the Defendant Oberheuser): Mr. President, the Defendant Oberheuser does not feel well and, therefore, she requests permission of the Tribunal to leave the court room at 1500 hours.

THE PHESIDENT: In view of the physical condition of the Defendant Oberhouser, she may be excused at 1500 hours in accordance with her request.

## KARL BRANDT (Resumed). CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued).

BY MR. MCHANEY:

O. Herr Brandt, we were examining before the luncheon recess the instructions on filling out the questionaires and we saw in paragraph 1 that no questionaires were to be filled out on those persons who were expable of employment, isn't that correct?

A. It was done in such a way that after the first paragraph (Document book handed to witness)

-yes, that is correct.

C. Doesn't that seem to be a bit inconsistent with your statement that these questionnaires really constituted a registration of all insane patients?

A. That does not refer to all mentally insane patients but only to those who fall within that framework. In my opinion even patients who were six: for short periods were not reported so that a registration of all patients by means of these questionnaires was not possible anyway.

O. Tell, Herr princt, that was what I had suggested to you this morning, handly, that these questionnaires were filled out on those person the world be subjected to enthanasia if they fell within the judgment of the experts as being incurable, and as I recall you rejected that surgistion of mine.

A. I den't think that I have understood you correctly at the moment.

The questionnaires were filled in for the purpose in order to establish

5 Feb 47-A-ATD-12-1-Foster - sw Court No. 1

general basical documents, in order to register all the patients who
might be made available to enthanasia. And within the group of persons
registered in this way, and whose registration took place on the
basis of their physical condition, there were several exceptions; and
in order to determine these

exceptions certain information which exceeded those fields, which exceeded the medical fields as, for example, to their being injured in the course of the war, etcetera.

Q Harr Brandt, since those persons who were afflicted with schizophrenia epilepsy, and the other issues listed in paragraph 1 were not reported on, that is, questionnaires were not completed on such persons if they were capable of work, I have considerable difficulty in understanding why you insisted that questionnaires on Non-German nationals be completed. Why weren't they also exempted on the same ground that other persons were exempted, because of the ability to work?

A In the same respect the war invalids were not exempted either and that had to be registered separately. This certainly has nothing to do with regard to their transfer to a suthanasia institute. I take it from your question that you are of the opinion that the reference on this questionnaire that Non-Germans are considered in this case was deserved to the fact in order to recognize a group of patients in such a way then, under the circumstances, to have then subjected to suthanasia. You have not expressed that but apparently your question is pointing to that fact. I have previously stated in connection with that the the fereigners were to be exempted under all circumstances. I only want to have these references understood to the feffect that they were made in order to be able to determine these exemptions, that they were not to be subjected to suthanasia, and that they were not to be subjected to suthanasia.

I Well, Horr Frankt, you will conceed that the most effective way of secing to it that Non-German antionals were not included in the program would have been a simple order that no questionnaires were to be filled on such persons, wouldn't you say so?

A That depends what place you believe to have the most security. No doubt it can to argued and disputed if it could be done this way or another way. Forhaps there is even a third possibility that might have been used. If this proceedure was followed here in this form, then it certainly is in connection with a general registration about transferring the mental institutions, but certainly not with any other intentions.

Q Herr Tranit, by dropping down to paragraph 2 of the instructions, isn't it true that the questions concurring ability to work came into play only when the patient had been in an asylum for at least five years?

A I only believe that this also held in the diagnosis and judgment because with reference to the kind of occupation the difference was made if the person could constantly work, frequently, or temporarily. In any case, it wasonly the possibility of contacts which a subject might have to his surroundings, and certainly those were not the sole reasons which led to the judgment of diagnoses. But they could only be evaluated in connection with the other statements which had been made also.

Q. Very well. I think then that the picture comes a bit clearer that the ability to work was a very substantial consideration in the operation of this program and a great deal of weight was placed upon the question of ability to work. Is that true?

A. That do ends on how you interpret the words "ability to work" and on what the most emphasis is placed. The decisive point is that it may be used as a reference, together with other statements, if the petient is psychologically or severely ill or if he is not severely ill but then his work has nothing to do with the effect arising from the work. That is, if the san plays a part in the war potential or not and in this case the statement contained in question I certainly does not have any connection but is only there in order to serve as information - additional information as to the picture of the disease.

Q. Let's turn now to one of the other questions in the questionnaire namely that concerning race. Do you find that question?

A. That is on Sheet 2.

O. The footnote is on Sheet 2. The footnote reads, "Of German or German related blood; of German extraction; Jew, partial Jew of Grade 1 or Grade 2: Negro, partial Wegro; Gypsy, partial Gypsy; etc." Now, what was the point in having the race of the patient reported on?

A. In my opinion, that could have only been used for an evaluation in the statistics. I cannot see any other reason for it.

C. You can see that it was not needed by the expert in diagnosing the patient's condition and thus determining whether or not euthanasia should be applied?

A. That would have nothing whatever to do with it. I cannot remember either, but I know it has been discussed - that I have been asked about it - if Jews were exempted from this program. I cannot remember if this has been the case. I would not know in this case either as far as Reich Germans were concerned.

Q. Witness, since you contioned Gypsies, I think there is some reason to believe that the German Reich indulged in some discrimination against Gypsies as well as Jows. So there again that category of persons that possibly be of interest to T-4 and other operators of the outher-asis program.

A. I have never known T-4 in any other capacity than as an establishment which was connected with euthanasia - on the basis of Decree No. 39. Q. Now, Herr Brandt, let's go back to the instruction leaflet and I ask you to look at paragraph 4 where it reads that " all patients are to be enumerated who are not of German nationality or are not of German or German related blood, indicating the race and nationality. You will recall, Herr Brant, that I put a hypothetical question to you here, citing a patient of German nationality and a patient of non-German nationality who had each spent one month in an asylum. You stated that no distinction was made on the basis of nationality. I now ask you - don't you have to change your testimony in view of the fact that paragraph 4 emplicitly requires that questionnairs be completed on any and all policitudes of non-German nationality?

A. I must correct myself in this form, Nowever, I would still like to add that now as before I still maintain the upinion that the time of reporting those patients consisted of five years and, in this respect, I am also of the opinion that if the questionnaires had to be filled in by non-Germans that this probably served for some sort of statistical computation whose origin I do not exactly know. I cannot say why this was done.

experts, were returned as ineligible and exthenasis was not applied — is that right?

- A as fer as I can recell, there were 4% to 6%.
- Q How do you know this figure so precisely?
- A Bouhler informed me about it, that is to say, -- yes, it was Bouhlerhe informed me of the fact with the reasons which I also stated yesterday when I mentioned the subject.
- Q Does this not indicate a rather remarkable analytical and diagnostic shility on the part of the experts, with only a two-page questionnaire to wo on?
- A I also asked myself that question at the time but I was assured that a proper questionneire would be filled in by a specialist physician and that a diagnosis would be made by a specialist physician; furthermore, it was pointed out that there the description was not sufficient for the symptoms, corresponding differences could be made.
- Q But you made no personal investigation into this matter yourself, did you?
- A I had been told that it was possible on the basis of this questionnaire to obtain corresponding judgment of the condition of the patient.
- Q Does not this wean, in effect, that the great as lority of patients were assigned to death without ever having been seen by the experts who possed the judgment?
- A The experts themselves never saw the patients. The experts themselve only reached the decisi n on the basis of the questionnaires.
- Q And you seriously suggest to this Tribunel that a psychiatrist can actually determine from this short questionneire whether a person is incurably insone, is that right?
- A In the was to get a total impression as to the condition of the petient was assured to me by the specialist physicians who participated in this matter. After all, this was not the only diagnosis, for subsequently further medical examinations took place; in addition to this, outside of certain exceptions, they had been in an institution for a very long time so that

- 9 How big were the observation at tions?
- A I cannot tell you that. As for as I know, they were whole institutions which had been established and furnished as observing institutions. I have never seen any of them.
- Q You don't know how many doctors they had per number of patients in the observation station?
  - A No, I cannot tell you that.
  - Q You don't know enything about their training or how expert they were?
- A These things were handled only by Bouhler together with the Almistry of the Interior. By opinion is that we dealt with mental institutions which in this case were uniformly established as observing institutes and where partial transports and larger transports were then sent to euthensels institutes.
- Q what procautions did you take that proper examinations would be conducted at the observation stations?
  - A 'All you please repeat your question once more?
- Q What precentions did you take that proper examinations would be conducted at the authenasia stations?
  - A I myself have not issued any measures at all.
- Q And you do not know by your own observation that Bouhler or anyone else took any processions, do you?
- A I am of the opinion that these positions were occupied by specialist physicians and that these specialist physicians had been instructed as to the activity and their responsibility which was connected with it.
  - Q Can you make any of these experts at the observation stations?
  - A I cannot give you any names of these physicians.
- Q Withles, you appreciate the importance of the issue of the manner in which this outhanness program was conducted, do you not?
  - A Y-s, I know exactly.
- Q And you approciate that the only opportunity for personal examination by a doctor on the scene was at the observation station?

5 February-4-DJC-14-5-Lesser Court No. 1

i Yes, certainly.

Q hasn't it part of your duty and responsibility to make very sure that the proper type of examination was conducted on these patients who were being put to death?

A That containly was not my task. In order to carry out this task I first of all would have had to personally visit these institutes and then I also would have had to obtain specialist training, neither of which was provided for me. I was neither able to personally visit the institutes nor di I have any specialist control or supervision.

5 February 47-A-ATO-15-1-Mechan - sw Court No. 1 Q. Ten, I was going to put that to you. Now, on the basis of the number of visits you made to the observation stations, which you admitted was none, are you in a position to tell the Tribunal anything? A. I never visited any observing institutions. Q. Yee, that is what I say, therefore, you cannot swear to this Tribunal about the manner in which the examinations were carried out there; can you? A. I can only say that I am of the conviction that they were rin in a professional manuer. Q. Can you tell us anything about your observations at the Euthunucia station? A. I have visited one institution, that was at Grafeneck. At the beginning of the year or during the first half of the year 1940 I visited it. Few Patients were located there there were approximately thirty patients there and I saw the patients. Then I visited the administrative office, which me established as a registration office. It was then discussed further how the question of the formal written correspondence program should function after the Edmontain was performed. That is the only time I saw such an institution. Q. Was there may one killed? A. No. Q. Do you know how these patients were killed in the Buthanasia Station? A. They were killed by carbon monoxide. Q. Do you know what was done with their bodies? A. The corporaters then premated. Q. You saw the pictures of the Hadanar Institute in this Tribunal; did you observe the disinterment of large numbers of bodies from the general grave? A. Yes, I have seen that. O. You spoke of the humane way in which these people were put to death; I cannot say that you are in a position to testify concerning

5 Feb 47-A-ATD-15-1-Mechan (Welles) Court No. 1

that matter because of your lack of knowledge.

A. Mout the humane manner, will you please repeat that again?

5 Fob. 47-4-ATT-15-2-Mechan Court No. 1 Q I said I think you are unable to testify about the humanewmanner in which those people were put to death; isn't that true? A I believe a big hifference must be made between the pictures of Hadmar and between the Euthenasia Institutes, for example at Grafeneck, Hadamar with the corpees, who had not been cremated, certainly has nothing to is with the performance of Euthanasia, which was known until the end of 1943 and I say that for the reason that at Hadamar the corpses were not cremated, while the corpses, who were accumulated in connection with the Euthanasia program, were cremted. Q Is it your opinion that the Buthanasia stations were operated in the bost possible manner? A I am of the opinion that they were conducted in the best manner, yes. q You spoke of relieving those patients from grant pain and suffering; do you think all these patients wanted to die? A That is a question which I cannot answer in this form, I am of the conviction that the patients ind not have a clear concept of their actual condition because they were insane and amon; them were certainly cases, which in excess of that, certainly were suffering. It is quite possible that a human being, who might be described by the simple word "cramy", might under circumstances not even realize the difference between life and death, In this form, you cannot oven include such people as to the question if they want to die or if they do not want to die. Q I think that you will concede that possibly a substantial number of these victims were fully aware of what was happening to them; won't you? A I is not think ac. If I so back and remember the patients whom I have seen at Grafeneck, they were very door creatures who were all confined to bed and partainly some others may have been there who could be up and were not confined to bed, however, for the most art they more or less would have been confronted with cortain death. Q I think you observed from the instruction sheet, which we went over together, that feeble minded persons and persons suffering from semility were subjected to the program. 2525

5 Feb. 17-17-15-3-Mechan Court No. 1 A Such patients, feeble minded of course to a severe degree and also certain forms of semility could also be included in this group. Q Did you amploy a man by the name of Fritz Bleich? A Eleich came into my office in 19h2 or 19h3. . Q What did he do? A He worked for me in the registration office. Q Registration of documents, mail and the like? A The registration of general mail matters, Purthermore in 1944 when my office was moved from Berlin, he got the mail and brought the mail back to the citye Q Which office was Fritz working in? A He worked in my office. Q Frits Bleich? A Yes. Q You have stated that you had two offices in Berlin; one in the University Clinic and one in the Fuchrer's Chancellery, I ask you whether or not Fritz Bleich worked in your office at the Fushrer's Chancellery? A I did not have any office in the Fueltrer's Chancellery, I had my office in the Reich Chancellary and in the Reica Chancellary was located the Chancellery of the Fuchrer, the Ministry of Lamers and Meissner and there were still several other offices, Q I am sorry, I made a mistake, I meant the Reich Chancellery where you did have an office. Was Fritz Bleich working in that office? A No, Fritz Bleich did not work in that office. My office there consisted of three rooms, one had the telephone agency, one contained the secretary and my collaborators. Pleich came there somewhat later on and it may have been as late as 1943. Q Now, I am asking you whether Fritz Fleich physically worked in your office in the Reich Chancellery or your office in the University Clinic? A No, he did not work at the University Clinic. Q And he was a clerk in the Reich Charcellary? 2525

- A He was employed by me in my office in the Reich Chancellery.
- 9 Herr Brandt, who would know more about the operation of the Euthanasia program; you or Fritz Eleich?
- A I believe that herhaps Fritz Fleich may have more information about it.
  - Q Your clerk is better informed on these natters than you are?
  - A In this case, it may perhaps be possible,
- Q If you consider the Euthanasia program to be in the interests of the patient and not for fiscal purposes, why were veterans of the first world War and those injured in the course of inconstrial labor exampt from take program?

A This question is in the field where I have to may is basically not correct. According to the conditions are the sufferings of those people; it is not right to make these exceptions, however, other considerations were decisive in this case, an order to have these exceptions made. There was the consideration that because of the war and also because of the conscription of labor, the mentality of the people towards such questions would be different than in cases where only pure insanity is concerned, and because of these considerations, the exception of the war installs and the people injured in industrial labor and the actual point of view from which the thought of Euthanseis originated, it would not have been correct to make those exception

- Q You have testified that the authanceia program would have no proper relationship to concentration camps, is that correct?
  - A Yes,
  - Q Why is that so, weren't there insone persons in concentration camps?
- A I am of the opinion that there were no insane persons in the concentration camps,
- Q Upon what do you base the opinion, did you make any investigation to find out?
- A No. I did note However, I maintain the natural point of view that somebody who comes into a concentration cump because of a political or other crime, would have no connection with a mental disease.
- O But you didn't inquire as to whother there were any insone persons in concentration camps when this program started, did you?
  - h Non
- Q You heard the tostimony of the witness Manacks about his visits to concentration camps, what any you about that?
- A Memocke has testified that this exemination, I to not evan want to call it an exemination, was a medical measure that he had the impression it was a purely political racial matter with which he had occupied himself in the case.
- Q Dut Herr Brandt, Dr. Henecke was one of the operating experts under T-4 in Berlin.
- A The program is I personally passed the material in 1961, and it was halted in August of that year. I so not know that after the program was halter that outhanssis was performed in one place or another on a greater scale. It is not clear to me either from what office or from what possibilities Dr. Manacke made his examinations or was able to carry out his examinations in the Suchemwald Concentration Camp. It certainly is not possible that it started with T-4, because from all that has been shown here that what took place in the concentration camps was the sole responsibility and was subject to the sole decision of Himmler. From the files concerning the subject it was shown that Herr Manacke received orders from his clerks in connection with the

Inspectorate for the concentration camps,

Q Now, Herr Brandt, you are a man of intelligence out of the ordinary, and I am sure you remember Mensche's testimony quite vividly. He was called to Berlin in January 1940, given instructions about what he was to do in connection with the operation of suthanasia. In the summer of 1940 he was instructed by perhaps the defendant Brack, who issued instructions to him on at least one occasion he testified, or Heppelmann, or one of the other people mentioned on the chart concerning the suthanasia program. He was ordered by them to concentration camps and to make examinations, and he testified that he visited a number of concentration camps from the summer of 1940 until January 1942, so these visits were started quite early in the program, and were carried out even after you say the program had ended in August of 1941. Menacke was not a concentration camp man. He was not under the direction or orders of Gleucks, Pohl or Hassler. He was a man working in the program which you assisted in setting up, and cetting into operation

A I can only say the same thing again on the subject, I thought that within the concentration camps there were no rescally insame persons and I have never heard or discovered that such our finations had been carried out in the concentration camps, and I have not beard anything about the fact that after the summer of 1961 suthanasia was still being continued by some other agencies. Of course I have not heard anything in this respect from the concentration camps. I am also of the opinion that the fact that Manecke has been sent to camps by order of T-4, that such a decision would not be made either by T-4, without Himmler setting it up such measures could not be carried out in any camp.

According to the testimony which Menecke has "iven here about the examinations in 1941 and the beginning of 1942, it certainly had nothing to do with the suthamasia program, as it had been determined with T-4 or either in the field concerned. New, Witness, I lon't wish to argue with you about it, but it is quite obvious it tid have semething to be with T-4, and Menecke's testimony was to the fact that Brack bimself had ordered him to go to the camputow, there is no dispute that the concentration camps were also in the program

and they had to ecoperate and they did so, and I also will not argue with you about the code name, 14 F 13. The witness Menecke himself did not know that code name, but how do you explain the fact that these concentration camp operations could be carried out by personnel of the authanasia program and they could be exterminated in an Euthanasia Institute like Dernburg without your knowledge, Witness?

A I cannot give you any expl lation to this question. I cannot say because it is not clear to me in what nameer and by what procedure T-4 participated and in what way the connection was established. I can only say I did not find out anything about it, and in addition I want to state if I had found out anything about it I would have done anything in order to stop such measures which later on degenerated into just a plain form of extermination, with all possibilities available to mee In my opinion it had nothing to do with the concept of subtanuous, and I cannot possibly explain it, - first of all how this contact was established, and it is not clear to me with whom the orders for such measures were issued.

I What was the explanation you gave on direct examination about the .

14 F 13 order being sent only to concentration camps in Bavaria and Thuringia;

A I have stated here that my attention has drawn to the fact that the reference number 1h F 13 in connection with what Moreoke has testified at one time, is concerning the istrict of Cavaria and then Hess and all Thuringia, and some document states that some people were sent from the concentration camp to the Duchenwell camp in this action, — I think it was Buchenwell, and from there they were must ferred to Bernburg, so that I am of the opinion that perhaps within estain areas under the Raich Defense Commissioners, are perhaps by order of Himmler, and in collaboration with Himmler; because they listened to these smands these results were carried out in this way. This is an assumption hi perhaps a way to reach clarification on this point, I cannot say anything in addition about this subject.

Q You terified the program was stopped in August 1911 due to the resistance of the church and press; is it true you got the order for the discontinuance of the program from Hatler?

- A Yes.
- Q And then you passed it on to Souhler!
- A Yes, I passed it on to loubl r by telephone.
- Q Why is it the cossation order was given to you since your testimony here indicates you had so little responsibility in connection with the program
- A I was situated in the Fuhrer headquarters, and I received the order from there because I was together with Hitlers
  - Q But the operation of the children's eutherasis continued until 19667
  - A It was carried on until the full of 1944, or the late summer of 1944.

Court Mos I

- Q. Why was the distinction drawn between the two types of outbroasin?
- A. Because in the question of the children we wanted to avoid and prevent the fact, clso because of difficulties with their families and so on, that this should develop further. We wanted to kill and put an end to those deformities as seen as possible after they had been born.
- Q. Now, I understood you say on direct examination that you continued in some association with outhanssin whill 1942; is that correct?
  - A. Until 1942, no. Euthanasia was discintinued in the summer of 1941.
- A. and your testimony is that you had nothing further to do with authorsein after august 1961?
- A. That is something different. I have st too that in the year 1942 I resigned the authority which I had been given by the special decree. That refers to the authority with regard to the children of the Reich Committee.
- Q. Voll, that's what I was trying to jut straight. Now, procisely when did you resign the outh rity which you received in 1 september 1939?
  - . A. I resigned this authority in the spring of 1942.
- Q. Well, you should be able then to give us some information about what happened to the personnel in T-4 and in other parts of the outhannels program?
  - A. I cam t say that.
- Q. You said questionnaires continued t be sent in and evaluated after August 1941; is that correct?
- this meterial was passed on to authornesia institute. In this case it would be 19hl.
  - Q. Did they a ntimus to expertise the questi anaires?
- a. I assume that purhaps they continued to handle them, but I connot say it with cortainty.
- Q. In other words, they continue to a verything except execute the patients, is that right?
  - ... That may have been the case during the first time there, yes,
  - 2. Isn't this another one of these absurdation? Whywere they going

5 Feb-A-JP-17-2-Ninabuck Court No. I through all those uspless motions when the Fermon Reich was in such pressing need of doctors and other medical personnal? ... I believe that the metter of the diagnosis were also discontinued, and only that for the time being a diagnosis was given because these questionnaires were still arriving and for the tile being the personnel was still available and they were occupied with remizational matters. An office had been established and we wanted to restrain from discolving it completely for the time being. That was the only reas no Q. Well, docs it som a bit sinsulass to a intinue to file those questionnaires in vi. of the fact that it could mermally be expected that thousands of these patients would probably die be to the end of the Wer from natural causes or they might recover and be with ut --.. That would have been senseless in part to entinue with those questionnaires. I d not even knew if these questionnaires were completely discontinued. I cannot may that. Q. Fore you feedliar with the feet that the German Covernment was indulging in repressive measures against Jows? A. At the time I did not know anything no ut these measures in the form as I know of them today. I did not know any more than what could be soon generally; that cortain groups of Jows were first of all given " cortain distinguishin, ark and that later on it occurs gon ruly clear that they had been settled in contain areas. Outside of this I did not know enything. Q. I'm did not know that Jose word boin systematically exterminated? A. No, I did n t kn w th t. Q. Do y u n w minit that they were, in fact, systematically murdered? A. No, I did not know it. Q. I am asking you if you n w ka a it? A. Yos, n.w I kn w that this has he could ton great extent. Through this trial and after I was arrested it came to my knowledge. I did not know of it before. 2533

5 Feb-1-JP-17-3-Ninabuck Court No. I Q. Herr Brandt, how do you explain that the extermination of some sixty thousand Germans, using your awn figures, in the outhanssis program was occumen knowledge in Germany as the proof in this case has shown, while a men in your high position did not know that Jows numbering in seven figures were being executed? I do not believe that a number of seven figures was generally known in Gormany. Q. hell, will you make an minesion to ut something less than seven figures? As No, I do not want to do that wither, but I ally want to point out in this way that the number as such is a title coisive fact r because if sixty thousand insend purs as were known, toon, if o urse, the seven figure number of the Jows w uld must certainly have been generally known, but this has not been the case. The fact that authanasis become public -- that can be traced back to the opposition by the church and the fact that the church discussed those questions, and therefore it became kn wn more concretely with regard to the Jews. I have not heard anything like it. I am convinced that the number of these whe had never beard of it or who did not know anything about it within the Reich will be on re us, curtainly within the Reich. Q. I take it from your statument that the roas n for that is that there was no poposition to the Jewish extermination? A. It just was not known. Q: Herr Brendt, did you issue orders directly or indirectly to the Patients Transport Corporation for the transfor of immetes? A. No, I did not issue may such orders. Q. Is the now alankenbur, fudliar to you? a. Blankundurg, yes. Q. Did you give Blankenburg or ors directly or indirectly for the transfer of immates of insano asylums to authomasia stations such as Grafoncok, Hadamar, Hartheim, Bernburg? 2534

### 5 Feb- - JP-17-5-Ninebuck

Grant No. I

- A. No, I did not give him any such orders.
- Q. After the authorssis program allegedly come to a step in 1941 did you ever issue crears directly or indirectly to one of the authorssis stations that immates of insome asylume should be transferred to those stations?
  - Le No.
  - Q. I would like to put to you Document NO-892.
- MR. McRANEY: If the Tribunal Floase, it wight be appropriate to take the afternoon recess at this time until she can locate the exhibit.

TRE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be t recess for a few manutes.
(A recess was taken.)

wite die i. III in REPUTA To The Ter Somet to a min in a section. They is please your Honors, Duleadant Oberhender is absent this is toronon foring base excused by the Iribuni earlier codey. THE DESIDECT: The absence of the be and a west on motor for the regard. ales os parsans o rouss. BY MR. MCHARTS.

3 Horr Brandt, I work to par to you Done has No del. Borr brandt, this is a letter on the letterhead of the Con tal Portage Francisco encouration dated Berlin, 20 July 1915 . ' too weeks' (metabox too Midden a near "Amberg" lahn. It reads as follows.

"In the course of the en question of tratify done stanton, is the Western ter itory of Geron or ordange a trust place, I order trungleds of instance persons to your involuncion also, this ay arrior of Professor grands, the Countrainer-Gereral or in there for hallers and denita furtions

"You will got the doce of July, 1943, but hearred fifty insute which from the punt il thest within his etcan if the Reinheathn will formia's the homeoweary cars as requested. The Languet will be encoupuled by about well- bordens as married pursonnil. I request you to got the Revising and feeding of the mocompanying termonnel of topy are tout one Lours your fastlengton before the following day is order a respect to their or pass. Institution.

"In all capture of bills are expense account, which makes remain from the transport of the sublimite, I'm quest you to madrees the Central Charring Office for a nich is obtaining, they mescarth the W. Barlin W 35, referring to the fact that her prometer has been carried but by the named Bationts Transport Corporation.

#### Foil Hither. "

and I submit to you that the signature is Sleaveners. I wisw of this Latter, don't you now with to change your testiming to the offect that you nover die issue any orders to Flankahran semeraine the movement of inserio put ours to an Buthannein scatters

... The latter certainly common be a mendered to be in contaction with

5 February-w-DJG-18-2-Futty Court No. 1

the Euthonesia station. In the year of 1943 the wir Reid Precautionary Committee, which I touched upon yesterday, was already activated. This was an institution which was activated according to a Roich decree. In this Air Reid Precautionary Committee there were Dr. Conti, and I was also a member of that Committee, and in this air Reid Precautionary Committee I was included because I was already in charge of these special hospital institution

If in this case the Chartisble Petients Transport Company porticipated, they did so because of their transport facilities, because otherwise no transport would have been sveilable. This morning it was already mentioned that this transport which was available had to be specially committed during the winter estastrophe of 1941-1942 with the Group Center. I assume without remembering the details in that econoction that we are here concerned with the same thing, namely, that considering the hospital damages which we had in the less are which then extended to the rost of Germany, a transfe had to be carried through. The name of the Listitution Hademar became known to me through the trial last year, and I do not know where the Institution Warstein is located.

Q Do you know whether these one hurdred fifty insone persons were externinated at Hodener?

A I don't know that and I don't believe it, and it is not known to me that the potients would have to be sent there. This letter does not clarify the situation at all. I morely wanted to point out what it says at the top, the execution of air-temaged territories. Maybe you could tell me where Warstein is located.

Q I do not know, witness. However, you will recell, I think, that
the form letters issued from the Patients Transport Corporation concerning
Euthanesis in 1940 and 1941 usually carried such words as, "Due to planned
uconomy, we must order the transfer of so many patients to such and such
a plance." You recall that don't you?

1. Yes.

Q Do you dony that this letter is in fact an order from the same Potients Transport Coopeny, transferring income persons form an seylum

#### to the Suthenasia station at Hadamar?

- A According to the letterhead we are conserned with the Chartiable Patients Transport Company, GLEH, one of the sub-department of T-4.
  - Q And, moreover, T-4 covered the movement expenses, didn't they?
- A The expenses of the entire Enthanesia Program were dealt with by an advance of Reich Treasurer Schwarz, and these were then accounted with the State. It may have that questions of account have to be dealt with that agency, that is, with reference to transport.
  - Q Wall, Schwarz advanced monics to T-4, did he not?
  - A You. You. That was so.
- Q had T-4 was paying for the trunsfer of these insens persons to Hadamar was it not?
  - A Yes. It was so sn can be seen from the letter,

Q. Did you have any supervisory control over insane asylums in Germany, either during the operation of the Euthanasia Program up until 1942, or thereafter by virtue of being General Commissioner?

A. No. I certainly had no supervisory duties regarding these institutions.

Q. Did you have any authority to give orders and instructions to the doctors of such insane asylume?

A. I do not remember ever having issued such an order to any such mental institutions. I cannot remember any one such event.

Q. Did you ever issue any such orders to directors of asylums
through the Reich Committee or any other agency of the Euthanasia
Program?

A. I do not remember. We could have been concerned with questions of information. Although, I do think that they would have been passed on to Doctor Linden. However, I do not remember any such incident.

Q. Did you ever intervene for victims of the Euthanamia Program, so that they sight not be killed?

A. Since I was only in one way concerned with reference to these Euthonasis institutions, such a question could only refer to a conversation I had with Paster Bodelschwing regarding his patients. That, according to my recollection occurred in the year of 1940 — 1940 or 1941, I am not quite sure.

Q. Now, Herr Brandt, you have 'and sometime to consider this subject very carefully. I am asking you if you ever intervened for the victims of the Buthnasia Program so that they might not be killed?

A. I do not believe that I intervened in that matter since I was always in full conformity of the idea of Buthanasia. We can only be considered with discussions in Bathel, as far as I think, that is where I spoke to Paster Botalschwing. And, this discussion which I carried on with him was decisive, not finally decisive but belied to decide the stoppage of the entire action. I do not remember having intervened on

any other occasion or in any individual case, or h ving given any normal directive. I really cannot remember.

MR. McHANEY: I f the Tribunal pleases, I have put Document No. 0892 to the witness, and would like to have it admitted in the record at this time as Prosecutions' Exhibit No. 442. Heretofore, it seems that the proper

TY M. Moren TY

procedure would be to marke the exhibit for identification with a number, and admit it as an exhibit later. Does the Tribunal think it would be desirable to put an exhibit number on it for identification or would the identification number 0992 be satisfactory. By own point of view is I have noticed Heretofore that the Tribunal desired that the Defense Counsel have their documents normally admitted turing the Prosecution(a case. I do know that before the DeT that the Prosecution's documents and as far as I know also the Defense documents were, in fact, admitted into evidence during the Defenses case. And, I am just afraid there might possibly be some alip up in the latter stage of the trial and we might not remaily get those documents into evidence. If the Tribunal sees any serious objection to it, I am perfectly ready to reserve until a later time to put them in, but it might be best to have them normally admitted at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is of the opinion the better method would be to mark it for identification with the number it would have it it was now received as an Exhibit, and then hold by the Presecution to be offered as an exhibit at the appropriate place at alter time.

abow that Document No. 0892, which was the letter I have already put to the vitness, be marked as Prescention's Exhibit No. 442 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT. The Tribunal calls the Councel's attention to the fact that the copy of the document submitted to the Tribunal is incomplete. It does not correspond with the copy of the document the possess road to the witness. Some preserves are looking, operantly.

THE PRESENTED It is the name in substance, but it is not identical with what the Counsel road.

Tithuss, in view of the testimony you have just given, I wish to Fut the local at the country to the this population because the bear identified as Prosecution's Arbitis No. 643 for identified tion.

(A copy of the document was a mind to the "Atmost.)

Herr Brandt, this letter is dated Berlin, November 16, 1943, and from the letterhoad of the meich Committee for Research of Hereditary and Constitutional severe Diseases. It is marked confidential, and it is addressed to Observet Dr. Schmidt, Sanitorium Richberg. You remember Dr. Schmidt's testimoney before the Tribunal?

The letter reads as follows:

"he: Inmate Anna Gasse from Frankfurt/Wain

"Dear Dr. Schmidt:

Won the basis of a letter directed to Professor Dr. Brandt concerning the above mentioned I request an elaborated diagnosis about the mentioned Anna Gasse who is reported to be at your institution at present. At some time the Oberpressident at Meshaden was concerned with that matter. It seems that the relatives of Anna Gasse try to obtain her release by every possible means. If from a medical point of view such release is warranted, one could take into consideration whether one should not purhaps comply with such request in the interest of the good reputation of the institution.

"Heil Hitler

"Signature: illegible."

Now, Herr Brandt, will you not again have to change your testimony? Diyou not, in fact, intercede for punitive victims of authanasia? Did you not; In fact, get in touch with the Reich Committee and through them, with the director of the Michberg institution?

A I assume that we are concerned here with a lotter directed to me by a member of the Gasse family, and I think I probably passed this lotter on with the directive and request to submit a detailed diagnosis about the condition of the child, probably in order to enable me to inform the parents about this metter or let the doctor inform the parents. Since I know nothing about the further developments of this letter, I cannot make any other statement about it. This letter can only express that I personally passed on the letter which was directed to me to the agency which dealt with the question of research of hereditary and constitutional severe diseases; nothing also, as far as my opinion sees, can be seen from this letter. I cannot remember the incident with that child, nor do I know whether I received any concrete reply in that connection.

Q But, witness, it is true that you were injecting yourself into outhanssis matters at as late a date as November, 19h3, is it not?

A I would probably even have done that in February of 1945. If I was addressed personally I always tried to deal with these matters and reply personally and if I had perhaps the impression that something was not quite in order or quite clear it would have been a master of course for me to investigate such an incident. I believe that quite concretely I have done so in this case. The letter starts, "On the basis of a letter directed to Professor Dr. Brandt". Certainly, some such letter was directed to me personally. I didn't merely put it away, but in this case I requested to receive an elaborated diagnosis.

O But, Doctor, I have put the question to you whether you had any authority in repart to these matters. You state "No", and now you tell me that you have instructions that there would be an elaborate diagnosis of the possible victim, Anna Casse. Isn't there a little inconsistency there?

A I see no inconsistency. It is a matter of course that whether I have authority or have no authority that whenever I gain the impression that something is not quite in order that I should try to do something and clarify the matter as far as I can. In what way exactly I did that probably differed according to the special situation which pravailed. I don't know to whom I passed this matter and I assume this letter is correct. The signature of this letter is not very clear. It is certain, however, that after this matter was pointed out to me through this letter I did everything in order to clarify it. This has nothing to do with the authority as such.

Q But, in any event, you forwarded a letter to him without knowing who be was?

- A I probably passed it on to Dr. Linden.
- Q What would you have ione if you had ascertained that there had been on improper dia mosis in the case of Anna Gasse?
- A That is a question which retreactively after a period of four years or three and a half years I could not answer very clearly. In any event I should have tried to find a correct way of dealing with it - a correct and simpler

way - but I cannot say what we were concerned with at that time. Consequently, I can't answer the question which you put to me.

Q Wall, witness, isn't it perfectly clear what we're concerned with. I take it that the question was whether Anna Gasse was to be improperly executed. Her relatives - her parents were showing some concern in that matter, and I mak you if you had ascertained that there had in fact been an improper him nosis and that she was about to be improperly subjected to enthanasia what would you have done?

A At first I would have made a report to the Puchrer and in any case I would have notified the Reich Einstry of the Interior, in this case Lindan's agency, but all these are assumptions. But one cannot soo from this letter that any such question could have arisen. It only appears from this letter that the numbers of the femily had some desire that this child be released, but it could well have been that I wrote to the parents after I had confirmed that request, and I think that is a matter of course that I would have tried to convince them about the correctness or authanasia. But the decisive thing is that with reference to the children belonging to the Reich Committee the approval of the parents was necessary. It may be that in this connection the parents did not give an approval and for this reason a letter came to me.

I br. Fanit, are you seriously suggesting that no children were subjected to authorsis without the consent of the parents?

A According to my knowledge the approval of the parents was absolutely necessary and had to be given, and it was the task of the agency of Linden to establish connection with the local physicians in order to get that approval. At that period of time I heard of no case where a child received sutpansais without the approval of the parents. The fact that the parents were told that it was some on the basis of approval is something completely different.

A New, witness, this is the first time that I have ever heard mentioned in connection with the authanasia program that anyboly's consent had to be obtained and I take it that it is a rather fundamental matter. Are you really to swear to this Tribunal that the Beich Committee never performed enthanasia on children without obtaining the scasent of the parents of the child?

- A I said yeggerday that the approval of the parents was necessary for the authanasia of children, and I am of the opinion that such an approval was actually given.
  - q Was the approval written approval or verbal approval?
  - A That I don't know. I cannot say that,
  - Q Have you ever seen any written approval;
- A I believe that during the first period, when this authorization was submitted for signature to Bouhlar and me, all the other papers were together with it, such as approvals, etc. It may be that during the later period we were only concerned with the authorization papers and that the other papers were left with the Reich Committee, However, I did see such latters of approval but I don't believe that they were in every case in writing but I think they were partly given orally through the local physician or other agency which dealt with that case.
- Q Well, witness, let's look at this letter again. I find some difficulty in reconciling your testimony about the necessity of consent by the relatives of the child with what's written here in this letter. For example, the third line reads. "It seems that the relatives of Anna Gassa tried to obtain her release by every possible means." If, witness, it was necessary to obtain consent why was there any question about releasing Jana Gassa?
- A I cannot say that either. According to my opinion, the child could not be kept in an institution if the parents wanted it at home.
- Q And the last sentence which reads, "If from a medical point of view such release is warranted, one could perhaps take into consideration whether one should not perhaps comply with such request in the interest of the good reputation of the institution." North you find that language just a bit restrained, witness?
  - A Yes. I think it is very restrained.
  - 1 Letts pass on to another matter.

What happened to the insane asylums in Poland and in Russia in the areas which were overrun by the German army?

- A I must say that I was not included in that program and I was not informed by the Fuehrer about this question. It was never under discussion,
- ? Herr Branit, after 1942, don't you think that you had sufficient power and authority to put astop to killing of Eastern workers in authorasia institutes?
- A Iddic not know anything about it. I did not know that Eastern workers were killed in authanasia institutions. Consequently it was not possible for me to do anything against it in any form whatscover. If I had learned that healthy persons were killed in these institutions I am sure that I would have done everything to prevent it, not only because these were institutions for the sick.
- Q Herr Brandt, let's move along to a different subject. I listened to your testimony with respect to your own power and authority as General Commissioner and Reichs Commissioner and also as to the power and authority of the Defendant Han Boser and the Defendant Postock, with great attention, ont from the picture you have drawn it seems that one must conclude that you had very little authority and ver little power; and that although Handloser was appointed as Chief of the McMeal Services of the Jehrmacht in July 1942, he really was not Chief of the Welloal Services of the Wehrmacht because he didn't have any power; and although hostock was Chief of your office for Science and Research, he had no concern with matters of science and research and that that was just a cross misneser, and was really concerned only with the matter of economy and the allocation of sedical supplies, except that it may have had some passing concurn with trying to keep medical schools open. I would like to investigate with you some of those matters now and I suggest that we first discuss for a moment the position of the Defendant Handloser. He was the Army Medical Inspectorate from 1941 until September 1944, was he not?
- A You must take into possideration the double position which he hald by being army Physician and army Medical Inspector. In his capacity as army Physician he was tied to the OKH; in his capacity as army Inspector he belonge to an institution which belonged to the Home Territory. Since General characters

mas administrated by him together with the ONW and this position he held, I think, up until August of 1944, when, on the strength of a new decree, this union was separated and he himself became, in 1942, the Chief of the Medical Services of the Armed Forces.

- Q Witness, which branch of the Wehrmacht and the largest medical service:
- A Certainly the Army and for that reason at that time, in 19h2, the Chief of the Medical Services of the Army verspromoted to Chief of the Medical Services for the Armed Forces, which includes all branches.
- Q Would you say that the medical service of the army was larger than the medical services of the other branches of the armed forces combined?
- A I cannot say that with certainty but I would assume that, according to figures, that is with reference to the number of medical officers, it was larger than the Luftwaffe and Navy together.
- And fidn't the Army Medical Inspectorate handle substantially all medical problems for all branches of the Mahrmacht with the exception of those medical problems which related primarily to one of the other branches of the armed forces, for instance, aviation medicine?

A The intividual branches of the armed forces worked on medical question by themselves. Secause of this independent activity, remarkable happenings occurred. For instance, in the same locality, let us assume it is the Crimen, where there were certain Navy units, the same vaccination procedure was carried out which was ione at ifferent periods by the army, Navy and Air Force. This is marely an indication that within the individual Mehrmacht branches there was a basical independency of action and it was the desire of the independent Tehrmacht branches to maintain their special position, even with reference to their own academies. Therefore, it was not the case that the army was in any way in a leading position regarding its measures which it took within its combranch and was in any way suggestive to the measures of the Navy and Air Force.

Q. Now, Herr Brandt, after Handloser was appointed as chief of the medical services of the "chrmacht, on 28 July 1942, was he or was he not

A. He was not the official superior of Hippke.

Q. Now, Herr Brandt, we are not in this trial concerned with the right of the superior to punish his subord nate or matters of that concern. I am, however, concerned and this case is concerned with whether or not Handloser could stop certain research work which was being carried on by Hippke as the Luitwaffe Medical Inspectorate?

A. According to my opinion that would only have been possible; that is, to stop them or not to stop them, if we were concerned with matters which equally concerned all branches of the armed forces. But this word, mutual, I think, was expressly mentioned in the decree so that everything which does not represent a mutual basis was outside the jurisdiction of Hendloser and was within the framework of the respective Wehrmacht branch which dealt with any such matter. It may have been the Mavy or the Air Force.

Q. As I put it to you, medical research on typhus, medical research on epidemic jaundice, medical research on yellow fever, are mutual problems. Could Handloser issue or sers to Hippice initiating or stopping research work with respect to such setters?

A. Before giving my reply may I look at the decree once more, which is relevant in connection with this order? That is the decree of the asth of July.

(Document handed to witness).

A. (Con'd.) On the basis of this decree and its formulation and in view of the situation which prevailed, I would not assume that Handloser was in a position to give such a directive. The entire complex of science and research was not mentioned in this decree at all, and if I take into consideration the history of the creation of this decree and taking into consideration the lack of materials, a special readiness for commitment had to be gained.

2549

5 Feb 47-A-MAA-22-1-Ninabunk - sw Court No. 1

In the heading of this decree, the first sentence is of imnortance, which says: "The utilization of personnel and material in the field of And this refers to paragraph 1, newely, the coordination of all tasks common to the medical services of the Wehrmacht at ceters. I am of the opinion that on the basis of this decree Dr. Handloser quite apart from the question whether he had any knowledge or not, could not have been in a position to give any orders to Hippke in his field of science.

Q. Well, this opening paragraph you have referred to talks of "The utilization of personnel and material in the field of medical and health matters demands a coordinated and planned direction"; is that right? Is medical research not a problem very directly concerned with the coordinated and planned use of personnel and material?

A. But that is not meant in this decree. I have just said that you have to take into consideration in addition, the history of the creation of this decree. Nobody, when speaking of personnel and material, will connect this with research work as such.

- Q. Is that right? Well, let's look at Document NO-924 ---
- A. I would like to ask you once more, did you ask me whether that is correct or not? I said that personnel and material questions have nothing to do with research.
- Q. That's exactly what I understood you to say. Now let me put to you Document WD-022 which was introduced as Prosecution Exhibit 435, and read you the words of Generaloberstabsarst Handloser himself, and these words were spoken at the meeting of the consulting physicians of the Military Medical Academy from 30 November to 3 December 1942, approximately six months after this Fuebrer order that we have been studying was issued. He opens his address:

"Gentlemen: The demands and extent of this total war as well as the relationship between needs and availablility of personnel and material require measures also in military and medical fields which will serve the unification and unified leadership. It is not a question of marching separately and battling together, but marching and battling must be done in unison from the beginning in all fields. As a result, as

2550

5 Feb 47-A-MAA-0243-Winabuck - sw Court No. 1

concerns the military sector, the Mehrmacht Medical Service and with it the Chief of the Medical Services of the

Wehrmacht, came into being. Not only in matters of personnel and material, even as far as this is possible in view of special fields and special tasks which sust be considered, but also with the view to medical scientific education and research, our part in the Wehrmacht Medical Service must and will be an unified one. Accordingly the group of participants in this second work conference East which I have now opened is differently composed from the first work conference in May of this year. Then it was a conference of the Army. Today the three branches of the Wehrmacht, the Walfen SS and police and labor service and the organization Toda are participating and unified."

Do you wish to change your testimony, witness?

A. No, I do not want to change my testimony. If this has happened in this case and if these questions went parallel to scientific questions too, it was not something that was based on an order which was initiated by Prof. Dr. Handloser, but it was the realization of the participating Wahrsacht branches to try on their own accord to coordinate their functions. That wasn't the case everywhere, and even on the occasion of such seetings of the East and I don't know who was detailed to attend these meetings, there was some copying done with reference to the various "chrmacht branches.

G. Well now, Herr Brandt, I think that is a ctually what the situation was. Weren't the various branches of the "chrmscht being coordinated by Mandleser and weren't they being coordinated in the field of medical research as well?

A. The academies were not carried on as Wehrmacht academies, but there was the academy of the Air Force, the academy of the Army and the academy of the Navy. Even the Waffen SS had later started to institute their own SS academy. That may have been earlier too. At any rate the academies are not sub-organs of the chief of the armed forces medical services but they remained institutions of the competent Wehrmacht branches and had their names. Dr. Handloser, as medical inspector

and Army physician, had the Military Medical Academy and similar situation was with the Navy.

Q. And he used the Wilitary Medical Academy to coordinate research activities in all the branches of the Wehrmacht, did he not?

- t thick you readly point. These meeting of the consulting physicians were for the purpose of exchanging of experiences, but according to a channel of content. Handleser certainly could not interfere with any of the other research impoles. In such a case the respective chiefs of the Mavy or hir Force would have referred to this decree which only a case of personnelsman paterial direction.
- Hencelosor, and I want you to receil to the works that you a che at the nection of the lilitary added meeting at Manual than I the lilitary added meeting at Manual than in my I 19th which I need not receive the force of magnet 19th which extended New You, was before the force of magnet 19th which extended New You, was before the force of magnet 19th which extended New You, was before the force of magnet 19th which extended New You, was before the force of magnet 19th which extended New You assert extended New York powers a number. The is B ow each Ma-92th, or secution Exhibit his. You said the I library:

"Generaloberstabsarzt Hamiloser, you, a soldier and a physician at the same time, are responsible for the use and the performance of our medical officers." You went on to say, "I believe, and this probably is the sold expectation of all concerned, that this meeting which today starts in Hohen-lichen will be held for the benefit of our soldiers. The uchievements to date of your physicians, Herr General Oberstabsarzt, confirm this unequive-cally and their readiness to do their share makes all of us proud, and I may also say confident."

There'you said a little inter on:"It is good simply to call these things by their names and to look at them as they are. This meeting is the visible expression of it is, it shall be, and it must be so in every respect. The consulting physicians are gethered around their medical chief. When I look at these ranks you, Generaleberstabserst Handloser, are to be envied. Medical experts with the best and most highly trained special knowledge are at your disposal for care of the sold rs. In reciprocal action between yourself and your medical efficers the problems of our medical knowledge and capacity are kept alive."

Nerr Brandt, were those words addressed to a man with no power and sutherity over those medical experts gathered from all branches of the Wehrmacht, including the Waffen SS7

spoken of. That is in compliance with what is meant hard in the forumbation of the decree with personnel. It does not meen that dr. Handloser was in the position to direct the tark done by these contlemen in their various branches. We don't it would have been correct if we had some central medical service, but that did not exist and that on also be soon from the fact that solentific work within the acciemies was carried on under the designation of the own special arm and that it was not seenthing of the chief of the medical services of the armed forces. Otherwise the medical offices would have to be exchanged; that at the specieny for hir force, army physicians would have to be - but that we not the case. They were clearly separated. I think it was only twice a year when they reported on their activities.

Generaloberatabsarst Handleser at that time was the chief of the medical services of the armed forces and was according to this name the exponent. He was just the represent tive, but he was not a representative according to the function.

12. McHaney: I guess the Tribunal mishes to adjourn now and I would like to state again that, of course, since the mitness is now under cross examination he shall not be in contact with his defence counsel.

THE RESIDENT: The rule innounced it adjournment yesterday will be carried out again this evening. The witness will be secluded.

The Tribunal will recess until 0930 o'clock temerrow morning. (The Tribunal adjourned until 6 February 1947, at 0930 hours).

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Roll 4

Target 2

Volume 8

Feb. 6-11, 1947

## OFFICIAL RECORD

## UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NURNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al VOLUME 8

**TRANSCRIPTS** 

(English)

6-11 February 1947 pp. 2554-2869

1947 6 Feb-1-FJU-1-1-restor (FL) Court Ma. I CONTRACTED COPY Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Marl Brandt, ot al, decondents, sitting at Murmburg, Gardany, on 6 Fe-bruary 1947, 0930, Jestice Secis, prediding. THE GRENAL: Persons in the Court room will please find blute sente. The Honorable, the Judges of Military Edbural 1. Military Tribunal 1 is now in consion. God save the United States of America and this tonorable Tribunel. Thurs will be order in the courtroom. THE PRESIDE To the Marshal, will you ascertain that the defendents are all propent in court. THE Williams by it please your Monors, all defendants are present in the Court itoos. THE PRESIDENT: The Sucretary Comment will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court. Counsel may proceed with cross-cassination. KARL BRANDT - Rossand. CROSS KLAMINATION (Continued.) BY ME . MOHA ZY: G .- Horr Er wit, at the und of the mession yesterday we were invostigating the power and authority of General Handlower and I and out be you cortain words which you had spoken in May 1944 at Hoberlye on. I want to ask you on which accession you were ti ht, whother when you stated as May 1944 that Handlosor was responsible for the ese and perfor ruce of themedical offige, Top gather, as you testified here, he was not so responsibley And Tax must not consider the question of responsibility in the saw sense, you must differentiate between the responsibility of the entire consiterat of the modical officer within the army and within the troops, - 2554 -

6 Feb-MFJC-1-1-Foster (FL) Court No. I

in reference to reserves, etc., and the things which are connected with research as we spoke about yesterday. In addition, it has to be added that when I spoke in Hohenlychem in the year 1944 I was speaking about a formal use, and it certainly wasn't some kind of an affidavit that I was making at the time. During such a meeting, where medical officers of the warious armed forces

6 Feb 47-M-FJC-1-3-Fester Court Mo. 1 bringhes and, therefore, it would not have been possible for Keitel to give Georing any such directives in any such event. I think that it is out of the question. Keitel me have mentioned this matter to the Funkror so that he, over Gooring, could give orders to Hippko and deal with the matter, but I don't believe that Koitel on his own initiative could have given any directives Hippke. A Wasn't it precisely the duty and the responsibility of Hamileser to make such inspection trips? I think you wourself stated that you went on such inspection trips with him! Now, having mede such on inspection trip just exactly what did Hamiloser do concerning the conditions which he Found? If I understand your testimony you are telling this Tribunal he could do nothing, is that right? A If he undertook such a tour of inapaction to the Grimen, for instance, or Poland, he informed the respective medical officers that he was an his way and it is quite probable that afterwards he made an according report to the medical officers. But hore we are concerned with the questions as laid flown in the decree, where it expressly anid that it domis with the personnel and material conditront. Q Herr Brondt, let's assume that the untter of which Hamiltoner disapproves falls within the scope of the decree -- I we trying to find out precisely what Hamilocer's powers and responsibilities were in such a case and exactly what orders he could issue, exactly what arm he could go to to have such orders issued, and you have excluded the possibility of Knitol. You have excluded the possibility of Handlosor himself issuing such orders and I am left with the picture that Dr. Hendloser had no powers whotsoever. A The fact that the power that he had at the time was not sufficient is confirmed by the fact that in the year 1944 a second decree was necessary where he received more extensive functions. If in 1942 on the strenght of this decree he neturally had become the medical chief of the entire Armed Forces, that is to say if he would have taken over the entire le-dership and assumed a clour posttion of superiority over all aread Forces branches, this second decree of August 1944 would not have become necessary. In this second decree the wasn't only the separation of his position as the Army Inspectorate and the Medical Chief of

-2556-

6 Fot 47-M-FIC-1-4-Foster Court No. I

the Armed Forces, but it was very clearly established there that he received certain functions. The functions which he received there certainly were not liven to him before because otherwise this second directive would have become superfluous.

quite sure he could not have issued any order to Himler.

Q Herr Brandt, you did not answer the question. We are not concorned with the likelihood a Handloser having issued an order. We are simply socured with the power to do so.And, I have put the question to your When in September1944 Fandloser, as Chief of the Wedic 1 Sertice of the Wehrmacht, could have issue an order to the Chief of the Medical Service of the Army, Navy, Airfoce, and the Weffen-SS, as attached to the Wehrmacht, that no medical experimentation would be carried out by officers of these services upon concentration camp insates?

A If such an order had been issued, the success or non-success and, an order would have occurred whether or not it was in confront to an order which any chief at the Wehrmannt received from his own superior. That is to say, if a directive had been given by Gooring that such and such experiments were to be carried out, and if the order of Handlosor was in contrast to this order, the order of Handlosor's certainly would have no offeet. It would have been merely illusionary.

Q Horr Brandt. I insist that you answer the question with a yes or a no.
We are not concerned with any conflict between an order of Handloser's or an order of Goering's or an order Handloser's. We are simply concerned with the powers and the right of Handloser to have issued such an order to the Chief of the hedical Service of the large, Navy, Laftweffe, and the Waffen-SS, as attached to the Webroacht. I think you can answer that question with a yes or a no. Did he have such powers or did he not?

A without seeing the degree once wore, I cannot enswer this question with without a yes or a so.

Q .lright, Borr Brandt, we will pass on. You do not care to answer that question without seeing the Secree; is that right?

. I cannot answer the question in its precise form with yes or no, wi thout a vine once ore the apportunity of seeing the decree together with the official directive.

Q You ... nationed the name Blowe in connection with a position he held in the Interior.

6 Feb-M-GES-2-3-Beard (FL)

Court No. I

A.- In connection with the fact that he had no position in the Ministry of the Interior.

O.- I understood you to describe Blome as brying two positions; one

Q.- I understood you to describe Blone as having two positions; one with the Party and one with the State?

A.- That is an error. I do not think that I have stated that. Doctor Conti had a double position, but Blose was morely the representative
of Conti in his canacity as Leader of physicians. He was the deputy
leader of physicians while Conti was the leader of physicians. And, he
was Reich Health Leader, as a party function and at the same blow he was
Under Secretary of State in the Ministry of the Interior. Blose had nothing at all to do with Doctor Conti's position as Under Secretary of
State in the Ministry of the Interior.

Q.- And, you tostified that the only job that Blome and was that as Deputy Beich Health Leader.

A:- In his relationship to Jostor Conti, he was only his Deputy.

Physician Lunder.

q.- Harr Brendt, I did not onk you what his job was in relationunip to Conti. I caked you what jobs he hold. Now, will you ploase enswort

A.- Ductor Bloss was the Duputy Leader of Physicians, and I think he had in addition a function in the Party with the main office for Public Realth. He was active within the Reich Research Counsel as plenipotentiary for cencer research. And, I do not know how far his official position in the Party had something to do with the educational anther or whether he had some official name for it, I cannot say, but I did not hear about any other functions of Bloom.

Q.- horr Frendt, your attorney asked you, during the course of the direct exemination, for your judgment on the importance of the experiments with which this case is concerned. Do you remember that?

A.- Yos.

6 Fob-M-CRES-2-3- mard (FL)

Q.- I recall that you tostified, in affect, that all of the appariments charged in the Indictment were important experiments with the oxception of starilization? A When answering the question about the importance of experiments, I amswered in the affirmative, but with that, I did not want to express that at the same time the manner of exacution, and the experiments in this form, were important. I can only speak about that if I had heard then speak who participated in those experiments. The fact that such questions of sulfamily maids played an important part with us, and that its charification was important, and that the effect that high altitude experiments could be of importance because of special demands of the Air-force. It was in this sense that I wanted to establish the importance of the experiments and I, in that connection, pointed to the skeleton collection and sterilization, and these are two groups which have to be excluded from consideration.

6 Feb- JF-3-1-Blakloy Court I Q. Sefer continuing with thes line of questioning, Herr, Brandt, I would like to ele r up ; ur relationship to the or y. Is it true that you hal' a rank in the army? At Tosa Q. What was your rol ti namic b the ray on what r ak it you hold? ... The last time I was Concrelerat of the Honoryo. Q. Did you have any functi n in the army? .. I had no further competion with the ray. After participating inthe first exercises in the jury I 1935 I centioned the exercises up until the be inning f the mir; one buring the wor I was commissioned to exercise functions at the subror's her quartors. Q. Horr 'ren't, do you regar' is original experimentation a haven coines with ut their emeant? me That depends up m what expert onto you are speaking about. The question of appr val cour ing to my pint a plays an essential role in the entire judgment of the experiments, that is, in judgin to you want to use the work criminal. Q. Well, Horr Br n. t, why the you draw a distinction between the type f experiments? Int difference out it who what type of experiment it is if the operionity subject has not a mount to unlarge the experiment? i. Yu can spork ab ut experi wate about y u start using a new drug. One is then if the pinin that it is I use it ut knowing it in its finel tetrils. Even such : thin can b. c asi are? to an experiment; and that is why I wante to ifforentiate between the prodes; and in additi a the question of voluntary r involuntary in ture with reference to an immete playe a paychilogical part, and if he considered immates therefore the wealthave to be clear if oneworth such a question from the stort as original what expericount the is a meann. With. The difficult points regarding two orlors are soon in three p inte. One is involuntary outure, then mainly to lack of necessity fouch in experiment; is, thirt, to increase as for experiment. -25626 February (PL) Court Mc. I attorpting to clicit from this witness his views no to whother each of these experi unto to illegal or original pressure or are you concorned primarily with motter, assuming the prosecution theory of the case to be true, those experiments were illegal or criminal bucause of the unner in which they were executed? MR. Mondally Sell, I think it is the latter, your Honor. JUDGE SARRI G: I think purhaps that is not too plear. MR. Moraldy: I am trying to determine the importance which the withose attacked to comment by the experimental subject in the context of the experiments here charged. JUDO: SERTO : It would some to se that there might be a certain type of so-ordied recical experiment which in and of itself might not so anticioniod to produce poinful or dire consequences. On the other hand I coronand that any type of experient, if continued to expense, might no a original experiment; and I would suggest, if I may, that if that differenciation is ende to the mitrose, purhaps we can got at went we are trying to here more quickly. H. McKAMEY: If the Tribunal plotse, I think I will proceed to putting questions to mim with respect to each experiment in the indictment rather then in the general manner I keye stated it. Q .- Forr Brief, you have heard the continony and evidence in this case with respect to the freezing experiments carried on at the Dachan concentration come. I will ask you to absume that the proof as adduced by the presecution, for purposes of this goostion only, is correct and true; and pre will recall that there was substantial testimony and proof that the experimental subjects in that experiment did not consent to undurgo the appriments, will you tell the Triours! your view on the criminal character of that experiment on that assumption? JUDGE SKEET G: In. McHenby are were concerned now with the emperiment or the water of its concution? Mr. Helensy: The experiment as it was carried out. - 2564 -

## 6 Feb-Y-JF-3-4-Hickley

Court I

NIDGE 5 SERVICE As it was supposed to any been executed in one remove with the prospersion proof?

OR. CHAPAR That is right.

2. Lasuring the Lag riments were corried out in the manner that the prosecution's proof has tended to show, in your opinion was that a criminal experiment?

A. In this form I cannot answer that question. The complexity of criminality is being presented to me and I am asked to judicially evaluate this concept. Basically I can only speak about freezing experiments and the necessity for freezing experiments and I can only say something about that is all theprerequisites which led to the experiment are clear. Therefore, I can only say something about the ethical point of view and this othical point of view is influenced by the manner of the tasks which have led to such an experiment. The most decisive thing in the assignment of an experiment is the following question: Is the experiment important or is it not important? If you refer that question to the freezing experiments, then the importance of such experiments can play a -west under the circumstances of the war. The then circumstances of was ean add the interest of the state to the interest of medicine and then load to the energiation that our freezing experiments have to be carried out. Thun, from a certain point on, we are concerned with the manner of execution 700 execution of such experiments must again be subdivided into voluntary nature or involuntary nature. If, in addition - I spoke about the dangerousness and hurafulness of the experiment then the experiment, if it is harmful, can't possibly be carried out by physicians when considering all human considerations. If any danger comes up, then the physician has to assume the responsibility for the danger in connection with that experiment. Any state order can be a safeguard, and a state order can be interpreted in various ways during a war. But I do not define my position with reference to the freezing experiments and I cannot establish whether such an experiment, from a juristical point of view, was criminal or not criminal.

- 4. Do you think the freezing experiments were dangerous?
- A. Since futalities commend, they were no touche desgrous expertments.

JUDGE SERRING: Mr. McHaney, I would like to address a question to the witness.

witness, for the sake of clarification, let us assume that it would

nave been highly important to the Wehrmscht to ascertain, as a matter of fact, how long a human being could withstand exposure to cold before successing to the affects of it. Do you understand that? Let's assume secondly that human subjects were selected for such freezing experiments without their consent. Let's assume thirdly that such involuntary human subjects were subjected to the experiments and died as a direct or indirect result thereof. Now, would you be good enough to inform the Tribunal what your view of such an experiment is - either from the legal or from the ethical point of view?

INS IINESS: I must repeat once more, in order to make sure that
I understood you correctly. When assigning the experiment the following
things are assumed: highest military necessity, involuntary nature
of the experiment, and the dangerousness of the experiment with the
eventual fatality. In this case I am of the openion that, when considering the circumstances of the situation of the war, this state institution
which has laid down the importance in the interest of the state at the
case time takes the responsibility away from the physician if such an
experiment ends fotally and such a responsibility has to be taken by
the state.

JUDGE SESRING: Now, does it take away that responsibility from the physician, in your view, or does it share that responsibility jointly with the physician, in your view?

away from the physician because, from that moment on, the physician is morely an instrument maybe in the same sense as it would be in the case of an officer who receives an order at the front and loads a group of three or four soldiers into a position where they have to meet death.

That relation, if I apply it to Garman conditions during the war, is in principle the same. I den't believe that the phusician as such, from his ethical and soral feelings, would carry out such an experiment without this assurance of the authoritarian state which gives him a formal and

Court I 6 Feb 17-M - 1-3 - 1J = Karrow

lagal assurance on one side and, on the other side, gives him the order for the execution. Naturally, in this case, it is a theoretical question since I cannot survey the position in the case of the freezing experiment. I don't know how this assurance was given and how the order was given. Basically, I want to differentiate between the order for an expariment which arises from medical needs as such and where, under the circumstances, the state only has a secondary interest on the basis of medical initiatives, and I would differentiate octwoon the reverse state of affairs where the state uses medical activities.

JUDGE SEBRING: The Tribunal has one further question of interest.

In your view, would an order which authorized or directed a subordinate medical efficer or subcrdinate medical group to carry on a
certain medical experiment - let us assume for the moment this freezing
experiment - we have then a general order, let us assume, directing a
certain institute to carry on freezing experiments without delineating
or specifying in detail the exact course of these experiments. Would
you conceive that such an order would authorize the medical officer to
whom the order was addressed to select subjects involuntarily and subject
them to experiments, the execution of which that officer absolutely
knew or should have known would likely result in death to the subject?

The MITHESS: May I have your last sentence repeated, please? This question is extremely difficult to answer. The order has to be taken into consideration which is given in such a case. May I. berhaps, answer with an example of such an order. If Simpler gives an order to a Dr. "X" and tells aim to carry out a cortain experiment, then it would have been possible that such a Dr. "X" did not wish to adhere to this order. In such a c se, however, this Dr. "X" will not have everlooked the importance of the experiment itself, the same way as the Meutement who received a certain military order — and we are here concerned with a military order — does not overlook that he would have to hold out with a group of eight non at a bridgehead and which would end in his death. In spite of that, this officer with his eight non to which he passed this order on would meet his death at that position. So this physician "X" who received this order from Finaler would under the circumstances have to carry out an experiment without overlooking the importance of the experiment which prompted a contral agency.

If a physician and not carried out that experiment, he would have done into a position where he would be taken into account if he had not carried out that experiment. In this case, and there we have to consider the authoritarian mature of our State, the personal feeling and the feeling of a special professional, ethical obligation has to step behind the totalitarian nature of the war.

I must say once more these are theoretical assumptions which I am expressing here. At the same time I want to express now difficult such decisions are if I would point to an example which recently was quoted here, and I must the old a hundred innates in a prison in America who were infected with melaris. I Men't want to point to this example in order to justify the experiments which are under indictment here, but I want to express that the question of the importance of an experiment is basically and remains basically of a decisive importance. Even in that case a certain amount of fatalities had to be taken into account from the start when intecting eight hundred people with maleria.

The voluntary mature which an inte to adopts and with which an innate

puts himself at this disposal is a relatively voluntary nature. I don't totak it is the same if one wo ld receive such voluntary natures from poople who are present here. One has to consider the nammer of the voluntary nature. In my opinion, this round figure of sight hundred speaks against the entire voluntary nature. I would assure that if it was seven hundred tairty-five or seven hundred forty, it would be different, but the round figure of clant hundred seems to lead to the result that there was a cortain order for the experiment before the beginning of the experiment, and those expariments, too, were directed from the point of view of a superior State interest, and this superior State interest, at the same time, takes over the responsibility for the result of the experiment with reference to the experimental subject for responsibility in a medical sense cannot be assumed at all since even a negative series of experiments speaks against the urgency and necessity of these experiments; and particularly when answering the question about voluntary or involuntary, dangerous or nondangerous natures, it is very difficult and almost not possible to say besically with reference to experiments that experiments on human beings, taking all these things into consideration is a crime or is not a or me. The questi a can only be judged when over and above the expected result experiments are still continued. If a result was already established and then further experiments on human beings are carried out, they are not is portant, and with reference to the experiment which is not important which is only a dilettantical experiment, in that case I would from the start assume the word "criminal", but when dealing with important experiments, it must be necessary to take into consideration the entire circumstances . which played a part at that time; that is to say, the important experiments from the moment a result is achieved becomes not important. From that moment on, according to by opinion, the experiment is criminal. Therefore, it will be necessary tout when speaking about human experiments at all, one should put these results at the disposal of the State so - not only to one State but internationally -- so that experiments which are carried out in Russia and proved, results would not be continued in other countries.

with reference to freezing experiments. I can only say in this connection that in a certain form without saying "criminal" or "not criminal" they showed their value. The indication for that is that the results in the merican air Force were considered as something particular and helped the merican air Force to sain years, and I think that these experiments would also be of use within mining works where a number of fatalities occur because of freezing. If you consider the freezing experiments in that high the victims in offect are tragle, and it is to be regretted, but with reference to subsequent pariods this victim is a real sacrifice for words or mybe thousands of people would keep their lives and prolong their lives because of it.

JUDGE SERRING: Witness, this quastion of the necessity for an experiment, is it your view that it is for the State to determine the extreme necessity for such an experiment and thereafter those who serve the State are to be bound by that procedure? I think you can answer that "yes" or "no".

THE WITHESS: This trial shows that it will be the task of the State under all circumstances to besically clarify this question in the future.

JUDGE SEBSING: Witness, as I understood your statements a moment ago, Dr. Br mit, they were that the physician having once become the soldier thereafter must subordinate such medical-ethical views as he may have that may arise in conflict with a military order from higher authority, is that true?

THE WITNESS: I didn't want to express it in that form. I did not seen to say that the physician the moment he becomes medical officer should change hi s basic attitude as a physician. Such an order in the very same way can go to a physician who is not a soldier. I meant it referring to the entire situation as it prevailed with us in Germany during the time of an authoritarian leadership. This authoritarian leadership interferred with the personality and the personal feelings of the human being. At the moment as a personality is dissolved in the concept of a collective body, every

desend which is put to the t personality has to be dissolved in the concept of a collective system. Therefore, the denands of society are put above -overy individual human being as an entire complex, and this individual complex, the human being, is completely used in the interest of that society.

The difficult thing and something which is hard to understand besignity is that during our entire period, and Dr. Leibrand referred to that, that at that adment everything was done in the interest of humanity and so that the individual person had no meaning whatsoever, and the farther the war progressed, the stronger did this principle thought appear that was designated at the end as total war fore, and in accordance with that the leadership of the State quite generally ordered and demanded that orders be carried out. It is a very tragic for a number of persons not only within the framework of these experiments but also in other situations that they are to work under such orders. Without considering the entire situation as it provailed in Germany, one cannot understand the question of these particular experiments at all.

6 Feb-M-SM-6-1-Gross (FL) Court No. I JUDGE SEEPING: Dr. Brandt, is it not true that in any military orgenigation, even one of an authoritarian State, there comes a point beyond which the officer receiving an order subjects himself to individual responsibility, at least in the eyes of civilized society, for carrying out any cilitary orders, particularly if the order is unlewful or transcepts the limit of extreme military necessity? A .- There was a general law stating that an officer does not have to carry out on order which he realized is a crime, but the question with reference to those various experiments is whether the order concerned can recline what he is doing is a crime. If he can realize it the, according to my opinion, he connect follow the order. MR. MolalEY: You have stated that where we are concerned with an experiment which is extremely important for the State, then the Doctor who is director to carry out such an opportment is extrical and is not responsible for any death which may occur. Is the person who issued the order responsible in your opinion? A .- Are you referring to the freezing experiments and would you tell me who gave the order? Q .- No, we are going back to the hypothetical question put to you by the Trinumal which stated that an officer ordering a subordirecto to carry out an experiment, which we will assume was of State importance, that that abbordinate carrying out that experiment upon unvoluntary subjects, some of whom died, as I recall you stated upon those assumptions the doctor who carried out the experiment which was of State importance was relieved of the responsibility because of the totalitarian State. Is that correct? A .- I said generally that in such a case the state as such must take many that responsibility beforehand, that is , from the physician. Q .- Now, I would like for you to tell so, whether enyone in the State enyone such as the one who issued the order, must assume the - 2573 -

A .- There is the question whether he can assume it. Then consider. ing those series of experiments it oust be dependent on who was justified to decide about the life the experimental subject. If I consider the case of concentration camps, the, according to my opinion, the corson who is alone responsible was Himmler himself for it was only with his consent, or only on the basis of his order such experiments were carried through. These people attached to the concentration camps had been handed over to him and, therefore, he bore the responsibility for these for these people for their living or not living, If Himalor has given such an order, or if he ordered the execution of such an experiment, the according to my opinion, the responsibility was with him, a responsibility which be had to assume in his position as the supreme deciding was in blood effairs.

Q .- Suppose you have a case where the experiment is ordered by the Chief of the Indical Services of the Luftwaffe. The experisone is carried out by the Luitmoffe doctors, and all that Mismler does is to who the experimental subjects available to the Luftwaffe, Does Himaler bear the sale responsibility there or does the Chief of the Medical Services of the Laftwaffe sise there the responsibility?

A .- According to my opinion the responsibility in that respont rested with Himmer alone and not with the Chief of the Medical Sorvices of the Air Force because without Wimmler's consent and furnishing of experimental subjects that made it possible to carry out such experiments. The Uniof of the Medical Services of the Luftwaffe couldn't have carried them out. There is perhaps a very clear proof for the fact that the resonability rested with Himmler for these experiments were cirried out, so to speak, in his house within the framework of the concontration camp. I don't believe in this case the responsibility ban be attached to

6 Peb-M-9/-6-3-Gross (FL)

the Chief of the Medical Services of the Luftwaffe. The Chief of the Medical Services of the Luftwaffe could but forth the problem and the ergency but in that manner he would have remained at a vacuum if the person of Minuler, together with his personnel and human beings at his disposal, would het have helped his putting at his disposal his apace in his camps.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. SAUTER (Counsel for the Defendant Dr. Ruff): Mr. President, before the Brandt case is continued I should like to present to you the following matter and ask you for your decision and for your assistance. On the 13th of December applied for a witness, Dr. Erich Hippko, that is, the former Chief of the Medi-Inspectorate of the Luftwaffe. This witness was approved by the Court. His when abouts were unknown. I endsayored to find out the address of the witness. At the beginning of the trial he was at liberty and shortly after the beginning of the trial he had been put in a British prison in Hamburg. In response to my application he was brought to Nurnberg and I was informed that the witness had arrived here. This witness had also been approved for the defense counsel of Conerel Field Marshal Milch and had been brought to Nurnberg for him, too. In the last few days I have repeatedly tolked to the witness, Dr. Hippke, in order to determine whether I am to submit as affidavit from him or call him to the witness stand for examination. Testerday ofternoon, between 3:00 and 4:00 a'clock, I was talking to the witness, Dr. Erich Hippke, in the interrogation : room. The Prosecution had a Lieutenant Corrett telephone down to the interrogetion room and say that I was to stop questioning the witness, Hippko, inmediately, and that during the next 8 days the witness could not be questions by any defense counsel. On the basis of this situation I ask the President to cotice whether this action is correct and whether I have to accept it. According to the rules of the first Nurmorr trial and also eccording to the rules of the present trial, if I understand them correctly, a witness who has been requester by a defense counsel and has been approved and has been brought to Nurabore it the application of this defense counsel - such a witness is primarily Available to this defense counsel. I do not believe that under the rules of American trial proceedure the prosecution has the right to destroy this right of the defense counsel because they perhaps also want to examine the witness. If such a right is given to the prosecution in the future, then, in effect, the defense counsel would no longer have any claim to examine the witnesses has requested and to propere for his case. I therefore ask for a ruling of to. Court on this question, which has a curtain basic importance for the Pronse. As defense counsel, I do not went to claim anything for myself which

is not my due under American Triel Procedure in a fair trial, but, on the other hand, I cannot give up any of the rights which are granted me by law or by the court. This is especially true if I would thereby come into danger of being unable to complete my document books as a result of this delay and turn them in for translation. Mr. President, that is what I had to present to you.

THE PRESIDENT: I have not the facts of this situation entirely in mind.

I remember signing an order some days since, sequestoring this witness for a period of 8 days only, after which he would be open to examination by any of the defense counsel. The Tribunal will examine the record at the earliest possible moment and announce its ruling on the matter. I potice, however, that sounced for Defendant Ruff, on the 30th of January, advised the Scoretery Guneral that the witness, Hippko, was no longer required as a gitness for the Defendant Ruff, The Tribunal will take this under advisement and advise counsel at the earliest possible segent of its ruling.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, say I and something? When telking to the witness Hippke, I had at first had the impression that it would be sufficient to submit an affidavit from this witness and I had worked out the affidavit together with the witness, Hippke. At the last moment, however, the witness, Dr. Hippke, told me that for some reasons he did not want to sign this affidavit. Therefore I shall presumably have to call the witness, Hippke, to the stand after all. I may add that the same thing is true in the case of attorney Bergold, who is defending Field Marshal Wilch in the trial downstairs. The witness, Hippke, was also approved for him and he too is not allowed to talk to this witness may more and we do not understand whether that is right or not. That is way he are asking for a ruling of the Tribural.

THE PRESIDENT: It was not my intention to indicate that counsel would be bound by this notice of January 30. He may still call the witness if he desires to do so. The Tribunal will announce its ruling on the matter shortly. Has counsel for the Prosecution anything to say in this matter?

The McHANEY: I thind I may be able to clear up the situation to the mitual satisfaction of everyone. It is not correct that Hippke was found through any efforts of defense counsel. We have been looking for Hippke since May of 1946, and we discovered, through our own efforts, his address in Hamburg.

Upon the request of the Prosecution he was there arrested and kept in Hamburg for a short time and then brought to Nurmberg at our request. In the meantime, certain of the defense counsel applied for him as a witness and, of course, we area no objection. On the other band, the Prosecution takes the position inthis case, and will take a similar position in the case of Engene Hangen, for example, that the Prosecution has every right to interrogate such persons before they are turned over to defense counsel. In this particular case, due to some misunderstanding on the part of the Prosecution and the Socretary constal, it happened that certain of the defense counsel in this case and in the Milch came interregated Hippke at some length before he had ever been seen y the Prosecution and, as a matter of fact, exhibited to him a substantial unber of documents which form the basis of the record here; and, as Hippke inself has put it, he is now so confused that he doesn't know what he can estify to from momory and from what he has seen in these documents. Consequently he Prosecution asked the Tribunal here to rule that the Prosecution have one we in which we had the exclusive right to interrogate Hippke. At the conclusion that time he shall, of course, be symilable to defense counsel for Ruff of any other of the defense counsel and I think there will be no delay in recording with this case.

Dr. Bergold in the Milch case has requested the t Hippke appear as a witness corrow in that Court and he has also requested the right to interrogate opke this afternoon. I have conferred with the prosecutor in the Milch case, a line agreed, on the part of the prosecution in this case, that that is missible, but the remainder of this week we would like to have Hippke which to the prosecution for interrogation and on next Monday he will be highly to defense counsel at any time they want him.

DR. SAUTER: Just a minute, please, ihr. President, I do not believe that I can be estimated with this explanation. It is my opinion that this case would be estable for reaching a basic decision of a basic question. The following is the issue. As I understand American trial procedure, the defense is to be put on an equal basis with the procedure, the defense is to be put on an equal basis with the procedure; I apply for a mitness; br. Bergold also applies for him. The mitness is unknown; we send one of our associates to Hamburg and this man finds out the address of the witness, then the witness is brought tw Nurmberg. We nould assume as a antitor of course, that he comes as our witness and that consequently he is at our disposal so that we can propage our case. We believe that in such a case the prosecution should not have the right to examine this witness without our presence and mitnest our knowledge.

If we work from the principle that in general, as far as possible, the defense is to have the same rights as the prosecution, then in my spinion this action is not quite right. If the case were opposite; if the prosecution brought a witness here, then the defense does not have the right to say. "I will now examine the witness one week for the defense and when I am finished, then the prosecution can have him."

Of course, the American prosecution knows American trial procedure better and we defense counsel are dependent on experience in the first trial and the rulings of this tribunal, but I would be grateful to the President if this question could be cleared up. In my opinion it is necessary so that the trial can proceed quickly and without difficulty and in a fair canner. Thank you.

MR. McHANET: I can only repeat, there is absolutely no disagreement between the presention and the defense about their right to their can disagreement itsesses and the presention certainly does not take the position that it was interrogate any of the defense witnesses in the absunce of defense coursel, but it we happens there will be certain cases, such as in the

6 Feb-M-SMG-8-1-Moothen (FL)
Court No. I

case of Hipoko and such as the case of Hogen, where the prosecution has for many months been looking for these persons and suddenly they are found and then both the prosecution and defense are interested in interested in interested this person as a possible

witness.

Those are just situations that will have to be worked out as they come up. The Prosecution can do nothing more than take the position that when we find than and bring them to Muernburg, on our own effort, then we have the rights to interrogate them first excluding the rights of the defense to interrogate them.

Now, hopphe was not found through the efforts of the defence, his address was found by us at the Aero fedical Center in Heidelberg and we then got in touch with British authorities at Hamburg and agents of the British authorities arrested him then and he was then brought to Hamberg. But, by some strange coincidence defense counsel also found his address and located him before that time. Why, it is no more than a coincidence and I don't think there is any fundamental disagreement as to the right of the defense counsel with respect to these witness, but we will have to have these cases came up from time to time and they will just have to decided upon when they arise.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, Mr. McHaney, can you give some indication to the Tribunal now as to the races these witnesses that you say you have been looking for and are anxious to get as witness, who perhaps say also be used by the defense. You mentioned the same Heppke and now Hogon.

Mr. MeMALES's How on and Heppic are two that come to mind; there are of course many other numbers of possibilities that we listed when we first started investigating the matters at issue. We drew up a list in excess of 250 to 300 doctors whom we felt either were implicated in some unmor or who at least had some knowledge of the matters at issue. We circulated that list with the American and British authorities to try to locate them. Some were found and a great number of them were not. We could make that list available to the Tribunal. On the other hand, even though a man is on our wented list, if the defense counsel locates him first and brings him to wearness as a volunteer witness, then I am willing to con-

6 Feb-W-355-5-2-Momhan (FL)
Court No. I

looking for him. Of course, we don't claim any exclusive right to the man even though we have been looking for him and of course we don't claim any right to arrest kim at an appropriate opportunity

6 Fab-M-ENT-5-3-Hookan (FL)

if he is wanted as a war criminal. But we do insofar as being a witness and insofar as interrogations are concerned, if we shall locate the man first and bring him here even though the prosecution says he is a defense witness. On the other hand, if we are looking for him and bring his here, just because defense counsel has put in an application for the witness, we cannot take the view that we have lost our rights to him as a prosecution witness or shall we waive our rights to interrogations.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, may I make the following remark, the last thing that the Prosecution just said is precisely what oppresses us, As things are done now I must feel that every time I bring a mitness here or give the mitmass's address, that the Prosecution them says, "Stop! We have been looking for him for a long time. We will examine him first for a week", and after he has been examined by the Prosecution for a week thon of course the defense can have him. That is what we are protosting against, at least that is what I am protesting against. My point of vious is if this procedure is applied on a large scale, it might be better to chance the practices of legal procedure entirely and to say, no matter whether the witness is brought here by the defense or the presecution, in any case the prosecution is to have the right first to examine the witnoss, and when it has no more questions to put to the witness, then the defense may question him. I may point out semething clse, Gentlemen, this witness, Hippko, has been here in the prison for sometime. The case of the prosecution cas long been finished. The prosecution did not produce this witness, and as far as - kno: it and not submit an affidavit from this mitness, therefore, in my opinion, the prosecution has given evidence that it is not interested in this witness for this trial. Now, as the defense has begun the cases for the defendants, in my opinion the prosecution should no longer have the right, as far as this trial is concerned, to examine this witness without the approval of the defense counsel, but at least it should not have the right to keep the nitness for itself for a certain period. These are my objections. Those are my objections on the basis of my knowledge of American trial procedure, and concorning which I ask for a ruling of the Court.

THE PRINTED T: Dr. Sauter, in your opinion how vitally necessary is it that the defense counsel shall have procedence over the prosecution or vice-verse so far as priority in examination of any particular witness or interrogation of any particular witness is concerned?

6 Fab-M-Mik-9-1-Maloy (FL)

DE. SAUTER: As far as I can judge from the customs of the first trial, American law is that the side which has named a witness has priority and 6 Feb-M-Mak-9-1-Maloy (FL)
Court No. I

Dr. SAUTER: As far as I can judge from the customs of the first trial, American law is that the side which has named a witness has priority and

6 Feb-M-MAA-9-2-Feloy (FL) Court No. I

that the other side can examine this mitness only with the approval and in the prosence of the opponent. That was the procedure so far as I know. If the prosecution brought a mitness here then the defence counsel can talk to this witness with the approval of the prosecution, and only in the prosecution of a number of the prosecution. Now, we are told that defence and prosecution have the same rights. If that is true, then it would have to be exactly the same in the opposite case. In an opinion it should not matter whether it was the prosecution who brought the witness to Numbers, or whether it was the defence which brought the witness to Numbers voluntarily. If he does not ment to come I cannot sould a joep after him, put him in it and bring him to Numbers by force. The Prosecution can and must. Therefore, it makes no difference who brings the witness to Mumbers.

Le defense counsel were told the mitness, Hippke, could not be found. Then we sent one of our associates to Hamburg, He found out the address of the mitness, and Dr. Sergeld and I told the General Secretary Office the address. Whether the prosecution was trying to find out the address of the witness and whether they found out this address by themselves, I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: It will accomplish as a matter of convenience in expediting the preparation for trial, if the witness is soing to be brought here personally to be personally present as a witness by the Court rather than to take his affidavit, then as I understand you are merely confronted here with the procedural inconvenience, because the presecution has interregated him first. I suppose that is the point, because theoretically I think that the Court must assume that any witness having been placed under path will be assumed to speak the truth under eath, no matter by when he is first interrogated or by when he is questioned. Is that not true, sir?

DR. SAUTER: Theoretically, that is true, but in practice the proce-

6 Fcb-M-Mak-9-3-inloy (FL) Court No. I has a different view. If that were true what you have just said then it would make no difference whatever to the presecution who examined the witness first. If the prosecution is interested in questioning the wite ness first and demands that when the defense counsel questions the witness a member of the prosecution should be present, then the decembe should have the same right, or one should say in the beginning that the Prosecution and defense do not have the same rights and we would have to adjust ourselves for tint. THE PRESIDENT: The Triburel will tele the matter under advisoment and announce a ruling as soon as possible. DR. SAUTER: Thank you. G.- Horr Brandt, if an order was issued to a medical officer instructing him in goneral terms to carry out a freezing experiment without stating the meanur in which the experiment was to be a rformed, would you may that this medical officer was responsible for the manner in which this experiment was carried out? A .- My point of view would be according to a phrase I used yesterday, that the officer would have to keep the experiment on as small a scale as possible and the possibility of a positive result should be assured; but he is responsible for the manner of execution of such ex " periments, since an experiment may be extended unnecessarily and may show accompanying exaptons which have no connection with the question at issue. For all these things the person arraing out the experiment would be responsible, that is to say for the monner of execution of the experimanb. Q .- Horr Brandt, is it not true that you held positions of great power and neaponsibility in the medical services of Germany after July 19427 A .- ith reference to the tasks which were assigned to me, you. Q .- Couldn't you even direct Gorman doctors to disregard the Oath - 2584 -

6 Feb-M-MA-9-3-Meloy (FL)

of Hipocratos?

A.- I think the Cath of Hipocrates is not an eath which is to be changed as a law. The Cath of Hipocrates is a formulation concerning moral

6 Fob-W-MA-9-4-Moloy (FL)

and othical obligations. I do not believe that anyone is ordered not to observe the noral obligations of a doctor. If I said anything about this it was that the medical and moral attitude must be the docisive thing as for as the doctor is goncerned. I do not know whether that is an answer to your question. That is how I understood it.

Q.-Didn't you on at least one occasion, and I now have reference to a matter other than authorasia, direct that dectors should not be bound by a cortain provision of the cath of Hipocrates, and a certain provision of the smally accepted code of ethics for physicians?

Q .- I do not believe that I lesued any instructions, the word in ...

\*tructions would mean something general, to observe anything but the medical point of view.

Q. To refresh your recollection, witness, I want to out Document NO-119 to you.

(Document handed to witness.)

- Q. (cont'd) Witness, have you had an opportunity to look at the forunent?
  - A. I just see it now. 100y I reed through it?
- Q. Will you look at page 3 of the document? Is this not an order from Hitler giving you authority to instruct all German doctors that they are relieved from their please of secrecy concerning their patients and further giving you authority to direct them to report confidential information received concerning the condition of their patients?
- A. These were not my petients. It was an order signed by Hitler saying the following:

"I not only relieve physiciens, medical practitioners, and deatists of their oledge to secrety towards my Commissioner-General Professor Dr. Karl Branct, but I place upon them the binding obligation to advise him - for my own information - immediately after a final diagnosis has established a serious discase, or a disease of ill-boding character, with a personality holding a legding position or a position of responsibility in the State, the Party, the Wehrmacht, in industry, and so forth. (signed) Adolf Hitler"

- Q. You bessed this order down to all physicians, doctors, and dentists in Germany through the agency of Dr. Conti. didn't you!
- A. I myself did not case on the order, but as far as I rocall, Martin Bornann passed on this order from Hitler and Martin Bornann probably added the necessary words so that it was prochined as necessary.
- Q. Well, look at the second page. That's a letter from Dr. Conti to the heads of the medical chambers, dated 9 January 1943, "Subject: Fushrer Decree on Suspension of Pladge to Secrecy in special cases. Gentlemen: I am sending to you enclosed a Fushrer Decree which I received from Frofessor Dr. Brandt." Wouldn't that indicate that you passed the order form?
  - A. It is possible that I passed it on.

were not discussed yet. I assume that Handloser's description of the experiments, if he had known it, would have been different from the one which has been given here.

Q. Well now, Herr Brandt, for surposes of enswering this questi n, 1 want you to assume that Handloser told you all the details of these typhus experiments; that the subjects were concentration camp immates who did not volunteer; that they tested various typhus veccines by artificially infecting the experimental subjects, most of whom had not been previously protected by vaccine; that he further told you that each month they bicked out six concentration camp immates and infected them with typhus for the sole purpose of having typhus-infected blood available for the experiments; and that he further told you that a large number of people were dying as a result of these experiments. What would you have done after receiving that information from Handloser?

A. That is such a theoretical question in a form in which I cannot answer it. I consider it impossible.

IE. NELTE (Commed for Ecodoser): Mr. rresident, a hypothetical question is being asked by the prosecutor. This hypothetical question, of course not intentionally, but in fact, contains accusations against a defendant who has not yet been examined and concerning whom no facts have been proved which would justify such a hypothetical assumption. The same question could be asked if the prosecutor said, "What would you do if someone, anyone, reported such and such fact to you." The pentioning of this one defendant defendant his character without any possibility of his defending himself.

this is a hypothetical question and is put to the witness with certain essumptions made. I used the defendant Hendloser because Handloser is mentioned in the decree of 28 July 19-2 and according to the prosecution he was subordinated to some degree to the witness on the stand, and I wanted to try to establish what power, what authority, what influence, this witness would have brought to bear if he had knowledge of a criminal exactment. He denies such knowledge. We will attempt to prove, and I think we have already

assume that knowledge is brought home to him by someone who is subordinated to him in some degree and try to get an answer from him which will give us some indication of the steps he would then have taken.

THE PRESIDENT: The use by counsel for prosecution of the name of the defendant Handloser has no effect upon the Tribunal. The Tribunal understands that this is simply a hypothetical question. The name of any nerticular defendant might well have been subsitted but the Tribunal understands exactly what the prosecution intends by his question and the use of the name of the defendant Handloser is entirely without prejudice to him.

6 Feb-M-DAG-11-1-Porty (FL) Court No. I BY Mr. MORANEY: Q .- Non, witness, tell us what you would have done if you had recoived this information? A .- If I had received this information, I would have reacted to it in a certain form, but this would have had nothing to do with the position which I hold at that time. My position in respect to tr. Mandlesor at that time was not in anyway that of subordiration of Hundresor be me. That is a decisive factor in this question. If I disregard my position at the time, if I masume that the year was 1941, I would liret have tried to not more information and find out countly what had happened and what too reasons were, and in some form possible to me I would probably have tried to clear up the situation if it was described to se as oritical. With regard to my position as Commissioner General and Hendlow ris position, my position in respect to Handlower, my position in 1942 wan clear that it was a question of coordination of general mode with ruspect to the Civilian Health Service, and within the framework of this work, I could have taken action if it was a special task which had been assigned to mo. fire fould you have gone to Hitler? A .- I would probably have gone to his efter I had discovered what was going on by myself. Q .- Do you think your influence with Hither was such that you could zvu had this amoriment stopped? A .- That is also a hypothetical question which I shall however, try to answer. It would be very important what the experiments were and what the purpose of the experiments was. It would be important whether by himself had given the instructions which I sight have learned only at that mount. I could have referred to various points. He would probably in the case of typhus cite ensualty Figures, and it was said that in such and - 2590 -

6 Feb-M-DJG-11-1-Putty (FL)

such an army group there were so many deaths from this disease and that he would to have the information discovered about the therapy, and it would have been possible for me to have said it is inhumane. In that case he would have given so ten or twenty photographs from his deak of the last air raids, and at the end he

would say openly: "I don't care how I win the war; the important biding is that I do win it." I went to show that the possibility of such a thooretical attempt to prevent experiments would have been limited in its effect. As far as I am concerned, it is an open question whether in such an actual case I could have prevented an experiment or not.

Q.- Didn't you concorn yourself at all with medical experimentation on human beings during the time you were Concral Commissioner?

A.- You may be referring to the letter of 1943 concerning heantitis epidemica concerning which I said yesterday or day before that it is not clear to see to what extent this letter was written by Gravitz data the first of June. Up to that time I had promoted research in the field of epidemica, at first, and that to clear up the virus question I had suggested to Gravitz that experiments should be carried out, Thes I was formally brought into connection with these experiments after my time in 1942.

G .- Wall, mitnose, I seem to get a different picture now about this

6 Feb-M-MMF-11-la-Putty (FL) Court No. I latter than the one I received from your direct exemination. Do I unforstand timt you now simit that you spoke to Grawitz and suggested to him that experients be carried out on concentration camp invates in connection with journice virus? A .- No, You did not understand at correctly. I said when I began this sentence that this assumption may have originated with this letter. Two or three days ago I said that it is my opinion since I had not done any work in connection with he patitis coidemien beforehand that there was probably confusion here, but at the moment I cannot prove it, of course. I pointed out that one year inter on the same question of montitis unidenica Mr. Schroiber, who was in charge of upidenic control, wanted to carry out such experiments. C .- But, mitnues, you now stated that you yourself were not concornsomers available to Dohmen as stated in the letter. A .- I connot remember that I asked Grawitz to do this. timo? blum.

ed with jaundice remember and that you did not ask Grawits to arke pri-Q .- . oll, word you interested in jourdies research at about that A.- The question of Joundies as such was not a specific question

which affected no personnally at that time. It was a question which was encountered by everyone in the East. The problem of hepatitis epidemica as a disease, as an opidemic, from the year '41-'42 on was general pro-

Q .- Did you concern yourself with experimentation on human beings in connection with any attor other than this jaundice problem?

A .- I am not aware that I occupied ayaulf with experiments on human beings dealing with other diseases in any way.

Q .- what it not one of the main reasons for the issuence of the moand Fuckror Doores on 5 September 1943 that Conti was refusing to anpardinate Missulf to you?

6 Feb-16-EHR-11-1c-Putty (FL)
Court No. I

A.- Continues not subordinate to me and could not subordinate himself to me niter the first Fuenrer decree. The first decree had not
said that he was subordinate to me or that I had any authority over him
with the exception of cortain tasks of coordination. The Second Decree,
as I said, was issued

6 Feb-M-SSM-11-2n-Putty (FL)
Court No. I

primarily because of the material differences. As far as Contiplayed any role here personally, they were differences concerning x-ray machinery, x-ray apparatus. I gave an x-ray company the assignment to establish our supply of x-ray apparatus. Conti told this company and the head of the company not to carry out this assignment. This was the conflict with Dr. Conti in connection with the Second Decree askds iron the general medical program tasks which were the most organic things.

Q.+ Dign't this Second Docree expressly state that year authority covered the field of sedical science and research?

A.- As far so it is to be understood in the suite of Dearce No. 2, I established an office for that reason called Office for Science and Research.

THE PRISTREAT: The Tribunal will be in recess until 1:30 ofclock.

(A recess was taken until 133 hours.)

## Corrected Copy

## LFTERNOON SESSION

THE MAPSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. SEIDL: Mr. President, the defendant, Dr. Oberheuser, wishes to be excused because of her health today after 3 o'clock.

THE PRESIDENT: On request of Counsel for the defendant Oberheuser, the defendant Oberheuser may be excused from attendance in the court room after 3 o'clock this afternoom.

The Tribunal has considered the question raised this morning by Dr. Sauter for the defendant Ruff, and under the peculiar circumstances of this case, the Tribunal orders that Dr. Sauter be permitted to talk to the witness Hippke from this time on, and the order previously issued by the Tribunal is modified to that extent.

The Prosecution may proceed.

MR. McHANEY: If the Tribunal pleases, with respect to the ruling just made, is the Prosecution required to apply or obtain the clearance from any and all the Defense Counsel prior to the interrogation of Hippks on the part of the Prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not the intention of the Tribunal to include any such provision in the rule just made.

## BY MR. MOHLNEY:

Q. Herr Brandt, I would appreciate it if, in answering the question which I will put to you, if you would make your answers brief and concise as possible in order not to prolong the interrogation to too great an extent. We were speaking of the second Fuehrer's decree of 5 September 19h3. This decree empowers you with centrally coordinating and directing the problems and activities of the entire Medical and Health Service. Was it part of your functions pursuant to this decree to coordinate and direct medical research?

The sense of the wording in that decree was to avoid having research assignments going entirely to one or the other, and in order to insure that if three or four agencies were working on the same subject, that they be coordinated to start on a common task; that is

Court I 6 Feb 47-4-FJC-12-1-Beard-LJC to May, the results of one group should be accessible to another. This fact accelerated the completion of the tasks with which we were commissioned. Q. Well then, if I understood you correctly, it was the purpose and function of your office to coordinate all medical research work in Germany? A. The word "all" goes a little too far perhaps. In order to do that, I would have had to have an extensively large office, a larger agency than I had. Q. Did you receive copies of research orders at the time by the medical service of the Wehrmacht and the civilian sector? A. I assume that certain information came from the civilian and the military sectors. Q. Didn't that decree then give you the power to stop experimentation on human beings, if you had received knowledge of such experimentations and regarded it is improper? A. In such a case, the question would have arisen about whose arm was longer. For instance, if the Reichmarshal, through one of his delegates, maybe within the framework of the Reich Research Counsel, and perhaps in connection with Birmler, had order tasks, such an influence on my part would probably have not been possible. Q. I can agree and understand, Herr Brandt, that in a given situation it may be that your objections would have been overridden, but my question was whether you did not receive authority to intervene with respect to medical experimentations, to the best of your ability and power? A. The question of human experiments was not touched upon at all when this decree originated. It was neither assumed nor rejected with that order. What we understood by this order was an order to our scientific academies. It was a completely different line of thought than these which have arisen here. That is why the question with reference to the decree is a question put retrospectively, and not a question which was considered when the decree originated. 2595

Court I 6 Feb 17-A-MAA-13-1-Blakley-LJG

he did that, it had to be understood that I was doing it and he was merely taking some of the burden of my work.

- Q. I understand, Herr Brandt, that you accept responsibility for Rostock's actions; but I now want to find out the extent of his cooperation and activity with you prior to 5 September 1943. Now can you give me any period of time prior to that date that he was active with you?
- A. It is hardly possible to give you any date. Maybe he knows it himself; but I really could not fix a date.
- Q. You had offices in the university clinic in Berlin, the same building in which Rostock had an office, did you not?
  - A. Rostock was my chief there, yes, clinical chief.
- Q. What was Rostock's connection with the Reichsforschungsrat, the Reich Hesearch Council?
- A. After I became a member of the Reich Research Council, that is, after November 19h2, then at a later date -- I don't know whether it was 19h3 or 19hh -- the question arose that a representative had to be appointed for the Research Council. When this question arose, Rostock took over his duties as my representative as a member of the Research Council.
  - Q. Do you remember more exactly when that took place?
  - A. No, it is not possible for me to say that.
  - Q. Ims it before or after the decree of 5 September 1943?
  - A. I can't say that.
- Q. As chief of your Office for Science and Research, did Rostock investigate what medical assignments the Reich Research Council had made?
- A. He approached certain organizations in order to find out what research assignments were given out; and I assume that he received material from the Reich Research Corneil for that purpose and then received current reports on the work which was done. But whether he ictually turned to the Reich Research Council for special information, I cannot say at the moment. I am sure that he will be able to testify about that very clearly.

Q. Did he not investigate these research assignments and see what was being done and then decide on their essentiality?

A. I think the question was first as to the subject; he was informed about the subject. The manner of the execution of these research assignments and the extent of these assignments was certainly not reported in that form. Neither did it happen that Rostock or my department, Science and Research, were concerning ourselves with these questions alone. There were also certain departments in the ministry of Speer which started functioning in the year of 1966.

Q. Didn't you and Rostock have the power to decide on the necessity and priority of all medical research work?

A. The question of urgency of these research assignments was not directed by Rostock nor by me. The degree of urgency was dictated by certain urgency priority designations which were given by the various research groups. I don't think that I could answer that question with "yes."

Q. Didn't you and Rostock then have the power to decide on the necessity of certain research work?

A. It has to be considered in the light that one could aid institutes to carry out work.

Q. And you also saw to it if two or three independent groups were working on the same medical problem that their efforts were coordinated, did you not?

A. It could well occur. I think two questions arose. Two or three groups could mork on the same field; for instance, at various places, work was done on penicillin. But that has to be separated from the fact that scientific research itself had certain organizational frames. For instance, there was the Reich Research Council; and then there was a department, Science and Research, the Ministry of the Interior with Dr. Conti. Then, of course, there was the Ministry of Education itself, which dealt with such tasks within its institute.

19 11

- Q. Herr Brandt, New sould you and Rostock perform this coordinating function of medical research without knowing what was being done
  in respect to medical research by the various groups working on a
  given problem?
- A. This task could really not be fulfilled in this manner. In order to fulfill it completely, it would have become necessary that I hold a much larger position and a larger office than Rostock had at that time. Rostock, in addition to his other work, has managed that work. The question was that certain matters of science and research had to be aided and academies also belonged to that question. The question which you put on the basis of the wording of the decree was not in accordance with the factual events as they took place.

- Q. Wouldn't Rostock, as Chief of the Office for Science and Research, go to the Reichforschungsrat and investigate what medical research was being carried on there and direct that priority be given to one medical research task as against another?
- A. It would perhaps take such a proposal, but the profession medicine within the Reich Research Council was not headed by him. For that there was a special expert designated, and he in turn was subordinated to Goering personally. It is the same relationship as was discussed with reference to Generalarst Schreiber. In this capacity as plemipotentiary of the Reich Research Council, he was also only subordinated to Goering. Therefore, it was only possible over Goering's head; that is, only with his consent to give a directive to the professional expert in the Reich Research Council. It would have been different if Rostoct had made a proposal to this professional expert, and he had approved it and then started to work on it, or executed such work. This, however, still means that this professional expert was independent.
- Q. Herr Brandt, Wilhelm Goering was interrogated about the Medical activity of the Seichforschungsrat from the same chair in which you are sitting, and I am sure it will come as no surprise to you that he was no more anxious to accept responsibility for what went on within the framework of that organization than you are, and as you say you were too busy with other matters, the Reich Marshal protests the same; and I am new trying to investigate to find out just who can be responsible for these medical activities at the Reichforschungsrat, and I ask you whether Restock, to your knowledge, ever proposed or ordered anybody in the Seich Research Council to give priority to certain medical research work being sponsored by the Reich Research Council?
- A. The Reich Research Council was an institution which was subordinated to Goering by special decree. Before that, it had been an
  institution of the Ministry of Education. On the strength of that
  decree, Gooring became, as a president of the Reich Research Council,
  that man who had to assume Rostock could make any proposals as to

Court I 6 Feb 47-A-ATD-14-1-Maloy-LJG degrees of urgency of the work that was done in the Reich Research Council, I cannot say, and I should like to say again that he probably could talk about that. If in this case, the expert in the Council, and here we are only concerned with medicine, if he in turn recognizes that proposal of Rostock this does not mean to say that Rostock was the superior of the Roich Research Council. According to the charter of the Reich Research Council and according to the material that I know about that matter, the expert was very clearly subordinated only to the President, Q. Your answer is then that you yourself do not know of any specific instance when Rostock proposed or ordered that a certain research should give priority by the Saich Research Council, is that correct? A. At the moment I cannot remember that anything like that has happened. I think it possible that he proposed it. He would probably know more about it than I do. I really appointed him for the purpose of being active in the Office for Science and Research. Q. But you assume the responsibility for his acts pursuant to the operation of the Office for Science and Research, don't you? A. Cerhainly, I assume it. Q. Now, the decree of 25 August 1944, gave you the title of Reich Commissioner, did it not? A. You. And that gave you an office equivalent in rank to a Reich Minister, didn't it? A. My position was considered as a position of a State Secretary. Q. And since you were subordinated directly to Hitler, your office was in a position similar to that of the Reich Youth Leader, Von Schirach in the organization Todt? A. I made no comparison before. That may be an administrative political question. Q. Well, Wilhelm Frick has drawn a chart on which he shows your office, your holding an office similar to Von Schirak in the organiration Toda? A. I should like to say in reference to the position of my office, 2601

Court I 6 Peb 47-A-ATD-14-2-Maloy-LJG

Minister Lemers could make some statements, since he at that time played some

part in the framing of the decree in the year 19kh. Frick maybe had some kind of imagination about it; but when I became Reich Commissioner Frick had not been Reich Minister of the Interior for a year and a half, so he spoke as a Reich protector for Bavaria and Moravia, so I don't know if he could overlook a situation like that!

- Q Did the decree of August 19hl give you any power in addition to that which you had as a practical matter on the 5th of September 19h37
- A He said that the authorities at that time were not opposed and he therefore gave me the responsibility to carry out the tasks which were assigned and the basis for the assignment was the decree of July 1942.
- Q Well, precisely what additional powers did you receive in the decree of 25th August 1964?
- A The decree stated that in order to carry out my task I had the right of directive to those agencies which were dealing with questions of medical services, that is State, Party and Armed Forces.
- Q Conti really knew he was your subordinate after this decree, didn't he?
- A Neither Conti became my subordirate on the basis of this decree or Concrelstabsoberst Handloser. If it had been one it would have been the other.
- Q Didn't Conti, as a matter of fact, subordinate himself to you after this decree of 25 August 1944?

A No subordination was mentioned in this decree. This decree was not a decree which created any Ministry of Health where I became its chief, but on the strength of this decree my possibilities of functioning were extended, — and the word "extended" probably wouldn't be quite right - "Strengthened" would be better. I have stated that the decisive thing for the creation of this decree was the attitude of Conti, who prohibited his associates to the tid me in my tasks which were assigned me by the Reich.

Court I 6 Fab-A-GES-15-1-Gross-LJG Q. Well, that is what I say. After this decree, Conti was no longer able to put up any objections, was he? A. Certainly he could raise objections. We were not concerned that I became his superior in the Ministry of the Interior but we were concerned that whenever I received any assignment I could only carry it out with the air of other institutes. The budget of my office was kept so low that it was impossible to carry out the task which I received. As I just mentioned, the work I had in my office Planning and Economy, it was necessary to extend it by aid of the Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Speer, and maybe use Industry itself. The Four Year Flan at that time no longer played a decisive role. Since, however, that was not possible, the situation was that the tasks were carried out with the help of certain parts of these agencies. But I did not become the superior of the Ministry of Speer, the Labor Ministry, and the same was true in relation to Dr. Conti. If a demand was put to me to carry out some additional medical care, for instance in the Ruhr territory, I could only do this with the support and administrative help of the Civilian Health System, Dr. Conti on one side and the agency of Generaloberstebsarst Handloser on the other side. If one of these parties rejected such aid, the carrying out of measures which I had to carry through was made impossible. Whenever I took over such a task I was always responsible for its carrying out and I could only be that if I received aid from the two participants accordingly, but there was no relationship of superiority. Q. This decree represented scmething of a triumph over Conti and Bormann, did it not? A. The circumventing of Bormann could not come about by the signing of this decree. Q. But he was opposed in fact to you, was he not, Herr Brandt? A. Yes, that was so. Q. So it would be true to say that the issuance of this Third Fushrer Decree did constitute something of a triumph over Bormann on your part? - 2603 -

A. I would be ashamed if the word "triumph" would play some part

... I can only repeat what I have said before. In such a case the question of anterial accessity is more important than any personal feeling. I know at the time after this degree, without referring to these events that you have just mentioned, I know at that time I had hardly any contact with Martin Bermann. The question of a subordinate of Conti under me arose but it never came about and certainly was never intended by the decree. Otherwise some other title, some other position would have originated than Reich Commissioner. The position of Conti and Generaloberstabarat Hand-loser were exactly the same to me. There was no talk about that whatsoever,

Q Did Hitler ever show his appreciation of your good work by gifts of money?

- A At one time I received money from the Puehrer.
- Q When?
- A Furhaps was in the year of 1943, or perhaps 1942. But, I do think it was 1943, but it may have been 1944. But, I think it is more perhaps 1944 than in 1942.
  - Q How much money wore you given?
- A At that time I received money amounting to 50,000 marks. And, about 30,000 remained for myself because of certain expenditures I had at that time

- Q Conti was a member, who he not?
- A Yes, Conti was a mamber of that Presidial Council. Yes.
- Q Bormann?
- A I don't know who the individual members were. There is a list available among your documents where they are all listed. I don't know who exactly compiled that list. In the Presidial Council of that Reich Research Council, that is to say, a meeting of that Council never took place and I never learned that any such meeting came about. It was in the autumn of 1942, subsequent to the decree giving the Reich Research Council to Goering, there was an introductory meeting of the Reich Research Council in its entirety where about 150 to 250 persons attended. That took place in the Ministry of Aviation. At that time no differentiation was made whether anyone was a Fresidial Council member or Plenipotentiary and I cannot say who the in-

- Q. Herr. Brendt, the document which you have reference to was objected to and consequently it is not in the record; so, therefore, I am having to you a few questions about the Ruich Research Council and the members thereof. If you do not know, then simply say so, very shortly, and we will proceed.

  Was Himsler a number of the Presidial Council?
  - .. I do not know that.
  - Q. Koitel?
- A. I cannot say. I could only say on the basis of the list which I saw here and which I do not know whether it is correct!
  - Q. oll, you recember Monsol?
  - .. Tenzel, yes.
  - Q. and you remader Osenberg?
  - ... Caupbors, yes.
- Q. So we have 6, counting angel and Csonberg. They are all doctors, aren't they?
- ... Oscoberg was cortainly not a physician and beneal was not a physician cither.
  - Q. to only 2 doctors on the Prosidial Council, as far as you know.
- that Osenburg or Manzel were in that tresidial Council. I was in a creep together with Osenburg and I was of the opinion that he was there on the basis of his activity in the clanning Office within the Roich Research Council, but, if course, I may be wrong.
- Q. Did you know that the Def namet Sievers was deputy to cancel in his capacity as the engage of ...
  - A. I did not know that at the tire.
- q. You know that the oper time section of the Reich Research Council was divided into scientific depart ants are fields of planipotentiaries, don't you?
- A. There were certain meads of expert Coperta ats and certain plenipoten-
  - Q. and in these expert or scientific de resents they were concerned with

6 Feb-A-JP-16-2-Lussor Court I a great number of things other than addical research, weren't they? For example, they has a department on physics, chasistry, mathematics, metals, ongineering, agriculture, forestry, and geo-physics, did they not? A. I have seen a list home and because of that list I saw the numerous kinds of departments which existed within the entire organization of the Raich Rosearch Council. Q. Now you remember the name Sauerbruch, don't you? You know who he wis? A. You, I know that. Q. Wesn't he head of the scientific department for medicine of the Reich Research Council? A. He was the head of the scientific department and I think the name was "classical mudicino". Q. and in the field of plenipotenticries you had Schreiber as plenipotentiary for epituaics. I think you have already stated that, haven't you? A. You, plenip tentiary for the contting of apidemics I think it was. Q. And Blome for cancer? 4. You. Q. and did you know a man named Jotton? .. Jotten - Jotten, yes. Q. He was plunipotentiary for lung discess, wasn't he? A. You, the se-called lung due . . ibecau. Q. Do you rucall any other heads of scientific departments r lumipotentheries concorning acticine? - No. Q. Now, doctor, I put it to you that there were only two members of the Presidial Council of the Reich Research Council who were dectors and there were only four members of scientific deportants or fields of plenipotentiaries who were doctors. I ask you, weren't each of you | entlemen familiar with the work that the other no was doing? ... I don't think so. -2608-

6 Feb-4-JP-16-3-Losser Court I Q4 Didn't you ever consult with Somerbruch, Blome, Schreiber, or ony f them? ... As far as I remember I did not speak to Sauarbruch about any questions with reference to the Reich Research Council. I occasionally met Swerbruch but that was mostly in connection with completely different questions, serely of a consultative nature. Neither did I speak to Blame about questions of emeer reserred as for as I recollect. And I cortainly never spake to S Schreiber about the combatting of spidemics. At the beginning of the war I occasionally saw Schroiber; After 1942 or 1943 I hardly saw him after that. as far as I recellect, I saw itr. Jetten only once and that was at a period of ti e when the Institute for the combatting of lung-dust disease had not you existed. Q. Did Montel over consult with you? ... I talked to enzel regarding general academic questions. Honzel was not only the business manager and president of the Reich Research Council but his important task was to leadership if the Department science within the "inistry of Education and this department contained the Sub-department Medicine, I spoke to his with reference to this Sub-department Sedicine within the "inistry of Schootion but as for as I know I never discussed any research traks with him. Q. Did you know enything to ut or have any connection with the assignment issued by the woich Rosearch Council to Rascher to conduct dry freezing experiments? i. I did not know that. I larred count those experiments here for the first time. Q. Did you know anything about or have any connection with the assignment lasted by the Malch Research Council a Mirt of Strasbourg to conduct gas experiments? ... I "i' not participate in this asignment and I did not know about it. I heard ab at Hirt's activity and the work itself because of the final report -2609\_

## Court I

which Dr. Sievers brought to me in 1 croh of 1944. But even at that time I did not know that this essignment and this work were done in connecti n with the Reich Research Council, or were initiated by the Reich Research Council.

- Q. Did you know anything about the support of the Reich Research Council and the Luftweffe of Haegen's typhus, yellow fewer and jaundice research?
- A. No. I only learned about these experiments here, on the basis of documentary evidence.
- Q. Were you or Rostock or any one in your office connected in any way with the research conducted by Rascher, Hirt, and Hasgen, or any one of them?
  - i. I don't know ab ut that.
- Q. Did you know that concentration on incates were being systematically used in Servery for added experientation?
  - A. No, I did not know that.
- Q. Bid you never hear anything about such experiments other than the occasion, which I think you have already admitted, in connection with Gobbardt's experiments?
- asperiments of in, in, that one had no knowledge at that time. If we very I was now informed no ut these experiments. Regarding the experiments of Mirt, for instance, I was not Mirt's place at the on' of April 19kh, and I did not gain the impression that we were concerned with experiments on some concentration camp immotes corried through in camps.
- Q. Herr Brandt, one if the important issues here is the extent if your knowledge about medical experimentation on concentration camp immates and I want you to be very frank with us and tell us everything you know about that subject other than these few instances you have already mentioned. Do you limit y urself to the experiments specifically particularized in the indictance. I am interested in knowing the full extent of your knowledge about the use of concentration camp immates for experimental purposes.

as such. It happened without any particular case or without indicating any certain procedure. Whether he came back to that subsequently
or during the war, I do not remember. At any rate, it did not occur
in my presence, but I am of the conviction that he did that especially
in view of the personality of Himmler.

Q. And you state it is also your conviction that this must have been so because even Himmler would not have undertaken such things without being covered by Hitler; is that right?

A. I only drew a parallel with Martin Bormann and I should like to remind you about my discussion regarding Pastor Niemoeller. It is possible that the discussion between Himmler and the Fuehrer could have been carried on in a similar manner. It may well have been that the Fuehrer said something like that quite generally and Himmler then understood it and applied it to some specific case and then on his own initiative continued to deal with it.

Q. Herr Brendt, I know you have been interrogated many times, but I would like to ask you if you remember having been interrogated on this same subject, should a Mither order, in August of 1945, possibly by a British officer.

A. I don't know that any order went from Hitler in that form in 1955.

Q. You did not understand me. I asked you if you remember having been interrogated by a British officer in 1945 on this same subject of audical experiments?

A. In August of 1965; it is possible.

Q. Here is a report I have in front of me, it indicates that you stated: first, that Hitler himself had ordered condemned criminals to be used for medical experiments; and secondly, that nobody should be purished for obeying orders; is that an accurate account ?

A. I can do nothing with these two sentences.

THE PRESIDENT: Has the witness been shown a copy of this Document in German?

MR. MCHANEY: If it please the Tribunal, I den't attach such importance to the evidence necessary and I will just withdraw the question. This is simply an interrogation report, it is not a verbasin report of the interrogation. It shows March 24, 1945 by hajor Gill. It was done by an organization known as FIAT; a Field Intelligence Allied Technical organization.

THE PRESIDENT: Where was it made?

MR. MCHANEY: At a comp known as Dustbin rether close to Frankfurt. I am not sure of the exact name of the city, however, we will not offer this "comment in evidence. I am simply trying to refresh the witness' recallection and I am asking him new about this Hitler order and whether or not it was actually issued.

THE PLESID INT: The e unsel can ask the witness if he knews of any such order and if he remembers any such order at this time.

BY HR. "CHANET:

- of Eitlor, about which you stated it was your conviction that it was issued; do you know only as on about it than you have already teld us?
- A. I den't knew an order in that form, with reference to this interrogation of Captain Gill, which possibly originated after a number of discussions, it must be a formulation which he made for his own purposes, namely, a repetition of what I have said.

  Those questions were put to no at the same time that the chart originated about my functions and physicians when only partly true statements were rade.
- O. Horr -randt, did you know a Koltel order that the army was to have nothing to do with appriments on human beings?
  - A. No, I den't know that order either.
- O. If he did not in fact issue such an order, how do you explain the theitel know that medical or riments were being carried out on human beings and that you did not?

A. This presidly is similer to yesterday's remarks about montal institutions in the ecators territory. These things a uld have been discussed during conferences on the situation in connection with the billy reports on the various illinesses, etc., and at such appartmenties these experiments could well be mentioned. I assume that if Keitel received reports through such a channel, I think it is quite possible that any results of such experiments were reported to Finaler to Fitter and this was done in connection with such conferences on the situation; therefore, Meitel get to know that it. These conferences on the situation were usually of great length and they often lasted for hours and Fitter himself often spoke for hours, buring such an appartunity it is quite possible that some such thing was mentioned.

THE PRESIDENT: Sufero proceeding with the exemination of the witness on those focuments, the ribunal will recess.

( A recess was telen.)

5 76 17-1- ...- 18-1-Karrin Sourt J . 1 The .... 5) .L: 1 ers ns in the Court R ... will please find their scats. The Tribunal is again in sessi n. my it please Y ur Heners, the delement Cherhouser has availed herself of the permissi a granted earlier today by the Tribunal and is, at the present time, absent fr .: the C. urt. THE THESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the absence of the defendant Oberhouser pursuant to excuse by the Tribunal. IN LAW HORLEY: Dorr Brandt, I have handed t you D cument No. 1309 which has been introduced as a rescoution Exhibit 326. It concerns biological worfare and is an official note signe. by Blieve concerning a conversation with Professor Blose on 23 February 1924. D: you find the sentence about the middle of the first paragra h where is says: "Dy request of Field harshal Moitel, the aread forces are not to have a responsible share in the experiments since experiments will also be a newtood on human buings"? " Yes, I have found the soutence. Q Koitol was not a doctor, was he? MS. 4 Q How do you explain that Ecitol, Chief of the High Command of the John neht, would know about such a matter as this while you state to this Iri unal that you have no knowledge of systematic use of human beings in .odical ox orinentation? A I mesuno that Keitel obtained this knowledge from the discussions of the situation, which have already been mentioned, at which these toings were apparently also discussed. Those were basic questions of biological warfare which were cortainly liscossed in this connection. Q Dut, Herr Brankt, isn't it reactly devicus from this sentence that moited has some knowledge of gravi us experimentation in a moontrati n can innates and that he knew that these biological warfare experiments were scrething of the same sort and he knew that he did not Wish to be associate! with the himself and so issued this or er? -2615-

- It just booked that this was a report on a discussion between Miliams and Blome. It is assumed in the question which is asked of me that what is stated here corresponds to the facts. I believe one should not in. Elieve and possibly in. Blome about this discussion.
- Rorr Brandt, you have been asked by your own counsel about supporting this iceen Institute of Blanc's which was concerned with questions
  of biological worfers. Was this west support which you assured Blanc
  you would give simply in the nature of helping him with construction
  problems?
- A It was only help in report to a natruction questions, not in connection with biological werfere, but in view of his institute which he intended to establish there for the eneral production of vaccine, I may call it that. I said that the inquiry to which this 'comment refers was between Blane and me by telephone and that a question of biological markers and possibly any furthe crosulting human experiments were certainly not discussed by telephone. I have also said that I wrote a letter at that them to the construction effice which was helping in these matters in the ministry for Armanent and var production and asked that Mr. Blone might be helped to establish his institution. In this respect, in connection with human experiments and so forth, there was nothing more between me and Blone.
  - a hat was this serum that he was to nonufacture?
- A I cannot say exactly what kind of surus it was. I only remember an institute in which he wanted to make arrangements to be able to produce various suruss. Fr m later discussions I know that he considered developing various substitute products, but I cannot give any exact information about that either.
- Q Will you lt k at the second sentence in the second paragraph where it starts, "In particular, Professor Blune sees an obstacle in the employment of the Chemical Marfere Section of the Army Ordnance Office. Therefore, he wishes to make the suggestion to the Reichs Marshal and

O mercharet refessor Dramit that the esseciation be disselved and he be paralited, not through the interposition of the Chemical Jarfero Section f the Army Ordnance Office, to requisition simplenes for experimental purposes directly from the Air Force inistry. Any should be montion your name in a smeetien with a stop estima that the Association

- A. I do not know. Perhaps he himself will be best able to say why he did that. He certainly did not report the matter to me, and I could even assume that he did not report it to the Reich Marshal. The name, Blitzableiter, I learned of for the first time through this document, 1309, itself. I never heard it before.
  - Q. Did you have any connection with this chemical warfare section?
- A. Chemical warfare? With the chemical warfare section I had a certain amount of connection under the anti-chemical warfare program which began in 1944. It was a testing station for the necessary defense materials, and in connection with Luftwaffe Ministry's own testing stations, but it was certainly a different group of people who worked on these matters than the ones connected with this matter.
- Q. Well, Herr Brandt, I rather thought that you had something to do with chemical warfare and Lost problems before Goering's order of 1 March 1944. How long were you connected with this chemical warfare section of the Army Ordnance Office?
- A. There was a Colonel Rirsch, whom I believe I met for the first time in the middle of March this. I did not know him before then.
- Q. Well, Herr Brandt, this document is dated 23 February 19hh, and I must assume that you were therefore interested in chemical war-fare problems before the order of 1 March 19hh, that has already been mentioned in the record.
- A. I have already said that this question was certainly cutside of that. The decree, because of which Mr. Sievers visited me at that time, it was dated I March. In my opinion the question of Blitzableiter and my connection with the Blitzableiter is to be traced back to Blome's idea, who approached me about his general institute, and the reference that he wants to report to the Reich Marchal and Generalsarst Dr. Brandt that the association will be dissolved and so forth has no justification in my opinion, has no basis in fact. Mr. Blome could not have had any reason to think that I had anything to do with the central office for the "W H Prufstelle 9" before 1944, and I myself do not remember that I met these gentlemen for any other reason.

5 Feb. 47-4-173-19-2-Mirebuck Court No. 1 2 Herr Brandt, did you have any connection with any experiments with gas prior to 1 March 1944? a In the fall of '43 I was informed about general laboratory experiments which a Professor Bickenbach had made. These were experiments such ashad been done in Strasbourg and I believe in Hoidelberg too, and these are the only ones which occur to me in this connection. I also know that I no longer remember the date I was informed in a conversation that experiments had been conducted on cadets in the Military Medical Academy, but these are things which had nothing to do with the problem of "W H Prufstelle 9t. Q How did it come about that Bickenbach's experiments were reported to you? What interests did you have in his gas experiments? A I had no interest in his gas experiments. I met Bickenbach for another reason, for a different reason, and then when we met again he informed me about what he had done. Q And what did you do with respect to Mickenbach's reports? Did you have any other association with him? A Later I helped him to arrange a laboratory in Strasbourg. Q And that's the laboratory we heard some mention about from one of the witnesses from Strasbourg, was it not, Herr Brandt? A I assume that it was the same one. Q Do you recall that the witness Schmidt testified that there were rumors in Strasbourg that Bickenbach was experimenting with gas in one of the eld forts? A Yes, I remember that. Q Do you also recall that he said that those rumors included the use of human beings by Bickenbach? A No, I do not remember that from the testimony of the witness Schmidt, and it might have been a rumor, I don't know, but it is improbable since Bickenbach himself had approached me on the question of animals and I myself made every effort to get suitable experimental animals. And I do not believe that the fact that animal experiments are conducted justifies the conclusion that aminal experiments are conducted in order that human experiments may be -2619Court I 6 Feb h7-A-ATD-19-3-Ninabuck

Furthermore certain misconceptions concerning the effect of maximum doses of several poisons can be corrected only by experiments on human beings. As soon as Professor Blome has conferred with the Reich Marshal and Generalsarat Professor Brandt, he will notify me."

Did Plome confer with you about these virus vaccine experiments on human beings?

- A No.
- Q Did he confer with you about the poison experiments?
  - A No.
- Q Let's turn to the next page of the document; the memorandum dated 22
  May 19th directed to Elitzableiter. In the last sentence it says: "Furthermore,
  a nebulizer with motor drive has been built which exterilizes and disinfects
  the air in the room. The apparatus can also be used simultaneously for the
  purpose of decontamination. As soon as the various experiments have been completed the apparatus will be demonstrated to the association."

Herr Drandt, is that the apparatus in connection with the decontamination of poisoned water that you have previously mentioned in direct examination?

6 Feb 1/7-1-20-1-Samps n Court No. 1 ... (Cont'da) There is a difference in discussing the machine tools to docuntarinate air and apparatus to docuntarinate water. Do y u know whether a German law provides for in ris mont in c.accentration camps of criminals tried by ordinary German courts? No. You were a condenned criminal in April of 1945, were you not? Yos. Q Sentenced to death for the alleged treason; is that right? Yos. Q I assume that you do not regard your trial as a fair one -- that is the trial for treason? No, bucause the sentence had been established beforehend. Horr Dranit, of your own jors well experience with German criminal justice, must you not conclude that hundreds of thousands of emcontration cal innertes were 1 properly incarcurated and condenned to death? in the 'asis if my am experience I am convinced that that is so. O How many of hoontration calls have you visited? i I 'I' not visit concentration come exactly; once I was with ir. Michael: I was utside the emp of Matawoiler where he had his animal station, and had set up an emergency laboratory which he wanted to give up and he wanted to show no what it was all about. On this coasien we war, at the error itself and we picked up some administrative official there who e minete us. Then, as for as I recall, it was during the war ; I want through the easy Lauthousen, when the stone quarries were to be extrained and the Fuchrer w s in the nei hearhead. Q More these the only to concentration canps you have ever been in? W These were the comps which I say Had you ever been in Samon Hauson or Granien'ur ? I tes in Granion ure noc before the war. 0 I u were never there during the wer? I think it was tof fo the wer, perhaps in August or July, 1939. 13 Have y u over loca in Dachau as the witness Woff testified? -2522

- A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been in Buchenwald?
- A. I was not in Buchenwald.
- Q. I am glad to hear you mention that you had been in Nataweller in connection with Dr. Bickenbach; you neglected to say anything about that when we discussed Bickerbach a few minutes ago, and we will return to that subject a little later. Now, Herr Brandt, do you remember having been interrogated in my presence on 5 November 1946; at the interrogation -- the interrogation you went over with your attorney last Tuesday afternoon; the document that was introduced in the record. That was Document No. 1730, Prosecution's Exhibit 441. I don't have a German copy to hand you right at the moment, but I just want to review with you some answers you gave me on that occasion, and to ask you then if you still maintain the correctness of the answers you gave. The question was put to you to give us a brief statement of what you knew concerning medical experiments on human beings. You answered: There is nothing else I could say besides that which has already been recorded here and which was said in the course of the interrogation by the persons interrogated."

The next question was: "I only would like to know what you know about these experiments." Answer: "I only knew about the experiments of Gebhardt; this matter came to my knowledge only after I was arrested in May of last year."

Answer: "Before my arrest in May of last year I knew of the matters

Dr. Gebhardt and Dr. Fischer emphasized; I refer to the question,

Mrugowski. Anything else in the way of experiments did not come to

my knowledge. I had no contact with any of the offices, institutions

and persons which played a part therein, nor did I know them previously.

Herr Pohl and I met for the first time when he passed the death sentence
on me as President of the Summary Court."

Question: "What I would like to know is what you had to do with the experiments." Answer: "I had nothing to do with them." Question: "What do you know at ut .rugowski?" Answer: "I said that drugowski was attending this meeting and was explaining some reblems consuming the decontamination of water."

Question: "Did you know before of any experiments being made on human beings?" Answer: "As I have blready stated the matters were Gebhardt's." Question: "Do you know that Gebhardt was making experiments on human beings provious to 1945?" Answer: "In 1943 he gave a lecture at the lilitary Academy. He spoke about experiments on human beings."

Question: "That did irugewski tell about experiments male on human beings?" Answer: "Hirugewski was only explaining matters economing decontemination of water."

Question: "Were those experiments ecocorning decentamination over and on human beings?" Answer: "I on of the epinion that people drank this weter."

Question: "Has anybody also carried through experiments besides http://www.ift Answer: "That I do not know."

Question: "Do y u know anything about low pressure experiments?"
Appear: "No."

Question: "Do you know anything about typhus experiments?" Answer: "No."

Question: "Do you know anything about bone experiments?" Answer: "No,

I cannot say anything at all about that; I did not hear of these things."

Question: "Do you know anything about the Lest Experiments?" Answer:

Question: "Do you know anything about the work in experiments at the University of Strasbourg?" Answer: "I heard about it once, but these were not experiments on human beings. Showers went to no once only and told me about experiments on animals underby irof. Hirt; it was a very short visit only. I talked to reaf, Hirt and he showed no hieroscopic slides of rabbits, but no word was uttored then concerning bicle lead experiments on human beings."

Question: "But you told us that you had no contact at all with these SS people, and new you say that these people have visited you." Answer:

"Seivers visited me just once. That Professor Hirt is an SS man is not known to me to this day."

- Q. Herr Brandt, do you have anything to add to what was stated in that interrogation, or to what has been so far stated by you in connection with experimentation on human beings?
- A. I should like to go back to these experiments of Professor
  Hirt once more. The report of the findings of the Final Report. I
  road here corefully: If one is looking for the question of human experiments, one could get the impression that they definitely were made.

  If one considers the question from a chemical point of view and wants
  to make his observations in that respect, then then this question of
  human experiments, expecially in connection with concentration camps
  would not be recognized. When I visited Professor Hirt I did not
  talk to him about experiments on human beings; and after that I did
  not become aware of any fact that might indicate them.

6 February-A-DJG-21-1-Haynes Court No. 1

- Q Witness, I want to put a report made by Hirt to you. This is document NO 099 and was introduced as Prosecution Exhibit 268: Can you say whether this is the report which you received from Sievers?
  - A I assume that it is this report.
- Q And you, I think, have already admitted that if one reads this report carefully, one reaches the conclusion that experiments were carried out with Lost on human beings; is that right?
  - 4 That is quite possible.
- Q Have you also observed that mention is made of heavy, medium, and light wounds caused by Lost?
- A Differentiations were made between the various types of wounds with reference to their severity, general symptoms and local symptoms.
- Q Tou had mentioned in an earlier stage of the interrogation that you did not think that Lost experiments were dangerous. Does not this report indicate to you that some of the experimental subjects were rather severaly injured?
- A This report is not a report of findings about individual experimental subjects. It is a general report, from which one can conclude, however, that supplementing previous general experience and evidence, further
  experiments can be made. I have said that the Lost experiments, as generally conducted and as I knew them, as they have been described to me in the
  Wilitary academy, are unimportant, at first painful local skin symptoms,
  which later heal. In the meantime I have seen literature on the subject
  which confirms this.
- Q Well, Herr Brandt, you did read this report, and you got it from Sievers in april 1944, did you not?
  - A I read it later. At that time I merely received it.
  - Q Did you reed it before you visited Hirt in Strasbourg?
  - A Yes, I no doubt read it before that.
- Q and didn't you observe upon reading it, just as you have now observed here, that it was perfectly obvious that he had experimented on human beings?
  - A I did not examine the report to that effect. I examined it to see

Q Herr Brand t -

A Do you mean any mentioneof Hirt's results or the fact that vitamin reserves were important?

Q No, I mean, did you issue eny orders supporting research by Hirt with gas?

A I don't recall that I did. On the contrary, from a statement that has been made now that Hirt is supposed to have complained that I did not help him. I am not aware that I gave Hirt any special mid or special support of any kind. It might be that there was something in the Institute, since the name of Hostock was mentioned. It might be in connection with the general demands on the Institute from the University, addressed to Rostock, but I do not remember anything of that kind.

Q But you do not exclude the possibility that somewhere along the line there may have been some support by your office of Hirt's work?

A I don't know. I can only say that I do not remember anything of the kind. It might have been something to do with the question of vitamins.

That might have been possible. I just said that I tried to create a vitamin

reserve.

- Q Herr Brandt, can it not be definitely concluded from the report given on the sulfanilamide experiments by Gebhardt that those experimental subjects were deliberately infected with gas bacillus and gangrene in order to test the effectiveness of sulfanilamide?
- A If experiments were conducted with certain infections, they were certainly conducted, if sulfenilemide was used, therapeutically, in order to show the effectiveness of sulfanilemide.
- Q Do you see any reason for the necessity of artificially infecting women with gas gangrone when you had thousands of German soldiers who had such infections?
- A It might in such a case be a very precise question which caused such an experiment.
- Q But do you see any reason now, or can you give us any reason why these experiments could not have been carried out on German soldiers who had been wounded?
- A It was perhaps necessary because one wanted to have quite clear differentistions, definite conditions of the wounds. I have already pointed out once before that the question of sulfamilamide was a problem to all of us and that the idea of having a wounded soldier accompanied, as it were, from the time he was wounded until he was healed is a solution, but that the comparative effect of sulfanilamide is more difficult - the realization of the effect is more difficult because the conditions of each wound are different. I could speak of these more easily if I know more precisely the events from the side of the people who actually carried out the experiments. The onesided presentation makes it difficult to judge because those who participated, for example, Dr. Fischer, I know personally as human beings, and I am convinced that they would have been willing to conduct such experiments only for definite reasons or under definite conditions. It was certainly not merely the desire to conduct experiments. It would perhaps be easier for me to answer the question when those who have participated in the sulfanilamide experiments have spoken themselves.

- Q Witness, isn't it a fact that this Lost gas decree or chemical warfare decree which you received from Goering on 1 March 19hh concerned itself not only with gas masks, but also the treatment of wounds caused by gas?
- A It referred to the apparatus against poison gas in general. That included decontamination including calcium chloride and other drugs.
  - Q But did it include medical therapy of gas wounds?
  - A It did not include therapy, but it included the materials for therapy.
- Q Well; witness, how can it include materials for therapy such as drugs-I assume you have drugs in mind — unless there has also been some study as to how effective such drugs and material are on wounds caused by gas?
- A It did not include the studies. I said that originally it included only gas masks and that in the course of time the program was expanded. As far as the drugs needed for the treatment of gas wounds was not established only until 1966, the methods of treatment in general are the same as in the First World War and have not changed in principle. New gases have been added. The effectivenessof these new gases was not quite clear and special experiments were certainly necessary.
- Q Can you suggest any reason why this decree of 1 March 1914, which you sent to Himmler, should have been forwarded to Grawitz and Sievers if it tion't concern Lost experimentation and gas experimentation?
- A I certainly did not pass it on to Sievers and Grawits. I only approached Himmler. I have already said that I approached Himmler because the Reich Ministry of the Interior was competent for certain measures of air raid precautions, of the air raid police, and because I needed information on the need of air raid precaution apparatus. It was passed on to these two gentlemen by Rudolf Brandt, apparently because they misjudged the situation. He was presumably of the opinion that because I had passed on this decree as a doctor, it was a medical matter. It was, in prisciple, not a medical matter but it was my task in the controlling of production.
- Q Herr Brandt, I want to put to you an extract from the Sievers' Diary for 1944. This was Document 3546-PS, Prosecution Exhibit 123. On the 2nd of February 1944, Sievers made the following entry:

- A He worked at the Polyclinic in Strassburg, the Medical Polyclinic. Hagen was the hygienist.
  - Q Was Bickenbach an SS man?
  - A I do not know that.
- Q Do you know that the Strassburg University was in effect an SS University, don't you?
- A No, I do not know that either. The only SS members that I know, according to the documents here, where Mirt himself was an SS man.
- Q Witness, since we are talking about the Sievers' Diary of this, I would like to put another entry to you, this one dated 1 June, "To Dachau, SS-Hauptsturmfushrer Dr. Ploetner and SS-Unterscharfushrer Eben. Answer of Inquiry concerning Polygol from Professor Rostock. Dy order of the Deputy of the General Cosmissioner for Medical and Health Matters." Do you know that Rostock got in touch with Sievers concerning polygol which had been developed at Dachau?

- I know that Hesteck in the 'asiscif publication about polygal in the bunich Hedical Wookly (Hunchmer Local inische Wooklanschrift) wrote to the addresses given in the magazine itself to find out what it was about. Resteck certainly did not find out that the testing of the preparation polygal was carried out as a human experiment.
- O You have previously mentioned that you had knowledge of experiments on human beings concerning the chemical treatment of poisonous, water, is that correct?
- A That is not right in such a case as you speak of experiments. I was of the opinion that this water was tested in some way. It could just as well have been a treep experiment or enywhere clse in the pulation.
  - Q Do you know upon when these experiments were conducted?
- " No, I do not know that. I assume that Brugowsky will be able to tell you that.
  - Q Didn't you receive any report about those experiments?
- A Me, I did not receive any report about them. This question of apparatus for decontamination of water came up because in connection with the general apparatus the question of water decentrainating apparatus was also discussed. Within the Wehrmacht there was no agreement upon mothe's which were not suitable and the Civilian Air Raid authorities were agreed. In the Winistry for Amsunition and War Production there was a special routine for the production of such descatamination apparatus. I asked the head of this committee to have these who were interested in this question and could tell me about it to come to see me. He did so and since he also know from the production side that such an a paratus had been constructed with which livingswally was in sort of connection, I am not sure that the connection was, runwally was also invite! to this conference. I spoke t him afterwards. An apparatus for decontamination of Crinking water was discussed which was such a success that it could be jut into production. I cannot say whether that was actually done - it might have been in the surmer of 1944 when there were certain raw naterial difficulties and it was decided to bring fresh water into such endangered areas.

6 Feb 47-A-FJ 7-25-2-Gross Court No. 1

THE PERSIDENT: The Tribunch will adjourn until 0930 tomorrow norming.
The witness to be put to cross exemination will be kept separate from the other witnesses.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 7 February 1947 at 0930 hours)

## COR LOCIED COPY

Official transcript of the Cherican dilitary Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt; et al, defendants, sitting at Nursmberg, Germany on 7 Pc reary 1947, 0930, Justice Boals presiding

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal.1.

18 Titary Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the

inited States of America and this honorable Tribunal.

THE P. ESIDE T: Mr. Marchal, you excertein if the defendance are all present in the courtroom.

T.Z : AUSRAL: May it please your Honors, all defendants are present in

DE PRESIDET: The Secretary General will note for the record the prosumes of all the defortants in the courtroom.

The Prosecution may proceed.

Kid BaniDT (Resused)

CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY Ji. McPauEI: May it please the Imbunel.

Q. Serr Professor, we were discussing the poisoned water?

I illities of recoving poison from mater, there were two for demental points of view; one, as far as I recell, was that certain decents insticution apparatus was needed, I no longer reserver too mass of the procedure.

The ct. or was a procedure masse chloric acid was used. The result of the ' discussion was that by virtue of the situation a socialin as to thick the Thirdwite'le procedure was not possible.

ring from material tack cars or other containers from an area to had not been personed. The two representatives of the december astal processes were of the opinion that their apparatus as local field and reaches.

7 Feb-M-JP-1-2-Lossor Court I at this meeting. I issued instructions to continue testing the apparatus. I said that the tests should be continued but in no concrete form. Q. Why did they come to you with this problem? Was that in your aspecity as General Commissioner? .. That was in my function of the question of production of appearatus to combat gas in general. It was connected with my function as General Commissioner only to the extent that it was a special assignsent, not otherwise. Q. Did you not suggest that experiments to conducted with the ncisoned water? A. I may possilly have suggested that the apparatus and the process should be tosted. Those were primarily laboratory tests and were no doubt continued after this discussion as they had been carried on before. Q. Bon't you know that it was necessary to ... don't you know that the experiments were in fact carried out on concentration camp Irontus? A. That was not known to me in that form. Q. You didn't concern yourself with the cannor in which these experiments would to carried out? n. No, I aid Not. Q. You just gave these sen carte blanche to do what they wanted to? a. I did not give them carte blanche to do shatover they wanted. The offices concerned with it continued their work as they had been carrying it on 'efere. It is not possible in general to establish the Wanner in which tests are to be carried out. I was inverested only in I terming whother a certain appear tus for decontaminating water was considered the best and the easiest to produce in this special committee in the Speer Linistry, or whether there was any such apparatus. These Otherences were devoted only to the possi'ility of producing apparatus. 9. Who was O'erstarat Dr. Wirth? 2635

A. Oberstarst Dr. wirth was the consultant for gas matters in the Walitary Medical Academy. I do not know whether that was his official title but in any case, in my opinion, he was the Chief of the men concerned with chemical warfare agents.

## 7 705- -J7-1-3-lesser

Court I

- q. and he conferred with you an these poisoned water experiences, didn't he?
- for sure but I do not believe so.

Court No. 1 7 Feb 47-N-2-1-EEN-Meehnn Q. When was this neeting, to the best of your recollection? &. In the summer of 1944. C. And did you not suggest that water be obisoned with Lost and then tosted for the effectiveness of the decuntemination process? A. It is quite possible that something of this sort was said. It is a question of the apparatus itself. It has to be poisoned with some gas and then with some filter acthod of chemical method. The poison has to be remuved, that is the point of this problem altogether. Q. What is E substance? A. That is a chemical verfare agent; gas in general. Q. What is N substance? A. As fer as I am informed, it is a fuel which was to be used for explosive purposes but which the Wespons Office rejected at that time and it was thereby eliminated from the list of chamical warfare agents. In my opinion it was to be used in a similar way to phosphorous. I may be mistaken; however, I have no exact knowledge about it, Q. Could it be that I substance was a type of Lost was or mustard gas? A. No, certainly not. Q. And you don't know whether or not these experiments were cerried out on human beinge? A. In my opinion the water decontamination experiments were purely latoratory experiments with chamical tests. I did not see any myself but that was certainly the general nethod of tests. Q. Did you follow up this netter and receive reports on it? a. I did not follow up this question of deconcamination ayaelf. There was the head of a special committee for that purpose who tested these things. It is possible that I received one nore report to the effect that one process r another was more suitable. No measures or steps were actually taken because the raw unterial was not available. Q. What was this committee that was concerned with this netter? A. That was the Special Committee for Drinking Water Decontemination Augeretus. 2537

Q. Suppose we put Document No. 154 to you, doctor? I have put Document No. 119 to the witness but I failed to have that marked for identification.

I would like that the record now show Document No. 119 has been offered as prosecution Exhibit 405, for identification.

This is Document No. 154 which we offer as Prosecution Exhibit 446 for identification. Herr Professor, is the Reich Institution for Veter and Air surification the committee which you have neds reference to?

A. No, the head of this committee which I mentioned was a Ministry of Henrich. I believe this committee which I mentioned belonged to the Ministry for Armament and War Production and was managed like the other special comnittees there.

Q. You stated that it was one, and that these experiments were not carried out on concentration camp innates. Let us look at this document, which is Report No. 25, dated 31 March 1945, apparently made by the Reich Institution for Water and Air Purification and concerning experiments carried out at Henburg-Neungamme, a report by Dr. Jacgers and Reg. Eminapaktor Eucopfert:

"In agreement with the Reich Fuehrung SS, experiments were carried out at Hamburg-Meungaccae with drinking water preparation 44/5 in order to deternine whether W-acid of various concentrations would cause any disadvantages to health and whether, if this were not the case, drinking water containing K substance would not be injurious to health after treatment with W-acid."

"The experiments were carried out on suproximately 150 persons who commrised a single community. The comp doctors made the medical observations. Sturnbennfuehrer Dr. Zbel also took part in the experiments for several days during which the high lewisite concentrations were used."

Do those first paragraphs indicate to you that concentration camp inmeter were used?

A. Tes.

Q. I don't think it is necessary that we reed the whole report but I would like for you to turn to the second page. Do you see the sentence which says, "The camp doctors could not observe any effects hernful to the

7 4

experiments. This nitrogen-Lost experiment was made later. I do not recall, wither in this case or in any other case, that I suggested experiments on concentration camp impates.

7 Feb-M-JF-3-1-Minabuck Court I Q. Well, now, you have told us about your association with Bickonbach. You deny that he experisanted on commontration camp immates; you deny that you had any knowledge of Hirt's gas experiments on immates at least; you dony having supported Dr. Dohsen's jaundice ork in Sachsenhausen. Did you ever have anything to do with incendiary bomb or phosphorus experiments on concentration camp inmetes? A. Not that I know of. The question of the treatment of phosph rus incendiary bosh w unds was discussed in 1943 and '44. As far as I know tho decisive thing as the type of trustment, results coming fr m a Munich clinic concerning the use of copper sulfate soluti ne Q. Bid you over ask the SS through Hot lor, Rudolf Brandt, Grawitz, holff, or any other person to put ocnocatr time emp immates at your disposal for experiments of any kind? .. I to a t rucall any concrete case. Q. Did you over write Kerl Welff a letter suggesting that food experiments be conducted on concentration onep immates? i. I connot recall it. I do not know in what year that was supposed to have been. Q. "oll, let's refresh your recollection with Document NO-11/19. (Document handed to witness.) Q. Is that your signature on that lotter? .. You, that is my signature. TR. McHANEY: To offer this downant as presocution Exhibit his for identification. Q. Suppose you read this letter to the Tribunal? ... "Oborgrup enfushror colff, Hondquarters of the Fuchror"; the date is 26 January 1943. "Dear Obergruppenfuchrer, Since I have had emple natorial in the meantime as ut the devel ment of a meantroted food, as a special sector, for exceptle, the west of the fortress of Stalingrad, I would like t repeat once more the matterns discussed at that time. Is it possible to carry out pertoining nutritional experiments in a acontration camps? 2641

7 Feb-N-JF-3-1-Minabuck Court I Q. Well, now, you have told us mount your association with Bickenbech. You dony that he experimented on concentration camp immates; you dony that you had any knowledge of Hist's gas experiments on immates at least; you dony having supported Dr. Dohmen's jaundice ork in Sachsenhausen. Did you ever have anything to do with incendiary bomb or phosphorus experiments on concentration comp immetes? A. Not that I know of. The question of the treatment of phosph rus incendiary bomb w. unds was discussed in 1943 and this. he far as I know the decisive thing as the type of treatment, results coming fr m a Munich clinic concerning the use of copper sulfate selution. Q. Did you over mek the 55 through Ecolor, Rudolf Brandt, Grawita, telff, or any other person to out concentration camp immates at your disposal for experiments of any kind? .. I do n t recall any concrete case. Q. Did you ever write Karl Welff a letter suggesting that food experiments be conducted on concentration casp immates? . I cannot recall it. I do not kn w in what year that was supposed to have been. Q. 'oll, lot's refresh your recollection with Document NO-1419. (Document handed to witness.) Q. Is that your signature on that letter? .. You, that is my signature. IR. McHANEY: To offer this document as prescention Exhibit 447 for identificati ne Q. Sup as you read this letter to the Tribunal? ... "Obergrup enfushrer tolff, Headquarters of the Fuchrer"; the date is 26 January 1943. "Dear Obergruppenfuchror, Since I have had emple enterial in the mountime cout the devel went of a mountrated food, as a special sector, for excepte, the weat of the fortress of Stalingrad, I would like t repeat once more the matter as discussed at that time. Is it possible to carry out pertoining nutritional experiments in a meentration camps? 2641

7 Fob-N-JP-3-2-Nin:buck-(GJ)-Court 1

In this consection I should think it necessary to contact Dr. Luft
at the Medical Research Institute for Aviation and ministerial at
Liegalwaier, the consulting matritional expert with the Tehrmacht.

A clear short of the experiments, corresponding with the actual
conditions, should then be drawn up jointly. I think it quite possible
to obtain eventually new, valuable results in comparatively short
time."

- Q Well now, witness -
- A The letter is signed by me.
- Q This was a contact by you with the SS, wasn't it?
- A You.
- Q I undirestood that you have previously testified you never had any official contact with SS man?

A This Obergruppenfuence wallf was at the Fuence's headquaters. That is shown on the address. He was the lisison was with the SS.

He was formerly the adjutant of Finaler and than in 1943 or the beginning of the 'the he was replace. The discussion which are mentioned here, a the first sentence shows were results of concentrated food given to our Wahrsmeht in surrounded seems in the East which was dropped to them by parachute.

These concentrated rations consisted of various groups. There were distinctions especially in the memor in which the fat was included. They also varied according to the protein content. The rations intended for one can, as far as I recell, corresponded to about 3500 calories. These 3500 calories were calculated in the basis of various sweet of fat, correspondent and pretain, and after the experience of Stalingrad it was not clear, especially in view of the food which the Food Ministry could make available for this purpose, which was the most suitable form. In order to clear up this question, I

7 Fob-M-JP-3-2-Ninabuck-(GJ)-Court 1

I had informed the Fuchrer on the basis of a discussion with Mr.

Ziegelweier that there was no agreement in this food question. He had
said that this was probably the quickest way to solve the question,
and I passed on this assignment. I did not consider this anything
special, and besides, I had forgotten about it

Under other circumstances it would have been called a troop experiment.

- q. Wes the experiment carrie out?
- a. I cannot say whether it was carrie out.
- Q. Didn't y a knve some contact with 55 Obergruppenfushrer F isl in connection with this matter?
- It might no, but it is possible that according to the suggestion and here the continuation of this question was 'one by Ziogolweier and Luft themselves.
- q. And this matter came up long of ro the second Fuchror force which explicitly covered no ical science and research, dish't it?
- a question of rese rob. It was a question of practice.
- q. Don't y u remamber that wolff was sick ab ut this time an'that he passer y ur letter in t. Fohl?
- A. No, I don't remember that. It is possible that he was sick at this time. It is also possible that he passe' the letter on to fohl:
- Q. You said the other day that you had only mut Pohl here in the prison.
  Are you sure that Fihl did not get in touch with you in connection with this matter?

It is possible that he wrote to mo. I cannot remember it. As far as I know I saw Pohl for the first time here in the prison. I might have not his once before without knowing that it was Pohl, but I do not remember.

- Q. Do you remember whether ruhl t.1' y u ib ut certain feed experiments he was corrying out in a maentration camps, experiments with poisonous foods?
- h. I cannot remember having spoken to such at any time before I was arrested here. It is, of course, possible. It is possible that the removing of poiste from food was said to me on some occasion, but without any documents I cannot remember it. Perhaps I sight explain it just as I have explained this case here if I have a document before me.

- W. Yes, it's always nice to know what's in the document.
- A. In this document here, yes, it is very clear what's in it.
- Q. Herr Professor, did you ever suggest that any drugs be tested on concentration casp inmates?
  - a. I do not believe so. I cannot remember. What specific drugs?
- Q. Suppose we see if Document NO-1382 will refresh your recollection.
  in that regard? This is offered as Prosecution Exhibit Mas for identification. This is a teletype from the defendant Eudolf Brandt to SS
  Charaturebannfushrer Baumert, marked secret.

"Bear Faul, SS Brigadefuehrer Professor Dr. Brandt called up and requested the approval of the Reichsfuehrer S, that ten prisoners from Oranienburg should be made available as of tomorrow for two days, to test a cortain drug. Nothing would happen to them.

"The tests have already been discussed and must be started teacher morning, 5 February. 33 Gruppenfuehrer Br. Grawitz is only waiting for permission from the Heichsfuehrer SS. Please obtain the decision and pass it on isosdiately to Dr. Grawitz, Heil Hitler, signed, yours, Sudi."

- M. I do not remember what this was about. I don't know.
- Q. Do you dony the possibility that you requested Grawitz or some other SS man to have these prisoners made available to you?
- A. According to the teletype message here, I did that, assuming that this is suthentic, but I cannot remember what it was about. There is no indication it says two days. I don't remember it.
- Q. You see the second page of the document, witness, indicates that the prisoners were made available. This is dated 8 February 1944, Field Consand Post. "GS Gruppenfuehrer and Generalleutnant of the maffen SS Gluecks hereby confirm approval of Reichefuehrer SS, for ten prisoners from Granienburg to be placed at the disposal of SS Brigadefuehrer Professor Dr. Brandt (SS Gruppenfuehrer Professor Dr. Grawitz). Signed, Grothmann."

A. Gluecks was the head of all the concentration camps. I did not know him. I did not even know his name. I was of the opinion that that was Pohl, but I have learned here that it was Gluecks, and that Fohl had only an intermediate or a limison position between

4. Well, Herr Brandt, whether or not you knew Gluscks you knew where to go when you needed concentration camp inmates for experiments,

A. If there had been anything like that I addressed wolff in this case, as in the first letter in '13, and here apparently through the some channels an appeal went to Himmler.

Q. But you have very expressly denied any connection with the jaundice matter which is the content of the letter of Junu 1943 whore it says you were furthering Dohmen's experiments and wanted ten prisoners from Oraniesburg.

A. I do not remember that I turned to Grawitz on behalf of Dobmen or that Grawitz approached me in this form. These three letters which I have here, the first with the food question, there is nothing which could fall un ar the concept of experiment. The second letter, this teletype, it's not clear to se what could have been the causes of it. I do not know whether anything was done. Certainly I did not know whother anything was done. Certainly I did not so anything. Otherwise I am sure I would remember a drug. I don't know what it was.

Q. But, Harr Brandt, it says you called up and requested --

A. Yes, I can read that here, but I do not remember what it was about, what it could have been about. I want to clear up this concept of experiment. This document that you showed me before, of the 26th of January '43 on the food question; that is not what we normally uncerstand by an experiment. It is a parallel use of certain foods, but it is not an experiment on human beings.

4. Well, Herr Brandt, it does show though that you were in contact 2645

ith the 55 and that you had gone there to obtain prisoners for whatever purpose, and you have very vehacently denied that carlier in this interregation and in other interregations that you had anything to do with the 55, and now we find that you know exactly where be go when you had some little test that needed to be made on human beings. Now, do next to tell us about any further experiments where you went to the 55 for prisoners?

- A. I have already said that I do not remember any. I have no idea of any.
- 1. boll, may'e you will remain or this one a little lotter. Lets have a look at Decement No-1620. This is offered as Pressention Exhibit

(Document handed to witness)

- Q. Herr Professor, will you please road this document aloud?
- ... Yes. A letter signed by Grandte to the helchefushrer SS Himler.

"SS Brigadefuchror Professor Dr. Brandt has emproched us with the request to test a new cinterest for treatment of phosphorous burns which is still in the experimental stage.

"We I concider trying out this cinteent on Derman civilians
for "mrns received in terror rabis, would take too much this and would

the unreliable in respect of testing methods, and as, in view of the

increases of the problem, I do not retrieve that experiments on maintals

mult produce sufficiently conclusive evidence, I respectfully ask you,

Robbsfushmer, to great purposaion for experiments to "a made in the

hospital of the Sachsenhausen concentration comp on individual prisoners

the prisoners who are unfit for work on eccount of illness. Signed,

Straits."

- . Do you rese er anything about this matter?
- I remarker this cint ant. I believe it was an'd 'y a firm in the I want to various agencies with the it which had so staing to do in air marfare. I gave it to the civilian air rail service and I no do to now it to the beich physicians of the Sa and the police. In

this letter Grawitz says: "As I"... meaning himself, ... "consider trying out this cintment on German civilians for burns received in terror raids, would take too much time and would be unreliable in respect of testing methods, and ac, in view of the importance of the problem, I "... Grawitz..." do not believe that experiments on animals would produce sufficiently conclusive evidence, I "... Grawitz...." respectfully ask you, Reichafushrer, to grant permission"... and so forth.

I certainly did not give this cintment to Grawitz in order to have it tosted on any concentration camp immates. I give it to him as well as to other people, to other agencies, so that it might be tried in practice. This suggestion did not seem right to Grawitz so that or his own initiative he translated my suggestion of a test, and he considered that it would take too much time to test it on civilians in air raids. I say empressly that I gave it to him to be tested in this way.

- Q. This letter is only about three souths after the jaundice letter from Gr. witz, isn't it?
  - .. You, three conthe leter.
- nd it is only twenty-five days after the issuance of the Second purhare Decree which you state was the first time you so ld have had any essible interest in medical science and research?
- Ar The dates are correct, but an appointment which I pass on to other agencies because it we given to do has not ing actually to do with the last itself. What is generally beant by science and resource is a more extensive concept than the reference to the testing of a salve.
- Q. Latte look at the second page of the document, Herr Brandt. It is duted 7 October 1943. It is a letter from the Defendant, Rudolf Brandt to Grawits.

The Reichsfuchrer-SS agrees to experiments being made with the cinteent for phosphorous burns at the Sachsenhausen Concentration Octop on individual prisoners was have become unfit for work through illness. \* with the initials "38". I would like to remind you, Boctor, that the incendiary bomb experiment as charged in the indictment in this case took place in November 1943, at the Euchenweld Concentration Outp. Do you think that there is any possibility that these documents which you have before you now really regresent the starting point of the incendiary bomb experiments which took place at Buchenwald r there than Sachsenhausen?

I cannot say whether there is any direct connection. I cannot eliminate the possibility. I can add that from 1943 on the problem of incendiary bombs and injuries from thosphorous was a general problem in Germany, and if one examines medical literature, one will find that there was probably a new mention of it in every weekly. It is quite possible that independently of each other experiments were carried out in Buchenwald as well as in Sachenhausen and at other places. As far as time is concerned, at this time we were all interested in this question of phosphorous burns practically or theoretically.

- conduct prospherous

  conduct prospherous
- A. I was not of the opinion that such experiments and to be carried but in concentration camps. The letter shows that this was Grawitz's opinion. If this was his opinion, he, no doubt, had the likes that in some cases of injuries which were kept parallel, a conclusion could be drawn more readily concerning the effectiveness of a therapeutic agent than if the primary injuries and burns were different, similar as in the case of gun shot wounds during wartime.

Pheaphorous burns, no doubt, occurred in the concentration camps, too, because they were sometimes hit by air raids, he far as I know, that was the case particularly in Buchenwald. If one overlooks the primary pain involved in the injury and the wound is not to extensive so that there are general burn symptoms, the injury is not too serious. Decisive in the question of the therapeutic agent is what chemical is used to neutralize phosphorous which has a relative low burning temperature. Experiments carried out in the easy Sachsenhauson, I did not learn enything about them from any report, and the first report on such turns inflicted for the purpose of therapeutic theatment I heard of in the trial.

- Q. I can appreciate that you feel it necessary to justify these incondiary bomb experiments, Doctor. Do you dony wat you esked fruits to test this cinteent on concentration camp include effor they had been deliberately burned with phosphorous?
- A. In answer to this procise question I must say that I am not there that I recommended this cintaent, presume by this worm cintment, to Gravitz in order to have it tested an concentration casp immates. I set know whether it was a suggestion by telephone or in writing from the I cannot say.
  - A. McHANEY: I have no further questions.

## RE-DIRECT ENAMINATION

SY OR. SERVALIUS:

C. ditress, at the beginning of the cross examination you were shown

a document NO-890.

TRESIDENT: Lot the record show that Counsel for Brandt is conducting the re-examination after cross examination.

BY DR. SERV.JIUS:

- Q. Document NO-890. Exhibit 443, was shown to you. The document refers to the child, Amas Gesse, and a letter reached you according to which you were asked to intervene in favor of the child. What specialized knowledge was necessary to take action in this case?
- what was wrong with the child so that this questi n could be cleared up

2652

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did these hospitals receive questionnaires?
- A. No. Again it would have been necessary to send questionnaires to all pospitals.
- Q. Then I may conclude that it was not intended to get hold of all Jews in mospitals?
- A. No. In 1939 the questionneiros were set up purely for the insene end sent to the mental institutions. Probably for general statistical reasons additional information was asked for on the questionneiros just as a general questionneiro on the institution was included.

7 Fab 47-6-730-6-1-20-71 Court No. 1 a You have strongs soid that during cross ex-min-tion. Witness, you belonged to the entour so of Hitler. When do you believe that Hitler decided to optomizate the Jews? Do you know anything about that? 1 I can say nothing about that. Looking back at the portoi as shown by the documents, I can pasume it was about the middle of the wer. I did not lo-rn anything about it mysolf at the time. a You wore slee shown Document No. 1758; Exhibit No. 444. This is on meanst from Helder's diary. According to this, the killing of insone persons in the insume newless in the occupied Easter territory is described as nececorry. Who that decision from the modic 1 point of views A I do not know how this decision or no about. I heard nothing about it at the time. I do not know the condition. It might have been due to other matters rather than the medical one. I do not know. Q Witness, the incident of 21 September, 26 September, and 1 October 1941, was that the time the German day Group Horth was alremeing? A Morth and Conter, I believe. 7 Do you believe that the killing of insune persons at that time Was possible without the mid of the promignations under the Buthranain procedure? A I am convinced that the two things had nothing to ic with each other, a Do you believe that there was any intention of carrying this out by my of Buthennain or Bouhlar's office had carried it out? A I do not know. I cannot may captaing about that. The inforcation wight have to be supplemented by other information. It is possible that the diery of Halder's door not contain only these three entries, but further information. The one word mental institution New gred has no meaning in my minion. It could nown that so I educaterative staff or scoothing else was to be established in that area. 7 Thon, I may conclude that there is sures could be carried out without 7 ur boing informad? A I was not informed. Than, Document No. 692 was shown to you, Echibit No. 42. That is a letter from the Public Transport Company of 20 July 1943, to the Lantal institution Heimper. The letter concerns the transfer of ins me persons in compection with the evecuation of erons enlaggered by air raids. Here only 2054

insame persons transerred from these areas at that time?

- a. No, at that time there was a general evacuation of the sick, which was undertaken at that time. I looked at a map and discovered at first a senatorium a little scuth of Paderborn. I assumed that this might concern an evacuation of the institution for the insane to have an evacuation hospital for Faderborn which was continually being attacked because of its railroad junction. And, in the same way as Doctor Schmidt indicated for Eichberg from 1943 on it was used as a general evacuation bospital.
- Q. Then I may conclude that these were general measures and not specific measures?
- A. No, not specific measures. \*t was a general measure which was necessary from this time on.
- C. Bid you issue instructions as to how the individual hospitale were to be evecuated?
- A. No. There were general instructions which went through the entire Administral Air Raid Committee. There could be special cases. If I succuntered something on the spot, for example, there was Cologne, Fenn—there were such possibilities, but in general I did not deal with the specific cause but only with the general instructions.
- Q. Mitness, yesterday it was said that the Puchrer principle was decisive for you in your profession. Do you believe that medical question could be dealt with according to that principle?
- A. No, medical questions depended on the doctor as fer as their decisions were concerned.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tri and will recess for a few minutes. (A recess was taken)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

- Q litness, do you believe that it is correct to know whether the people with whom you collaborate are physically and mentally healthy or whether they are considered as suffering severly?
- A It certainly would be decisive to know about the possibility of efficiency of his collaborators.
- Q Do you believe that it is important for the state to know whether the responsible politicians or other personalities are physicially and mentally competent?
- A The same thing as what I have just said will apply in this case and even to a larger extent.
- Q ,and do you believe that applies still more if the state is in danger as a result of the war?
- A That would be the same thing for both cases; and I would even say that in the last case it would be more neute.
- Q Do you believe that the state is entitled to make this information available to it?
- A The institutions within the state were from the very beginning following this procedure. For example, in this case I can refer to the Wehrmacht where lesses on a large scale or even smaller lesses were reported through normal channels.
- Witness, I am making you once more to look at Decument NO-119, which was presented yesterday in the course of the cross exemination. This is a letter according to which doctors were told that they did not have to maintain their storage any more; and I want you to take a look at Page 3. Then I want to read the text to you once more. It is stated there: "Physicians, practitioners, and dentists are not only relieved from secrecy towards the General Commissioner Brandt but I as now obligating them to report immediately after their diagnosis of a serious and progressive disease to a person odespying a leading and responsible position within the state, the party, the helication, the economy, and so on, and then to inform no for my own information. 23 December 1942. (Signed) Lidelf Hitler."

In your opinion does this request violate the cath of Hippocrates? Is standing being demanded here which the physician from his professional othics should refuse?

A In general the physician is obligated to maintain secreey about what he finds out in the course of his profession and not to pass on any information. He is relieved from this moral law at the very moment when his know-lodge implies a general danger because a physician knows that in some eases, for instance, in the case of the dengarous instance patient, he of course is obligated to impart the knowledge which he are gained in practicing his profession and obligated to pass it on for general safety. Then the general interest exists; and it is for above the interest of the individual patient. Therefore there can be exceptions. In the previously mentioned questions there is a reference toward the necessity, resulting from the decree of the 23rd of December 1942.

- Q Do you know the history and the origin of this decree?
- " Yes.
- 0 What were the reasons for it?
- A Thore were two soute cases; in one case a rankelter and in another case a general became insens. The physicians who were treating them, especially those of the general, maintained secrecy and carried out a treatment for a period of two years without any information being imparted to the public. Those precise cases were the causes of this decree.
  - Q and the obligation to maintain secreey has been determined by law?
  - J. Yes.
- q was this is the only case where an exception was made from the obligation to maintain secrecy or were there other cases where reports had to be submitted rocut diseases?
- .. I can once more refer to the military precedure. That is about the only thing that I remember at the mement.
  - Q In the hospital is there on obligation to report?
  - i. Yes, naturally.
- Therefore I can corclude from your statements that the interests of the individual under certain circumstances must be subordinated to the

interests of the public?

- & You.
- Q Nitness, today several documents were presented to you about drinking water experiments and food and the testing of cintment. New, first of all I want to make you, is there any difference between experiments which were carried out for purposes of research and experiments in practice which were being parried out with drugs?
- A Before a drug can be used in practice, theoretical laboratory preliminary tests are usually made. Under some circumstances certain experiments are carried out on animals; and it, of course, depends on what drug is concerned.
- C. In testing and practice there is a fundamental difference from making experiments in resecreb?
- A From a cortain period of time lot us assume that a drug is ready for practical use and from that moment on this drug is used in such a way as though It had already been tested for years in practice.
- Q Witness, in your interrogation you have stated your views with regard to the individual experiments and you have judged them with regard to their necessity and with regard to the volunteers. Will you please tell us something about what has been mentioned today? Perhaps first of all you can tell us scaething about the question of drinking water, that is decentaringted water.
- A I went to state in connection with this that I am not acquainted with the exact procedure. The document shows that the testing of this decenterinated water no doubt already had behind it a long period of preliminary testing in laboratories. After all, these are special apparati and such apparatus was suggested for production.
- Q witness, will you give me a brief statument as to the danger of the experiments which have been presented here?
- A I want to describe them as negative because the decument itself sets forth that no danger was implicated. In time of war the production and pre-

highest importance.

- Q How can you judge in this case the question of the voluntuers?
- A In this case I cannot judge whether those experiments were carried out on valunteers or not.
- 4 You used the words "troop experiments." Is a troop experiment a voluntary experiment?
- A a troop experiment in my opinion is quite natural. An order is issued on the basis of considerations which have been made previously; and then within the unit a corresponding order is issued to test and to carry out the experiment. The question of using volunteers or not using volunteers is of subordinate importance in this case because in the imposition of such an experiment the danger and other consider tions are not even considered.
- Q Were the experiments which were carried out or suggested with regard to concentrated food important experiments?
- A They were important experiments which were not in any way dangerous.

  They actually meant the contrary. The ration contained twice or more the number of calories; and certainly those who took them were not subjected to any inner.
- Q Can you perhaps describe to us more in dutail of what such experiments consisted and with what they dealt? If I am informed correctly, then such food in concentrated form was to be dropped from the air.

A. These were rations which had been computed in relation to the demands of front-line soldiers; and I think that the rations had been set at 3500 calories. This number of calories was to be brought together in as small an area as possible. The selection of special fats, oils, and so on as a substance played an important part in order to fill the intestines. Various kinds of protein had been selected, consisting of various most substances and various sorts of most. There were differences which lay within this field.

Q. Was the experiment carried out to see if this feed was cangerous to the health of those who were taking it?

as to wanted to test the or position of these food substances as to wast emposition would be perhaps the most agreeable and easily digosted. However, most of all we wanted to test which would be the most suitable in helping a soldier to carry out his assignment.

Q. Will you please state your view with regard to the question of the treatment of lost burns and also with regard to their danger?

A. Yes, I will mention this phomphorous cintment. I believe that I received such an cintment from a factory with the corresponding label; and it was pointed out that this was particularly suitable for the treatment of phosphorous burns. We tested this cintment and used it in our climic at Berlin. However, in order toreach quicker results, I passed this cintment on to other agencies.

Q. Professor, I should now like you to state your views with regard to their danger and importance. We know the rest of the subject.

A. At that period of time such an cintment was very important to us. The danter depends on the size of the phosphorous burns. for the witness. I would like to clarify a mistake which has been made in the translation. I am informed new that the following has been made wroughy translated. We spoke here of "colligations of reporting contagious discusses" and then it was translated as "compulsory reporting of discusses in his itals". I believe it will suffice for me to point but that this has been a misunderstanding.

The second of the testing of the documents, or in the vitness's testingny?

L.. SE VATIUS: This toru was probably misunderstood by the inter-

THE ... ISINGS: By question for information was whother the mistake was in one of the documents or a distranslation of witness's oral testimony on the stand.

have any further questions.

The .HESIDERT: The witness in the encused, there being as further exemination of the witness.

in Hady Tresecution has no further questions, Your Hamer.

Tillisident: The witness my be excused.

witness Largers.

The incomment: The ibrahal will suren the witness Herr Hans Laurers.

JUDG SECRENC: Mr. Marshel, place the head phones on the witness.

follows:

JUDE SERING: You will hald up your right hand and repeat after to the following eath; I swear by God, the Almighty and undecions, that I will speak the pure truth and will withheld and add notating.

(The witness repeated the eath.)

### DELCY LL TATION

## DY D. ST.V.TIUS:

- Q Witness, please state your name and date of birth?
- A Hans Heinrich Larmers. Born on 27 . my 1879 at Lubinita.
- O What position did you occupy during the War?
- A I was Chief of the Raich Chancollery.
- Q libat was your assignment and your activities?
- in The Reich Chancellery was the office of adolph Hitler in his capacity as Reich Chanceller and it was the agency which normally handled the contacts between the limistries and the Reich Chanceller as far as no other channel was prescribed. Its main task consisted in the formation of directives, of the legislation, laws, decreas, and Fuchrer decrees which were submitted by the I mistries or which were requested by the Fuchrer and Reich Chanceller.

- Q. Witness, I am now handing three decrees to you. According to one the defendant, Karl Brandt, set a special position as Commissioner for the Medical Servicus and also as Reich Commissioner. Do you know of these decrees?
  - A. Yes, I know of touse decrees.
  - Q. Did you play a part in their drafting?
- A. Yes, I have helped to draft them and I have submitted these three decrees to the Fuehrer for his signature.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, have these documents been admitted in avidence in the case?

DR. SERVATIUS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: For the make of the record, will you refer to the numbers of the exhibits?

DR. SERVATIUS: They were presented as exhibits.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you refer both to the document numbers and exhibit numbers so the record will identify them?

DR. SERVATUS: They are: Document NO-080 - that is Exhibit 5, document NO-081 - that is Exhibit 6, and document NO-082 - which is Exhibit 7.

# BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q. Witness, will you now state your view with regard to the decrees, first of all with regard to the first decree. What was the purpose of this first decree?
- A. The purpose of the first decree was to appoint a Commissioner who was to carry out the coordination between the interests of the Military Medical Services and the Civil Medical Services. Furthermore, it sets forth that the person to be appointed Commissioner for the Medical Services, Dr. Branit, was to handle certain special tasks in the Military and Civil sectors, also for the coordination between the two sectors, and particularly he was given the right to be constantly informed and given authority to include himself in his responsibility.

Q. As a result of this did Karl Brandt become the superior at other officers?

A. In my opinion professor Branat did not become the superior of the agencies which have Seen enumerated expressly here. He only had the right to issue directives according to State legal procedures which we used. Such a right to issue directives did not yet establish a superior relationship. A typical example for this is probably the Commissioner for the Four Year Plan, Guering. He had the extensive right to issue directives toward all agencies of State and Party and he still did not became superior of these agencies. This decree had also been intended that Brandt did not became superior. He could only issue orders and issue directives if he wanted to include himself and he had that special right.

0.01

This muthority depended on the fact that a certain directive existed that he issued such a directive to these agencies for the fulfillment
of his tasks. And, such a directive had to be complied with 'y all
agencies and he could only give such a directive in the field of his
special tasks. He was only authorized to issue orders within the frame
work of his tasks.

- Q. What was the meaning of the second decree?
- A. The second decree, in my estimation, is only a relatively unimportant extension of the first decree in which branch was appointed to represent tasks and interests of the Medical Services and in this supervision were included certain special tasks in the fields of Science, mescarch, and organizational establishments for the discribation and production of medical supplies. He had also been given the authority to appoint certain commissioners and deputies for the fulfillment of his tasks.
- 4. In the order regarding science and research, that did the subject deal with?
- A. This individually word the orders which Dr. Franct received.

  I cannot remember it all. I only know that they were in the fields of
  the medical Services or that they were connected with the field.
- G. Now, give we the remains for the second decree, and what is its importance?
- in the year 1966 the Fundace wanted a constionable extension of the authority of wr. Present for the fulfillment of the tests which had been essigned to him expressly. I had soveral notes handon to me. I received the error to draft this decree. I specif maintained the point of view that the new authority heald have to be limited, that it would neve to limit the competencies with regard to the attemporations of the medical Services. I feared that extensive authority would lead to strong competition in the administrative field. Therefore, as I can remember exactly, I drafted approximately four different decrees in which, first of all, I precisely limited the competencies and in the third on less,

and then in the fourth and fifth decrees which was not limiting them
very close. I myself favored the most limited draft for giving authority.
The Fuchrer, however, favored the most extensive version, that is, the

For the previously mentioned reason I did not like this very much and I made the remark that it would be better to transfer the whole Medical Service from the scient dimistry of Interior and the other Ministries and to appoint a Minister of Rublic Health. Them the Fuchror replied, "That is exactly what I do not want.

Brandt is only to have as free hand as possible for this special tank, and extensive right to issue directives." Because this as legally of the utmost importance to me I expressly stated that as a result of the third decree the authority of Srandt from the first and second decrees were not rescinded and the Fushrop enewered this question in the effirm mative and as a result of the third decree also contains the words "at the same time". At the same time he was Reich Commissioner for Sania tetion and Health System and this was to show that the two other decrees and authorities were continued in existence. However, as a result of this I then publicized the third decree in the Reich legal code without rescinding the two other decrees because the first two were to remain effective. In this decree, nearly in the first, it is stated that the main direction was with the Chief of the hehrmacht medical Service and Chief of Realth Service and ministry of the Interior, computent State Socretary, and then what is not contained in the decree, naturally, to the Reich Ministry of Interior who was the superior of the Chief of the Hodical Service.

Q. Mitness, you are speaking of the right to issue directives.

Did the first and second decrees already issued give such authority to
issue directives or was this given only in the third decree?

A. Floase permit me to luck at the decree for a nimite.

In the first decree paragraph 3 sets forth that for the special tasks brandt will receive personal directives from the Fuchror. However, in the first decree I do not see anything about a right to issue directives and only about the authority to intervene in a responsible names. Or course, this may have meant a certain right to issue directives. In the second decree I do not believe that this is particularly mentioned. But then the third decree sets forth that Brandt is authorized to issue directives to organizations of the state, Party, and Webrarcht.

- Q. It is therefore correct that only from angust 1940 on such a right to issue directives had been specifically stated?
  - A. It was only specifically stated in the third decree.
- Q. Witness, did Brendt, as a result of this, become the head of the entire medical service?
- A. This question dust be enswered in the negative in my opinion because, as I have already stated, he was not the superior of the other agencies of the health and usdical service but was only equipped with the right to issue directives for cortain specialized tasks and this right to issue directives he could exercise in accordance with the directives of the Fuebrer and according to his own estimation. The head of the medical service, like a Minister for rublic Health, he could not be. First of all, it had been specifically stated he was not to occur, that position and secondly, with the small administrative machine at his disposal and with the limited smount of funds which had been granted to him for his tasks by me and I had to great them because I had been ordered to do so then he could not have fulfilled all the tasks presented by the medical and health service.
- Q. Is it correct that he was only to have a free hand for the execution of the special tasks which were given to hin?
- A. According to the directive and notives which were given to me in drafting the decree, this authority was only to extend to these special tasks whose extent was, however, not known to me.
  - Q. Will you please but these decreus aside and we will come to e

different subject. That is the question of authenseis. Do you know that a solution of the authenseis program was suggested by Hitler?

- A. Yes, I know about that.
- Q. When did you first hear about this?
- A. I heard of it for the first time in the year 1939, in the fell of that year. In the fall of 1939, it may have been at the end of September of the beginning of October, the State Secretary Dr. Conti, the Chief of the Civil Medical Service in the Reich Ministry of the Interior, was called by the Fuehrer to attend a conference. I was also consulted for this conference. On that occasion the Fuehrer discussed in my presence for the first time the probles of suthanasia. He stated that he considered it appropriate that life unfit for living of meveraly insane patients should be romoved by intervention which would result in death. As fer as I can remember, he maded as an example the severe mental diseases in the course of which mental metients could only be cedded on boards or excelsior because they could not keep clean and in cases where petients took their own excrement as food, and in connection with this he stated that it probably would be gost appropriate to exterminate the lives which were unfit to live of such patients. He also stated that this also meant a certain saving in hospitals, physicans, and nursing personnel. In my presence he issued the order to State Secretary Dr. Conti to occupy himself with this question and to use my support in handling the legal aspects. Dr. Conti replied that he also approved from the medical standpoint the extermination of such life unfit for living and that he would exemine the question in detail. I had not been prepared to any extent for this subject and at that time I only mude a very general statement that the subject included innumerable problems not only of a medical kind but also, to a large extent, inner political, forcian political, and else clarical political protlems, and also problems of a religious and othical kind, and I also stated that it did not seem appropriate to me to meloct a time of war for the solution of such problem and that the totter should be pustmoned, if possible. I then stated further that if it had to be carried out under all circumstances then it would be only a question of establishing a law provided with all legal guarantees. The Fuebrer did not go

into my statements in detail and stated that this still could be examined, but he maintained the order which he had given to Conti and disnissed us.

- Q. At that time was the name of Professor Branct mentioned?
- A. During the discussion the name of frofessor Branct, according to my recollection, was not mentioned nor were any other names mentioned. The discussion only referred to the general solution of the problem and, immediately following the discussion, I told Br. Conti I would think over this natter once more and that I would contact him later. I then began to draft a law which, as I immained, would contain the legal guarantees which were necessary under all circumstances exact limitations of the most severe cases which had to be determined by medical men, and exemptions which were to be made, for example, for those patients who had become insane during the war, during meneuvers and other civil service, or so-called "old age insanity" and similar cases. In my opinion it was also necessary to specifically state that only German insane patients should be subjected to this procedure in order to express the fact that foreigners would be exempted.
- Q. Witness, these are views which you maintained with report to the problem. However, that was not the subject of the discussion.
- A. At that time this was not the subject of the discussion but I only finished this and I waited now for State Secretary Conti to contact he in accordance with the instructions he had received.
  - Q. Did Conti do thie?
- A. Gonti refrained from doing this. Only efter several weeks he telephoned no end told me that he had been relieved of this assignment by the
  Fuehrer end at that I considered this matter as closed. However, in the year
  1940 I again had to occupy myself with the question. In the suring of 1940
  Reichaleiter Bouhler visited me. He was the Chief of the Chancellery of the
  Fuehrer, NSDAF, and he visited me in my railroad coach in Belgium and told me
  that he was just coming from the Fuehrer and the Fuehrer now wanted to turn
  over the solution of the euthanasia question to him. I discussed the severe
  objections existing against that with him and also submitted the draft of the
  law to him and told him this would still be a solution if this subject could

he approached in such a way so that a publicized Reich law was established.

Bouhler didn't seem to approve of my draft and did not refuse it, but he told my that the procedure to be applied could be routed through administrative channels.

At the conclusion I told Nouhler that I would have to report to the Fuehrer once more about the matter. I did this a short time later. At that time the Fuehrer read over the draft of my law. He did not expressively disapprove of it; however, he stated that for political reasons such a law seemed undesirable to him.
Subsequently I did not hear anything more about the subject.

- Q At that time, during the discussion which you had with him, did the Fuehrer mention the name of Professor Brandt?
  - A That name was not mentionede
- Q Witness, when did you hear of the authority which actually was given to Branit and Bouhler?
- A I was not informed about the authority which had been given to Brandt and Bouhler through official channels. As I have previously said, after many months, it may have been early in 1940, I received knowledge that action was actually under way of which I had not previously known. At that time I found out that the Fushrer had actually given an authority to Brandt and Bouhler for the execution of the authorasia program. This authority did not pass through my hands. Only in the course of discussions which later on I had with the Reich Minister of Justice I found out and was informed about the contents of these authorities.
  - Q Now what sort of complaints were there which came to your attention?
- A These complaints were not very numerous. First of all they same from individual persons and there were some from relatives of those instance patients who had died. However, the most important complaints came from the church, I also can remember that there were two complaints which I believed it to be my duty to follow up immediately. One was from the Twerttenberg Laples Dishop, Twim, the evangelical Bishop, and the second came from the Catholic Bishop, Count Gahlen; I believe that he lived in Muenster. These complaints were officially abhandled by me; I passed them on to the Reich Minister of the Interior, who was competent for this, and I brought them to the attention of the Fuehrer; I also discussed the question with the Reich Minister of Justice. After the complaints were from to the attention of the Fuehrer the whole action was discontinued. However, I did not get any official information about this

cither. I had not been consulted but I only obtained knowledge of the fact that in the year 1941, this may have been in the spring or the summer, the action was discontinued.

Q Witness, waren't there also complaints by prosecutor's courts and other authorities?

A Yes, we had such complaints, especially by judges and guardians who had to care for their adopted children. I followed up this matter and I contacted the Reich Minister of Justice started an investigation and I can remember exactly that two detailed reports arrived, one from the General Attorney at Stuttgart and the second one from the Chief Prosecutor at Naumburg. These reports occupied themselves with these questions. The Reich Minister of Justice passed them on to me and I took them to the Fushrer and then passed them on for further handling to the Reich Minister of the Interior. You have these complaints here in the form of documents and they have been presented to me in previous interrogations.

Q Witness, what did the Reich Minister of Justice do then? Did he consider the matter illegal and did he declars it illegal or was it legalized in the end?

A The Reich Minister of Justice, Dr. Ouertner, came to see me and at that time he was in a great difficulty. He had grave miscivings and he did not know what to do. I advised him that he could only report to the Fuehrer — I myself could not give him any advice. I considered a law necessary under all circumstances. I had played no part in granting the authority and now I could also not take a stand at this time. However, the Reich Minister of Justice, Guertner, as far as I know, did not consult the Fuehrer but he probably maintained the point of view that in issuing the authority the Fuehrer had laid down a law which he had to comply with. I do not know—this is only an assumption on my part. May later procedure which he wanted to do in this matter, was stopped.

A Do you know how the authority was distributed between Bouhler and Branit?

A I do not know the least thing about it. I only know that only Bouhler confronted me in the matter in the spring of 1941. Frankt has never made his

appearance before me. I know that Bouhler has made his appearance with the Reich Minister of Justice. However, I have never dealt with Frank at all in the whole matter, neither before the action or after the action, and I have only discussed with him a long time after the action, when I was a prisoner together with him at Mandorf, in Luxembourg, in 1955. However, I know that the authority was in the name of Bouhler and Brandt.

DR. SERVATIUS: May it please the Tribunal, I to not have any more questions to put to the witness.

DR. FROESCHMANN: (Defense counsel for Defendant Viktor Brack): Mr. President, I want to ask the witness a number of questions. However, I believe that the time would now be appropriate to call a recess.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in recess until 1:30 o'clook.

(A recess was taken until 1930 hours,)

# CORRECTED COPY

## AFTERBOOL SUSSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 7 February 1967.)

THE ARSEAL: The Tribunal is a ain in session.

D. S.Hill: Ir. President, the Defendant Operhouser asks the Tribunal, in consideration of ner status now, to be excused again today at 3 o'clock.

THE PLESIDE T: Upon request of Defendant Oberheuser extended through our sounsel, the defendant may be excused from attendance in the court for reasons of her health at 3 o'clock this alternoon.

Counsel my proceed with the examination of the minous.

TAIS ETHITCH L. TAG - . Jud.

TAN INCHOL IN DO. FROMSCHOLDER

G. Witamas, you were Chief of the cicaschancellery?

A. Tou.

- Q. As such you had appartunity to most migh personalities of the former German Government—at least part of them?
  - A. At least part of them.
  - Q. Do you know bartin Bornum?
  - 1. To.
  - Q. That position did for any bold?
- A. a was at the end, head of the Pertolkanales, after emisser liese last in this.
- O. I marely must to have this clumred up for the information of the Triognal. Artin Bornana was a personality was will play an important role in the course of this trial. Dischool, I should like to ask you want blad of a person was Cartin Bornana?

the restion a late ore coperate.

20. That character white were productional in the thinking and actions of sprain Corpora?

The I had to ask his true intentions shillfully.

7 Feb. 47 -A-12-2-PXF- Foster Court Mot 1 Q. Was Martin Bormann a man who tried to concentrate as much power as possible in himself? A. He notually "id attempt to do that. He always comicd it, he always said that he wanted nothing less than power. But on the basis of my knowledge of developments of which I learned to a large extent only after the collapse in 1945. I came to the conviction that he actually tried to settin a certain nower and tried to eliminate other people in his field. In particular, I had the experience that he tried. Q. Please speak a little more slowly, witness. A. I was convinced that he tried to take away as much power as possible from mo since I was a state agent from which he believed that he was constantly receiving apposition in his actions. This position which I might have ned be constantly triad to underwise, especially y having himself appointed Secretary of the Fuebrer and as such became offive in the state sector too. G. Witness, re you aware that Martin Bormann was filled with ardent hatrod of Jeva? A. He did not emphasize it when speaking to me but I 'm convinced that he was on oppopent of the Jaws. Q. Mitness, to you agree with my opinion to t Acolph Hitler, under the influence of Bornana in the years 43 md 44, made and partly realized those place to evacuate and extermin to Jows in Exrope? a. Yes, those were things which I as a witness under oath, testifying to facts thuse are things alout which I can say nothing. 4. Itnose, at you have any indications, any reasons to share this opinion which I have just expressed? A. At the moment I could not give any indication. Q. Did you have the improsed n, with sa, that Mitter was under the influence of Bornanni? A. In my pinion Borannia influence in Hilter was rather front, exactly how grant it was I am not " le to judge. These are subjective things that happened to Borman in Hitler bout waich I can say nothing as a witness. Q. Then you will not be told to answer the question whether Martin Bormann was Adoloh Hitler's svil spirit. 2575

- A. That was as a personal opinion which I would like to affirm, but that is only a subjective feeling, intuitive feeling which I cannot prove by any concrete facts.
  - Q. Do you know Obergrappenfushror Heydrich who was murdered?
  - A. Yos, I know Hoydrich.
  - Q. The Hoydrich also a person like Borsann who was striving for power?
- A. In my opinion Heyarich was to a much greater degree even, and it was expressed much more openly.
- Q. Did Heydrich influence the Reichfuchrer SS Rimmler strongly?
  Do you know enything about that?
- A. He sertainly had an influence on him but I cannot give any concrete facts about these things.

" February-a-DJG-13-1-Meenan Court No. 1 Heighsleiter Bouhler? cause Bormann wanted to control extters himself. ler's so-called weak attitude on Jewish questions?

Q Now, witness, what was the colstionship between Martin Bormann and

A I believe it was rather loose, they did not see each other often and they were in a sense rivals. The agency of the Reichaleiter bouhler which was called the Chancellery of the Fuehrer of the NSDAP was a party organization. In addition to the Party Chancellery, there were certain organizations, and I know there was strong friction between Bouhler and Bormann, be-

7 Fitness, did you learn that Martin Bormann did not approve of Bouh-

- A I never telked to Bubler about it and I did not lear about it.
- Q Did Dr. Conti balong to Bormann's staff?

A As fir as I know, no. He was under the Reichs dinistry of the Interior, also he was an honorary officer in the SS - I must correct myself, he was later made subordinate to Bormann by being made legal health leader in the party sector.

Q idtaesa, do you know mything about the fact that adolf Hitler, before the events which you discussed this morning, gave the assignment to Houhler to introduce suchanasia?

A I can say nothing about that formally, but today I am convinced that the powers which were given to Brandt and Bouhler bear a different date ---

- G I will come back to that witness.
- A Than the date of the signature.
- Q I merely wented to tak you when in the summer of 1939, or in August 1939, Adolf Hitler might have given Releastedter Bouhler the assignment to introduce Buthanasia for uncurably insane persons.
  - A I knew nothing about that.
- G Do you know that Martin Bormann heard about this and tried to approprieto these Suthamasia messures?
  - A I do not know anything sh .. that wither,
- O Then you do not know wither that Martin Bormenn auguested to Hitler that he assign this to Dr. Conti.

- A No, I do not know about that either.
- Q You probably do not know that Centi did not want this assignment and then the situation became as was described?
- A I can only say that Conti told him the assignment had been taken away from him.
- Q Witness, I shall show you Document 630-PS, Exhibit 330. That is the decree of Hitler of September 1, 1939, which I need not read again as it has been mentioned several times. Please read through this decree witness. What do you consider the legal character of this statement of adolf Hitler?
- A If I may express myself generally, I can only say that in this extreme form it does not correspond to the form which was customary for state decrees.
  - C Did Adolf Hitler issue many such important decrees in such form?
- A In individual cases, but I believe there were only a few cases. The Fuehrer did not like to worry about external forms, sometimes he used this form when it was not submitted by an expert but that happened very saldom.
- Q Now, witness, what did you do when such significant instructions from Adolf Hitler came to your attention and they were not in the correct form?
- A That happened very selden, only once or twice. If such : decree in this form was submitted to me, because I also had to sign it, then I adjusted the Form to what was customery for a state decree; that is I crossed out Adolf Hitler and at the bottom above the name Hitler, I wrote The Fuebrer or the Fuebrer, helens Chancellor, or I changed it at the top and I wrote the Fuebrer or height Chancellor and that was changed in the course of time. Then, I put the Reich seal on such decrees and since I had to certify these statements of the Fuebrer, I signed them.
- Q Witness, now this statume of adolf Hitler of September 1, 1939; did you over see it?
- a. I believe I saw a copy or the original; I do not know. For the first time when the Reichs Minister of Justice Dr. Turtner, at the end of 1940 or the beginning of 1941, visited me, as I testified before, and talked to me about what was to be done about the information received, that was the first

2678

the I had seen it. It did not go through my hands, but today I cannot say with certainty whether I saw the original or whether I saw a copy.

Q hitness, you just said that a person who did not have the necessary specialized information could not find any objections to the form of such statements of wielf Hitler; is that true?

A Yes, it happened that if Minister Speer submitted scustling in a form which was not correct, if it came to my hands I corrected the form. The Fuehrer himself did not think it was very important, if Something was shown to him, he thought it must be right and he signed it.

I Witness, I asked you before what you consider the legal character of this statement of Adolf Firler without consideration of the form; please answer this question.

A That is a mestion which is very difficult to answer, it is debatthis. I said before expressedly that I explained to the keichs Minister of Justice that I considered a law necessary. I did not consider this enough, but the heigh Minister of Justice Dr. Curtner was apparently of the opinion that this was a valid order from the Fuchror, that is a law, consequently, he stopped the proceedings.

Q witness, if outstanding jurists were of the opinion that this decree of Hitler was a fully valid legal decree, that it had the value of a law, then parsons without legal training cortainly had to think that this decree was a fully valid lew?

MR. McH.McTr Just a minute, please. I must object to the question and I mak that it be stricken. I know of no testimony in the record which gives the opinion of any great legal experts that this was a valid law and I don't think that the question can be put to him in that form. He has been asked for his opinion and he has given his opinion.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection of the Presecution will be sustained. The statter is not pertinent to the question in its present condition and the inswer insofar as it has been made will be stricken.

BY DR. FROESCHMANN:

- q Witness, this morning you spole of a meeting between you and heichsletter Bouhler in 1940; do you know whether heichsleiter Bouhler in May or June of 1940 visited Adolf Fitler in order to ask him that he be relieved of his duties as head of the Chancellery?
  - a No, I do not remember that.
  - Q I have no further questions, Mr. President.

THE FRESIDENT: Is there any further examination of this witness by the defense counsel?

(. o ruply.)

Prosidution may proceed to cross occuring.

CROSS EXCUTABINITION

BY LR. KARDY:

- Q. Dr. Lammers, do you still have the three decrees, the Fuebreau decrees, concerning Karl Brandt's appointment as a General Consissioner before you?
  - A. I do not have the decrees.

(Documenta handed to witness.)

- Q. Witness, you say that Dr. Warl Brandt was authorized to issue instructions within the medical field of his special tasks. Now, those tasks have not been defined. Do you know what those special tasks wore?
- A. This corning I said that the contents and extent of the special tasks thro not know to be in detail; that I only know that they core in fields of occasions and science thick had some connection with the modical and health service; for example, in obtaining drugs, other medical supplier, and similar things and in scientific research in the field of war injuries. That is what I know about it. I did not concern myself with the details, and I had no interest in the details of Dr. Brandt's special assignment.
- Q. Now, Dector, will you kindly look at the first section of the duly decree of 1942 and which you midded in the drafting thereof concerning the powers given to the chief of the medical services of the 'ehrmacht; amply, Ar. Mandloser, and will you tell as that powers as a preciseal inter did this first decree give to Mandloser?
- A. Paragraph 2 of Number 1 says about Handloser's tasks: "The
  Uniof of the Ladical Service of the later acht is to represent the Monroscht
  before the divilian authorities in all comes medical problems arising in
  Law various branches of the communications
  white superdinate or attached to the Christian and will protect the
  hadrests of the subrischt in all notices a asserts taken by the civilian
  mithoratics." This defines the task of Handloser.

2681

7 Pab 47-4-144-14-2-Winabuck (2J) Court \_o. I Q. Could you tell us as a practical matter what powers Handloser rescived from this language you have just read to us? A. He regresorts the Cohranchi as o def. He negotiated with Mr. Courti on the civilian side and also with ir. Franct as far as Brandt had any special assignante. u. Did no have any powers over the other sectors, such as the midical services, the army, the Luftmeffe, the Many and the saffen SS? A. The powers of the chief of the sermacht audical service I don't know in dotail. They are set down in other administrative regulations, not only here. They are all the powers which the head of the Schraacht medical service had. They were not repealed by this. They were only mentioned have in a curtain direction for the purpose of this decreeto coor anate military and civilian health services. Q. How, Itness, as I understand it you more chief of the Heich Unmouliary, now, in that position you more considered to be a se-called link between the Pashrer and all the Beick ministers; is that correct? i. Yes, unless there were empress amountsons. the down, isn't it true that your deties doubt nestly with the limition of new law? A. No, only with formally processes this legislation. I did not ven for what her large. I only directed the course of the legislation; that is, bills which came from ministers or bther officers I turned over to the proper authorities. I required objections and negotiated, and fiunlly some last or some regulation or agreed upon and then I edited that. I was not the legal authority for all questions of any means. I was only a charge of formal taines, of the procedure of logislation. Q. foll, now, in this connect on for the bunufit of the Trib nal ill on a mily outline what requirements had to be at in order to prowill ate a valid last that is, did it require a vote of a poverning body? Did it need to be duly published in the official law journal, the with the control and all other details accounting to validate any such but? A. If a law was to be issued the druit had to be given to all

numbers of the government, to all the Reich ministers, with a certain time inmit. A strong was put on it which said if until such and such a date — it was period of two or three weeks, sometimes longer, senetimes less — if there has been no objection by this time this will be considered approval. As sessions of the Reich Gr inst took place only until 1937 then such things here still discussed orally. After 1937 there was only a ritten procedure, and this unded with elimination of certain objections and then approval of agreement on the law.

If one could assume that there was no objection by the ministers than I got the signatures of the ministers concerned, not all of them, and then I gave it to the Puchrur for his lignature. If there were still objections these objections were decided by the Puchrur, or it was said, had to mark on it sees more until the objections had been climinated. And if it was a law it had to be done in this way and then published in the Nrichyes tablatt.

Q. New, Doctor, you have stated that you participated in the drafting of the proposed outhenasia law. Will you tell us what this draft
contented, what requirements must be not before the execution of the
progress would have taken place?

A. I can tell you approximately the contents of my draft. Piret, it was said that under certain conditions the lift amorthy to live of their insent persons can be reserved. The, as I and intended it—these were ally my ideas—then there was to be a provision that the severe discusses for listed from the medical point of view. A paragraph was to be added to blishing peneral exceptions. As I already said this morning insent provision that become insent through injuries in war were to be added the tasse who had contracted the discuss in public service, also beautify, also a number were left open consume that was primarily a modifical question.

Then careful observation was provided with consideration of the our-

2653

nurse was to be appointed; the relatives are to be questioned; the community was to be consulted and so forth. And after to period of observation, a group of doctors and specialists, with a logal efficient predicting, was to decide. Since the composition of this group varied, one could make various suggestions.

Then the type of election, of voting, was to be determined, and then an endeuting physician mas to be coosed. In my opinion this doctor was to have the opportunity to observe the patient for three wouths, and if he believed that the doctsion of the board was not right no should knyo the case reviewed. There whould be an appeal authority. Then there were a number of other questions of exception which I do not remarker now, which were to have had to be settled if such a latter was passed, so that notually only the most severe cases would be affected, and so can't a decision in favor of death would be remained only if it was such a severe case that it seemed advisable to bring about death, if one in journal holds the point of view that a surrey doubt is advisable.

Q. Most, Doctor, it is true that your draft of this outermosis law me never entered or accepted. Too had the intentions, of course, of he'ving this law most the requirements of all other proposed laws; that is go through the regular procedure and eventually resulting in publication in the Matchgosetsblatt. Is that a correct assumption?

A. Then I thought about this question, of course, I considered a problem which was not in the field of last and medicine but a problem which we more in the field of legal philosophy and othics. The question — what are the limits of the power of the legislator — that, in wy opinion, was the besic question in whether or not to pass such a law. Int I did not reach my decision on this besic question. I did not must to: I could not; and I did not have to. I as convinced that if this draft is substituted and distributed to all the ministers — this took fifty to sixty copies — then the objection to this measure will be so strong that this measure will die of its out accord, at least will not be sittled during writing and can be taken up only when a time comes that these problems one to investigated theroughly. I we convinced that the objections to a draft would be so strong that the law tould never have been passed.

In Hillors Thank you, Doctor, I have no Purther quantions, your Honors

OF DO. CEPATIUS:

Q. Litness, you were usked whether this was a formally valid have Too ould that the customery requirements were not fulfilled. The there other possibilities in addition to a law?

A. What the prospector which is, is only that in Cornen jurispraising, and would a formal law, a law which has been passed by vay of legislation, and me of these ways was a law passed by the Reich Covernment. Dither ways were a possing a decree or a decree of the Furnier. The Furnier Cooper had been taken over from the period of was Hindenburg. It was nothing now had been been extendished by the Furnier, and this Suchrar secret was not assed in this located way. To one had empthise to do with it except the ministers who

were participation, and they were only consulted. Their approval was not mesterny. The Packrer alone determined, and if the Fachrer mented a fachrer decree or if a minister wanted a fachrer decree, then I sent a drest of such a decree to the samisters concerned and maked for their opinion. It was sufficient if the idnisters were consulted. Shother they had objected, whether they had expressed ands no difference netually because the Fachrer alone decided.

- Q. Then the external form of a feered does not indicate that it was invalid?
  - A. That is, in my opinion, a Combtful quostion.
- Q. You continued two cases before then these requirements tore not necessary.
  - A. I produced them.
  - Q. The Minister of Justice considered it a legal decree?
- A. The limitar of Jastice dessidered it a legal Juckrew order and a law. Other is he would not have stagged the proceedings.
  - Da. SERV.TRES: I have no further questions.
- The MLTE: (Counsel for Mendleser): Lir. President, through the questions called by Er. Hardy the question of number 1 of the Perce of 1942 was brought up for the first time. Therefore, I ask that I may be allowed to ask a question on this number 1 which affects the Communical Medical Service.

THE MUSICET'S Counsel my protound the quistion.

### ELICE AND TON

### BY JA. DATE:

- Q. Or. Lemmars, in the creation of the ONW on the 4th of February 1938; that is, when the powers of this ONT were formulated, you were present as chief of the Reich Chancellary?
  - A. Top.
- Q. Through the decree which has been shown to you of the 20th of July 1942 the origination of the OKW was on plantal by the providen of the 2606

office, chief of the Wehrancht medical service; is that true?

- A. When the chief of the Wahrmocht Adical Service when this office as created I do not know.
  - Q. If you still have the decree before you, you will are it.
- at the large as chief of the Wehrmicht ocient Service", and so forth.
- 4. Thum, through this decree he is depostered and thus the office is created?
- Q. You, it is possible. I did not draw up this decree. The chief of the ONN and others had this and commined it. I do not know whether the chief of the Webrancht coical Service misted before this or not. I senset say. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know the powers of the chilf of the High Commend of the Johnstoht Weitel?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You testified about that here in the big trial. Will you plants tell the Tribunal whether the object of the degree of the decreased of the decreased of the Marancha?
- A. The chief of the ligh Comment of the Wohrment, Keitel, and not comment-in-chief of the branches of the Wohrment.
  - Q. Then Keitel could not dive orders to Couring or Reader or Descrite?
  - A. They could issue orders in their can fields.
  - Q. I said Muitul could not give orders to the commenders-in-chief?
- A. Kultel could not give an extens to the commodure-inschief. He could only transmit orders from the funders to them, but that is true only of the military field for the pure common extens. As far as the chief of the own extensed the functions of a minister of our, then things are different.
  - Q. Wendlose: was within the Coll the subordinate of Buitel?
- A. Nov what Mandlesor's 'iliving position was, I den't know. I den't know so show he was subordinate.

- Q. Then the internal conditions of the chryscht coderl Service are completely unknown to you? You cannot appross any opinion?
  - A. The organizational plans of the OMF -
- Q. I was asking you whether you could express any opinion about two powers which Hendloser had, from your own knowledge?
  - A. Ho.

DR. HELTE: Thank you. I have no further questions.

DR. FBCESCHLANN: Mr. Fresident, Dr. Freeschmann for Viktor Brack. I have one question arising from the examination by the Prosecution. May I ask 1t?

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may propound the question.

#### EXAMINATION

#### BY DR. FROESCHMANN:

Q Witness, do you remember that at the end of 1940 or the beginning of '41 the Defendent Brack called on you at your office and that you told him about the draft of the law which you have just been discussing and that finally Brack asked you to give him this law?

A I cannot remember it, out I consider it possible that Brack called on me and that we discussed the draft of the law.

Q Witness, can you remember that this draft which was worked out by you was given to Reichsleiter Bouhler or the Fuchrer Chancellory?

A I do not know, but I consider it possible that I gave Brack or Bouhler a draft of this law, but it was a rough draft; I must state that expressly. It left quite a number of questions open.

DR. FROESCHIGAN: Mr. President, I have no further questions.

#### RECROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. HARDY:

Q Dr. Lamiers, will you kindly tell us what wre the limits of validity of a Fuebror Decree?

A From the lugal point of view which had prevailed since 1933, the Fuehrer Decree had the same force as a law passed by the Reichsregierung or by the Reichstag.

Q Then, as I understand, your special decrees of the Fuchrer usually contained your name and the name of the particular minister and then duly published in the Reichgusetzblatt, is that right, such as these decrees conferring the authority as General Commissar for Health and Sanitation on Kerl Brandt?

A The Fuebrer Decrees were published in the Reichgesetzblatt on principle if they were to have full force as law, but there were Fuebrer Decrees which were not published in the Reichgesetzblatt because that did not seem necessary. For example, all the organizational decrees about the complet territories, none of them were published in the Reichgesetzblatt.

Q Well, those were usually decrees of an administrative nature, were they not?

- A Yes, they were administrative organizational decrees.
- Q Well, then, Dr. Lamers, if I have understood you correctly, it is your opinion that the Fushrer letter of 1 September 1939 pertaining to the Buthamasia program was not a valid Fushrer Decree, is that a correct presumption?
  - A I have already said that I would have considered a law necessary.

DR. SERVATIUE: Mr. President, the witness is now being asked a legal question which it is the task of the Tribunal to decide. I do not believe that this question is admissable, and I ask that the enswer be stricken from the record.

MR. HARDY: I submit, Your Honor, that the witness here is an expert on German law and I put the question to him was it his opinion.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. The witness may state his opinion on the question propounded to him.

IG. HARDY: The witness has enswered the question, your Honor. I have no further questions to put to this witness.

#### REDIESCT EXAMINATION

#### BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- Q hitness, you said that there were decrees which were not published.
  Was the reason for this secrecy?
  - A That was partially the reason.
- Q These were decrees concerning the edministration of the occupied territories, is that correct?
  - A. They did not all have to be kept secret.
  - Q But it was the reason to keep the secret as for as possible.
  - ¿ Yes, and also the fact that it did not affect the Reich tarritory.
  - Q Are there not also other decreas which were kept search concerning

### the conduct of the war?

- A It may be that there were such decrees.
- Q I am thinking of the neich defense laws.
- A The Reich defense law was not published, and when I was examined here by the big Tribunal last year, I always called it an administrative order which was quite valid and permissible because the measures in the field of Reich defense did not require publication.
  - Q Then the usual form was deviated from?
  - ". The law was not published.
  - Q and who ordered this violation of the form?
  - A The Fuehrer did not want this law published and it was not published.
- Q Then I conclude that the Fuchror had the right to determine devia-
- A Yea. In the case of every law he could say, "I do not want it published," and then it was not published. It was only a question of whether it case into existence, as a law. It was certainly not a law if it was not published, a formal law, I understand, only a published law.
- Q Witness, I am not speaking of formed laws. I am speaking of a decree,
  You said that the form could be modified or changed at the discretion of the
  Puchror.
  - A No, not always. Normally the Fuebrer Decrees were published.
- Q Normally, yos, but you yourself mentioned two cases where this form was changed,
  - A Well, then, the Fuchrer ordered that they should not be published.
  - Q ,and he had the power to do that?
  - A I assume that he did.
  - DR. SERV.TIUS: I have no further questions.
- DR. FROSSCHMANN: MR. President, I ask that the ruling just made by the Tribunal be reviewed. I asked the witness whether the Fuebrer Decree of the lat of September, 1939, could be understood as a decree by persons who were not logal experts, that is, the population in general. The Presocutor objected to this question, and the objection was upheld by the Tribunal. Now

the Prosecution for the same reason has maked the witness about the significance of these decrees. The Prosecution in view of the legal knowledge of
the witness considered this question justified. I believe that the Tribunal
will have to change its previous ruling, since what has been granted to the
Prosecution may also be granted to the Defense. I therefore, make applicatio
that the ruling of the Tribunal be changed and that the question and answer
which was stricken from the record be restored.

THE PRESIDENT: The question - pounded by Counsel for the Defense to
the witness sought to elicit an enswer as to what some other people thought
or might have thought of the law. The question propounded to the witness
by the Prosecution was as to the opinion of the witness upon the law which
is quite a different matter.

Mr. H.FDY: I have no further questions to put to this witness.

DR. SERV.THES: With the parmission of the Tribunal, I shall now call the witness, Gutgeit.

THE PRESIDENT: There being no further examination of this witness, the witness, Dr. Lamers, will be excused.

The Marshal will succeen the witness, Gutzeit.

NURT GUTZEIT, a witness, took the stand and testified as follows:

JUDGE SEBRING: You will please face the Tribunal, held up your right

hand and he sworm, reporting after me the eath: I swear by God, the Almighty
and Comissiont, that I will speak the pure truth and will withheld and add

nothing.

(The witness repeated the cath.)

JUDGE SERRING: You may be sected.

DIRECT EX MINATION

# BY DR. SERV.TIUS:

- Q Witness, when and whore were you born? What is your name?
- .. Kurt Gutseit, icrn on the second of June, 1893.
- 7 You are a professor modicine?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you have specialised knowledge in any field of medicine?

- A In my medical activity I dealt primarily with stemach and intestinal diseases, liver diseases and infectious diseases.
- Q Witness, will you please tell the Tribunal any information that may indicate your specialized knowledge, especially since I am going to examine you on the subject of hepatitis?
- in 1920 I took the medical State examination. Then I was an assistent at the City Hospital in New Koeln in Berlin. Then I became assistant at
  the medical university clinic in Jana. There in 1923 I qualified as a lecturer and received permission to hold lectures. In 1926 I became assistant
  at the university clinic in Broslaw. In Broslaw I also obtained permission
  to hold lectures and was instructor for internal medicine. Internal medicine
  is my special field. In 1929 I became Professor of Internal Medicine at
  the University of Broslaw. In 1930 I became Chief Physician of the Breslaw
  University Clinic. In 1933 I was entrusted with the direction of the
  Hospital in Berlin. In 1934 I became a regular Professor for Internal Medicine at the University of Broslaw and became director there of the medical
  university clinic where I had farmerly worked as assistant. I held this
  position until Broslaw was execusted in January, 1945.
- Q Witness, during the wer you were consulting physician of the Wehrmacht and worked in the Military Medical Academy, is that right?
  - " Yes.
  - Q To whom were you subordinate there?
- A In the Military Medical Mondany I was under the commanding officer of Instruction Group C of the Military Medical Mondany. That was General-aret Dr. Schrieber. As Consulting Intermist, which I was appointed at the beginning of the war when I was drafted into the Mehrusacht, I was under the Army Medical Inspector, Professor Dr. Maldanam.

- O. Host information will be sufficient. witness, did you concorn yourself with Hapatitis research?
  - A. Tos.
- Q. De you know the appointing, the other specialists who worked in the field of Repotitis research?
  - A. I know a number of them of news, perhaps all of them.
  - Q. Will you ploase mention the more important eres?
- in the californ Laboral Leader was Stabbarrat Boster Domen, who as also subordinate to the Community Officer of the Liftitary Eddical Academy. The most with Rectariology; that is, with research into the cause of Leatitis Diddice. Also, I know of two assistants from the Lebesh University Vinic, and a third made I do not rangeber at the moment, were concerned with research into the cause of Januarice. The two assistants of Professor Larger were Sieder and Surtner, who had, at an earlier Scried, worked on the question of Reputities.
- C. Mitness, that will be shought, thank you. You nooke of Doctor Donon, Did Doctor Donon have a research assignment?
- course of his work on Hepatitis, Donen received a resourch assignment.
  - . To cu show from the assistment case?
- the Research Counsel, that was General art Doctor Schreiber.
  - . Did you work with Doctor Docent
- in the field of Jamesico it was one field. To worked together in tiff rest sectors; and I was interested in the course of the disc so, and the conditions which caused the discuse, with the statistics of the discuse, the question of how dangerous it was and the consequences. This becter long was in charge of the bacteriological research. I tried to determine that was the

Sause of this disease. That this disease was contained had already been when to be very probable by the clinian research which I had conducted.

- . Itness, what kind of work did Doctor Donen do? Do you know that?
- A. Doctor D men worked from scratch. He tried by means of animal experiments, from certain secretions of the stient, to cultivate the commin the animal. It was necessary first of all to find the right animals in which these some could be cultivated and finally it was nossible to transfer the germs to the animal, to inject the animal, that is to produce an animal discuss; and now by transferring the jern from one animal to another, to ass on the disease from one animal to another. that is called animal culture, animal marrage. And, these invertigations were carried on later by the cultivation of the series in the clinic. It was shown that this was not a bacterium as tool from a good of revious sours; that the cause of the disease was a sub- screece or organism which had to be grouped with the virus.
- 9. Street, what he Poster Down actually of him for did his work
- A. He believed that he had thought course of Ephdenica Jaun'ice. This has indicated or in number of factors.
- Lave anothing to do with this experiment
- when in the meetings of Indical Societies, this research work of Doctor Dopont had been discussed frequently, the wish was expressed by various people that these cultures should be taken over from Domes, wratity was interested in Jannics received people average everydeers. For any, particularly among the into a and in the cases, in refugee cases, concentration camps, in children among the

7 Feb 47-4-5W-17-3-Beard (SJ) Court No. 1

camps, Jaundice was -laying an enormous role in Germany. He also mented to have cultures from Domen so that he, himself, could have further experiments made. This request of Grawits,

as well as a similar request from Fauen, another research worker, also concerned with the bacteriology of Jaundice - Donon refused this rejust.

- Q. That was the reason for this
- A. And, he did this for the following rearches: He dir not mant to give his cultures every. Let them out of his hands, because it was a certain scientific property. The other mean a Who approached him in order to get the cultures has not, or had hardly dealt with the whole question of Jaun'ice at that time. He manted to retain control of the use of these cultures, and that is why he refused. Gravitz approached become become because of view.
- Lo atitis research
- A. I did not hear that Brandt carried on this research. He was interceted in it as many poorle, as many factors were at that time. In view of the urgancy of the problem Repatitis was an important problem because to had no way of proventing it, to reduce the incidents of the didease, and we had no way of combating the disease with any specific drugs.
- Q. How, did professor Frankt do anything in the way of research; did he arguer in the field of research?
  - A. Not to ar in thedre.
  - ". Was Donon a mujordinate of rolessor Franct"
- A. No. I have throady said forces as order the galitary Medical Academy, and polarson randt had nothing a do with the Academy Brootly.
- 4. Did he not receive an assignment from Brandt directly outside of this:
  - A. I know nothing so ut that.
  - . votild you have larmed of it?
  - A. I think so, you.
- G. witness, you for the discree itself, is to atitis a denverous dis-
- A. Recatitie has a nortality linuar according to the experience salingd in the second world war of less than D.1. The deaths which secured work

generally not due to Jaundice itself, but other discress caused meach; and Jaundice was only an additional factor.

- Q "Itness, is the therepy of this diserse dengurous?
- ? How is the disease treately
- A I have already seld we had no specific thorapy. The therapy consisted of rest in bed, warmth, especially the storach and intestine and liver region, treatment with vitarins, and so forth. Convilement serum could also be used, that is, serum from people who had recovered from the discuss. That serum would be commissioned. A certain diet was also important in order to protect the liver as much as possible.

7 That is oncult. Thenk you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribund will now by in recess.

(A recess was taken).

THE PARSUL: The Tribunal is again in session. May it please your Honors, defendent Oberhouser has availed herealf of the permission granted by this Tribunal to be absent and is absent for the latter part of this afternoon.

THE PPERIMET I rak the Secretary General to make a note for the record of the absence of the defundant Oberhauser because of her physical condition, with periods of the Tribusci. Counsel by proceed.

If such a prophylanis is given by seems of vectorations, they are vectorations necommary which our be retail to the experimental subject?

A Vaccines against hopetitis as for as I know have not been found and have not been introduced yet. These experients were under may at that thus. In My expecity as a clinical man, as for as I am judge this, the development of the vaccines and the experiments in window these vaccines are not danger rous. The fact that they are not dan or as shows that the symbologue disease of journice in itself is not dan or as. Like all other vaccines, a receive which is to level yet appropriate and have seen all its unimportant reactions at the spot where the vaccination is perferred.

- 7 Thorafore you consider it impossible that fatalities could occur?
- A We, I amend trading that .
- DR. SERVITUS: Then I do not have any further questions of the witness.

BY DR. MELTE (for the defendant Handlover):

- 2 Witness, you are Consultant Internee with the Medical Inspectorate of the ray?
  - A You.
- I First with Professor Waldsman and then afterwards, from the lat of January 1941 on, with Professor Handlesor?
  - A Chat is correct,
- Q During your activities as Consultant Internee with the Army Medical Inspectorate did you maintain your position as refessor and director at Breslau?
- A I have maintained my civilian activity at Breslau as far as military duties which had been given to me permitted it. Part of the time I was at the Military Medical Leadery in Berlin, at my place of work, and sometimes I was also at the Breslau University Clinic and I have treated the patients which were located there and I have also given lectures.
  - Was this also the case with other consulting physicianst
- A That was common practice in the case of almost all consulting physician was worked within the Zone of the Interior.
- Q That is with all those who here worked with the Medical Army Inspector
- a No. The t cannot be said. I was a reserve medical efficer and I also and a civilian activity. However, there were also consulting physicians who were active cilitary physicians and they, of course, worked at all times in the military sector.
- Well, of course, I only referred to the reserve physicians. All these consulting physicians was were only civilian physicians, did they not also exist?
- A Those were several consulting mysicians who were not in uniform at all. I can remember Professor wan Michen in Berlin, the director of the Shr University Clinic, and Professor Loblein, the director of the Mys Clinic of the University of B rlin.

- Q. Is it, therefore, correct to say that the consulting hysicians who otherwise had a clustian medical activity also during their conscrintion as consulting physician carris out a double function?
- . And that also in their civilian sector they were not subordinated
- A. In civilian a lical activities they were not subordinated to the
- . Ill you please tell the friends about the official positions of the consulting hyricians which layed an important prominational role in the case of Fundleser
- i. There were community by the field and, within the home ing, and ferth m or with the ency odical inspectorate and were everywhere where se-colled medical officers in charge ners located. Furthermore, consulting physicians were used for the ort of the chysician in charge.
  - Q. Bld those conseit as thysicians hav a portain wilitary rank"
- 4. But as not the case. A certain rade but been provided for the consulting hysicians a question. Prover, he was only will to reach this radu toen they and room into this comition on account of their are. For coamic, there were desputning he delans with the relatively low dilitary rade of the assistant physic was and there here other consulting physicians who in accordance tibl their age or their special skills occupied histor Military grades. Actually there were all officer grades represented by ocnaultin ohygicians.
  - a. Is it not illitarily un-normal to a cortain extent?
- A. The whole stalus of conselling bysicient from a military point of Yil as anomal an it was un-nor al. To convoltan Systelans on the made of their portion netually did not fit in within the scall military Punctures throng for to reason because they were he art also taking cars of the cavillas tork. The convolting the property of the cavillas tore that to other priced officers did not may any authority and they ild not 12v any disciplinary authority of ther. Their activity consisted, as is about by

their name, of consulting others. May actually did not have any office.
They did not have any official stamp. They did not have any command authority. So, that actually the position of the consulting physician basically varied from the other paragns of the military system. The activity of the consulting physician consisted of several functions. It is set forth in the so-called directives for consulting physicians. It represents part of the directives for the collection of military medical experiences. Specifying to these directives the consulting physicians, first of all, had a consulting function. Secondly, they had a collecting function for the affect of experiences which had accumulated within the Medical Services of the Mehrmacht. And, finally, the function was to teach and to lecture in order to raise the level of training of the medical officers. Point two of this activity, the activity pertaining to the collection of experiences and the effects, is also known as scientific research work.

Q he will refer to this leter on. Is it correct that all those consulting physicians who were with the army and army groups, who for the most part had a collecting activity, and who at home in particular, those who worked with the army Medical Inspectorate had a utilizing function at home?

A That may well be said but it is still not quite correct. The consulting physician in the druy and in the Corps Areas had a utilization activity as well as a collection activity.

Q Is it perhaps so that local problems were also utilized at the front and this more extensive problems were utilized at home?

A That is correct.

- 4. How do you may that the area medical regulations have contained the fact that the consulting objective and this is the technical as ression since the question of the extablishment of groups plays a certain role in this trial, I would like to ask you the following question:

  Does a group of specialist physicians, with all the officers in charge, indicate that they amongst themselves constituted a group? That is, that they subjectively were connected with once other? Or is this only a statement as to the existence of a number of physicians with equal objective marks:
  - A. The latter is correct.
- Q. Was the untirety of the commuting hysicians at the front as well as at home amongst themselves, Here they connected with each other?
  - to Mo, in many cases they did re even know each other.
- 9. Now do you know that the consulting physicians not at conformers which also played a special part in this trial? Now did the consulting physicians come together by agreement?
- A. No. The consulting physicians were ordered and datached and the army sudical inspector selected those consulting physicians who were to attend a conference and then they were ment by their direct superiors, the medical efficers in charge, to attend these particular meetings.
- The prosecution has claimed that these conferences had been typical meetings of conspirators, that is to say, of physicians who met in order to commit wer crimes, crimes against hemanity, and o plan those crimes and to carry them out. You know of these conferences and the composition of those people who attended. What can you say to the accusation of the prosecution?
- A. The conferences served a corress, as far is I mov, to discuss the experiences think had been gained in the various action fields in the field and at home, which were gained by the individual consulting physicians, and then to out these down in sort of directives. The consulting thysicians then again returned to their offices and they then utilized the experience of which they had been informed in these conferences.

Issued as to cortain methods of breatment and about the diagnosis of cortain diseases and the avaluation of the physical condition with regard to the disease. Then these directives were examined by the army menioral has actorate and they were then sent to the front line units in order to have these directives carried out.

- Q. Do you 'maw thather these erented records and the printed directives were classified as secret?
  - A. I do not think that most of thus: reports were classified escret.
- Q: None of them were classified eccret. I only vanted to ask because I thought on might be able to know. Weder consideration of the circumstances as they existed in Fernany at that time, do you consider those conferences as a necessary institution?
- A. I am of the consistion that they were. It was the best may in order to collect and accomplate the emperiors which had been gained at all the Various a close and to discuss the almost representatives of this special field and perhaps to correct than and then to again pass on these experiences to the front line units.
- Q. You hav. ruviously stated that discussions took clace. Were these discussions subject to any limitations?
- a. I do not know of any particul case, only in so far as the southings had to be concluded within a cartain period of time. But I do not know of any military order or of any military prohibition to discuss anything I to not know anything about that.
- It is would like to tak you now to tall as about your ecocial netivity as an intermist with the army addiced inspectarate and to give us a cicture as to how you nave worked lithin the circle which was presided over by the Defendant Undlesse, that your trake core and how you extrict temport.
- A. I had to trivel a lot. I had to wisit many field hospitals and, of course, with a social amphasis on these places there curtain in retail the state the course had exempted in large much are. In this way i agreelf have

collinged in or occur.

- The Did you rise invitatin priess and assign into Did you receive them from Professor Landlocer on what special diseases you were to place invitables on hasis!
- A. These orders and essignments hors fro the necessities. Already from the articular motor would come the call to take care of certain discusses recount to duster of such discusses from enormously. I only want to mention dysectory, tribes and erhans calaria, although that was not my field, and a didnic jamedice and, during the last years of the war. the so-called war nechritis. In 1940, when jour ce teran to srow more frequently to a thredring figure, I as given the assimment by the any medical minustra to particularly compy wholf with this disease. In pursuance of the ages mount I thou went to the part us thenture of operations, to reace, to Bunsia, to race, a " I have ersonally looked at the various espes at the Various hoseitale. I think in the course of the time I saw on a coust. " of such milinate. Then I have looked at the causes for the investor and I have personally determined that the reasons which had for er's seen come owned ar bain the cause of the diames, for some le, food polsoning, climbic influence, bad conditions. of Joing no T ter, in other facts, were not ils chies of this disease. I am in the curre of the that the disease could be carried from one but as being to the next and that this disease belowed in the group of so-called infections diseases. I further iss able to determine that once this disease in been overnouse, the or on who had overcome it would se protected from it for the rest of him life, so that a verson would only to able to minfooted with the issues one time furly his life. There are only fore theest.one. I was luttern shis to determine that jamplice meral : to a tarrians disease which does not cause any pain and which chroses the others to be relies to troop 2, 4, and I reside and foul very share of, it could be firther secret, and that as injurious or lications on 're win after the disease, while as In contrast to the Thien which was reviewely held, which stated that damages to the liver seeld rocain.

2705

Q May I interrupt you for a minute?

In the speech of General Taylor - in his general speech - in the transcript of 9 December the transcript contains the following sentence on Page 82 of the German varsion, and the specker here tells of a letter which Grawitz wrote and in this letter he is alleged to have discussed the over-all importance of a vaccine of extraordinary military value for the treatment of epidemic joundice and he continues, and I quote: "In several companies there were fatalities up to 70% as a result of epidemic jaundice."

- A May I ask you who wrote the letter and to whom it was addressed?
- Q It is aller a to have been written by Grawitz to Himmler.
- A This statement is in contrast to all experiences. I believe that this is a mistake in printing. It probably should not read 60% fatalities, but it means to say that in some companies 60% of the entire company cought the disease. If any such mortality rate had occurred at any place I believe that it certainly would have come to my knowledge. I consider it completely impossible.

DR. NAITE: Mr. President, I have tried to obtain the English version.

However, I was unable to obtain the English version in the General Secretary'

office today and I would request the Tribunal to rule if that should be the

case what the itness has just said that this word "fatality" in the offic
inl version of the German transcript should be changed in such a way as the

meaning might be implied. I am told that the word means examptions and in

this case I only want to point out the necessity for a correction of the

transcript.

MR. McHANEY: May it places the Tribunel, I take it that General Taylor is permitted to say what he will in his opening at tement and it is the function of the Prosecution in this case to try to prove his statements. I don't think it is enything to argue about here. The letter they are telking about is Document No. 010 - I don't have the Exhibit number here now - but it very clearly says "The practical importance of the matter in question for our troops, especially in Scuthern hussis, results from the fact that this disease has spread so extensively during the past years, both smeng us in

the 'affen-SS and Folice and in the army, that up to 60' casualties for a puriod of up to six we ke suffered in some commander. I it doesn't say that 600 died.

Di. NAITE er. remident, if General Taylor had added six vecks to the text them, of so area, it would have been clear that a mistake had occurred. It is only due to the fact that in the seeech of Jeneral Taylor the addition for all works had been left out. That has the only reason I apprected a mistake had recurred.

Variant will be card evailable to him. In so far as the statement of General Taylor is concerned, it is clear that some error has been carried through in the record. It is not of any importance, but as stated by Counsel, the oraning statement of other the respection or the Polense may contain only what that side of the case expects to prove. It has no binding force as evidence. DT NF. VELES:

immelf with this problem of heratitis evidence. You had named two officers and 4 want to min a correct picture and I want to make on the picture to the Tribunel. Just how frequently did this lineary occur and also now many officers occupied the analysis with this problem?

A. The I first of all state that in the years 1960 and 1961 the directors and an energy as increased by numbers. In order to give a picture I would like to many the foliouse in various which I can still remember. In one since south, I believe it was September 1963, on the Eastern Pront alone within one with their word 100 or 17 thousand new cases. The annual increases in cases of the disease in Jornany, including our troops at the front, is estimated at 10 or 2 millions. In the salrendy been stated in one letter, in some places the disease rate reached 50 to 600 of the workers or the soldiers in the government. In the case 1960, I, breakf, have soon 95 Northcomes in a french field besital - that was three-fourthe of an entire of any. In a written of war case in Formania, here English officers for located, within a very short period of time, 500 of all the mostle that were there and pought

2707

the disease. In two other prisoner of war camps in Groece and Crete, amongst the three or four thousand inmetes, 30% to 40% fell ill with the disease. This already indicated that hepatitis is clearly a camp or community disease and that it appeared everywhere where human beings were located in crowded billets and where the general hygienic conditions did not correspond with those which he had in Germany in times of peace. I believe this indicates the importance of the disease alone already because of the enormous casualties on human beings - first of all, for the combat units, and then for the work at home. Of course, it was quite natural that with an epidemic of such gian proportions - I den't believe the world has over seen anything like it - every physician who had a laboratory tried to find the couse of the bacillus by some means in some way. Wany thousands, and purhaps hundreds of thousands of best-riological investigations of the urine, of the atomach juice, of the intestinel juice, and so on, were carried out practically withcut the luast success, and only mun of resumrch, who on the busis of their preliminary knowledge, were albe to carry on virus research were more fortune to in this respect. In Germany, et least 5 to 6 officers worked in order to find the cause for the contagious joundice. They included Domen, Hangen; they included the two assistants from Luipzig whom I have already named; then Professor Herzweld from Greifswald, and there are still thruc or four others whose names I can't recall any more. They all tried to find the virus. If the virus had been found then it would have been possible to first of all treat the disease in accordance with its course, and, secondly, to find a vaccine and a protection which would protect millions of puople from this disease. Up to now, the treatment of this epidemic jaundice had only been corried out with symptoms. The medical tructment which was given I have nomed, Drugs, rest ----

- q Do you know how the treatment for hepitatis was cerried out "bread?
- a according to the literature which was available to us up to the beginning of the war and according to the literature which we were albe to receive from thread during the war, the foreign countries did not have any
  other methods of treatment and protection them we had at the beginning of

the wer. Even during the wor, as far as I know, no specific therapy and no specific protection wes developed in connection with the disease. A number of books have been written abroad which indicate that the foreign countries also tried to find the cause for epidemic jaundice and to apparently develop a protection against the disease. I myself can remember a book from the year 1945 contained in the Journal of the American Medical Association by the authors Neefe, Stockton, Knowley and Minimistr. The book described that within a circle of persons consisting of s-called "conscientions objectors" that the excrements of patients suffering from this disease were mixed with water and then these excrements were given to twenty-five people to drink. They were in three groups with five to a group. Certain chlorides and disinfection drugs were given to them. In thecase of two groups such disinfection drugs were not used.

In this froming or hopstitis epidemea ten poonle become ill, but not of epidemic jamn'ice. These recepts were such an ease case that it could not be counted among the cases of epidemic jaundice; all the others remained healthy. Therefore, that is proof of the fact that the foreign countries likewise occurred the enables for the causes of this discuss and they tried to kill the bacteria and exercisent and thus protect other people.

- 1. But, no fatalities occurred in this may?
- A. wall, that had to be expected.
- Now, will you please tell the Tribunal about your research and your attempts to find the virus; so far you have not done that. I mean your pathods of work.
- A. I, myself, have nover looked for virus as I am not a bacteriologist. Within the sector of the army, Debmon tried to cultivate this virus. I have attempted to discribe these experiments.
- as consulting intermist of Professor mandles:r to a certain extent belonged to his close surroundings and merks a he may be held remonsible for that you might have done and what may not have been permissible. Therefore, I think you should describe to us just how you worked, what were your methods in carrying out your activities in regard to hematitie research.
  - A. I have actually described it already.
  - . Will you please repeat it once more briefly.
- A. I have rade observations on patients in the field bespitals, I have listed the case history, subsequent commications and fatalities and from several hundred thousand of case histories, our young physicians and I have determined what fatalities occur after tempatities, what is the average degree of the sisease and what fatalities occur, what causes the fatalities and I have determined that hepatities is containing. I gained this experience from many examinations and I have further determined that the cause is not of testuris but of vires.
  - Q. Have you carried not renctures of livers during your work?

A. Penotures of livers were carried out, but I personally have not carried them out, but unctures if the liver were performed in individual field hospitals. With as a method - a technique, which had been developed by two Danish authorities, Nobels and Iverson. For this puncture of the liver, heatiths call not be treated, however, it could be determined that danses the liver has sustained and what the changes of ours were with this disease. It could be determined if after the endesic joundles the liver was again functioning with its argans or if any to ages had remained in the liver. This was insports those it a prognosis, it is also infortant for the question should such a header can again to returned to work, could be be allowed to serve, or would be further have to theory treatment.

- U. Can this positions of the liver be discharge as an experiment on human beings?
  - A. The emotion of the liver not in accordant, but a method

of maximation. It equals the so-called lumbar uncture where the spinol fluid is drained in order to examine the fluid secretions and consequently this puncture could not be considered as dangerous. It is not dangerous in the hands of a person qualified to carry it cut. It is not more dangerous than a lumbar puncture would be in the case of a person who is not experienced.

- Q. In your conition as consulting intermist; have you discussed the heastitis research with Professor Fundlocer.
  - A. I have discussed be atitis quite a lot.
- i. In this respect, sid the question ever arise that in the Irane of heratitis research as extremts should are ould have to be carried out on luman values?
  - A. As far as I mo Unis question has never been discussed.
- 1. Lut, surely you have also be propose about the progress of your constantions?
- A. I have ande either written or oral reports when the necessity seemed to arise.
- a. And in that respect, did you on your ert ever mention the question of erforming experiments on human beings?
  - A. Mo, I cannot remainer.
- Q. Now, have you ever written a latter, which I am going to hand to you? It is a latter data? the 24th of June 1944, to Professor Haasan and in this letter it is stated. "In line with my statements at the joint hepatitis discussions at Prosity, I have tried to make properations for experiments on human balays". (Apparimentum Crucis der Uebervragung Nominen)."
  - 5.3 | Tast 17: hat 10 the "comment book"
- IN. FIRTH: That is the Tocument book about the heratitis enidemen and it fees not have any master. Yes, it is becament book No. 8, page 11. There you will find the letter which I have just mentioned.
  - LR. MAROY: It is Odibit 193.

7 Feb 17-1-035-22-2s-Meeton (BJ) Court We, 1

THE PRESIDET: The executation of this vitness will take how much longer;

DR. SETTS: It will take a little more time. Tr. Prosident, this is one of the most important withesess for the Defend at Mandleser and I have to interrogate him now because he will not be available to me later.

This PRESIDENT: The witness will be available on Monday morning. The Tribunal will now recess until 9:30 - 1-lock Londay morning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 10 Jebruary 1917, at 9:30 house,

10 Feb 47-M-DJG-1-1-Foster-(AK)

## CORRECTED COPY.

Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, ot al, defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 10February 1947, 0950, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the Court Room will please find sents.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal 1.
Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the
United States of America, and this honor ble Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: hr. Marshal, will you ascortain that the defendants are all present in court.

THE MARSHAL: May it please your Honors, all defendants are present in the Sourt Room.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court.

The witness Gutzeit is still on the stand and the witness is reminded that he is still under path.

Counsel may proceed.

DR. SERVATIUS: (Counsel for the Defendant Karl Brandt):
May it please the Tribunal, may I first discuss briefly a
technical question. On Friday the two witnesses, Reichaminister Lammers and the witness ceneralarst Dr. Gutzeit were led
here in handcuffs. I do not know the reasons why Reichaminister Lammers was handcuffed. I do not know if any special
reasons existed for that. Professor Butzeit is a prisoner
of war. At present he is in an open cell in prison and is
allowed to move around. I believe that it should be avoided
to bring a witness here in such a way so that he will not have
the feeling of being under pressure and that he perhaps may

10 Fob 47-M-DJG-1-1-Foster-(AK)

ful if the Tribunal would make a ruling in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: This is a matter concerning which the Tribunal has no information. Neither is the Tribunal advised as to the status of these witnesses. The Tribunal will inquire into the matter but that is, of course,

10 Feb 470M-DJG-1-2-Fostor-(AK)

a matter for the security officer in charge to determine. The Tribunal will inquire as to the situation.

Proceed with the examination of the witness:

## KURT GUTZEIT - Resumed

## EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. MOLTE: (For the defendent Handloser)

Q. Witness, at the end of the last session I had decoument book Number 8 handed to you and I had called your attention to the letter of 24 June 1944 which you addressed to Professor Hagen at Strassbourg. This letter is part of correspondence in which the names of Dr. Gravitz, Dr. Dohman, Generalarst Schreiber, Professor Hagen and your name is also mentioned in connection with Repatitis research. Will you please describe to the Tribunal if any and what connections exist between these persons with regard to Hepatitis research.

THE PRESIDENT: Will counsel report the number of that document.

DR. NOLTE: I did not understand your question, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Fill counsel repeat the number of that document.

DR. NOLTE: It is page 11 of Document Book 8, Document No. No. 142. It is Exhibit 193.

A. This letter of 24 June 1944 which was directed from me to Professor Haagen, it is the result of a Hepatitis conference of all research people who were concerned with this problem in Germany. In June 1944 this Hepatitis conference took place at Breslau. Between six and seven —

I do not know the exact number — various research men were there who had worked on the Hepatitis experiments on

10 Feb 47-M-DJG-1-2-Fostor-(AK)

animals, and also many other persons interested, physicians. In the course of the conference the experiences which had been collected in the meantime by the individual research men were publicly printed. It became evident that the various research men had reached completely varying results as a result of their experiments on animals. All these research men strove to find the course of Hepatitis through animal experiments. While one part had carried out these experiments and the other part had carried them out with mice, the third group carried

them out with rate and the fourth group carried them out with canaries.

They were unable to determine if the bacteris which had been cultivited at different places all represented the same group, or if they included a large variety of different bacteria.

If any buran saterial is vaccinated on animals, or if any animals are vaccinated with material of human beings, and if then the animal becomes sick, then in the beginning it cannot be stated with certainty if the discusse of the animal has been caused by the specific bacteria or the discuss of the animal has been caused by bacteria which is hornless to human teleps.

Then, in the course of the discussion at Breslau malch was presided over by Generalarst Schreiber, Schreiber su mested or ordered that the individual research men and authors whould form and follow certain procedures of work, in order to compare the results they had mehieved in the course of their experiments on animals. One of these proups consisted of Dohmen, Haaren, myself and several other authors who were very close to Haaren.

The beginning of the letter deals with an assignment of Dohman to Mongen. This assignment was approved by Generalizest Hossan as a result of this regrouping, and it was intended that Dohman, together with Hanson, should compare the results they had achieved in the course of animal experisons in their respective laboratories. That is the first paragraph

The second personals then deels with the feet that during the conference at Breslau I had made a statement which deals with the experiment of crucis besines. I will have to explain this. In the course of every part of research, medical research, which deels with human beings and which is then continued in the form of experiments on animals, some time the most important part of that research has to be reached; that is, experiments crucis where the results achieved in the course of experiments on animals have to be transferred and applied to the human being because all experiments on animals, in the course of research, can only have results from the results of the experiment on an enimal are applied to human because all research had to be made of use to the human being; the possibilities of discussis for the formal time, methods of transment and preventive measures against discusses.

In Broslau I had a number of medical students. I had won them over to the point of view that the virus which had been cultivated by Dehmen after, as is likewise stated in the letter, it had been frozen for a period of weeks and menths, it was tried to be transferred to a human being and it was to be applied to the students and intermees to whom I had explained the metter previously. Since the virus material was frozen and Dehmen did not have any other exterial, it had to be assumed that these bacteric had shready been killed or that with reverd to the virulents they had become so weak already that this vaccination become a true vaccination; that is to say, a proventive measure against this hopetities. It was the same thing, for example, as the small pos vaccine. Since it was not absolutely certain that the bacteric were completely non-virulent in therefor exall not cause the infection. It could have happened that in the course of this vaccination, in one or some other case, the real infection still could have occurred in the form of a very week and very light case of happtitie.

I then, in the letter, discuss pertain criteria which have to be applied if this virus is applied to human bulnes. Then a certain denear existed that in the case of jaundice, an infection could be transferred from the vaccinated persons to their neighbors. I therefore had to prepare facilities for isolation them so that the people who had been treated in this way were a completely isolated from the cutside world and these isolation facilities were not so simple to obtain in Ereslan at that time because a were subject to severe air raid resultions and the clinic had to be also ad to some extent so that the individual and separate rooms first of all had to be prepared.

This experiment was to be carried out during the holidays, during this academic vacation which was between the middle of August and the widdle of September. Since I had students and medical students at my disposal I had asked in this letter if Hasgen would mad some of his virus material to me so that I could carry out this experiment on his material, on Bohmen's material, and on my material. The experiment was never carried out because the students concerned and the medical students concerned were conscripted for military service at the beginning of their vacation and thus were rec ved from my field of authority. That is the explanation for the contents of this letter.

DR. Milia: Mr. President, before I continue my examination I would like to point out that the interpreter has changed the statement by Professor Outseit. Professor Gutzeit has stated there and he has translated the statement that the virus was transferred testudents and internoes. I donot know if the word "interness" in this o mnection may not perhaps be edsunderstood. The doctoranden are students who live in complete freedom. I request that the transcript be corrected if possible.

2. (dy Dr. Melte) Professor, you spoke about the Brasla; conferenco--

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal understands that medical students are called interns. If the mitness referred to these students who were serving their period as I turns you can ask the witness if that is what he intended to say.

DR. MET E: er. President, the witness did not say interna, but he said dectoranden. They are persons who were once students and are shout to take their medical examination and who have already completed their studies.

Q. (Sy Dr. Melte) witcoss, you spoke about hepatitis remearch at the Bruslaw conference and also the attempt at coordinating the verious mancies who occupied themselves with nepatitis research. Did Dr. Domaan also attend this conference?

A. Dr. Dohmen attended the conference and presented the results he had achieved in animal experiments; also Professor Haagen who also reported about his experiments on animals and the results he achieved. 10 Feb 47-N-HAA- 1-Beard-(AK)

Q. On the basis of the Breslau conference, it is intermined then, until that time, experiments were only carried out on animals?

A. Yes, in the course of the Breslau conferences only experiments on animals were discussed.

Q. I now request you to read page ". It-is a letter of the Roich Physician SS Grawitz to the Reichsfushrer-SS, Himmler. It is Document No. Old of the Prosecution. That letter states the following: "The work, namely, the research for the reason of Epidemic Jaundice has been so far carried out by Oberstabsarzt Doctor Johnson within the Research Laboratory of the army Medical Inspectorate, and with the cooperation of Robert Koch Institute, in conformity with results obtained by other German Scientists. This research has led to the discovery that infectious Jaundice is not comed by Enctoria but by a virus. In order to a service knowledge which to date is based only on innoculation in the new necessary, namely, the innoculation of human televis with virus cultures. Cases or death must be anticipated."

I now leave out the next sentence, and I continue:
"Eight persons condemned to death -- young if possible, -are needed in the prison hospital of Concentration Camp
Sachsenhausen."

The next letter of the Reichsfuchrer SS, Document No.

Oll, on page 5 of Document Book No. 8, contains the approval

of the Reichsfuchrer SS; that eight criminals condemned

to death at Amschwitz should be used for these experiments.

It further contains the approval of Reichsfuchrer SS that

Doctor Dohmen should carry out these experiments at Sachsen
housen. You will see that the letter is of June 1947. That

10 Feb 47-M-MAA-3-1-Beard-(AK)

is one year before the Breslau conference. You have testified that Dohmen has reported at Breslau about experiments on animals. Do you know anything about the fact that Doctor Dohmen had worked at the Concentration Camp Sachsenhausen?

A. I have already stated in the course of my last examination that the Reich Physician SS Doctor Grawitz showed a very lively interest in obtaining the cultures from Doctor Dohmen in order to personally carry

at appariments with these cultures on menon beings. Deter Dobner tald as ab at this thing. Diffuse refused to hand these vaccines over, and I supported him in his refusel because Diluten did not want by lose c ntrol ever these cultures and because it agreered a scientific projesition for "ir an' because he he' cultivate ! tress cultures. After I with Lad referred to hand over these cultures Gravita himself urged has to personally cur, but the experiments which he not reviewly intended to carry out he sold, and carry then but no Secured usen. In order to a case broudts, and in order to avoid outlineasut so I mean todical, after he had been unjed several times, to unwir at Shelserkhausen , but mit as Branch wented to - infect hand one there, he all marks there in order to take things led grown to the cutside and in order to the one from the in this manner. Therefore, in the end he carried out ar once against what Gravita has wanted. Then we always discussed our respective Megatitia rescerch from time to time. Deter Doleron always reported the at his work at Sachsonhausen. To made exterination there on the miarmers who had been placed at ids disposal, in the second to this we als carried out in field in mittle and he spitale, in the co. I public to are maspeoted of haven drustine, and to se the have in t already. Then he also carried on preventitive infects as the liver by sec of vitadas, which is als a c - a societly metic, ut a never inflated human boings as for to I have.

- I want to report. Where I have, when he reported at bresless,
  - in it .
- The for a year know about this work at Sachsenhausen, is you over assume this work with an Joseph Hamiltoner?
  - " I never did that.
- I fin have stated that Dieter Dalman in the year 19th one assisted.

  Strandurg by Schreiter. Do to live mything that the results of the purpose of the discussion with Sin and
  - .. . s for as I lm.w, Doct r 0 man cerried out saw Metar

at ordinals with virus at the leberatory together with D char in one to any spent a few days there. I do not know anything about any for-

- notioned about the fact that he had been at Matsweller?
  - A N , I did not know soything ab ut it.
  - Q D. you know where determined is?
- In withe name. I have now discovered that an example I did not even than each. I, weelf, have nover count Materialist. I do not know it.

  I have nover visited any commonwhat a case at all, and I do not know anything about the commission of the electrician occupant anything about their presistion. I have now a right on a nontration one's.
- In the beginning of your occlustion, you have also contained by our to a specifically and particularly nort us discuss, in for an the are the encourage. Did you discuss the problem of Typess resource or the special with professor businesses to the end of 1961?
- A Generally I discussed the are tought of Typias with a victory remainded by dispusing

10 Feb. 47 -4-4-1-PRP- Blakley burt No. 1 Q. Can this be explained by the feet that in 1941 for the army and the medical gencies of the army, for all these agencies, typhus was only a question of adical treatment? 1. In 1941 the research institute for typhus had already been ostablished Inchau; and there the well-known lice vaccine which had previously been introduced was manufactured. That was the Weigel vaccine. It had proved itself; and he far as it could be produced in larger amounts, the troops who had become embang red were vaccinated with it. Therefore, the typhus w ccination was nothing num for the army; and when typhus made its appearance for the first time, only a certain period of time passed until technically sufficient vaccine was produced in order to immodulate all the troops in all the units. Q. Did you at may time receive an order to carry out research with report to typhus? A. No. 4. Did Prof. Handloser ever mike an expression towards you that it was mecasary in the field of typhus research to make use of experiments on human 210057 A. No. Q. It has been at ted here that on the 21st of December, 1941, a conference is alleged to have taken place which was attended by Prof. Cildeneister, Prof. Mitter, Dr. Conti, Prof. Mrugowsky, Dr. Brandk, and still others; and the prosecution claims that in the course of this conference Prof. Bandloser in his directly as representative of the behreacht suggested them to carry out typhus " stourch through experiments on busin beings and to continue them in this certain way by infecting human beings to bring typhus research to completely different fields than had previously been done in accordance with the medical profession and science. I am now asking you, if Prof. Handlower had notuelly considered this problem in Donager, 1941, would be have discussed it with you? A. I assume that I would have found out something about it. Actually, however, i did not hour onything about these things. . How long were you consulting internist with Prof. Handloser? A. During the entire time when Prof. Handloser was the army medical inspector. +2724

10 Feb. 47 -N-4-2-PKP- Blakley court No. 1

- Q. That is, until the lat of September, 1944?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Then why did you not have any more official contact with him afterwards?
- A. Because I was consultant to the army medical inspector and Prof. Handloser from that period of time on -- and I do not know the exact date -- became chief of the army medical service.
- tid not have any consulting physicians?
  - A. The chief of the medical service did not have any consulting physicians.
- Q. has he able to issue any direct orders to the consulting physicians of the Wahrmacht branches?

0 = ++ no. 1 10 Fut 47-1-4-14-FEN-Blakley A. He was not able to do that directly as far as I know. I had not recoived may direct orders from him as chief of the medical service. Q. Your contact with Professor Handloser makes it mossible for you to judge him as a physician, assa soldier, and as a human being. In this trial it will be important for Professor Handloser that a picture of his personality ce gained. For this reason I would ask you to describe to the High Tribunal from your own personal knowledge how Professor Handloser supeared to you and to others as a soldier, as a physician, and as a human being. A. In my opinion, judging profess r Handloser as a clinical man, he was not only a stidier. I connot judge the qualifications of a soldier because I have always worked in civilian life and because I have not been an active soldier, but I have always belonged to the reserve. I can only judge his particular nedicel attitude. He did not only personally take care of the organisation but I have personally seen and have always heard that in many instances he took personal cere of the sick and wounded. That is smeething which was not always done by all medical officers. As a men I believe that Professor Bendlower had a very noble personality and that he had a Clawless character, Q. Nov. my finel question. From your knowledge of the personality of professor Handloser are you able to say whether Professor Handloser in his orders and directions had followed any other rules than the acknowledged rules of modical ethica? A. I have nover pursumelly seen any indications of the fact that Professor Handloser had violated the rules of medical science or that he had issued orders which would leed to it. Q. I thonk you very much. IR. NALTE: I do not have any further ouestions. EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SERRING: . Witness, how many times during the course of your professional career have you ectually come into personal contact with frofuseer Handloser? A. At the beginning of the war I had been conscripted for military service. I was conscripted from my civilian activity. I was immediately assigned -2726es consulting physician of the army medical inspecturate. That was without any activity on my part. My first chief was Generalober tabserst Professor Waldmann. Waldmann became ill and was retired. He was succeeded by Professor Handloser. From that moment on I was subordinated to Professor Handloser as his consulting physician. During the time when Professor Handloser was chief of the army medical inspectorate I was also at all times his consulting

internict.

- Q. That continued over how long a course of time!
- A. I do not completely remember the exact dates. I believe from

  December 1940 on. I think that is the date when Professor Handloser became

  erroy medical inspector.
- IR. NELTE: May I perhaps say that in December he became deputy of Profewer Weldmann and in January 1941 became medical inspector.
- A. Until his final appointment to the medical service. As far as I know, that was on the let of September, 1964.

10 305 4741-FJC-5-1-Cook goort No. 1 PER STORE SERVED: That was a period of more than three years, is that true? A Yes mir. And during that time how often did you actually come in contact with professor Emmilesor, either in a secial personal way or in a professional way store problems, professional fedical problems, would be discussed between you, he often as once a week for excepted A There were no togular lootings, it was done in such a way that whenover a medical necessity appeared within the Army, Professor Handfosor called to and them in accominace with my duties, asked to about those things, but it we also vice-verse, that whom I, on my trips or otherwise, in field hespitals, or by meens of reports from other consulting physicians, if I had to report emothic of diportance to him them I went to see him or I submitted a report in writing. There was no procedure which called for regular meetings once a weak. Smatting several weeks passed. Therefore, the meetings and the discutai us or confurences their clare from case to orme. DR. MELTE: May I cak a quostica is order to complete the subject? or out the ret 1 Do you know what functions Professor Hamiltoner had? A First of all he was army Hedical Inspectorate and at the same time he commeted with this the or-called Chief Medic 1 Officer of the Army. ? In order to explain and clarify the previous question, every time that Professor Hamiloser Vicital Berlin was it the procedure that he would Horse the most important questions with you by saking you to report to him or that you want to you hill whom you have that he was in Berlin? A Not avery three muon profes of Bendloser wer in Bo lin uns I enlied t soo him. I have elrardy at tal that the discussions took place from case t craw. If he had struthing interpret he couldness. If I happened to be in Borlin I went to one his. Then I was in y clinic in precing I would proceive a telepoint will tarling no to come to see him at Berlin, and ahonover I at saletain of importance to discuss with him, then when Professor Hendloser and not not nordin I would report this to the Chief of the I G with the request t gons it on to Conorderat Dr. Schreiber, and shen he had sufficient time for -272310 Joh 4750-FJC-5-3-Cook

yet have already been questioned as to your relationship to Dr. Handlosor. Do yet believe that Professor Handloser would have discussed the typhus question with you, and particularly the question if you considered it appropriate to carry out experiments on human beings, after such a discussion had taken place on the 29 December 1941?

I I believe that I have already enswared this question that I would personally believe that if experiments on human beings with typing had been planned, that Professor Hamiltoner would have told no schething about it and that if he said enything I have already stated I have not heard anything about it.

DR. FLETHE: Thank you, I have no further questions.

10 Feb 47-N-MAA-6-1-Gross-(AK) COURT I BY DR. FRITZ (defense counsel or the defendant Rose): Q. Professor, what did Dohman do in his civilian capacity, in what igstitute, and where else did he work before he was consoripted? A. He was assistant of the Hamburg Clinic for Internal Disaases. Q. Can you tell me the reason that he was especially selected for hegetitis research? A. At ages ariler period Dohmen apparently had been assistant s. Gio. moister or worked with him I personally do not know that exactly. However, I believe that was the Dase. I believe that at the time Dohnen was recommended by Gildomoister as somebody who was acquainted with hopetitis research and I have stated earlier that in the course of the clinic work with regard to hepatitis, the necessity had resulted to appoint a physician who was acquainted with virus research in order to find the cause for the Jaundice. Q. Professor, can you tell me when Dr. Dohmen was assigned to the Robert Koch Institute? A. Apparently that was in the year 1942. Q. and do you know how long he was assigned there? A. Until the destruction of the Robert Koch Institute, until the loss of the cultures which had been cultivated by Dohmen. I bolieve this was in the fall of 194%. I do not know the exact month any more. Q. And with whom did Dr. Dohmen collaborate in the Robert Koch Institute? A. He worked in the laboratory of Professor Gildamoister and 'as advised and supported by Professor Gilde-2731

10 Feb 47-N-HAA-5-1-Gross-(AK)

meister in his work.

- Q. Do you know if Dr. Dohnen had anything to do with Professor Rose?
  - A. I have never heard anything of it. I do not know.
- Q. Do you know after the destruction of the Robert Koch Institute. do you know where Dohmen continued his hepatitus research?
- A. After the destruction of the Robert Koch Institute Dr. Dohmen went to Giessen by order of the Commander of Army Group C, to whom he was subordinated, and in Giessen he worked in a laboratory which had been

10 Feb - JR-6-1a-Gross Court I does not desire to limit your cross emmination but it am ld be confined to iny disterial which is not stready in the record. BY DR. FRITTEE Q. Professor, and you ever collaborate at a the defendant supe on hepatitio? Q. As for as ou know did professor Ross take any active part in hepatitis research? A. I did not beer anything acres it. Q. Do you now that Professor Rose did not participate at the hepatitis confurance at reslau? A. I bolieve that he was not there. Q. At that preside conference all the le ding hapatitie research mon had been livited of the chruscht and who from the combers of the Inftweffe onn you rece ber meton 6 47 A. I already stated that House was there. Then your attenued, also Tuesther. As been was the pathologies loster there because it as also a pitoriolistic oction. There were carried oct a numbers of the infewrife but I do not know the agact to me. If I would be weed for cortext, passa I mint be sold to the year one infort status where them. Q. This professor keep, or see cast up oncer of the newer Koch institute, but to a interested in the results of your hopatities resourch, just like for any other progress in to fields of infectious disease, is of cours: privatel, for, I want to all you, it is seen, in axcess of that would you consider Processor Bose as a such lift for hepositis and would you continue his a cold you wentions the companion and a hopetitie specialists? A. So, I would not consider a a senset his scientist. Q. Www. you war heard a lecture by professor mose bout - a title? Is you you wer soon any sei mosfie article in a lett literature on the subject of professor Hose? -2733THE MARSHIL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further cross-examination of this witness by defense counsel?

BY DR. THERM (Counsel for defendant Poppendick)

Q I'm going to submit to the witness a publication reparding the typh treatment with acridin by Dr. Ding. This is Document No. 582, Exhibit No. 281

JUDGE SECRING: What book, please 7

DR. ROEHM: Exhibit No. 286. It was not in any locument book. It was presented simply.

## TY DR. SOEHM:

- Q Professor, dil you have sufficient time to investigate the acridin work by Ding so that you can state your expert opinion before the Tribunal to may?
  - A I road it, yes.
- q .mon: others; you particularly dealt with questions of infectious
  - A Yes, that's right.
- O Is it correct to say that you wrote a book regarding infectious iscases where in particular you worked on experiences made during the last war?
  - A Yes.
- As a consulting physician in the army Inspectorate you must have rained experience in the field of typhus research, in reticular, regarding the circumstances which 'eal with the originating of opidemics.
  - A Yes, I dealt with that question.
- The document which was an mitted to you bears the stump "No object! from a medical point of view. There is a signature, i.a. Poppendick by order of Poppendick, is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q Well, is that thesis a typical report as it is made to a superior accept or loss it have the form of a publication in a professional periodic

". Is is correct that the Binish scientists, Evenson and Robelm,

pictures of quite normal livers are commissed in the monography will has appeared on that subject. But I do not remember it quite well. I do not remoisor whather liver munctions were performed on perfect. ly borlthy human-joings.

T. Hank you. I have no further questions.

ES PESIDET: Is there any further cross a unination of this wit nuce by any of the defense counsel? There being none, the Presecuti thy pross omnino.

CROSS B LATINATION

A. The Training Group C was subording tool to the Military Medical Academy and sendency and the Military Medical Academy and with it this Fraining Group C, was subordinated to the Army Medical Inspectorate. The local of the army Medical Inspector to was the army Medical Inspector.

Q. Honco, Dr. Schroiber, as chief of this department in the Military wedical academy, was, in fact, a subordinate of the Medical Inspector, hardleser, during the tire andleser held that position as Medical Inspector, is that correct?

A. Yos. In the final analysis, all medical officers were subordirector of the highest medical officer; that, of murse, also includes Generalarat Selreiber; as the economic of the se-called Training Group C he was the subordinate of the Army Medical Inspector.

it rose. ref from the seior Research Council?

A. Would you plouse rupe, to the question more processely? I did not quite understand.

The rel Council on notters of scientific recearch?

A. On occasions I was asked by the Role Research Council on numerous medical matters; this was nestly done by writing and I then defined my position to the questions by writing. These questions concerned numerous fields. For instance, I re unbor one question about a proposed treatment of typhus. It was intended to treat it with contain bathing processes -- were betring processes. Here were also other questions I had to deal with -- questions encorning contain the thank of discusses, whether a contain mathed if treatment proposed by some one had my value according to my opinion so that it could generally be exploited and used. To soltether of cort in large was local with by me by request of the

Roich Rosonroh Council.

The those writus resourch to sks that were assigned to you -- were they assigned to you by Professor Dr. mestock of the Reich Resourch Council?

A. west reh assignments who not received by me from the Acieh Research Council. These were marely inquiries about proposals which may have come from any side and on the basis of my experiences I had to state my opinion. I myself, however, this was not the case in the case of hepatitis, During the Lapatitis work, after having worked on that for a long time, 1965 or 1946, I don't remember exactly, the Reich besearch Council gave no such an assignment. I did not ak for that assignment. It was morely handed to me.

10 Feb 47- EBH-9-1-Mechan-(AK)

These were inquiries. I said nothing about any certain assignments. At least I do not remember anything about them. I just said they were inquiries about proposals which came from one side and it was my duty to make statements about their execution. I gave you in instance before. Any medical officer might have suggested a certain form of typhus treatment. I susbasquently was asked whether it was also my opinion that typhus treatment should be used generally; experiences were to be collected on that point, that is just an example. These also eminated from Professor Rostock.

- Q. Regarding yellow joundice, what are the dangers and possible complications of Hepatitie?
- A. I already stated the danger of Hepatitis during my previous examination. With reference to the life of the patient, the danger is very small. The figures snow in literatures about so-called mortality in the case of Jaundice is less than one thousand. Jaundice in itself, with reference to the nortality figure is a harmless disease. Jaundice, on the other hand, cannot be avoided through any safeguard whatever its nature may be. ther: is no possibility of preventing the infection and extension of that disease. The average length of illness is usually four to eight weeks. There are very easy cases where patients are restored to health within a few days and there are more severe cases where patients are yellow for weeks and sometimes months. During the war we learned after-effects and complications of this disease are very very rare. Patients usually become ill, they feel badly. Generally, they have no pain, but after a certain period of time, as I said, six to eight weeks, they are completely restored to health.

10 Feb 47-ZBH-9-1-Meehan-(AK)

- Q. Does it not often cause sovere damage to the liver?
- A. That was a belief which was held before the war. Such cases occurred before the war sporadically, that is, singly. In the case of these single diseases, there are certainly a number of cases of infectious jaundice. In the same way, however, there are quite a number of cases which have nothing whatsoever to do with the infectious jaundice. These are liver inflictions of another kind which have

10 Feb 47-EBH-9-2-Keehan-(AK)

existed from the very beginning and which may have been caused by food poisoning or other poisons. Buring the war we learned that the infectious disease leaves no damage to the liver. That is the result of a number of liver punctured as they were performed in a number of cases. They were performed in order to be able to tell the patient concerned, with certainty, that the liver damage during the jaundice, is completely over.

We physicians and also pathologists were very surprised at the changes of the liver as they occurred during the jaundice period. They completely disappear within a relatively short period of time. Pictures of the liver after jaundice has disappeared do not at all differ from the pictures of a normal liver.

- Q. What are the causes and conditions of death in Hepatitis?
- A. In most cases, death during jaundice is not caused by jaundice itself, but by other diseases. For instance, if a person is heavily wounded, and then a so-called sepsis develops, and then he becomes infected with Jaundice; the person concerned will die because of sepsis. In those cases where jaundice is another severe illness, one can always judge them as jaundice death cases. That is how it is done in literature. In reality, they are not dead because of jaundice unless one wents to put it that way. It is merely an optical science. A death case merely is something which had never been observed with certainty.
- Q. Doctor, is there any difference between Hepatitis as is occurs in epidemics and sporadically and that produced by innoculation?

10 Feb 47-EBH-9-2-Mechan-(AK)

- A. May I repeat once more, did you ask me whether there was a difference between spontaneous disease and a disease caused by innoculation?
  - Q. That is correct.
  - A. Is that correct?
  - Q. Yes. Is there any difference?
- A. According to my opinion, there can be no difference.
  A difference cannot be expected.

If the cause of jaundice against which I am inoculating is that very cause, the disease must be the same as the spontaneous disease. However I have to add that there is a difference between an infection and an inoculation. You inoculate by means of a vaccine; that is to say, a dead excitor, a dead infector. During these inoculations, diseases of jaundice are not to be expected at all. If, however, the virulent cause is being bred as in the case of animals and if it then infected into a human being, then the well-known jaundice comes about as it is known in its spontaneous cause.

Q. Now witness, you have told during the course of the war, you did not know of a single case where a patient died from jaundice. How do you justify the fact from the document Dr. Nelte exhibited to you this morning? That is the letter from Grawitz to Himmler requesting permission to conduct these hepatitis experiments on human beings. These inoculations were to be made from virus cultures, and death cases were to be anticipated?

A. I can only explain it by thinking that Grawitz, himself, was not sufficiently informed about jaundice, its cause and its danger. Grawitz was not a specialist in jaundice matters because as Reichsarzt AA, he was not in touch with practical medicine.

- on and that of experimental enimals?
- A. The differences are great. The animal, for insta nee -- well, let me start again. The animal experiments, the animal uses not receive jaundice at all, does not contract it at all. The yellow coloring never appears in the case of the animal. However, changes of the liver are reticeable in the c s e of the animal which was infected, and they are very similar as in the case of a man who is infect od with the same virus. Then there are further differences . I already said that yellow fover never has a fatal result, whereas in the case of animals, at any rate a part of the m, hie as a result of this discrete. The tistrue as in the case of other comparisons between entral experiments and human experiments, namely that the external approximate of the disease in the case of man er animal by using the same virus can be completely different and in a dultion the Conger of the animal disease and the human disman can differ completely, elthough one is concerned with the very serio virus.
- 9. Is importation more dengarous in overworked or undernourished
- A. Speaking on the whole the figures of the disease and its danger in the case of well neurished or undernourished people contracting joundles are the same. Wen joundles occurs as a complication
  of another severe infectious disease the cause of the original diionse by virtue of the added joundles is not influenced whatsoever.

  At is contrary, for instance, to other infectious diseases. I
  dell give you one example, typhus has a wery lefinite course and
  the ownertality figures in the case of typhus. New, if within such
  a typhus epidemic, and that is senting that was frequently observed
  furing the tear, a joundless epidemic comes into appearance in addition, so that people inflicted with typhus at the same time they are
  inflicted with joundless then the typhus at the same time they are

is not at all changed by this addition of jaundice and no larger mount of northlity figures out to observed during this typ us opinionic. In the case of other infectious disease this is basically different, for instance if typhus diseased persons contract diptherin, which happens on numerous occasions, then this additional contraction of diptheria, this additional infection practically means a death sentence for the person cancerned, whereas in the case of jaundice it is entirely different.

- Q. That appeared facilities are necessary to trust hepatitis ado-
- A. The methods of the treatment of hepatitis can only be done on the basis of symptoms. I already mentioned earlier that there is a specific bind of therapy, -- that there is no specific kind of therapy, such as serum therapy. Heny persons inflicted with hepatitis sice are not severely ill received no treatment whatsoever, and in parts continued their civilian and military detics. In other cases they were told to be to bed and received a light diet. Senetimes there was some were treatment. On the whole treatment of drugs show-aded no particular success in the case of joundice.
- O. Considering the physical conditions of concentration camp inmates, and the hygienic conditions of concentration camp hespitals, souldn't it have been more dangerous to perform hepatitis experments on concentration camp innotes than on well neurished volunteers?
- a. In all the caps, and that included concentration comps, jounlies illnesses, spontaneous illnesses occurred to a 1 rgs extent.

  I mentioned the joundles opidemic, for instance, in prisener of war caps. These was persons with a very much deteriorated constitution.

  They had endoured hard bettles and they had a very but nourishment,
  and even in the case of these spontaneous epidemics within the camps
  thigher mortality figure than the one already mentioned, or after

10 Feb 47-11-SW-10-3-Milley Court No. 1

offects which had complications of jaundice did not become known.

In the case of an entire division in Russia, which was nort from the Origina to Worthern

Court I 10 Feb 17-W - 10 -1s - LJG - Maloy dussia, I personally saw high disease rates of human beings who had deteriorated in health, who were undernourished, overworked and exhausted, and where the cause of jaundice was secondly the same as in the case of other human beings with good conditi; ne of nourishment and who lived under good conditions. Q. Are states of mental confusion or delirium ever associated with hepatitis? A I personally didn't see it. 4. Are any lasting or disappearing neurological changes ever associated with liver disease, and if so are they also associated with cases of hepatitis, especially in individuals who are undernourished or deficient in vitamina? A. May I ask once more, what kind of a condition are you suggesting? Q. I will repeat my question. Are any lasting or disappearing neurological changes ever aspociated with a liver disease, and if so are they also associated with cases of hepatitis, but especially in individuals who are undernourished and deficient in vitamins? A. I know of no disease or nerve degenerations, and that is probably something that you mean by the neurological conditions, rather I didn't know of any such cases in dealing with hepatitis, and they are never described in literature. No matter whether the people concerned are healthy or strong, or whother in any way undernourished, and whather there is a deficiency in vitamins, we only know one disease that is a liver disease during the course of which at the same time neurological symptoms appear. This disease firstly is very rare, and secondly has nothing at all to do with hepatitis epidemica. We are not concerned with an infectious disease, but we are concerned with a primary simultaneous degeneration of liver tissues, and brain nerve tissues. For the most part these diseases are mostly hereditary. Q. You have compared the liver punctures with the lubar spinal -2748and the sub-occipital puncture; isn't it true that with the various kinds of spinal puncture you only remove the fluid which surrounds the spinal cord or brain, while with the liver puncture you actually enter the organ itself; isn't that dangerous?

Juice where tissues are available at the same time. That is in the so-called spine puncture. In the other case you only take tissues away. Now, you could compare the liver puncture with the so-called gland puncture. In that case organs are being punctured in order to take out certain small parts of tissue. Both kinds of punctures are considered harmless, as the gland puncture is today used in hospitals and clinics for diagnostical reasons.

when the patient concerned was injected. In the case of typhus it is sometimes possible since we know that typhus is usually only transferred by lice. Now, if I, for instance, deloused the human being today I know that he has no more lice and if on the next tay I found a louse on his body I can assume that this very day was the very first incubation day. Whereby, of course, it remains unclear whether this louse really transferred typhus rikettsis that is something that one doesn't know but this holds not true in the case of jaundice.

Q Doctor, you have conveyed the thought to me that liver puncture is not considered to be dangerous. If so, why is it necessary to obtain an operative permit in writing from the p tient or next of kin for the purpose of all kinds of puncture? Spinol, liver or glandular in all German hospitals by those physicians who carried out such puncture?

A In the case of punctures which are used for diagnostical and progmostical purposes it is customery that one tell the patient that I

Court No. 1 10 Feb 47-M-11-in-EHM-Burns an goint to perform this or that puncture and that really settles the matter. If one wants to be particularly dereful but that isn't customary at all, then you ask him to give you h written certification as is the case in a real large scale operation. In most cases, however, one just tells the patient, "I intend to do this or the other", and under the circumstance you give him the reason for your doing these things in order to help the petient but a written confirmation of the patient is usually not applied for. Q. Now, witness, this norming you mentioned at great length Dr. Dohnan. Like yourself, Dohnan was attached to the Military Medical Academy, wasn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Schreiber was elso his superior? A. Yes. Q. Now, you have stated that Hangen repronched Dohman after one of thuse scientific meetings at which Dohnen had, as I understand, said how far he had advanced in his research work. Now, were you in the presence of Hasgen and Dohman when this discussion took place? A. During these Breslau hepatitis conversations Hasgen, Dohman and all those who were concerned in Gersony with hepatitis research were present. Q. I am referring, doctor, to the episode where Hmagen reproached Dobnan and if I am correct it took place after the actual meeting had convaned. That is, I noan they were, so to speak, on their way home. They talked to one another and you were in the circle of Heagen, Dohnan and yourself. Is that correct? A. Whatever was discussed there was actually discussed during the congress. Schreiber ordered this so-called division of work during that congrees or rether proposed it. Q. Schreiber proposed this collaboration on the part of Hangen and Dohnant A. Yes, Schreiber proposed it. Q. Now, you have stated that Dohnan did actually go to Strassbourg to work on this hepatitis with Haagen. Is that correct? A. Dohnan went to Stressbourg and he was ordered to do that by 2752

10 Feb 47-M-EHM-11-2a-Burns-(AK)

Schreiber. He had to go to Haagen there and a comparison between the viruses as were bred by Dohman was to be carried out with the mass that were bread by Haagen.

Q. How do you explain the fact, doctor, that Schreiber sufficiently arranged the collaboration of Haagen and Dobman when in Exhibit 193, presented to you this morning by Dr. Nelte, the second sentence states: "I" - meaning your-self - "have requested General Schreiber to assign Mr. Dohman to me as of 15 July for a limited period of time to begin with." Who arranged this assignment of Dohman to work with Haagen?

A. Haagen and Schreiber arranged the collaboration of Dohman and Haagen and I belonged to that group too and in addition to the formation of this collaboration of work Dohman had to, of necessity, get into contact with Haagen. Buch a moeting had to be arranged by Schreiber and he had to detail Dohman for that purpose. I spoke to Schreiber after the Congrese. I told him that these two people would have to get together. Then Haagen invited Dohman in a letter to come to Strasbourg. I had arranged with Schreiber that this channel was to be in accordance with what had already been established during the Breslau meeting; namely, that Dohman went to Haagen.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now be in recess until

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

## CORRECTED COPY

## AFTERCIOCH SUSSICH

(The herring reconvened at 1330 Hours, 10 February 1917)

THE ARSHALL The Parsons in the court room ill please find their series.

Dir. SERVI (Counsel for Defendant Cherkauser): May it places the Tribunal, the defendant Cherkauser take to be emitted to leave the courtroom at three o'clock in view of her physical condition.

THE RESIDENT: The request of the Defendant Charlesper, extended Mrought for counsel, will be granted. The defendant may be excused from attendance in the court rook at three o'clock.

(NOT. TUTZ C7 - Temused

cross outsitation (Continued).

MY B. LATDY:

erming the momention orded. I report that the incobation period is known as the period proceding the network maintenantion of the disease. You, you have told matthat a commention with herealthis, but in connection with the hard, that you can determine on the second for if the incubation period that trustment would be necessary. I want to sake you to memory very briefly, low could voe told that a person has the disease unless you infected them yourself during this incomption period?

A. In the case of typhus the infection is transmitted by means of an infected loase. If, therefore, in the case of a rationt the case proviously true from like, and if I find a loase in a typhus sparanic, then I can safely assume that this loase, on the ray on which I have discovered it, that is, if I exercise a unity control order to intertions on the rationt, and if the loase has transmitted the infection to the area on the day have discovered it only, I say that I can assume that.

Q. First of all roctor, Now big is a lours'

A. A louse is about 14 dillienters long one continued 3/4 of a military wide.

-2754-

10 Feb-1-JF-12-2-Fe1dt Edupt I Q. It is a work difficult to time a creature of that size on a person, Isn't it? As Our soldiers and also one marsing personnel have senated to do that numbrade of thousands of times during the wor. Q. Then, forter, after you find the lease, then you have to assume that the louse is correging the disease, don't y u? A. I say that in a paried washe cause of to ame occur, that ic, Michin s limited apidemic wairs also other relience are suffering from typaus, and in bort case it can be assumed that the lours which is discovered in infacted. Of course, this my be a mirtike. Metaline or occur. But on the wiell, the suspicion ordete that these lies in infected. That me a definite receon. because the louse must have been brane mitted to the patient by mather person, when I have determined that he the Cor before I have discovered the louse, no lice at all work prosent. 2. You wise have to taken the time are infected the person or the putient? A. Thru is what I have a summed. Q. Actually, based on all these rear to as, len't it for fetched for you to state that you can decide what treatment to administer to a person during this incub tion puriod? You wish' a i know whother that purson had contracted that aleans at that early sto u, would you? A. The is correct. It is correct to the I convent know that with containty but I can be no a the surgicion the bill loung bee infected the pott at, and when I have such supposion, then if I have no remore for it, if I believe that the medicine can be already effective - - inst bypuns during the incubation period, then I can apply this resident from the first or the second in of the inemp tion. Of course in the cas in it as not cortain if the purson in question really gots typings or to be is inflocted by typing. I can only once there while the disease, that is to all, the fever, begins in the erso of typhus. That is proximately on he to bor hys later. 2750

10 Fob-A-JP-12-3-Foldt

Court I

- q. Now the question of hopetitis. An I unforstand it, toom it would be impossible for one to administer treatment for hepatities on the second day of an incubation period; is that correct?
  - a. In the case of hopatitie?
  - Q. Yos.
  - As In the case of hopetitie I connet Coter inc.
- Q. Now, before the afternoon receas, without, we were discussing the collaboration of Boham and Hagen in which you perticipated, taking your suggestion to Gon. Schreiber, and so forth. Did you report to the defendant Handloser on this collaboration between Doleson and hagen?

10 February-1-0.80-13-1-Fits orild (31) Court No. 1 A. I have not made any suggestion at all. I have not made any sug ostion. I have not suggested that Dohmon and Hangen should work together. This collaboration between Debagon and Farter and itserssed at the General Loratitis Conference which I have already mentioned. It was suggested by the Prosident of this Conference while formulating working matheds, Q. I do not wish to quibble with you about whether or not you surgested the collaboration of Delegen and Passen. I have reviewely referred to the second sentence of your letter to Hasgen where you stated you requested a pener I to assign Debasa to you as of 15 July for a limited bried of time to begin lith. Now I ask you, did you over report to Handlos ar in regard to the colleberation of Delusen and Content Appear yet or no. A. I did not have to report about this collaboration at all because durant the Conference, the collaboration and already been determined. irolastor landlonar was also prisuns. . Think you. or you in attendance to those writing Military Medical Luctings for Consulting . hysicians on fact, was soke at one such maching, did you not? Is it true that reports your ande as the sections on results of appariments in w rious fields of salical research? A. In the course of the conferences of a neultang physicians, luctures were slyen report the emperioneer and also about the examinations which had recumplated. In this connection, I have also reported at seme of theme mornings about what have been discovered with record to "martitle up until that time by wardour work. 4. Then it is true that the experimental conditions were explained by the re orting physicians, is it or A. During the conformous, as for as I know, the experiments were only discussed incofer to the collivation of incoming in the experiments on minds were described in the former's lecture and widress. a littles, Horing the war, did you over low anything concerning appriments on concentration communities, ilet is, from your own knowledge, from reports or meetings, or conversations with other puople, or from remore -2757or any other source wh tapever?

- A I cannot remember that I have heard anything concrete about the execution and also about the results of such experiments.
- Q Since you are femiliar with joundice research in Germany, you probably know of the work of Professor Doctor Bresel, Director of the Hygiene Institute of the University of Leipzig, do you not?
  - A May I wak to hear the name once mare?
  - Q Dr. Dresel, D-r-o-s-e-1.
- a I have seen this a me in literature. As for as I can recall, experiments on aminols were involved in this case. I achieve that contribs were used as animals.
- Q Did he not cultivete a joundled virus from porsons suffering from Nepatitis, and succeed in transplanting it to enimals?
- A So for we I know, and so it was always done in other joundice experiments, he took the infectious antward from infected pursons, and injected it into animals. He then continued to transmit this disease from one animal to another.
- Q Doctor, do you not know that he carried out experiments on concentration camp immates?
  - a I have never hered that.
- Q In connection with Donnen's work on Hepstitis at Sachsenhausen you have teld this Tribunal that these were more or less experiments of appeadement. Why should Denmen core two phenoises about the feelines of Grewitz in this connection?
- A Griwitz wanted the cultures which Dehmon had cultivated in the animal experiments. He wanted to obtain them from Dohmon. He wanted Dehmon to give them to him. As for my I know, he personally wanted to have hepatitis experiments carried out. Dohmon had refused to leave these cultures with Drawitz. Grawitz was unable to obtain these cultures. Dohmon did not want to let those cultures out of his honis because he did not want to lose centre ever them. He ordered Dohmon to do these things himself. I have already described that this morning.

- Q You state that Dohmen did not want to turn his cultures over to other people so he would not lose control of them. Why would Dohmen waste his time examing eight Folish Jews condemned to death in Sachsenhausen to see if they, purchance, had joundice?
  - a. I did not completely understand the last part of your question.
- Q I say, why would Dohmen waste his time examining eight Polish Jews condemned to death in Sachsenhausen to see if they, perchance, had jaundice?
- A Tes. Things were such that Dohnen carried out the work in order to avoid having to turn his vaccines over to Grawitz. I have already said that Dohnen had sabothred Grawitz' order to carry out such experiments. That is the reason he worked at Sachsenhaus as.
- q .t Sechsenhausen in connection with Dobsen's work, you cannot swear that Dobsen did not infect those inactes, can you?
- .. Dohnen has always told me repeatedly about this work. He told me he was only deceiving Grawitz in corrying out his work there.
- Q Do you not think, Doeter, that Dommen might be a little reluctant to publicize his activities in Sachsonhausen?
- ifter all, the collaboration consisted of the fact that he handled the bacteriological part of the Hepstitis heaterch, and I handled the clinical part. Whenever I discovered semething important, or remarkable, within this field of work, then I would inform behave of this fact. Whenever Dohman reached some results, then he would inform me of them. Both of us were members of the army. This joundice work could not be separated into two parts. One of us had to report the result to the other, and vice versa; I believe that if Dohman had infected people at Sachsenhausen, then on the basis of these infections, causes of the disease would have to have been discovered at Sachsenhausen. That would have been a result which would not have been eithout importance for the higher r search field, and I believe I would have forms out event it.

2759

<sup>9</sup> Doctor, were you ever in Sachsenhausen with Dobmen?

- A I have never been in Sachsenhausen.
- Q Could we assume, for the moment, that Dohman had unclear hands in this matter? You think if that were the condition Dohman would tell you about the work he was deing at Sachaenhausen?
  - A I do not think so.
  - Q You do not think he would tell you about it?
  - A I believe he would have teld me semething about that. .
- Q Doctor, can you swear here that Karl Brandt did not have my connectic with Dohmen's work in Sachsenheusen?
- A I have stated that I have not heard from Dohman, nor from any other sources, that Karl Brandt was named at all in connection with Hepatitis Rosearch, and experiments on human beings. I can not recall that I cannot recall that this has ever been the case.
  - Q witness, what we the last rank that you held?
  - A I was General Physician of the Leserve.
  - Q You were a number of the SS, were you not?
  - a I beg your perden?
  - You wure a member of the 55, were you not?
- A I was in the General-SS in the Allegatine SS up until the beginning of the wer.
  - 9 What was the last rank you held in the SS?
- A My ronk was assimulated to a rank in the achrmacht. It corresponded to the rank which I held at the beginning of the war, or a short time before the wer. At that time I was a Stabsarzt. I was not Churstabsarzt.
  - MR. HoPDY: I have no further questions, Your Henor.
- TH FRESIDENT: If there are no further questions, the witness may be excused.

10 Fet 17-4-58-14-1-71tagorald (BJ) Court 50. 1

DR. SEW THIS: I wish to ask one question on re-emmination.
WE TRESIDENT: You may avocated.

## REDIRECT SENSETTION

BY DR. SERVATIUS:

- invalcian Schreiber with regard to the collaboration in the field of Re-atitis and also in the formation of groups. Can you tell us in what caracity Doctor Schreiber ordered this collaboration and this formation of groups? On the basis of what position did he order this? Or who ordered that he do this? Did to insue this order as Countesioner of Reich Research Council.
- A. I do not know that exactly. Schreiter was the Thairman of the Papatitis Conference at Preslaw. He was there are General Thysician. After the Individual results of the various scientists had been presented, he then was called upon to smak about authorization of groups. This was not only for members of the Chromobt, but also for civilian Coratitis scientists. It is possible that he as a number or Commissioner of the Reich Conserver, it was not school and collaboration, or that he successed it. however, it was not stated if he did this in his capacity as a member of the Army as a number of the Reich Research Council.
- note his address?
- A. I cannot exactly recall his words, but he would be thought it would be a good idea if From A collaborated with From I; and if Group C collaborated with Group II in present to one and their results of experiments on unisels. That is a premientally the same in thick the suggestion was easier to Solveiber.
  - DE. SERVICIUS: I thank you. There are no further questions.

    DE. FRIFILIA (Attorney for Defendant Testock): I have a question.

By Dr. Fribilia:

Q. Professor, in commection with the reaction of the Presenter, can
you tell me if he handled orders or quistions for the Epich Research
Council? Was Professor Restock in any way connected with the Soich Research Council? Do you know what resition Professor Restock occurred in
the Seich Lesearch Council?

A. No.

duabur of the Rulch beauerch Council, but that is only was deputy to Karl Branch: No, himself, has never had any correspondence on the eart of the Moich Research Council, nor has to liven any orders or assimuants. I say further talling you that professor Restock occurred the effice for science and research; that professor Restock occurred the effice for science and research; that professor Restock has not some questions to you, is not discussed. With this state of affairs, do you consider it respible that the questions by Professor Restock case in his capacity as Director of the Office for Scienc. and asserted or Professor, he was a Director of the professor Restock for turned to you in the connector as helder of any position within the Tolch Research Council?

A. I, pursonally, as not oriented in third as to the occasition and also the assignments individually of the Total Lossarch Council, nor as I familiar with the promisation of the Office for Science and Monosroh. If I have stated before that these questions case from Tostock and the Seich Research Council, then I cannot say that with absolute certainty. I cannot say that this was the Merch Research Council. Beviever, I do 'more that these questions originated from Professor Mestock. It was blear that they case within the frame of tork of the office for Science and Research. I have already scated that I have never received any research are intended from Professor Research and Research.

- a second question to ask you. Did the questions from Professor Rostock which maked you to state your point of view have anything in their contents which related them to experiments on homen beings?
- A. I campt remember than. However, I have not seen, nor have f

10-765 47-4-770-15-1-Geylord gourt To. 1 In it correct or possible that just those questions which more ad ressed to you concerned the selection of cortain drugs and also particularly the conservation of drugs, andicino? A Part of the questions cortainly doubt with that subject. I was frequently informed as to the appropri teness and the accessity of following in a nothede of treat out suggested by other scientists. I was asked about those things and I was to express the experience I had collected on the subject. o Professor, do you recember if these questions landt particularly or terit at all with hopetitis and typhus? A I know that they do-it with typhus and I know that I was asked at old time about this other of treatment with increasingly hot baths; but about the treatment of hepotities or the disphosis, I connet remonder chything personally. I comest remarker ever having received such a specialized question. After all, we did not have any medicine with regard to hopetitie which had any specific effect. Q Think you. I do not have ony further quistlens, DR. SERVITUS: I do not seve may further questions to the witness. MR. HAPDY: The presecution has nothing farther, Your Benera THE PRESIDENT: If there is no farther excellention of this witness, the witness may be excused. DR. SETVATIUS: It. President, for the time being, I do not want to call other witness, and at this time I went to present a number of affiliavita to the Tribuard. I believe that the Triburgh has received Document Looks I "I'lli, and I shall now road from these FE FRESIDENT: Have these door outs oon furnished to the Secretary Goneral's dusk? DR. SERVACIUS: I believe that Document Book No. I has now been presented to the Tribunel. As he first doom out, his-i, contring an except from the "Wall Life, which has already been discussed and I want to submit this to not no Exhibit 1. I do not went to read it any more because it has Wayni buon rank in the energy of the secsions. IR. MC WANTER If the Tribunel plotse, I would like to have a statement 2754

from defense commed as to the nurrose of the offer of the Earl Brandt Document No. 1, which is an extract from Life Magnaine concerning certain malaris experiments carried but in the United States. I think, under certain circumstances, I might have no objection to its admissibility, but I think we will have some very strong objections demending upon the purpose for which the document is offered.

DR. SERVITIUS: May it clease the Tribural, this document has been handed to the mitness in the examination. It has been effered as evidence and I think that it has already been admitted. It is of further isnortance for the quastion which has to be decided here of the admirability of experiments on human beings and in excess of this in order to judge the quastion — the numeritarian quastion—all to other. The prosecution charact the defindants with crimes against humanity. In order to charify this concept, we will have to can must be being considered as humane in order to be able to make con arisens. I want to submit an additional number of documents from literature which likewise deals with an additional number of documents from literature which likewise deals with an additional number of documents. I consens will be of the utaget importance. It, therefore, request that Document No. 1 be additional as Exhibit No. 1.

for identification: Narl Brandt, Exhibit 1 for identification, but has not been admitted in evidence.

ACCURATE THE HARMY: If the Tribunal planes, we offered no objection when this decupant was put to Professor Leibbrand because the mitness was called upon to five his opinion as to the othical value of the experiments here carried out, and he testified that he had some objection to the experiments related her. In Life Parasine. For the purpose of eliciting an expression of opinion from the vitness, we had no objection to that procedure. To have very strenous objections, to the other purpose, as stated by defense of unselfor Karl Trandt. This document obviously can have no probative value in proving that any of the experiments

10 .00 47-15-3-3aylord (BJ)

charged in this indictment are lawful or non-criminal experiments. If the Iribunal pensits documents of this kind to do into evidence, it will very probably mean that the prosecution will be forced to assume the burden of justifying every experiment which has ever been carried out or at least all of them that the defence counsel can find information on, were carried on in a lawful manner, that is to may, upon volunteers.

I think there is no issue in this case encorning the rest that an or priment corried out on non-voluntaers is not of the nature of unloaful m eritable configurate. The defenders a testific on the stand. 1 unioratend he chitted it. It was necessary that the experimental subjects to wellintwork. The prescention in this case has unfortaken to grove that the enert antal subjects used in " a en or at at issue were mit whimtoors, therefore, adds nothing to the reaf, or the chariffection of any issued in this case for the defence of the tring in rock hopts of Commonte in writing a necessia; experients carried at in the countries. There is the very strangest objections to have additte! but to of entered into evidence in an event. I think in su stanticity all esses these writings will not reveal the circustances surremaker the experinonte, and, I mi quite sure they will attach to from inforcaces from these writing that dictors in the United States, or election, have d'un the serie thing as 1 mm in this case, needly, corried out accomments on to the more not wilestoors water circumstances justific to the olief a the art f the experimentors, which a ald robally result in Both. That would main the Probability for the circumstances on its religible out investigate order no best instruces, and ring in , we I we take me can bein; an that the locard water were unethical Or a day one point of view.

Just Spilling ir. Servetius, Mi not your situes, Karl Bronit, she am statement that in his comi a copy one who is help provided restraint, such as a prisoner what a man constanting, a claim to the second sive w luntary consent to the emperior of modern experiments of main, even though he gave his service, even though he has exterisely stated that he would volunteer for such experiments. I think to declarations shift that even then according to his sense of a liest offices.

The tion, recording upon the primise that you have astablished by the more witness, we all it not be worth a suthing is a latter of projective while that Dr. Servatius who sterted with these provides that these polyless.

2767

and, then to show under these circ stances experiments were a shorted upon bursh so jected I understand that is the purpose if which Dr. Gorvathes has introduced this article area fifting. Of course, in the last analysis it will a up to this Tribusal to say what probably walnot the face, and in according the produce laid them by your witness Kerl breach, much tend to prove only that in other parts of the world experiments were a minetal upon issues subjects.

to what that, I just don't must been enter is at desire the proves on them. Byen if it is assessed that a private were correct out in some other country of the weekl, and in mercan or on upon a new dunders, I don't think it justifies any of the alleged crimes in this case. They can another enter in the Unite. States, or electrical, just on they can be for any, and in the Unite. States, or electrical, just on they can exist or in the Unite. States I was made such a crime has been existed in the Unite. States I was a sea both or the Anterior any-

JULGE SEBRING: I had understood that as the purpose of this offer, and t suppose that these defendants are willing to dimit to the case of the Presecution of this this, and I had supposed that the purpose of this proffer was to show that those defendants had compared with what they had considered to be accepted standards in the field of medical experiments, Isn't that the purpose?

DR. SERVATIUS: Yes.

IR. HG HAMEY: I don't want to make an extended argument, but as I see it, there is no dispute either on the basis of this article or on the part of the Defense with the Prescention that it is secessary that experimental subjects be velonteers, and I do not see that leading down this record with extracts taken from hi fo and solical journals, and all other possible sources is going to aid the Tribunal or to mything except result in a lot of sucke being thrown up around the character of the experiments carried out elsewhere.

For example, the lefen art Kerl Brandt on the steal, under questioning by his own Counsel, under an allusion to cortain freezing experiments allegedly carried out on six instance persons in the United States. I think he said that they died. I had not examine for Brandt about that statement because I did not want to consume the time that it would have taken, but I think Kerl Brandt will about that he did not have a ting about what he was speaking about. To looked into the matter, The experiments were correct out, in that, at the Newson Senitorium, which I understand, is one of the most expensive private instance asplants in all the world; that these to-called freezing experiments which he leserabed when a method of the appropriate to cortain instance persons in the asplant, and I will that that it probably cost them a very large sum of nearly, and that cortainly no one was killed.

It is a little bit ridiculous to be making these allusions, com-

to one carried out in the archau concentration camp.

Now, that will case up and the press is put in bout an expertent and in the United States, or in France, or elsewhere. The
presention will be put to the burden of investigating through its
sources as to precisely what went on, or the Defense Counsel will
be reising doubts in the mind of the Tribunal that the same thing
reponded in the instance which they give as happened in the Dechau
forcement tion on a lower will have to come in and prove that it lid
not happen that way, but the experimental subjects were given a
cortain form to complete, that they voluntarily unleavent the experiment, that it was fully explained to them beforehand, that the
wall purpose of the experiment was beingent to them -- all these
matters.

he record upon the Prescoution, or we would be tro borden that would be record upon the Prescoution, or we would find the record spread full of little short extracts from this or that medical journal, making reference to a freezing experiment here, a malaria experiment there, or a gullew for r experiment on the final Const Zine. We read then have to ease forward and explain three experiments because I think secons Counsel is understoodly not in a position to show that in any one of these instances was the experiment entried out in involuntary subjects or in any way thinted with originality.

The Presecution 1 ro is willingty accept the burden efpreving that the experiments fore charged were carried cut on con-volunteers in every sense of the word, and I near by that going growthy beyond any amount of durage which may be drawn from more incorrection in a prison, so I think that it is a matter of some consider than for the Court as to how those matters are to be special, because there is every reason to believe that selected between will fill this record folk of such as the host case at the topical between either can take the statem of ignoring them or trying to find out exactly what went on in each experiment.

2770

10 Feb 47-A-S -16-3-Taynos cort Fo. 1

For my own part I can not see their materiality, and containly I can not see their probitive value; unless they are willing to common the burden that experiments are systematically carried not in other parts of the world in the manner here alleged by the presecution, then I can not see that they have any materiality. If they can prove that and if that is the purpose for which they are affored, and they can show that averybody all ever the seed was doing what we charge those people with doing; why, then, it containly would have some materiality and probitive value.

Otherwise, I for one object to the effort of this because the

for any purpose other than election an apiden from the witness who was an one stand, and I don't think it need to admitte! for that purpose since he has already 'con sprom too Account and has given his cointen.

re mind to the speech by the respect of

The relative value of hair council, in my plant m, in very apparent.

But what the formulant is being carried both norm is shown by the demiment with the case it sats fath. It shows that, by right fine everyment, experiments are being carried at a parameter for the experiments are being carried at a parameter of cash and the expert of
the expectation has self says that they could not be explained as we have
cover.

in chili n to this, the analysis, retness of this bounds in speciment, one specime child or to be such a speciment of the allowing and the size of the second of the child second of the critical second of all contrion, which is the common second of the critical second of the second of the contrion, which is the contribution of the second of the contribution of the contribution of the second of the second of the contribution of the second of the se

Verically and pulse off wor and will with the any reject to the complete for any relation of the complete for any relation of the complete for the complete for

If you have an accusable a sic in the same sease, and to law for that is referred to that it must be fine remove to the late to

prove that humanity and humaneness have not been considered to that extent up to now.

It is correct that the document has been presented only for identification thus far, but I now request that it be admitted in evidence.

DR. SIDI (Defense Counsel for defendants Subhardt, Charheuser and Fischer): Lay it clease the Tribunal, in consideration of the fact that this is a basic question, I request remission to express myself briefly in connection with this question.

May it please the Tribunal, a number of defendants, and particularly the defendants when I represent, are being accused of having carried out modical experiments, and that these acts represent crises. In order to apport these claims, the Presecution has referred to the general requelations of the criminal code, as set forth in Control Council law Number 10. This law does not not forth that an experiment under certain conditions is a crime, but this law contains every other law and reads like morder, injury, and so on.

The law is not sensthing that is hovering in the air, but, in order to reach a just verdict, it is necessary to show actual conditions in the field in question and to examine them. Therefore, if the defense presents avidence of this kind, it is not in order to claim that in other countries - in the United Status and in France -- crizes of this kind are also being committed, but the one to fulfill the abstract contents of the criminal codo. It is a fact that many laws do contain those characteristics of the actual doods. - Indications which have a ceptain evaluation as a ovelention as a prerequisite. In order to judge the quest on, if a modical expiriment, it's a crise. The question has to be examined if this experimont violates a law. Furthermore, the spection has to be examined if such apportment is a dood with which a person can be charged. Exactning these questions, it cannot be indifferent if physicians in other countries act in such a given situation. For the defenme, therefore, it is decisive, if also in other countries and under application of the penerally valid, othicsl and modical preformional standards, physicians, in the interests of a higher goal, of a view to a special necessity, can carry out such un originate. Insofar as avidence so far presented by the defense is inportant occasso it laces the Tribonal in a confiden to examine, to abstract the laws in their application and reality and to accounty avaluate the prosontation, just like international law, can those things be equally represented, just as little as possible in judging the question, if a cortain medical experiment represents a crime, without considering the practice of physicians in other countries. That is all I have to say.

INCRESSOR SAUTH: (Gounsel for the defendant Blome): May it please the Iribunal, first of all I would request you to tell me if a very principal question is not involved here. In my orinion, we have reached a Jecimive phase in the trial. For us defense counsels it is not a case of raising a lot of smoke, as the representative of the prosecution has stated.

a defense which realizes its responsibility and fulfills this duty momerly rejects that. For us, we on our part want to contribute to the lorification of the legal situation, and so, to live the necessary lesis to the Tribusal in wier to reach a vertict which will actually, from all sides, to consideral as fair, correct and wise. The defendants have been accused of inflicting injuries, physical injuries and murder. the law is written, in your law code as well as ours, "Thou shalt not kill. Thou shalt not inflict physical desago. The shalt not injure anybody." But what the human boin hes to do individually in order to relfill this la , and in that cases he can diver a from this law, we are not directly told by the law. In a case, like the case on hand, the relic I professional athics will have a decisive weight. A professional concept of the physician the properly fulfills his duty, will show to the Jud o, in order to enable him to Jul o What is secondary for madical science, and what is nuperfluous; what can be o nationed as local, and what is without any doubt illegal. The professional concept of the edical profession twee this information in the subject. That is the professional concept not only of the percan physicians, but also of the foreign cadical prefession, just liso cortain basic principles oalst maich always return in the base way in all criminal codes, so for the medical profession, in all civilized states there are certain procedures and directives which are lain, followed by all countries and which must be considered correct. If now the defence is ablu, by no no of a large mather of Interary avidence from the prost und also from special redical journals -if the defence is sale to live a casis for the Tribunal in trior to clarify this difficult mestion, under wast conditions and with what mocentionary measures such experiments are permissible, then the bri and will cortainly welcome, if it can also consider this returnal is teaching a vertice. The defense cannot to satisfied that any intividual amount, for exemula like Professor Leibhrand, is a cortain h is the maly assistant of he Trivunal in decided them difficult Whetlions. Your Honors, olrowing the fact that Professor Loibbrand

maintains the point of view that a prisoner was not at all able to give his consent to an experiment requires a certain amount of coertion towards accepting this opinion. Furthermore, we have heard that a large number and series of experiments was mentioned by this expert which the medical literature deals with. For the most part, he did not know it at all. And then, he only stated: "Yes, I know them", but he did not with a single work state his opinion to them, and he did not mention any word, if he likewise considers the experiments carried out in foreign countries as criminal, or if he considers these experiments abroad justified and legal.

Your Honors, if it has been stated, crimes are being committed everywhere, not only in Germany, but also in foreign countries. Then this phrase does not serve the decision of our case at all. If serious scient-ists report in medical journals about experiments which they have carried out and if, furthermore, no public prosecutor and no court in the world objects to these experiments, or has taken these seigntiats to give an account of themselves, then this expresses the fact that, in the case of the research concerned, no crimes were consisted, but that there were serious scientific attampts. And, when I read through these document books, when amongst the scientists from whom the records originate I see directors of international regulation, directors who have received the highest distinctions for their work, for these an originate,

then this may lead to a certain caution with regard to the question that it is stated then, those cople who are living abroad, also are just simply criminals, and their research must be considered as criminal. But the prosecution does not bear this consequence into account, And, in the interests of justice, in my opinion, it must be examined if, and to what extent, and in what connections one or the other experiment, which one or the other of the defendants may have carried out must be placed on the same level with experiments which have been not described as crimes by the international press, but as modical achievements, which in many cases received the highest recognition. As a result of this, Your Ronors, I am of the opinion that it is not only in the interests of the wordest in this trial, but also in the interests of modical science itself, that these trials should centrib uto to tell the medical scientists in Germany and all over the world, when they can carry out experiments, and under what prerequisitites they have to start, and that procautionary measures they have to follow, and things of that kind.

Your Honors, if this question is clarified as a result of your verdict, then this will contribute just as such to the needs of the medical profession as the first Muremburg trial contributed to the further develorment of international law. I therefore request that it is necessary that you should obtain knowledge of this literature, and that perhans you shall occury your solf with it in your wordict. That is all I have to say, Mr. President.

MR. MC MANUX: I have listened with great interest to the impassioned pleas of Dr. Sautor and other dufense counsel, but I have not yet heard a clear delineation of the purpose for which this proof is offered, and the enteriality to this case. I would suggest that the Court reserve its ruling, that the defense set together and make up their collective minds as to precisely what they expect to prove with this, and just exactly how it relates itself to the matter at issue in this case; that, on the basis of such a statement, the prosecution will subsit a brief to the Tribunal. The prosecution has always assumed the burden, and has added in the indictment, that these experiments or these markers and tortures and other inhumane treatment resulted from

-2777-

medical exceriments cars ed out on involuntary human subjects. I do not think they can find one word or one statement, anywhere the world over, that says such medical experiments are lawful anywhere. The thing at issue in this case is the voluntary character of the experiments here carried out, and it behooves this Court nothing, adds nothing to the proof, to have them load down this record with hundreds of examples of human experimentation. This trial is not condenning human experimentation generally at all, and not one word has been uttered by the prosecution to indicate such a thing. The ordinary I'm of assault and bettury and manslaughter certainly lives to the United States; and I take it they exist in Germany, and if these defendants committee ed experiments upon persons who didn't consent to undertaking those experiments, then I see no difficult legal question presented at all. And now we can talk in very immassioned terms about the necessity of this Tribunal orienting itself upon the precise conditions under which every human ox eriment has ever been carried out. I submit that it is both unnecessary, impaterial and constitutes an intolerable burden, and will prolong this trial beyond any planers of reason. The very experiment they're talking about in Life Magmine, reads on its face that it is voluntary; then how can it be material to justify the experiments carried out by Schilling in Dachau, when the prosecution has undertaken the burden of proving those experiments were carried out on non-volunteers, persons who were forced to underso the experiment. Then whence comes the materiality of an experiment carried out in a prison in the State of Illinois, with malaria. Now it so happens that we know a I ttls bit about that concriment. We have the forms which were submitted to the prisoners, which advised than what would be done to them, and which required that they sign their nam: to it on the bottom if they wished to undergo it. We have a radio speech made by one of the persons who did undergo it; we have quite a mass of facts concerning this particular experiment, and the thing will be true of other experiments, to which they will make some bort of an allusion during the course of this case. But I submit they prove nothing.

they don't clarify anything at issue in this trial, and would put, in fact, the burden on the prosecution of clarifying the little scrap of evidence — if you call it evidence — which they will try to throw into the record of this case. Then we will have to come forward and really tell the Tribunal what it's all about, or the Tribunal will have the uneasy feeling that raybe there was something going on here, like something that has been charged here. I think it's a rather important issue, and one which bears very enterially on the length of this trial, and I think it would clarify the situation if defense counsel would clearly and concisely state what they expect to prove by this type of evidence and how it bears on any issue which we have in this case, and then we will undertake to answer it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in rocess now.

(A recess was taken).

Court 1

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in accordance with the opyear monor the defendant Oberhanser is absent in accordance with the opyear of the Court.

The question of admitting on exhibit was being considered by the Court before the recess.

DE. FLEMMING: You.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is ready to rule on that question.

The Court has considered the document of the defendant Brandt as Exhibit No. 1, and without establishing any rule which will be a procedent in any future case, each case as it arises will be individually considered by the Tribunal. The Tribunal samits in evidence the document's Earl Brandt, Amibit No. 1.

IR. SERVATIUS (attorney for defendant Karl Brandt);

Decreent No. 2, Manibit No. 2, I submit the periodic list of experimente; it hasn't been made ready in the English yet, and it will be submitted to the Tribunal in the English translation at a later date.

as Emilit Wo. 3, I am submitting the chart, which can be seen on the wall, together with an affidavit of the co-defendant Brank, which was already read into the record. This will become Emilit Wo. 3 a; it is Document EB 15, Emilit Wo. 3; EB 8, Emilit 3 a,

THE PRESIDENT: The Court will require that copies be furnished to each member of the Tribunal.

The nincographing department is overburdened, but they will be submitted to the Tribunal at a later date. Mr. Prosident, I submit the
originals and I shall see to it that the Tribunal will receive their
copies.

THE PRISIDENT: That will be sufficient; you may submit the copies.

JUDGE SERRING: Is it the chart, Dotor, or Brandt's affidavit that you are saking to be submitted as Exhibit 37

DR. SERVATIUS: The chart as 3, and the attached affidavit as 3-A.

I further submit as Exhibit 4-A the document NB-29, which was the document of the prosecution, NO-150. That will become Exhibit 4-A and 4-B.

It was already submitted during the course of the examination.

AR. HARDY: What does that document refer to that you just mentioned.

DR. 539VATIUS: The contents of the document constitute a letter by Bouhler according to Which he has to give directives with reference to the execution of outhermsia.

The PRESIDET: Well, where is that document to be found? Is that contained in Brandt's document book?

DR. SERVATIUS: Fo, it is not contained in the document book. It was submitted during the examination. It was submitted by the prosecution and you will receive copies later, and I have already submitted the Phatestat.

IR. HATDY: Your Honor, I do not recall that Document NO-150 was submitted by the resecution. I believe it was submitted by defense counsel in examination of Brandt, and I imagine Anglish copius are available and I will try to assist Dr. Servatius in getting them for you.

DR. SEVATIUS: It has been submitted to the Language Division but

I am now offering an affidavit of kins Alike Krohno. She is an omployee who worked in the office of Grandt. It can be found in the document book, rago number 7 under 18-4. I have a tabhaical question in that connection. There are two locument books. This is contained in document book number 1, rage 7.

THE PRESIDENT: Copies of this document book furnished to the Tri-

DR. SERVATIUS: There is an index at the beginning of the formunt fork, and the document books are numbered according to their figures so that you can easily find document KB-4.

THE PRESIDENT: Unfortunately, the index page of the Brandt deciment book 1 is illegible.

DR. SETVATO'S: Your Honor, it is page number 8. Your Honor, the malish text isn't quite legible.

THE PRESIDENT: 'Ic have found the document, warl Brandt Schibit 4.

DR. SECURIUS: iir. Prosident, I would be grateful if you would tell
the new ru want me to read the decements. Will it be sufficient if I
just roughly tell you the content and then site certain passa os, or is
it recemsary to read the decement in its entirety. I may point out that
during the trial before the DRI it was considered sufficient if merely
the essential coints were referred to in order to shorten the proceedings.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is of the opinion that that will be suificient, to call attention to resential portions of the document.

Dr. Servatius in connection with this affidavit.

JUDGE SERRING May I ask first, that is coming in as what samibit number?

DR. SERVATRUS: It will be Exhibit Aumber 5.

MR. MANON: In connection with Exhibit Number 5, as I see it from my cope, I believe this document was not signed in the presence of Dr. Servatius, and not having the rules of the Tribunal before me now in connection with the procedure necessary to receive a document here in evidence in good form, I question the right to admit this into evidence due to the fact that such confidence that it is a correct signature was not obtained by Dr. Servatius or in his presence. It shows on the face of the document that the affiant is from Brown. I reserve the right now to object to this document later after I have had time to coruse the rule of the Tribunal in connection with the admissibility of affidavity.

The RESIDENT: The prosecution may reserve the right to object to

DR. SERVATINS: Mr. Prosident, I signed this document and thereby

cortified that it was signed in my presence. I believe it is an error on the part of the procedution to say that just because the woman was in Broman it wasn't signed in my presence; it actually was. If this explanation doesn't suffice I would have to bring a new cortification of the witness saying that she signed this in my presence.

MR. HARDY: The prosecution accepts the word of counsel that it was signed in his presence and refrains from objection.

THE PRESIDENT: To save future trouble a corrected cartificate should be found showing that the eithers signed the document in presume of common.

DR. STRVATIUS: The witness Krohne was employed as a secretary with the defendant Brendt from the reginning of the war until January 1944, and she says that the aponey was normally in the Roich Chancellory but that in reality Franct worked in the surgical university clinic. She knows about the order of Harch 1944 which referred to the anti-chancel orders agents and she says that that decree was very brief. I quotes "I definitely know that it did not contain directives on experiments, with poison gas nor did I spe later on in the correspondence or elsewhere anything that would have intimated that Professor Brandt had anything to do with much." Ene quote.

Then the Witness refers to a radio interview remarding the office of Professor Brandt and she says the following, and I quoter

Sourt Mo. I

Or. Obtain the Barlin proceduating Section and make the following colorations as to the functions of his new agency. I remober that upon the question as to the character of his position towards the other agencies of the maich he replied that the half he consider his position as that of a sort of thirfferentials

The witness continues and I quotes "I wrute that interview together with the secretary Hanks at the tile and was also present when it was being recorded and was a sparing the transmission with my preservet."

Finally the mithies states that the professor form's was active a an Observat in a Mittien to his other fation, in addition to his other organism-timal duties.

This brings at the symmet forward hier one buffered in the "count book under KB-5. It is an efficient of a processin Dr. Richard beinhardt who was commissioned to institute the transming on who received all the institute the transming of the season of the country has 1943; that is, he was any massioned to this among most the united the war but on the basis of his special trake received insight into the entire files. He settles in actal the structure of the office; he pictures the legal flughting in the confirms such we already know from the testionary of itsues for Institute, making the flught, and there are to the question of cuthances, an in this connection he says, the I quoter

"I can give the assurated that we as the catalled cash receipts no steps pertraned to a word in complete a sit the outsannels grain as

The witness haves a mathing that the gir lifense decree of the let of much 19kk which were recorded up or the lost artises, Noll, and in that commune timbe

says the following and I quote:

"The odered which was filed among the usual secret records of the alency had been hander over to me by the secretary for a quick study. I do not recollect its wording exactly but it reads about as follows: 'charge my Schorel Commissioner Professor Dr. Mark Erendt to intervene authoritatively in questions of gas defense. The Neich Minister for Armanent and ar Production is instructed to give Professor Brandt admittance to the assembly shops at any time and to offer him all necessary assistance and information he might require."

I now come to the Tolloving Tornment which is document NR-6 which I offer as Exhibit Tumber 7. It is the affidavit of another employee, a certain Francisco Tumber 7. It is the affidavit of another employee, a certain Francisco Tumber. From the lat of April 1943 until April 1945, she was active in Earl Transit's office. She was in charge of keeping the relative and she became familiar with the contents of the records knot there, assor them Hitler's initial authorsais decree to Brandt and Pouhler, and in that connection she mays the following and I quote:

"With reference to this enthanasia decree there was no special correspondence and what there was only in the form of reports from dectors or execute on births of symmially or mentally handlesoped childred for whom surbanasia was requested. They were dealt with only insofar as it was advised to consult recommended medical authorities for study of the case or to jet financial insistance. Approvals for the requested outhanasia were never given."

The "Itgess further makes statements about the persons that had access to the office and says the following, and I quote:

"Reicheleiter Bouhler and the agency chief Smak I am acquainted with mombers of the Justice's Chancellery. There was no official correspondence with them in any way connected with outhersaid or the Reich condition. The names of Professor Dr. Hoydo, Professor Dr. Mietsche are women to me. If they had had anything to do with our

Agency in my time I would necessarily have known them. The names of Dr. Fianamueller, Schemann and Palken hauser were not known to me in the agency wither. Correspondence with Dr. Linden's office, so far as I know, was limited to bombed out hospitals and their transfer. There was no correspondence either with Dr. Lunti in connection with outhenasis and Reich lemmittee matters. So far as I know there was also no written correspondence with Blankenburg, Hegener and Haofner. Blankenburg and Maefner are indeed known to me but only for the reason that they worked in the same office building."

I don't know of any discussion on Euthanasia with Bouhler's office.

I would have necessity known of this.

I now skip a paragraph and I come to the part where the witness speaks about Bodelschwing, and I quote:

"I further testify that at that time Professor Brandt unjoyed thoroughly friendly relations with Paster von Sedelschwing. In the Summer of 1913 I was present when Paster von Bedelschwing once spent a whole afternoon as a guest in Professor Brandt's residence, and both of them conversed very unreservedly. I can further recall that Paster von Bedelschwing once speke publicity of the fact that his institutions had been spared such emreschments."

I now come to document No 7, which I am offering is Exhibit No. 8.

THE FRESIDENT: Just a moment. That document did you offer as

Brenit's Exhibit 6.

DR. S.RVATDIS: Exhibit G is Docum at Karl Brandt, 88 5, and the document which was just read, KB 6, will become Exhibit No 7. That is the document of Jutto Rich.

It is an affidavit of the mura- Notty Common. It only has a general content and shows that the defendent Karl Brandt was an active physician in the clinic and that he corefully cared for his patients. This is being substitted in order to show in what manner the activity of Karl Brandt was exercised and how he was engaged in his various tasks.

And, the Document KE 8 has already been submitted, and that brings me down to Document KE 9, which will become Inhibit No. 9.

This is an affidevit Of Professor Werner Schulemann. Doctor Schulemann is a specialist in Walaria research, and I quote:

"During the war I was a full Professor of pharmacology at the University of Bonn and was a consultant at the Special-Valuria

10 Feb-A-JP-21-1-Beard-(GJ)-Court 1

Hospitals Godeshohe and Ehreinblick in Bed Godesberg, in the Army
Command District VI. In these hospitals in connection with my institute
Milaria research was carried on, and carried on in accordance with
the methods recognized and sustemary with specialists

10 Fub-1-JP-21-2-F----

Court I

st home and aborate.

when I approveded him in order to make the Jalaria hospitals secure from other claims and was successful in winding his help. In the scientific discussions on Calaria research which took thee trefessor hard Srandt never made any successful in a saw any Treations to me, or even and any successful that experiments should be performed on human beings in an unlawful manner. In particular, he did not speak of Malaria experiments which had seen carried out in Dachau.

Would have not to discuss the questions involved with me depositely as I am known as a specialist in this field. I received very night scientific distinctions for my conseverance in an proceeding of synthetic relative necicines, made others the Mary-Kingalay modal of the Liverpool School of Tropical factions in 1938; and ofter the occupation by the allied troops I impositely not permission to continue the work in my institute. On the wasts of all that I know about professor Stradt. I consider it highly improved of them, asked for them or suggested them.

"I not professor Branch twice warm that or now even tive I was pleased at his clurr, claim and frunkly critical attitude commands the entire Caveloprent. I caphasise especially his Frankness on his understanting for academic-scientific problems."

I drop out Document NB 10, which is the witness Gutselt, and I submit now Document NB 11, Worlding No. 10. This is an efficient of Doctor Schieber. It is fast material as africavit II, since, work then one was liven by him. The witness Schieber apeaks about we flother Brancks. This work was already entione duran the bourse of the recogning as be explains the flother Brancks as the expectation of a medical program. He possion to special and these special orders which 9 and trocuive and I quotes. This order was insued to the positioning of men 19th as a parallel to the medical program,

275

Sourt I

"Letion Brandt". This decree in itself, contents, is addressed to the Spear Unistry and was, according to its purpose, invedicte production order by much Professor Dector Earl Brandt was opposed to the manufacture, as a buyer, and conceding the production had full powers of control at the same time, as for to I remarker there was no scientific medical research order for professor Karl Brandt attached to it. We are here concerned with the unti-chamical warefore decree.

And, I now come to the next doct and alternooper also made by the without Schieber. It is and 12, which I am submitting as abstitut N. 11. It doubt with the fact that Defendant Karl Burnit andres to not animals at read expense is the Secure of 1944, and that he transferred that from Space to Carray out one task, and we are concerned with biological research purelied to human boings, as contain added action was started by m. and Professor Karl Burnit for the Armament office, of approximately 200,000 Swiss France, and efter my recognition as Chief of Army Supply Office in October 1944, from the Space misery, I made stronicus offerts to other with Professor Karl Brandt to have a large number of animals brought by extremely difficult air transport to a from Spain to Gureny. These was put at professor Karl Brandt's disposed for experimental purposes.

I then subsit Document KB 13, as Exhibit we. 12. This is an niri hwit by the co-defendant Rulelf B andt, which I me going to read, and I quote:

With the life in the last in the last I before the Military Tribunal at November, Germany, have liven the remiss of officients which were produced in evidence by the Production during this case. I office, under each, that after having been only warned that I am limit to pendahment if I make a fisc by teacher by at the at correspond to the truth of the pendahment in the discrete in village at the distance This page that I are named been, Correspondent.

"In this cificavit I have given to the Prosecution, and which were prouced as avidence, I declared that too co-dar mant Professor Karl Brandt, Surely has been knowledge of the Steringerian as part, of course, he had known Chierr. T.

of the duthanasis experiments, and that he surely had known of the Typnum and periments. I do not have any actual evidence for this statement ands by he. I have signod the officevit is it was presented to as without proper knowledge as no knowledge of the directations, an the fact which justified talk a actuation. In one affidavit I declared further that the decree dated I have 1944 senegros the chemical warefare agents, had contained an authorization for professor, Dector Earl Brandt to so more medical research in connection with gas raids, and I would be to be more medical research in

"I have signed this precise working, although the contents of the decree work not present to my mind. Likewise, I cannot ascertain that from my own knowledge, when Professor, Doctor Mari Brandt, had been informed of the details of the Sustard One Experiments."

I forther substituted Document William Exhibit 13. This is a further offication to all by the witness Schioler. It cone ons a letter from iniator for aroment Spect to the Field arshal Keitel, which is attached as an armox. The witness here in this efficavit confirms that the text is correct. Int, in this commection, I would like to say that he has a copy on his arome, only I mention here the emission of the lettile. I seek this letter in or or to prove that in the lister upon list at the under the letter, Karl Tran't was not mentioned, and, therefore, each irrectly with Neited in meters of the letter itself, the listering was production are set out.

The next beautiful is on offi avit by the without Lambers. I am just told by the presention that the ori inal letter is evailable, with the resocution.

the furnition of the continual form the communities as a copy cortifie to meant it was available. He has a copy cortifie to meant it was available. He has a copy cortifie by massif.

IN STANITURE I toom have the 'commont E' 16 which I as effective as "which I have first a court on Enthanasia in the Twice Constitute which has not 'com complete' as yet. .. copy will be presented to the Tribunal at a 1 ter fate. In any here murely cone rue with the Chart.

The referre to.

The S W. MUS: The was whill ta, Document ! 14.

THE STREMT: That's currect; I have a note of it.

by have rs, who was extrine bore. An' I now so wit Document R'-18 as or Mit 15, which is a powered in the form of an affilhavit an's by Dr. one Kirchart, who was former no licel officer of the raffor-SS, and he can concerne with the leafership of an Eathanasia institution. An' I quite fronting affiliavit:

"In Septem or 1939 datch Physician SS Ur. Frawitz summano" se an'

asked me to make a list of the Common Lunatic asylums and their number of implies, ased on the late in the laich Sedical Calender. The reason, I was tol , was the fact that "course of the evacuation of the estwall zone the immtes he' to be transferred to other asylune. I the I had finished compiling the list and had bande it in, Trawitz sent as to Fr. Esfolmann-Chancollury of the Tuchror-. There I learned that actually it was a matter of authorasia of the insune, an' that the transfer was only a pretext. It was pointed out to me that it was done on direct orders from the Fuchror and that Soich Loitor Coubler had been instructed to carry thos out. I was very spocke ' at such an orfer from the Fuehrer and I apoke a ain to Mr. Travita an' had another two r three 'iscussions with Dr. Hofelmann to which Pofessor Dr. Hoy'e of usra ur was also called in. The entire outhania procedure was still entirely in its warly sta us an' a plan was frafted as to now the matter shoul! be carpio out. It was to '... done in several institutions. ...t first 3 institutions in diff rent ports of Contray were mentioned. The instance purply concerned who should come up for the profes ward to be selected. whorely May's as chief agent reserved the final "ecision for himself. 'w rythin; about' 'a 'ase' on strictly we licel views an' only such parsons were to be selected who in a psychiatric meaning could' c called "chronically" ill. May'e himself wanted to isit the lumntic asylume, to solock the presented and insurant it accordin by. as I could not and would not approve of such a glan I told Pravita that I refused to take over an outhandela instituto. Ho, therefore, 'comed it advisable that I leave ferlin as seen as presi'le an' or'ered me to take over a medical c many of the affor-SS which I 'i' on 7 Jet. 1939. Durin all the he stintions the mass which were neutroned or the grashs who took

part wors: GRAVITZ, HEFFELMANT, NEWDE, BLANKENBURG, BRACK, and ROWHIER.
Not a single word as said about Dr. Karl Brandt. Everything at that time
was still in the early stages.

I wish to add that I was told at the negotiations in the chancellery of the Fuebrar that cuthanasia was regulated by decree of the Fuebrar and the by Gozzleg's sugenture, as chairman of the Reich Defense Council. I did, newseer, not see those signatures. Later the problem arose again when I was a do artment head with National health judger Dr. Du Ti, namely when at the end of the success of 1941 the Pachrer's order case that <u>buthanasia</u> should be stopped. Since approximately at the same time COUTI received a copy of the protest latter of Archbishop Count von GARIES of Exenster, in which the latter objected most strongly to the execution of outhanasia.

What said something like he had always warned against this unreasonable exception. But nore too the name of Professor Dr. Earl Brindt was never contioned.

I know nothing of 14 f 13 in concentration camps. From the time of my works with WCNII and with the Waffen SS I know that relations between MINGLER and Dr. Worl brandt were strained."

The next decisent ands by factory owner Bucggoberg, which is KT 19, I now offer as Exhibit To. 16. The witness tills about in interview which Paster Bodelachtingh had with the broadcaster GPAPA. and he says the following, and I quote:

Solulaching said, "least literally, — in any case in effect- "the following, in paragraph that "You must not micture refessor FRANDT as a criminal,
but rather as an idealist." This radio talk left as under the impression
that Paster BODEISCHE MGH did not agree with the nature of Professor BRANDT's
activities, not he had a favorable opinion of his human qualities." I am
not submitting Desirant 20, since the witness is going to appear.

Then comes Document No. 21, which I am efforing as Emihibit 17. This is in which will of the littless Dr. Schmolle, who appears about the "ANTICL" "FANT" in a different sense, namely: the transfer of the special hospital institution

-2793-

and the evacuation of patients from cities into that institution. It is in competion with the suspicion and the charge that the ro-transfer of the sick and old was conducted for the surpose of Euthanasia. The witness affirms that these transfers took place because of air raid precautionary measures. Then, I am offering KE No. 21 as Exhibit 18. Again, it is made by the already mentioned Schieber. The affidavit sets forth in detail what was understood by "ANTION ERSIDT" passely: the entire medical corps and the furnishing of medical equipment.

The next document is XB 23 which I am offering as Exhibit 19. This is an affidavit underby the successor of Paster Sodelschwingh, at the mental institution in Both-1 Tear Bielefeld; the sitness says, as follows, and I quote him:

"The director of the BODELSCHINGE institutions in Sethel near Bielefeld, pastor D. Priedrich von SCDELSCHINGE, who died on the 4th of January
1946, has had several discussions with Frof. Dr. Karl BRANDT over the question
of "extirpation of life not worth living", in February 1941 and during the
following months. Faster D. DODELSCHINGE has re-bried about this only very
discretly within a very close circle of co-morkers, to which I belonged. He
emphasized them:

- that, though they held fundamentally different view of these measures, he had met a willingness on Frof. Dr. BRANDT's part to hear the objections.
- 2. that Prof. Dr. BRANDT had talked about "completely extinguished life", while other exponents of these measures based them upon the formula "incurable" or

"hopeless".

3. that Prof. Start west aware of the fallibility of those measures, and that he was presented to set, not by brutality, but by a certain idealise which was inherent to his conception of life."

And this brings me to the conclusion of the document book belonging to "VOID I DOCK ET DOOK 12." Do you wish me to continue?

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal does not wish you to proceed further this evening. I will ask the Secretary-General to take those books and have them furnish and combine No. 1. My we alims of No. 1 is completely loose, and number the pages in the second document book, which makes reference more easy. Do you want to do that or not? (pages) The Secretary-Jeneral will have those ready for use in the morning. The Tribunal will be in recess until 9:30 o'clock temorrow morning.

THE MARSNAIL: The Tribunal is in recess until 9:30 temerrow merning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 11 February 1917, at 0930 hours.)

## CO RECTED COPY.

Official transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, et al., defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 11 February 1947, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the Court Room will please find their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal 1.
Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the
United States of America and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascertain that the defendants are all present in court.

THE MARSHAL: May it please your Honor, the Defendant Oberhouser is absent from the court today due to her recent illness. A certificate as to the cause and duration of her absence will be presented at the earliest possible opportunity.

THE PRESIDENT: are the other defendants all present in court?

THE MARSHAL: The other defendants are all present in court, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will note for the record the presence in court of all defendants except the Defendant Oberheuser who is excused on account of illness.

MR. HARDY: Nay it please the court, in the early stages of the trial the court ruled that on the calling of a witness to the stand notice will be given to the defense or the prosecution and such notice will contain the pertinent information regarding the particular witness. I have just received six requests to call witnesses on the part of the defense

11 Feb 47-M-D#G-1-1-Foster-(AK)
COURT I

pertinent information. With such a form as that I will be unable to prepare myself for cross-examination. Hence I respectfully request that in the future all requests of that nature sent to me contain all the information and the first name of the witness, and in addition thereto, substantially what they will testify to so that I might be in a position to prepare myself for cross-examination of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Defends counsel in the future will observe the rules

concerning applications for witnesses to which counsel for the prosecution has just called attention.

Defense counsel may proceed.

DR. SERVATIUS (Counsel for the Defendant Earl Brandt): I now come to
Document Volume Number 2 which deals with the count of the indictment regarding membership in the SS organization which was declared criminal. There are
a number of affidavits which I am submitting. First, we have the document
EB 30 which I am submitting as Exhibit No. 20, It is an affidavit made by
Adjutant of the Reichefuehrer SS Himmler with the name of Grothmann. From
1940 until 1945 he was Himmler's Adjutant. He states the following:

"I. Professor Dr. Harl Brandt did not belong to the staff of the Reichsfuebror SS at this time." That is, 1940 to 1945.

#2. At this time Professor Dr. Earl Brandt maintained no closer relationship to Himmler.

- J. Himmler never hinted at such close relationship with Professor Dr. Earl Brandt in conversations and particularly gave no indications that a medical-technical-contact existed.
  - 4. Professor Dr. Earl Brandt was not Himmler's medical adviser.
- 5. I do not know of any correspondence between Himmler and Professor Dr. Earl Brandt dealing with medical questions.
- 5. Professor Dr. Marl Brandt was never invited to conferences or partloipated in such conferences, which united the higher SS-Fushrer Corps or parts of it. They were so-called Gruppenfushrer conferences.
- 7. Professor Dr. Earl Brandt did not have any official functions within the SS, and thus did not hold an office or an official position.

Signature - Werner Grothmann"

THE PRESIDENT: What number does this document bear as Dr. Brandt's exhibit?

DR. SERVATIUS: That was Exhibit No. 20.

I now come to document K3 31 which I am offering as Exhibit 21. This is an affidavit made by the Konsistorialrat. Doctor Theology, Eugen Gerstenmaier. Bugen Gerstenmaier was one of the main participants of the 20th of

11 February-H-DJG-1-3-Foster Court No1

July. He is a theologist who worked together with Moltke whose friends were sentenced and executed. I am submitting this affidavit to show what Professor Brandt — rather I am not submitting it to show what Professor Brandt did but to show that people turned to him, that is to say, that Karl Brandt was considered a person who was not enveloped in extreme ideas but acted according to moral-cthical considerations and of whom it could be expected that he would intervene in an objective manner.

11 Pob. 47-M-ATD-2-1-Blakley Court No. 1

This affidavit is similar to others which I am roing to submit and one of the facts relating to it is that it was not asked for and was voluntarily submitted; and therein I see a special value of this affidavit, that is to say, that these people on their own imitiative wanted to make these statements.

I am reading a few passages from this affidavit, and I quote: "When I was being tried for high treason by the People's Court because of my direct participation in the coup fetat of 20 July 19hh, my wife, through the mediation of third persons, approached Prof. Dr. Karl Dranit, now a defendant in the Murnberg doctors' trial, in order to induce him to intervene in my favor at the chief of office in the Reich Security Main Office, SS-Obergruppenfuchren Mueller. Thereupon, Dr. Brandt presised my wife that he would comply with her request and intercede on my behalf." Further, it is stated that Brandt intervened, I am not soing to read all of these statements in detail.

This brings we to the next document, which is EF-72, and which I am submitting as Exhibit 22. This is used as a confirmation of the statements of Consterminer and is an affidavit of his wife, From Prigitte Gerstenmaier, who states in detail how this intervention was put into effect. She said that Grant mained insight into the files of Gerstenmaier and passed on information; and furthermore she said that in many other mases Frank tried to help.

My client states that the motive of his action in the first place was that of helping people who were ethically unobjectionable and who were of moral and mental superiority. He wanted to help them without any consideration of their political views. This inches no be becament RD-33, which is Exhibit 23. This is an affiliavit made by Frau linci Schacht. That is the wife of the minister Schacht who was acquitted here before the International Military Tribunal. She, too, turned to the defendant Karl Tranit for his assistance; and she, too, confirms the fact that at that time Frank intervened in favor of Schacht.

This brings we now to Document ED-34, which I am submitting as Exhibit 24.
This is an affidavit made by Dr. Julius Muyer-Pockhoff, who, on his own initiative, approached me. This witness demied giving his oath to Hitler; and he

snys: "For reasons of conscionce I refused to give the oath of unconditional allegiance to Hitler; and my wife in her anxiety turned to Dr. Marl Trandt to ask for help. Frof. Frankt in a letter pludged this help to my wife, giving a promise to look after my case."

The document KT-35 I am offering as Exhibit 25. This is an affidavit of the wife of the witness just mentioned; and she describes in detail how assistance was riven at that time. The next document is KD-36; and I am offering this exhibit as Exhibit 26. This is an affidavit from Maria Rellner, who is the Mother Superior of the Franciscan Hospital and who on her own initiative approached so. Her statement is of importance in so far as it shows that Dr. Mari Transit was not an outspoken Nami us he is being indicted here. She describes in detail his character and says: "Dr. Dranst was always very friently towards us and never showed himself to be a Maria" Further, she says: "Considering his kind disposition towards us Catholic Sisters, I cannot believe that he would consciously commit a criminal act."

I now come to the Document NC-37, which is Exhibit 27. This is an affilayit made by a priest of a Chtholic order, Father Unto Sebastian Wolh. This
Catholic priest approached me and at my request gave me this affiliavit in
this form. He describes here a mostine with the defendant Franct at Christmas Eve,
1963; and he says that the defendant Franct at that time, on Christmas Eve,
went to the front dispensary in order to help some of the physicians there
and to take their turns. He further states that Karl Franct intervened in
favor of the Sisters of the order, and he said: "I should like to give you
a Christmas surprise. I have been able to intervene so that the Sisters can
remain in that hospital." The priest teld us that there was a high degree of
esteem for religious values in Franctic character and that all the assistants
were very much impressed with his stature and that he betherefore judged
Grandt very favorably.

Then the General Secretary sent a letter to me, asking me to submit it to the Tribunal. This is a letter of a woman physician, Louiza Ermwein, of Muchlhausen, who, as a French woman, was confined in a concentration comp.

This letter is addressed to the Tribunal. The witness says that French had

helped her in order to get her out of the concentration camp into a position as a physician. The essential points of this statement are that she thought of turning to the defendant branch for help, and that means that she considered him a person who did not belong to the circle who held the extreme 33 views. This letter cannot be submitted by no as an affidavit since I have not yet received an answer from the wilmess. However, I ask you to whilt it. The letter is here available in the French language; and I ask the Tribunal to admit it.

THE PRESIDENT: Do I understand that this letter was delivered to the Secretary General of the Tribunal?

DR. SERV.TIUS: The General Secretary had this letter handed to me by Lt. Carrett and asked that I translate it and then submit it.

THE STESIDENT: I also understand that the letter was received without solicitation of any kind; this witness was unknown to counsel, as I understand it?

DA. SERV. TIUS: Yos, she was unknown to mu.

MR. H. WOY: Your Honor, there are three letters in this document book, one is attached to Karl Franck Exhibit Number 31, one to Karl Franck Exhibit Number 37, and then this last one which was referred to here. I object to the a missibility of any one of the three of these letters because of the fact that they are not affiliavite, they are not statements in the form; they fon't bear any semblance to a legal instrument to be a mitted into evidence before this Tribunal.

THE PURSIDENT: Referring to the other letters mentioned by counsel for the prosecution, I would ask counsel for the defendant Brandt if these other letters were received by him without solicitation on his part or without his knowledge of the writers.

BY. SETALTIES: I received these other letters also without my solicitetion. The people concerned wrote to se on their own initiative. That is why
I attached these letters to the affidavits which I asked for formally. I merel
attached these letters in order to show that these people approached so from

11 Feb. 47-M-..TD-2-L-Clakley Court No. 1

their own initiative.

THE DESIDENT: The letters reform I to may be admitted in evidence. The Tribunal will give them whatever weight is deemed proper to place upon them; but they will be received in evidence as exhibits on behalf of defendant Karl Dramit.

DR. SELVATIUS: On page 82 in my document book there is Document KB 24 of Mrs. Schmundt. This concerns the question of outhernsis.

THE PHASITANT: I do not find that copy of the document.

IR. SERVATIUS: It was submitted as an ennex to this document book. If it is not aveilable before the Tribunal, I am not going to read it. I expect a number of other affidavits and statements and I will not be in a position to conclude my evidence entirely since some of the witnesses haven't as yet errived and I should like to reserve the right to present these matters at a later date.

THE PRESIDENT: You may offer evidence at a later date and the Tribunal will keep all of these cases open for a reasonable time so that the defendant may present evidence which will come in at a later date.

DR. SERVATIUS: Mr. Freeident, then this brings me to the end of the evidence which was prepared in such a manner that I could submit it. I also had another Document Rock No. 3 which I handed in for translation last week and it is not yet finished and I think it will be finished during the course of this day, and at a later date I shall come back to that, and if it pleases Your Honors this third book, Mr. President, contains mainly cases of experiments ---

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may offer evidence at a later date. The Tribunal understands the difficulty under which counsel for both prosecution and
defense are laboring in preparing the avidence and the technical rule that
all evidence must be presented at one time will be relaxed to allow all perties a chance to offer evidence which is now delayed and which may once at
a later date due to the fact that the delay is not due to a fault or neglect
of either party.

DR. SERVATIUS: I then provisionally conclude my submission of evidenon behalf of the defendent Karl Brandt.

THE PRESIDENT: The recard will so show.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, before you start dealing with the next case, I should like to make an application.

We should like to present to you in this courtroom one of the medical

experiments and we should like to have your permission for that. We are con-

I am speaking in this case for the defendant Dr. Ruff and for the defendant Dr. Rumberg, whose defense counsel is prevented from attending here because of a death case. These two defendants are mostly indicted because of the so-called "high altitude" experiments in Dachau.

You will perhaps remomber that the presecution has maintained with reference to these experiments that the high altitude constituted a torture of the experimental subjects; that is, a gruel necesure which has to be considered as a crime against humanity. We should like to show you proof to the contrary. Already in my opening statements I pointed out that these defendants are only responsible for a part of the Dachau experiments; namely, for the first part, during which no fatalities or decages to health occurred and we also already have pointed out that these defendants are not responsible for the second part of these experiments, that is, not responsible for the part where fatelities occurred. During that part of the experiments, for which these two defendants are responsible, the so-celled high eltitude sickness played a part, that is, the conditions where the experimental subject loses coneciousness when the nir pressure decreases accordingly and when towards the end of the experiment they revive again from this unconscious state and where the prosecution neit inine that this constitutes a torture, a cruelty, towards these experimental subjects, and we in this case in this courtroom would like to present to you this experiment in order that you, as judges, orn gein a picture of this kind of experiment.

Naturally, gentlemen of the Tribunal, we cannot bring a law pressure chamber into this courtroom but that really is not necessary and for the following reasons:

This entire experiment, including air sickness, can be carried out and presented to you even without this low pressure chamber. For this purpose wonly need a few little accessories which can easily be brought into the courtent; namely, especially an air compression bottle, that is, bottle with ow pressed air, with a mixture of gas with oxygen and hydrogen. Then we need a

normal breathing apparatus for the mouth, as one usually uses in sirolanes, and, thirdly, we need a rubber breathing tube. Then we need a cap as used by air crews, then we need a breathing mask as air crews use it. That is all we shall need here in order to present this experiment to you in an orderly manner.

Sow the question erises: Who can be considered as an experimental subject before the ject here? I would suggest to you that as an experimental subject either the defendant Huff or the defendant Romberg be used. Both have performed these experiments on numerous eccasions, one concerned with the experimental subject and the other one as a person in charge of the performance or experiment. If you consider it necessary, you could have a medical expert present and I am sure that the expert of the prosecution, Dr. Alexander, would be very suitable for he was active in the air force. He knows these experiments and at any rate is at all times available.

The natters about which I have just spoken, that is, the accessories which are necessary for the experiment, could be gained from the Aero Medical Center at Heidelberg without any difficulty. Dr. Ruff knows these accessories are evaluable and I would like to say about the danger, that is, the danger for one of the participant subjects, that danger is entirely out of the sucstion and the entire experiment will only last for ten minutes, that is to say, if the Tribunal etteches value to it, it could be reposted without taking up too much time of the Tribunal.

We attrch value to this experiment for the reason that we are here to move to you and offer a very concrete example as to what the experiment is considered to be and how erroneous it is to make a completely wrong picture of this experiment. We want to show you that this unconscious state, which starts with the experimental subject and which is a completely unconscious state, we want to show you that this unconscious state is a completely hard-less affair. The experimental subject, a few moments after the end of the experiment, will regain his full consciousness and the Tribunal can convince itself that the experimental subject will immediately be depoble of action, the experimental subject will feel no pain, the experimental subject will

have no after effects of this experiment whatsoever, and really the experiment mental subject would as a rule not know at all that some such experiment was carried out and that they were in fact unconscious.

If this is demonstrated here before your eyes, then you will convince yourselves, at lease as far as the Dachau experiments and as far as Dr. Buff knew about them, and you will make a completely different and a very objective picture of this affair.

Mr. President, I should like to ask the Tribunel to consider this, my proposal, during the next few days and then let us have their decision, so that perhaps in agreement with Dr. Alexander, perhaps we could bremare this procedure and for this reason, Mr. President, I allowed myself to make this application at this time although the cases of Ruff and Rooberg may only be expected to come up in a few weeks, and that is all that I have to say to you.

11 Feb 47-ZBH-4-1-Gross-(AK)

THE PRESIDENT: Doctor, would it be your purpose to consider these human subjects as voluntary or involuntary experimental subjects? •

DR. SAUTER: This question with reference to these experiments can only be considered in a sound line because if we are concerned with experiments that entail no pain nor any disadvantage for the experimental subjects then, according to my opinion, one could never consider these experiments as crimes against humanity for an unconscious state which is not felt by the experimental subject and because of which he feels no pains whatsoever. This according to our opinion, does not present a crime against humanity but, in my opinion, the question of voluntary nature had to be considered. And, if prisoners at Dachau had known and seen how harmless these experiments were, and I mean the ones Dr. Ruff and Dr. Romberg carried out, then I am sure they had no misgivings whatsoover to put themselves at our disposal because carrying out these experiments constituted no danger whatsoever.

THE PRESIDENT: Can you say that these human experimental subjects whom you want to produce here for demonstration are in the same physical condition that these experimental subjects at Dachau were in?

DR. SAUTER: I cannot say anything in that connection simply because I do not know. These persons, and I mean the ones Dr. Ruff saw when he once visited Dachau, were as far as he could judge in a proper physical condition and were well nourished.

JUDGE SEBRING: If these experiments were permitted would it be your purpose as far as you could to simulate

11 Feb 47-4-1-EBH-Gross-(AK)

conditions at 68,000 feet altitude as charged in the Indict-

DR. SAUTER: Yes, quite. The experiments, even without the chamber, will be carried out in the same manner and in the same conditions as Dr. Ruff carried them out on himself and on his voluntary collaborators as numerous times. The conditions are quite the same and, therefore, the effect will be the same and, therefore, you will convince yourselves that here one could not speak of torture or a crime against humanity. That is something we want to prove to you because we maintain the point

11 Feb 47-EBH-4-2-Gross-(AK)

of visw that if the Judges can see something for themselv-

JUDGE SEERING: You say it would simulate conditions as they would exist at 68,000 feet altitude as charged in the Indictment?

DR. SAUTER: Absolutely. Absolutely. Exactly the same and the experts will confirm that to you. If that were not the case, gentlemen of the Trbbunal, then we would not make such an application. We are only making that application for the purpose because we know that the system will be exactly the same as they are during experiments in that high altitude.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will take counsel's suggestion under consideration and will advise counsel in a short time as to the ruling of the Tribunal on the matter.

DR. SAUTER: Thank you very much, Mr. President.

MR. McHANEY: I might say just a few words concerning the proposal made by Dr. Sauter. Prosecution objects largely because we don't think it will prove anything. As I understand it, Dr. Sauter proposes simply to show that during the course

of altitude sickness, which results during the course of certain low chamber experiments, the experimental subject undergoes no physical pain or suffering. As I understand that, based on the supposition that the experimental person blacks out or loses consciousness within the space of a few seconds of time, I think there is no doubt that such persons do show expressions of pain and reactions of pain, such as screaming, etc. I take it Dr. Sauter is trying to prove that in spite of that the subjects undergo no physical suffering. I think the simple way to take care of such an issue, from the

11 Fob 47-EBH-4-2-Gross-(AX)

point of view of the defense, is to obtain affidavits from properly qualified experts who have conducted and undergone such experiments. Certainly there are american authorities available at Heidelberg Aero Redical Center without the necessity of conducting experiments in this Court Room, Secondly, as the Tribunal itself has observed, both Ruff and Romberg have undergone a large number of high altitude experiments, the result of that being

11 Fab 47-EBH-4-3-Gross-(AK)

they have gained certain altitude tolerance, as I understand it, So, quite clearly, the experimental subjects, I don't think, could be compared with Ruff and Romberg, that is, the experimental subjects actually used at Dachau. Furthermore, while I am not an expert on those matters, I understand the question of pain depends quite largely on the physical condition of the subject. For example; if the experimental sub-Ject has a disensed Eustachian tube, which I understand is in the nature of a passage to the middle ear, if that tube is blocked so that the subject is unable to adjust the pressure in the inner ear, to that created externally, then very severe pain is apt to result. Unless defense is prepared to assert and establish that they made examinations on the subjects used at Dachau with respect to the proper functioning of the Eustachian tube I don't see that the demonstration proposed here would really prove at all that the subjects they used there did not suffer. I object to the above because of the cumbersome and unnecessary manner in which to prove a relatively simple issue since in either case the answer to the question of pain and suffering is based on a number of assumptions, namely, physical condition of the subject and the circumstances inder which they were carried out. Moreover, I would be quite at a less myself to unlerstand what was happening during the course of the experiment and to interpret it and I believe it would be better to have an export do that for the Tribunal. For example, if Dr. Ruff suddenly let out a piercing scream I would assume he was sufforing pain. However, after the experiment is over he contends he was in an unconscious state and didn't feel a thing and the screaning was completely involuntary. Those are all ques11 Feb 47-EBH-4-3-Gross-(AX)

tions which could better be determined by an expert than by the demonstration which has been suggested.

DR. SAUTER: Mr. President, may I just make a few short remarks on that question. A number of collaborators of Dr. Ruff have already submitted affidavits to us. Mostly they were made from their own initiative, not at our request. At the proper time I shall submit these affidavits. Naturally we have no objection whatcoever that an expert, for instance

11 Feb 47-EBH-4-4-Gross-(AK)

tion. On my own part I asked two American acientists who were active at Heidelberg to answer questionnaires. Unfortunately, I have not yet received them. The Prosecution attaches a special importance to the physical condition of the experimental subjects and, as an example, states that an experimental subject....

The President: Counsel, I think the Tribunal understands counsel's position in the matter without further
onlargement on the subject. I do not think further argument
or statement on the part of counsel will enlighten the
Tribunal any further as to the matter which counsel has
already stated which will be given due consideration by the
Tribunal.

DR. S.UTER: Very well. Thank you very much.

DR. NELTE: (Counsel for the defendant Handloser): Mr. President, Gentlemen of the Tribunal, before I start my submission of evidence, I should like to say the following and ask for your decision: During the session of the 31st of January, Mr. McHaney, prompte. by the High Tribunal, declared

himself very kindly ready to compile facts and evidence in trial briefs, upon which the Prosecution bases their indictment against the individual defendants. The record of the transcript of the Mast of January, unfortunately, is not available to me. However, the Tribunal will remember this event and will also remember the promise that up to Monday, that is the beginning of Brandt's submission of evidence, all the trial briefs against Brandt would be submitted. Up to this present date I am not yet in possession of such one indictment against the defendant Handloser.

It is the first privilego of a defendent and an urgent prerequisite for his defense that he knows against what concrete assertions he has to defend piscelf. The indictment a sinst Professor Handleser is based upon the responsibility which arises or which should arise from his official positions. The Mibunal and the Prosecution are award, from my opening statement, that there are no concrete facts available a sinst the defendant Hamilesor. I have eninevered from decuments, testimony, witnesses, and the presentation of the resocution, to find out how and because of what facts the possibility would arise that a responsibility could occur in connection with his position as thief of the Medical Services. In soite of herd labor I did not succeed in finding out any such cossible relationship from these facts. The presecution passed the indictiont against the defendant Equiloser or, rather, withdrew the inlictment against the defendant Randlesor on account of high altitude experiments. In reor to clarify this ma or I should like to point out that there is a case which is even such clearer than the esse of high altitude experiments and that is the case of the malaria experiments.

The defendent Hamiltoner is now before the be imming of submission of evidence on his behalf and I to not know what I am to eak him on the witness stand and what individual points he is to speek about and I should therefore be retained if the prosecution would stite whether, for instance, they maintain the indictment element the defendant Hamiltoner on the particular and particular responsibility of indexin experiments. If you, I should like to eak you to use the prosecution will not comply this responsibility. If, on the other hami, the prosecution will not comply with this procedure, I would be forced to request the Tribunal for a decision that the indictment extinst Professor Hamiltoner, because of participation and present I maintain experiments, be withdrawn.

IR. NO HITST: May it ploase the Tribunal. I would like again to point ut to defense counsel that there is no question in this case of dismissing any cunt or any paragraph in the indictment. Handleser has been charged, as have all the defendance, with participating in medical experiments upon involuntary men subjects, which experiments resulted in involves, tertures, inhuman treatment, etc., which constitute wer crimes and crimes against humanity. By way of enough we cited

11 Feb 47-M-FJC-5-2-Lesser-(AK)

certain specific experiments and alleged in one instance or another that the particular defendant was concerned with those. I do not think it is incumbent upon the prosecution to now go through and produce procisely for the benefit of defense counsel, its evidence on each such particularized experiment. The count stands if we make proof on one experiment as particularized in the indictment. Now, as a matter of fact, we have tried to simplify the issue and to climinate from consideration cortain experiments on the part of some of the defendants. We have not chosen to dismiss the malaria experiment or to romove it as an issue against Handloser for the reason that the experiments continued over such a long poriod of time and were carried on on a rather substantial scale; during the whole period of that time the defendant Handlesor was Chief of the Army wedical Service of the Wohrmacht. It is the prosecution's position that by showing a knowledge of medical experiments on involuntary human aubjucts, plus his position of very substantial responsibility--physician of the head of the medical services of the military sector -- that a judgment of guilty can be predicated upon the malaria experiments even though he himself personally did not infect any one of the experimental subjects and the like. In any event, I cannot see that is burdening the defense in any mannor whatsoever not to remove the malaria experiments from the indictment as charged against Handleser. The prosecution has cortainly not elaborated that point in its presentation against Handlosor. I should think that all the defense counsel would have to do is ask him "Do you know anything about it and apid you participate in them in any manner whatsoever? " The prosecution will be quite rank with the Tribunal that if his part in the malaria experiments was

11 Feb 47-N-FJC-5-2-Lesser-(AK)

very weak case against the defendant Handloser. But for reasons which are satisfactory to the prosecution, we do not find it desirable to remove the malaria experiments as an issue against him. They went on, as I recall, from 1941 until 1945, and were carried out on from upwards of 1200 people, so we ion't choose to remove that as an issue now. I don't see that it is any burden to the defendant and I had don't think that there is any requirement that we treat it

11 Feb 47-M-FJC-5-3-Lesser-(AK)

as a motion to dismiss, because there is other substantial evidence concerning the defendant Handloser's participation in medical experiments on involuntary subjects, which is the charge in Paragraph 6 of Count Two and it is not to be expected that the particular setup in the sub-paragraph are to be divided up and viewed as anything in the nature of separate counts or separate charges. Those are simply particulars which we gave.

The Prosecution is sorry that we have been unable to prepare briefs on each individual as we had hoped to do. The Tribunal will understand that we have 3 attorneys working on this case and 2 of us are in court substantially all day so it is rather much of a burden, in addition, to get up anything in the nature of a well prepared brief. We will do that as fast as we can but it is not moving as rapidly as we hoped. But I ask that defense counsel for Handlosor expedite matters and proceed with his case. I do not think he is being imposed upon in any way.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion of defendant Handloser to dismiss the specification in connection with the malaria experiments is denied without prejudice for renewing the motion at the close of the case when such motions may be made and considered in the light of all the evidence.

DR. WELTE: Mr. Precident, I have yet another complaint.

Yesterday norning the marshal gave me a list of the witnesses whom I named and who were approved by the High Tribunal. On that list word the names of Schmidt-Bruccken and Hartleben, and it was stated there that they were in prison. I asked that these two witnesses come to me last night for a conference. The security officer stated to me that after to had telephoned the prison office he was told that neither of the witnesses

11 Feb 47-M-FJC-5-7-Lesser-(an)

were in the prison. I must assume that the official reports of the marshal are correct and I should ask for the assistance of the Tribunal in placing these two witnesses at my disposal so that I be in a position to speak to them before they appear on the witness stand.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will do anything it can to procure counsel consultation with the witnesses. The Tribunal has no information concerning the matter. If counsel will request the Defendants Information Center to procure possible information as to the whoreabouts of the witnesses, thematter will be given consideration at the carliest possible date.

11 fab 47-M-77C-5-3-Lonsor Court No. 1

BY DR. MELTS:

I now start the subclassion of evidence in behalf of the former Chief of the Pelicul Jerrices of the Armed Forces, the last Army Medical Inspects and Jerry Physician, Professor Dr. Hamilton. He is not indicted the man of outbrancia, sterilization, or originate with points gap, ith poison, bith distinct experiments, incomlings both experiments or enterpolational experiments of the skeppen collection. Further, he is not indicted because of surfering tuberculosis offlicted Poles and tany other facts.

I shall confine eyeals to the individual facts, as stated in the Indictions and I shall not bouch upon those prints in report to mich no individual indictions is raised. Although the hellancy, if I underest if the extractly, ethical that if the Indictions is maintained in all counts, own the rescention does not want to minimize expressly a participation of rescention does not want to minimize expressly a participation of rescention does not want to minimize expressly a participation

or. resident, I mant you to partit to to call the witness, in feasurer.

This a SIURIL: The formation, Sie Pered Hindleser, will take the without a tand.

Die Kalife Tre erich best, I so in the Brownst h Ks.

THE PURSUE DO WELL HERST DO STATE.

Climate Authority a with May book the stand and testified as

## " FINES STREET, GA

- hat is your mana?
- .. Staffet Tilen Trace.
- all ye report this me cather of

I stour y G , the ald sity and dissistant, that I will speak the pure truth and will with hid on all a diskip.

(The with he reported the sath.)

THE IMPALIANCE I was sit ! ma

SERVE BLUTLER N

To Jan Blant

In the second the solution of the friend. The Lemman' has the company has been and I believe they are available but as the Tribunt.

I mu! there "comment" has been an extrination of the Comment the darket the factors there is no a second to the comment that the comment the course I as in a quete from these because the the course I as in a quete from these because the pair the course I also examination and I shall sweat the to you, with your against the course I as a second to the course the pair the course of the examination and I shall sweat the to you, with your against the course of the country that the course I are the course to you.

refessor mandlesor, give us your first and in t made for the record.

in Sorlin. This was extremely difficult, because there was a great depend for about for admissi a and about three hundred applications were made for about thirty vacancies which were there per year! Is never, I am successful nevertheless to receive an approval and that is how it came about that I started to study at the headent in worlin, which at that the head the title of Kaleur wilheld meadent. I was a tritted there as a student at the Lorlin University in 1903.

Tell os ub ut your development on a physician.

THE LABSIES OF THE PRODUCT OF THE Tribunal will take ite marning recess.

(a ricosa was initions)

THE LERSY Lt The Pribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may proceed.

## BY DR. MILTER

- The last question which I saked was your further development as a physician.
- A In 1906 I took the preliminary examination. In October, 1908 I became an Universit, and until October 1909 I was an intern in the charity applied in Borlin. After that I took my modical state examination and then I came to the parrison at Stramburg. There I served as a doctor in an artillery regiment, and also in a ward in a large hospital.

In 1912, in October, I came to Burlin as buttalion doctor. I was in a buttalion with dirigibles and enother buttalion which worked with balloons. At this time I also took my exemination as commander of a balloon. In 1914 I became Observe and became corps physician of the guard corps in Berlin, and I went into the first world war in this capacity.

During the World War I was with the corps command as troop physician in a guard regiment, and fin lly I was chief payeleian of a medical company. From 1920 to 1923 I was ordered to the Ludical University Clinic at Glosson to so trained as a specialist for internal diseases. After the end of this specialised training I was and to Ulm where I became head of a big internal modical section of the asspital and was in this capacity from 1923 to 1928.

I was addenly called to Berlin to the idnistry, to the army ladical Inspectorate, and there I and to take over the department for the care of the sick for the associated and for everything connected with the sick. In 1932, after I and worked in that a pacity for four years, I become defense district possician in Stategart; that is, I was the chief medical officer in this Webricos. On the 1st of January 1935 I became Generalerat, and as army group physician I was sent to Dresden. I remained in this position until 1936. At that time I was transferred to Vienna, still as army group physician. In this position, as army group physician and later as army physician, I worked under Field Marshal Liet. I participated in the campaign in Poland and then in the campaign in France.

In October 1346 our army was sent back to the East, to Krakow, and there on the 5th of November I received an order from Berlin that I was to leave the same day for Berlin since the army Medical Inspector was sick. On the 6th of Fovember; in Berlin, I talked to Professor Waldmann very briefly. Le was locating is medically for a sanitarian in southern Germany since he was very seriously ill. On the 1st of January 1941 I was appointed his successor and also promoted to Generaloberstabserst. From 1941 on I was Army Medical Inspector, and later, Chief of the Webrancht Medical Service.

11 Feb 47-x-SW-9-1-Putty-(AR)

- Q. You had the position of Army physician Heardsarzty
- a. Yes.
- Q. What happened after the capitulation?
- I suddonly hid to leave this post since the Russians were approaching. I went to the Northand came to the area of Flensburg. Field Marshal Keitch was also there with a large part of the CKW. After the capitulation I as well as the rest of the CKW reported to the english staff and work developed since I was very frequency called upon to work out a number of questions.

When the High Command of the Wehrmacht was taken into oustody on the 23rd of May, I remained where I had been and was still called on by an English staff to work on further questions. Later an american Army doctor was also added to this staff. This was called the Control Commission OKN North. I did work for this Commission which considered from my point of view was to help to take care of the innumerable wounded and sick, especially of the amputeus, and to transfer these people to civilian life.

This was a very close collaboration, and on the 16th of June the American Colonel tell me that a German Medical Advisory Staff was to be created of about seven to ten medical officers. I was to make sugge tions. I did so, and since a few of my most important associates were in the South of Germany, I suggested that they be called upon.

On the 23rd of June, 1945, the anglish Colonel Escritt ordered me to come to the airfield at two o'clock with an escort so that we could fly to the South together to look for those gentlemen there. We left at two o'clock

11 Feb 47-N-SW-9-1-Putty-(AK)

and arrived in Munich at three-thirty. We went to the headquarters of the American Army: There the English and Ameridan Colonels reported, and then we -- that is, the person accompanying me and I were sent to the prisoner-of-war camp at Pularch near Munich. The Commission -- that is, the two English 11 Fab 47-M-SW-9-20Putty-(AK)

Thuringen with us because all my material, all my files were there.

When we got thore, everything had already been taken away by other commissions and officers. There was nothing loft. Then the gentlemen said that we would all come to the district of Kassel to work on a staff, but nothing came of this.

I went from emprisoner-of-war camp to snother. Finally on the 21st of September, 1945, I was put in solitary confinement in Oberweel where I remained until the 13th of October. From October to January there was an intermediate period. On the 13th of January 1946, I was sent to a war crime camp near Stuttgart. In August — that is, eight months later — I was sent to Duchau, and on the 24th of August I was sent here from Dacheu.

- Q. You said a while age that during the big war you were army Medical Inspector and finally Chief of the Wehr-macht Medical Service?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Will you first describe your function generally as army physician?
- A. The functions of the army physician are established precisely in the regulations, especially in the Var Mclical negulations.

DR. HELTE: Mr. President, in regard to this decree, for your orientation I should like to submit Document HA-28 as Exhibit 1. It is in the document book 1, Page 41. It deals with the function of the Army physician. I ask that this document be accepted as Exhibit 1. We need not go into this

11 Rob 47-23-87-9-3-201ty Court No. 1

MR. FELE: In this connection I submit un excerpt from the Unr Medical Decree, Becument HA-28c, Pa e 44 of my document book 1 as Exhibit 2.

11 Feb 47-M-FJC-10-1-Beard-(AK)

- a. There was a special decree, the decree of 1942, specifically, and the decree of 1944 with the regulations partaining to it.
  - Q. Where was the seat of the various agencies?
- ... The seat of the Army Physician was at the Headquarters of the High Command of the Army; that was usually in East Prussia. For six months in 1942, it was in the Ukraine.

11 Fot 47-11-970-10-3-Borr Court No. 1 and, I therefore assume that he has no objection to the submission of this thou out, and I ask you to escept this document. In the case of defendant Hendlesor, it is important whother he mades of cortain events which took place at home, in Berlin. It makes a difference whether some one was contiminally in Borlin, et his office, or whether he was at the Hondaurters of the gray, and at the warious therears of war. This table is to help you to determine the question of what notural possibility there was at various times for Hendlesor ---THE PREDET: (Interposing) Does the Counsel understand? The Counsel for the Prosecuti a bas a objection to the addesi'ility of this document in ori longo. The Grunsel has simply stated that he would suggest that the apprinction of the witness be livited to refreshing his rec llection from this dichment as to cortain rettors, and not tostifying in lotal concorning it. Now the tooment my to abitted in orilones. You my proceed. BY DR. MELTE: Q I ask you, Professor Heatl ser, whother this toble which you have stoned to correct? . It is correct. I have seen only one mistake in it. That is on page 66, in the year 1914. The moting in Breslau which has been mentioned frequently lore is enterel unler August; it was not in an ust, it was in June. That is the only tidng that is incorrect. THE PRESIDENT: The orrer of the without Lay be corrected. I for, bor re you present your individual functions, I should like to isk you to explain to the Tribunal the terms Medical Sanitaesussen and Sanitossitemet, which has frequently been used here? A That is as follows: If the worl Southestionat is used, that norms the duties referring privarily to the Mulical Ferrice in connection with the trucks; that is, the holic I traks which are connected with Military forvice, and whore the motions superiors are their military superiors; Tother that is in the field arry 'r in the hane may, it makes no difference. If I use the worl Stait-esteeun, then in telition to this Military Service, this is everythin, which subus possi le this Medierl Service in the Military Survice; that is, all the basic work and duties which take the Medical Service -282511 Fob 474M-FJC-10-4-Beard-(AK)
00URT I

in the army possible at all. And, if I may give an example, something which played a role here, it must be emphasized that research has nothing to do with Sanitaestdienst. Those working in the Sanitaesdienst in the Medical Service have, on the whole, no opportunity to concern themselves with research. However, the basic work of the Sanitaeswesen does include research. Concerning the extent and the manners as to how research is connected with medical matters, I might say it is another phase.

- Q. Now, will you please tell the Tribunal about your activities as Army Physician?
- A. I must make a distinction between the two fields of work here.

WR. No HANEY: If the Tribunal planses, I think that Doctor Nolto should be admonished to try to keep to the issues of the case. Now we are about to hear a long and dotailed exposition on the experiences and functions of Heorosarzt Army Physician. I assume that the defendant once hold such a position, but there is nothing in the Indictment, or no issue in this case connected with his activities as the Hoursangt. To have got an affiliavit from the defendant, baginning on page all of your Document Book, and it runs to page 52. Pages is to 52 all deal with the activities of an army Physician, and only on page 52 do we begin to hear about the McLicel Inspector of the army. I am perfectly willing to have the defendant explain at longth about his activities, duties, and functions, as the Army Medical Inspector, but I do not think there is any necessity to hear any great Exposition about his activities as .crmy Physician with which WG

DR. MELTER Mr. President, I was also of the opinion that the defendant Handlosov was not indicted as Heareserst, but the prosecution subpitted as Document NO-1755 a disry of Halder, or excerpts from it. I do not know the exhibit number. It was handed in late in the proceedings. In this disry General observatebearst Handloser is mentioned four times. One time army physicien is mentioned. That was Dr. Observat Schreiber.

Q. In what capacity were you, witness, in the headquarters when you renorted to Halder!

A. I was connected with him only in my capacity as an army physician.

IB. MELTE: Mr. resident, would you set the prosecution whether Document 1758 is in connection with the defendant Handleser. If they do not intend to draw any conclusions in connection with the indictment, then I am ready to dispense with the discussion of the defendant's position as army physician. If they do not want to do that, then I shall have to insist that this activity of his be discussed here.

MR. MC HANDY: The document about which Dr. Nelto is now hoving some concern contrins certain entries dated August 31, 1941, and thereafter. As I recall, the vitness become the army medical inspector on January 1, 1941, or seven months preceding this entry. Be that as it may, we don't draw any criminal inferences from this document. It simply shows that the witness had some interest in August 1941 in typhus problems, a matter which I think he would not dony in any event. So, therefore, I think that we are all probably agreed that it is unnecessary to discuss these activities of the defendant as army physician.

from Document NO-1758 the prosecution does not wont to make any charges on this basis.

Q. Then will you please tell about your activity and your functions, witness, as army medical inspector?

A. To explain the contrast between the entirity of the army physician and the army medical inspector, I must say something quite briefly about the army physician. In the field he had a small staff. His sotivity was limited

entirely to taking core of the figh ing troops and was directed to a large extest by military viewpoints. In contrast to this the activity of the cray medical inspector was more of a ministerial nature, I should like to say, an for as it did not refer to the practical care of the sick and the wounded in histitals at home and as far as it did not refer to the medical care of the truops of the replacement army at home. The activity of the army physician required quick decisions and it was free of all ballest. The army medical inspector had to deal with big problems. He had to ereate the foundation for everything which the troops needed in the field and at hade. Consequently, the army medical inspector had a big staff. He had to deal with the personal date of all medical officers, with organizational questions, with questions of science and the care of health, with supplying the injured and wounded, with the medical care of the prisoners of war, with all the volunteer medical helm, and with all the transport system for the sick and wounded as far as it was carried on on land. The army medic-" inspector was also compelled to maintain numerous connections and contects with other authorities or institutes or organizations at hose, which were absolutely necessary in carrying out his daties.

DR. NATE: Wr. President, Mr. Mchancy has already pointed this out. I have a work of the defendant Handloser, HA-29, Document Book 1, page 48. This work contains the sphere of work and the destine of the heads of the medical service of the army and the Mehrancht. I submitted this work in order when examining the witness on the stend to save time and also to help you by a detailed exposition to judge the functions of the defendant as Heareserst, as any medical imprector, and as chief of the Wehrmacht medical service, as well as the methods of work in these agencies. Even if the defendant is not indicated as Heareserst, as emp physician, he held this position and this activity took a great deal of his time and his efforts and therefore I ask that these parts of this document also be considered because only through their can you gain a true picture of the total activity of Professor Handloser, a knowledge which is important in judging the further questions as to what extent he had apportunities to learn of certain things or not. I ask that

this document be accepted as Exhibit 4.

NR. MC HANKY: The prosecution as with the preceding document will hake an objection, with the understanding that the general statement of the witness which he has just given about his functions as army medical inspector, will suffice and that no more questions be but to him. I would ask, if that is satisfactory to Dr. Malto, that he now put a general question to him about his activities as chief of the medical services of the Wehrmacht and let him answer that and then admit the affidavit because it also Meals with his activities as chief of the medical services of the Wehrmacht.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for the defendant is referring to Document HA-29 on page 46, Handloser Document Book 1. Is that correct?

DR. NALTE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: The document may be schitted in evidence. That will be Handloser Exhibit 4.

Q. As to your function as chief of the Webroncht medical services, we must spend a little more time. For the statement which you must dake, I will have shown to you Decement NO-080 of the prosecution, Exhibit 5 of the cross-cution, in Document Book 1, page 10; else Presecution Document NO-227, Exhibit 5 of Document Book 1, page 18.

11 Feb 47-N-....-12-1-Cook-(aK)

You will also receive your liagram, to which you have sworn, on the Medical Service of the Mehrmacht. Before you explain your functions as Chief of the Mehrmacht Medical Service I must point out to you that the Prosecution alleges that in your capacity as Chief of the Mehrmacht medical Service you had authority and supervision of the Medical Services of all three branches of the Mehrmacht. This is quoted from the speech of G....ral Taylor, page 61 of the Transcript. General Taylor also says since the SS developed to an important part of the German armed Forces, Handloser's supervision also applied to the defendant, Genzken, Chief of the Medical Service of the Weffen SS.

Purthermore, the Prosocution in a document which is not an exhibit, but which was given to the Tribunal, it says: Basic facts about the German State woulth System, the Prosecution says the following about this:

"By a decree of 1942 Hitler appointed defendant Handloser, Chief of the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht. In this expacity he coordinated and supervised the activity on the Health Services of all three branches of the Wehrmacht, as well as of the Waffen SS. In this way he became the Supreme Health Officer in the military field as Contiwas in the civilian sector."

Now, will you please comment on these decrees here, the decree of 1942, and the decree of 1944?

the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht is concerned, Professor.

Brandt has shready testified. I can only confirm his statements and I should like to repeat briefly that the occasion for the creation of the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service was the

11 Feb 47-M-MAA-12-1-Cook-(AK)

onergoncy in the winter of 1941-1942. The decree of 1942:

In this decree I must emphasize the introductory sentence,

which reads; "The utilization of personnel and material in

the field of the Medical and Health matters takes a coordinat
od and planned direction."

Under No. 1 it is also said: "That the Army Medical Inspectorate as Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Services is coordinating all tasks common to the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht." and so forth.

11 Feb 47-M-Nau-12-8-Cook-(AK)

The emphasis was definitely on the personnel and material tasks, and for the sake of completeness one should really have added that in addition to personnel and material questions, there was above all the just distribution of the accommodations for the wounded and sick. This is no doubt included in the concept of material in consection with this decree.

I should also like to emphasize that I did not partioipate in its finel composition. That was done by the OK! and many things were changed from the draft which I had submitted. Thus, for example, I had not requested that I shoul! have a Chief of Staff from the Luftwiffs and an associate from the Mary. My application was that the pray Medical Inspector will be given the trake of a Chief of the Whrancht Medical Services, his working start for the army medical Inspectorate. It did not is no any good to have this Chief of Staff and this man from the Mavy who were in no position when the tasks of all parts of the " ... cht were coordinated to do may comprehensive work here. In effect, they primarily mide the work more difficult since there were thus two Chiefa of Staff, one from the army Medical Inspectorate and one of the Chief of the Wohrmacht Medical Service. On the side of the army Medical Inspectorate there were all of thum workers. On the side of the Chief of the Wahrmacht, Medical Bervice or his Chief of Staff there was only one person, a medical officer from the Navy. I emphasize this because it forms the basis for further considerations. Now, if it is a question of which work the new chief of the Vohrmacht dedica. Service atticked first, this developed from two points of view, first, urgency, and second, the point of least resistance. One must understand that it is very difficult with a newly created

11 Fob 47-k-km-12-3-Cock-(AK)

agency to incorporate one's self into the activities of the old branches of the Vehrmacht. Consequently, the first things that were undertaken are work dealing with personnel and the domnitment of personnel.

The winter of 1941 - 1942 was not only the occasion but also a very good example. The material work, then was the field where there was the least difficulty and least opposition to be \_ octed, but in this field too, as can be proved, it took one year until we had more or less accomplished what had be demanded of the Chief of the behrmacht Medical Service.

I must at this point describe the relation with the various branches of the Michraubt. I shall skip the Waffen SS, bucause it was not very important. We have heard that in the year 1942 when the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service was established the haffun 55 had about four divisions. At the end of the war it had thirty or thirty-six and since the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service dealt only with the front divisions, that is the four divisions of the Waffen SS, this had no important role in the fr we-work of the whole thing, but it was soon shown that the laffen SS insisted strictly the the Chief of the Wohrmnent Medical Service was competent only concerning those divisions of the Laffen SS which were consitted to the Lehrnacht. They refused any interference beyond those divisions. Nothing was changed in this relationship when the number of divisions of the Woffen as increased in the course of the years. What was the greatest burden to the Chief of the Lehrmacht Medical Service in the following time was the circumstance that the haffen SS always approached the Chief of the Webrescht Medical Service with demands. This referre primarily to doctors, but also dentists and other personnel. The Chief of the Nohrmacht Madical Service was in no position to decide whether he should let them move their personnel or not. The demand was made with the explanation that the Fuehrer has ordered so and so many divisions to be set up, and his at the same time issued the order that the Chief of the Webrancht Medical Service is to make the necessary personnel symitable.

THE PRESIDENT: It is time for the Court to recess.

The Tritunal will recess until one-thirty o'clock.

(A rucess was taken until 1330 hours)

11 Feb-A-13-1-PEF-Gross (FL) Court No. I CORRECTED COPT AFTERNOON SESSION (The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 11 February 1947.) THE LINESHAL: The Tribural is again in session. THE PRESIDENT: Defore proceeding with the evidence, counsel, the Tribural desires to read a statement, an amendment to Rules of Procedure for Military Tribunal I, 10 February 1947. "In all cases where persons are detained in the Murnberg jail and who have been approved by Military Tribunal as witnesses for the defense, it is hereby ordered that after the date of such approval by Military Tribural the following procedure shall be followed in the interview or interrogation of such witness or witnesses by either counsel for Prosecution or Defense: 1. Counsel desiring to interview such witness shall petition the Tribunal in writing, stating in general the scope and subject satter of much interview. 2. The Tribural shall theroupon appoint an impartial commissioner to represent Tribunal at such interview to the end that it shall be orderly, proper, and judicial in character and within the scope of the petition filed and to the further end that there shall be no attempt to barrass, intimidate, or improperly influence the witness in giving his answer. 3. Whenever such a witness is being interviewed or interrogated in the presence of such commissioner by counsel for either side, counsel for the other side shall not be entitled to be present. 4. If in the course of such inturview it shall appear to such commissioner that the proper scope of such interview, as set forth in the putition therefore, is being exceeded by the counted conducting such intorview or that it is in any other manner being improperly conducted, taid commissioner shall on behalf of the Tribural stop said interview. 5. In such event said commissioner shall report in writing to the - 2833 -

11 Feb-A-PRP-13-1-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

Tribunal the substantial and significant facts in relation to such interview and his reasons for having stopped the same.

6. Counsel conducting such interview may, if he so desires, promptly bring before the Tribunal in writing, after giving notice to opposing counsel, his espections, if any, to the action of the commissioner, whereupon the residing judge of the Tribunal shall either confirm the action of the 11 Feb-A-13-2-FVP-Gross (FL) Court No. I commissioner or direct the interview of the witness to proceed with such directions or limitations as he may order. 7. In any appeal to the Tribunal from such act of the commissioner, coursel so accombing shall state the name of the witness, the name of the defundant whom he represents, and the title of the cause in which . he is acting as counsel. 8. The above procedure shall not be interpreted as in effect in cases, a) where the witness or prospective witness has been procured by the Prosecution but has not been approved by the Tribunal as a witness for the defense, or b) where the witness for the defense has been procured as such by the defense and voluntarily appears without being confined in the Nurnberg jail." The Tribural understands that this procedure has been proviously discussed with counsel for the Presecution and with counsel for the Doformer and has been found satisfactory to both counsels. I will file this original with the Secretary General to be noted in the record. Translations will be furnished defense counsel. I will also file with the Secretary General the dector's statement that the describent Oberhauser should be excused an account of illness. Counsel may proceed with examination of the witness. DR. MILTER Mr. President, with reference to the ruling of the High Tribural which was just read, I should like to ask that defense counsel be permitted to make a statement after having read this rulir . The translation was not such that we can at the moment survey the far reaching effects of that ruling. I hope that the High Tribunal will permit us to present eventual considerations which would be in the interest of the proceedings, if such suggestion can be made. THE RESIDENT: Compal for defense may make any presentation to the Tribunal that they may desire in connection with this ruling. Anything they may present will be considered by the Tribunal. - 2834 -

11 Feb-A-PNP-13-2-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

IR. MINI I thank you very much.

SIEGFRIED HANDLOSER - Resumed
DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY DR. NELTE:

Q .- Witness, when unding the morning session you were speaking about the

11 Fub-A-PNP-13-3-Gross (FL)

relationship of the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Service to the Waffen-35. Would you please continue that part of your statement?

A.- I was saying before that the ruling regarding personnel in the Waffen-SS was most in reference to distribution of personnel to other parts of the Armed Forces. With reference to the settlement regarding material the matter was such; the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Service and a control agency. This central agency was at the main medical office. This department and the task to cover the entire med of medical equipment for the Armed Forces and order it from a central agency and distribute it according to the strength of the respective Wehrmacht branch.

In the case of the Waffen SS difficulties resulted in that commotion. It was because only a small part of the Waffen SS was subordinated to the Wehrmacht, while other parts, and that includes the police which belonged to the Heich Physician SS, had nothing whatever to do with the Webrancht. Thoroas it was possible with the Army, Navy and Air Force to control their needs exactly and ustablish it, such a procedure was not possible in the case of the SS, that is the Roichsartt SS. The Reichsargt SS not only had to cover meeds for the Waffen SS but also for other parts of the SS, including the police. At no time, not even 1944, Was it possible to exercise an exact control and an exact distribution in connection with the SS. The SS quite clearly refused any such interferonce and oven in the year of 1944 rejected making reports to the Chief of the Wohrmacht Lodical Offices about the state of their equipment. They gave as the reason that the Reichsfushrer SS had an authority from the Fuchrer, according to which his equipment and his orders were only a matter for the Reichsfuchrer SS to decide. In this manner there was no control oxureised with reference to the kaffen SS and there was no such task in connection with autorial distribution and stooring of such distribution.

I now come to the Mavy.

Q.- Bufore you continue, I should like to speak, Mr. President, about the relationship of the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Services to the Waffen SS and in that connection I should like to submit two affidavits. One affidavit made by the co-defendant, Professor Dr. Progensky, which is Document MA 17 in Document Book 2, page 28, and furthermore the affidavit of the defendant Fr. Genekan as Document MA 16 in Document Book 2, page 27. Both those affidavits are dealing with the relationship of the Chief of the Armed Forces Modical services to the laften SS and I think it is necessary to submit these affidavits in that connection since this will simplify the procedure and will confirm

11 Fob-A-ERM-Lu-1-Losser (FL)
Court No. I

it to the complexes and thereby the proceedings will be shortened. I ask for permission to offer these affidavits as Exhibits 5 and 6 to the High Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Which affidavit does counsel offer as Exhibit 57 DR. NELTE: HA 17, Document Book No. 2, page 28. Exhibit 6 will be

11 Fcb-A-ERM-14-2-Lessor (FL)
Court No. I

Document HA 16 in Document Book No. 2, page 27.

Mr. McHANEY: If the Tribural please, it seems to the prosecution that instead of shortening the case of the defendant Handloser, it is apt to prolong it considerably by this procedure of bringing in all of the evidence while the witness Handloser is on the stand and calling for his comment on each affiliation, one from Brugowsky and one from Genzken, core employed have here two affiliation, one from Brugowsky and one from Genzken, core employed the relationship of the Chief of the indical Services of the Mahrmacht to the Walfun SS. I don't understand the order of proof in bringing these documents, which are quite unrelated to the testimony of this witness, into the proceeding while he is testifying. I don't have any perticular objection to the adminsibility of the documents themselves. It is more a quantion of order of proof. I think we would get along much faster if he would proceed to interrogate the sitness, release him for cross-examination, and then put in his other documents.

DR. NELTE: I bollove that Mr. McHanov is right and that it is a question of the expediency of the saturation of evidence. I think it would be more expedient if a certain complex of questions is concluded while submitting evidence. I don't think it is advisable to let the defendent speak first and then submit affidavits when submitting documents. I think that the Tribunal will gain a much better picture about this cortain complex of questions when it at the same time takes judicial notice of the documents rather than after the examination of the defendant is concluded. I think this is a question of expediency and I think that the procedure as I adopt it is in accordance with expediency.

Mr. McHANEY: I would ask the Tribunal to request Dr. Nolte to state whether or not be now plans to read those affidavits into the record during the course of the interrogation of this witness and, further, whether he intends to direct any questions to the witness Handlosor, based upon matters which are stated in these affidavits.

11 Feb-A-EHM-16-2-Lesser (FL)
Court No. I

Dr. NELTS: I morely wanted to submit and present those affidavits and should like to suphasize the most assential points and then leave it to the prosecution to put any questions they may desire in connection with that

II Feb-A-EHM-14-3-Lessor (FL)
Court No. I

dociment.

THE PRESTURNT: Counsel for defendant may proceed according to the plan which he was outlined, for the present at least.

BY IR. NELTE:

Exhibit 5 is an efficient of the co-defendant, Professor Magazaky.

It refers to the court which be himself banded to the presecution and which was scholated to the High Tribunal, He says:

"In the parcollet knows as 'Braic Facts about the Gergen State Health System' which was substitud to the Tribunal by the Prosecution it appears as if the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, Professor Handloser, and directed and supervised the Medical Service of the Waffen SS.

"I have to state the following: Basically and generally, the Medical Service of the Waffen SS was not subordinate to the Chief of the Wehrsacht Medical Service. There was only limited supervision by him, which extended only to the Medical Service of the front divisions of the Waffen SS when in action with the Wearsacht. The Waffen SS trained and obtained the necessary medical personnel independently. The Chief of the Mehrmacht Medical Service did not have any methority over the medical personnel. Only units of the Waffen SS in actual combet service were subordinated to the Wehrmacht. Therefore, this subordination was only temporary and within the framework of the consequent necessities.

"As soon as the units of the Waffen SS were withdrawn from the combat area, the temperary subordination and supervision ceased, just as it did when wounded or sick SS men were transferred to rear scholons. The Waffen for SS saw to it that its men were sent to its own hospitals. The Waffen SS was independent in establishing new units, as far as medical supplies were concerned, just as in the case of personnel. The Chief of the Wehrnscht Medical Service did not have any pathority over this.

"The connections of the Chief of the Webrancht Medical Service to the Edical Service of the Waffen SS were therefore very limited. There 11 Feb-A-EES-14-3-Lesser (FL)
Court No. I

was no official connection with the medical research institutes of the Waffen SS and the SS.

11 Feb-A-3Mi-14-4-Lesser (FL)
Court No. I

"The Institute for Typhus and Virus Research at Bechemnald, which was subordirated to me, did not have any official connection with the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service or the Army Medical Inspectorate. It was neither subordinated to, nor was there any supervision by, the Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service."

Dr. Genskon, in Exhibit 6, confirmed this statement in its entirety as being correct and true.

Now, Professor, I ask you to continue describing the relationship of the Chief of the Mchrmacht Medical Service to the various branches of the Armed forces.

11 Feb-A-FJC-15-1-Mechan (FL) Court No. I of medical officers who were in the Navy.

A .- I now come to the Navy. The Navy was an armed unit with special tasks and thoy always led a special existence. Even in peace time there were only limited connections between the Army and Navy. As closed as the Mavy always was, it continued to be that way toward the Chief of the Webrancht Medical Service. It strictly observed its jurisdiction and whomever it was possible, they rejected, throught their Supremp Commander, any interferrence or insight of the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Service, giving the reason that he was only there for the purpose of coordinating mutual tasks, but not in order to interfere with the special tanks and special sphere of the Navy.

Concerning the number of medical officers, the Chief of the Webreacht Modical Service was fully aware that he could not decree the number

The Many during this war, in addition to their tasks at sea, that is within the so-called floating units, and a number of tasks on land, which it had to take over; to that one has to count the anti-aircraft system of the entire western coast starting from Norwey down to Spain.

The mode of the Navy on modical equipment materially differed to such an extent from the equipment that was needed by the Army that even here a coordination was hardly possible; that meant that the possibility of exercising influence by the Chiof of the Webrancht Medical Service on the Mavy resained very small.

I now come to the Air Porce......

Q .- I should like to interrupt you here. You are speaking about the actual facts, which made it impossible to coordinate mutual tanks of the Navy and the other Armed Forces Granches, but I do think the High Tribunal will be interested in knowing whether on the basis of that deeree of 1942, you had the authority to assume that authority whenever the occasion arose.

The Prosecution maintains on the basis of that decree of 1942 you

11 Feb-A-FJC-15-1-Meetan Court No. I

had the obligation to assume authority and exercise control and had you not done so, order circumstances, one could say that you neglected to be aware of your tasks and exercise supervisary powers in such a manner as your responsibilities should have required.

11 Feb-A-FJC-15-2-Mechan (FL) Court No. I

Now, will you say something about how the authority has to be interpreted on the basis of that decree; the first thing, of course, is the authority to issue orders?

A.- The Chief of the Welrmacht Medical Service, on the basis of the decree of 1942, did not in any passage receive the authority to issue orders and it was not expressed in any passage; just as little was it expressed that he had the authority or the duty to exercise supervisory functions. On the other hand, I interpreted the decree of 1942 as it was thought and that was on the basis of its formulation that in the field of exerciseand and adjustment of personnel and equipment, I had the duty to do everything possible for the purpose of salvaging in order to make a just coordination; that is for the purpose of saving material and equipment. That did not present any difficulties with the Army, Novy and Air Forces and I concluded that the introductionary words of the decree of 1942; namely, the leading of personnel and material direction into the various branches of the armed forces had to be interpreted in such a way as I did.

The armed forces branches would never have given the right to the Chief of the Armed Forces Medical Service to exercise any control in their medical service or any supervision. This was never expressed. It was never said that this decree could be interpreted as an authority to issue orders.

In addition, I should like to state quite basically that it was not at all intended to completely leave out the various medical services of the armed forces brunches or to interfere in their independent rights. That actually mover took place. Just as little as it was never intended to create such a mituation when introducing the Commissioner General and thereby out down the jurisdiction of the Chief of the Medical Service of the Armed Forces.

C .- Did you at any time inspect an institute of the Navy; and you know there was such an institute in Tuebingen?

11 Feb-A-FJC-15-2-Mochan (FL)
Court No. I

A.- In Thobingen there was the Medical Academy of the Navy. I never inspected it; I haver was even there.

G.- Now, will you please continue and speak about the relationship of the Chief of the Wedical Service of the Armed Forces with the Luft-waffe?

A .- The Air Force was a young army. At the head of the Air Force was

Gosring. The Air Force when it was first created could, with right, exploit everything that was available and Goering did everything to further that. In connection with the Luftwaffe, we granted that right to the Luftwalfe and by saying we, I mean the Army. There was no one in the Army who was not of the opinion that the Air Force had to be equippud batter than the army in every sphere. In peace time and during the building an of the Luftwaffe, that was quite understandable, but after the Army had to hear the main burden during the war and that quite obviously appeared to be possible; that this difference in equipment could not be mainted nod. Therefore, it was quite clear to the Air Force that a Chief of the Arand Forces Medical Service could have not other task, that he interfered with the medical equipment of the Air Force in favor of the Army. It is quite understandable that the Air Porce defended itnelf against that procedure as well and as long as it could, but because of the events in the winter of 1941 and 1942 the relationship of the position of the various armies had become so obvious and the Air Force was in a position to transfer about one hundred of their medical officers to the army. That made it clear that further interference with the Luftwaffe would be possible. As Chief of the !odical Services of the Armed Forces, handled this matter in such a way and we came to some agreement in that connection.

Infimation and the stoppage of the supply channels to the Luftwaffe made no difficulties whatsoever, what rightly was considered by the Luftwaffe as their property were the tasks and the work which was in connection with special affairs of the air forces and in connection with our field, awistion medicine. I, and the former medical chief of the air force, schroeder, mover had any doubt that aviation medicine and its remearch was a special sphere which could not form within the coordination of other tasks and could not be coordinated by the Chief of the Arand Forces Medical Service. The same was true in the case of medical research work of the Navy, which often had to specialize in hygenic and special medical research with reference to things poculiar to the Navy. I refer to U-Boat Hygione, and whatever else may be in connection with the service of the Newy at see. There was never any quarrel about that, because from the very beginning there was a clear differentiation made.

Q.- Does that conclude your description and report with reference to your jurisdiction on the basis of the decree of 1942?

A.- I have yet to mention the Army. In the case of the Army, the relationships were very simple, since at the same time I was at the head of the Medical Service of the Army. All armed forces branches know exactly that the Army could not give any of their bases away, but rather that they had to receive some more, and I should like to say semething in that connection in order to clarify the situation. At some point the prosecution put the question to a witness, "how the numerical relationship between the Army and the other armed forces branches were, whether air force and Navy together were larger than the Army" and for that reason I should like to speak about these numbers in round figures. I, as Army Medical Inspector, that is during the war years of 1942 to 1944, in agreement with OKI, had to deal with the standart figure of the Army of 10 million, and that was the basis on which I planned. The strength of the Air

11 Feb-A-ATD-16-1-Maloy (FL)
Court No. I

Force amounted at its peak to around two million. That was a fifth of the strongth of the Army. The Navy probably never exceeded one-half or one-fourth of a million. From that one can see clearly that the Air Force in relationship to the Army was one-fourth to three-fourths, — rather one-fifth to four-fifths.

11 Feb-4-AFD-16-2-Maloy (FL) Court No. I Q .- May I now summarize your jurisdiction on the basis of the decros of 1942, first with reference to the Wehrmach Branch and the Waffen SS, on the basis of the decree of 1942 as Chief of the Medical Services, you had not authority, is that correct? A .- You, that is correct. Q .- As far as a coordination of materi spheres of work was striven for you and resched, you had a technical right of giving directions and exercising controls, is that correct? A .- Too. Q .- Thirdly, the research system did not belong to this sphere, that is Figure 1 of the decree of 1942? A.- No, not the research system, only medical measures which could be adapted to a coordination. If I can give you an example, the common vaccimations which and to be carried through on the Army. That is the bust value available for the recessity of such a coordination. For in special parts of the front, where for i tamee the Army, Nevy and Air Force were working along side of one another, and were committed together the type of immodulation as well as the time of innoculation, or a provention, for instance in the case of calaria, differs in the case of all the three Mehrancht Seamers. Of course, that was such a situation which could not last. Q .- It is clear trut the presecution aid not consider that sphere of research system, and I am referring to what you have just mentioned which was occreintion in reference to immoculation with provon vaccines. Fourth, I went to say comothing regarding other medical : rvice of the Waffen SS; there was only a technical right of giving directives for troops who were located at the front, and during the time of combat, is that correct? A. - Hits reference to from territory, there was clearly a technical subordination. One has to imagine that those divisions were first - 2844 -

11 Pub-A-ATD-16-2-Maloy (FL) Court No. I

Subordinated to the Corps Commander, the Corps Commander to the Army Commander, the Army Commander to the Troop Commander and the Troop Commander to the Supress Commander of the Army. In the same way the subordination of a Division Physician of such a system was carried through, that is Corps Army Physician, Troop

11 Feb-A-AID-16-3-15-loy (FL) Court No. I Army Physician, Army Physician and Army Medical Inspector. There was a very clear subspatiention for the medical service of these divisions. But not for the endical service as such, no. Q .- My not? A- Because those divisions could not deal with medical service or rether medical affairs, that is research, assignment, and so forth. Q .- Now -Mr. NeBalwr: If the Tribural, please, I think it would be helpful if the interpreter would use the German word Sanitactsdienst as distinguished form Assitestaweson. I think that is the distinction the witnoss is trying to denote, and when translated into Englis. It is extremaly difficult to follow. I think if he would use the words Sanitactadionst and Sanitantsmoson, which the witness moos, in drawing this distinction, I think it might be easier to follow. THE TITTLE TER: I thank you, Mr. Mellancy, for this suggestion.

LR. MELTER It is one of the most as cential points to deline the diffurence between Sanitactedienet and Sanitacteweeen. We will see that during the course of the further presentation of evidence.

G.- Now, I should like you to look at the decree of August 1944, and the official directive dated the same day -

DR. HELT : ir. President, you have eath of these documents in your possession.

Q. (Continued) - build you please state what difference there was between the energy of 1944 and the jurisdiction which you received there and the degree of 1942, and the tasks actionwere then in adod for you? In the decree of the year of 1944, it says "In extension of my decree or 1942."

in- In the decree of 1944 it has to be pointed out that here in hadition to the decree of 1942, it is being expressed that we are concerned with a stronger ability to gather strongth in the sphere of adical

11 Feb-4-470-16-3-Maloy (FL) Court No. I

personnel, and here one would have to add the word "stil stronger," and if you add these words and take note of them you will understand what is being meant. The difference

11 Feb-A-ATD-16-4-Maloy (FL)
Court No. I

as regards to 1942 are very clear and obvious, and authority to issue orders being given, which however, confines itself and limits itself to a technical muthority to issue orders to the medical chiefs of the Armed Forces Branches, with reference to the Waffen SS and other units, as far as it deals with their activity within the armed forces.

Il Feb-A-ESH-17-1-Minabuck (FL)

Court No. I

Q.- In order to enable the Tribunal to understand better, would you state what difference there is between authority to issue orders, as such and becomical authority or authority to issue orders in toch-

nical matters?

A.— An authority to issue orders as such presupposes a relationship of superior and subordinate; that is to say, that anyone who has a to-tal authority to give orders must be a superior. Then, we further have to differentiate between a total authority to issue orders and a limited

authority as it is here, limited to technical matters.

Here this authority is technically limited to the Sanitaetsdienst, and one may uncorstand it better if I say that a medical officer always had two superiors; one allitary superior that was his divisional commander, his regimental commander, his commander or supreme commander, and on the other rand to had a technical superior that was his army physician, division physician or regimental physician. An authority to issue orders in technical matters it has to be understood that the chief of the armed forces medical service in technical matters could give an order to the chief of the codical services of the Luftwaffe, but you have to add, without his becoming a superior on the shrength of that authority.

I shall later come back to this superiority relationship. The most thing was the separation of the personnel union between ' i chief of the armed forces medical service and the armed forces medical insmedtor; that is, the creation of independence of the office of the chief of the armed forces medical services and the appointment of a new medical inspector of the army and army physicisms.

In connection with that a new work: staff was created belonging to the chief of the armed forces societal services. The third new thing created was the notation in the Fuchrer order to the effect that the effect that the efficial directive by General Editel had the approval of the Publicer; that is to say, a smetion by the Fushrer.

11 Feb-A-ESM-17-1-Nirabuck (FL)

11 Feb-A-EBH-17-2-Ninabuck (FL) Court No. I corned? A .- The chief of the medic I services of the armed forces had, in the year of 1942, one medical officer of the Air Force, one medical officer of the Mavy, one registration official, and a few clarks. The staff of the chief of the medical services of 1744 comprised about thirty medical officers belonging to all the armed lorges branches, and about noventy other employees. Q.- Did Wint include officers of the Waifon SS? A.- No, they were officers of the Ar y Air Force and Navy. A suggestion of mine that a number of SS physicians were to be included into that staff was rejected by the haffen SS. Q .- May did you want to include addical officers of the Waffen SS in your stair? A.- I approvided before that I had so possibility to gain any inmight into the personnel and material situation of the SS, and I was of the opinion that in this manner I would succeed in receiving such an instruct. Then my suggestion was rejected this channel, of course, was blackud. Q.- On the strength of the decree of 1944 did on extension with roforence to the Waffun SS arise? A .- No. In no way at all. The namorical relationship of the SS divisions who were consisted in the Webranest and become different, I should merely like to correct a figure that I mentioned before, proviously montioned the year of 1941 when special, about divisions of the Weifer SS, sharens I should have spoken about 1942. In the year of 1942 there were mine divisions of the Waffer 35 at the Eastern front, but with the decree of 1944 nothing was changed in my relationship towards the Jaffen SS compered to the year of 1942. DR. Mable: President, in that connection I refer to the official footness in the official directives intended for the chief of the - 2848 -

11 Feb-A-EBH-17-2-Ninebuck (FL) Court No. I

armed forces medical service, dated the th of August 1944, which is before you.

Q.- In this footnote it says in this sense the Armed Forces, the Army Air Force, Many as well as the units of the Waffen SS subordinated to

11 Peb-A-SEH-17-3-Ninebuck (FL)
Court No. 1

the Webrescht and the organizations and units included within the framework of the Webrescht; that is to say, this relationship of subordination just as before referred to that part of the baffer SS and test part of the medical service of the Waffer SS that was consisted at the front?

A.- You.

THE PRESIDENT: When counsel refers to an exhibit if he would give the number of the exhibit for the record it would make retained much ensier.

DR. VELTE: This was already centioned by me before, since we were dealing with an exhibit of the prospection.

THE PRESENCE Is I understand that, but if the number of the proposedtion exhibit were included in the record together with counsel's statement it would make fature reference to that a libit some other time nors convenient. Someout need not go back and alto these numbers, but in the future when attention is called to an exhibit already in evidence, if the number of the exhibit sould be mentioned it would facilitate examination of the record later.

Book Number 1 of the Presecution, page 16, and Exhibit 6.

Q.- Jon't you planed continue? Extensive nuthorities and extensive tasks were claused. Therein were they extended? The staff that you mentioned was intended to be your working staff, intended for larger functions what were tiese larger functions which you were to assume from that period on?

A.- Those interpretations are not built correct. One must not forgot that up to that time the working staff of the chief of the undied service of the armed forces was given by the become from the Army Inspectorera with reference to the enterial and technical tasks that had to be forfered. The Army Medical Inspectorate was an office with over two bundard people. Among them I am sure there were fifty or sixty solical offi-

11 Feb-A-39H-17-3-Ninabuck (FL) Court No. I

cers. After the regulation of the year of 1944 that meant a separation of the chief of the armed forces medical services with the army inspectorate, the personnel

11 Feb-A-EDS-17-4-Kinebuck (FL)
Court No. I

that was at the disposal up to that time had be be substituted by now personnel of necessity. That was a necessity, automatice necessity, automatic necessity, in order to create an extensive independent staff of one's own.

Q .- When was this new decree put into effect?

A.- It came into effect with the 1st of September 1944, and I think the month of september 1944 passed before the individual medical officers arrived in Berlin from the various Wehrmacht branches, until their housing was settled, most of all until the female clerks had been employed. One could well say that we started to work on the 1st of October.

11 Feb-A-LNG-19-1-Futty (FL) Court No. I

A.- On the basis of my newly-given authority of issuing orders,
I ordered at first that all behreacht branches and the Waffen SS give me
an exact report about their personal and material strength at that time,
Secondly, I started to see that the central departments who up to that
point were still working within the framework of the Army Inspectorate
were to be teken over and included in the stelf of the Chief of the Armed Fraces Sedical Service. Furthermore, the appeare of behreacht care and
welfare was taken over from the Army Sedical Inspectorate, then the Department of Voluntary Care for the Sick and basically the problem of
care for prisoners of wer. This, of course, extended this sphere of work
quite considerably. Furthermore, there was introduced, and this was impartent for the Military services, a central exploitation of the mick reports which as a free the various semmacht branches.

Q.- I see under III under this Service Regulation 3 that as Chief of the Medical Service of the Mehrmacht you had the right to inspect contain units. Incld you please say something about this right of inspection or right of control that you started to assume from the let of September 1944?

A .- This right of inspection is limited to the Sanitaotediumet Nodical Service, to the Medical Unit, among others, roughly speaking, nospitals, and medical troops and institutions of the Arm I Forces, that is to say, the various Arand Force Branches. In addition to this limitation, the Chief of the webreacht of the ledical Service had the colipation to report the results of such inspections to the various branches of the Armed Perces, or rather their Companders, And there is the following to say in this connection: In my apacity as Medical Inspector of the Aray, with the Army, I had a unlimited right of inspection. Thenever I did not mant to, there was no accessity for me to inform any one about any intended inspection tour. During the years of my office, I also maintained the point of view that any inspection could only serve a purpose if it came unexpectedly as possible, and that it would be conobstely nonsonsical if days before an intended inspection all sorts of preparations were being made so that the man who is inspection would be satisfied. Ath this limitation, namely, that the OKW was to be informod, the main wroose of such an inspection was lost. For hose inspectlong that were carried through with the Chief of the Wehr meht Medical. Service could never serve the purpose of controlling the medical works of hospitals for that was the right and the duty of the Medical Chinfs and their subordinated agencies. The purpose would have been to establish haw the hospitals of one armed force are equipped with material and porsonnel in relation to the hospitals of cother Armed Force Bramch. Whether this purpose could have been fulvilled with such an inspection tour was bighly quosiionable. For example, I womed to emphasize particularly that the Chief of the Mehrmacht Edical Service did not have the right to appear as a controlling person at any branch of the Arad Forces; I at sure that the Chief of any such breach would have rejected this interforence, rightly so.

4.- Then, it necesars that most of your authority was in your capaci-

11 Feb-A-MAA-19-1-Board (FL) Court No. I

ty as Modical Inspector of the Army?

A .- That is true, Generaloberst From , who at that time was my superior in the whole army, and to whom I was subordinated as Army Medical Inspector, told me when the question became acute. When preparations were made in the Spring of 1944, he said I would receive a high sounding name, but I would remain a poor man, and that is actually what happoints.

4m, what the duties of the Medical Chief of the \_hrmacht will be: "A)
In the medical schentific field uniform casures in the field of health guidance, research, and the combating of epidemics. " hould you please state what can be understood in that connection under your jurisdiction generally? We shall come to the research question later.

A.- Already under the old behrascht Medical Chief of 1942, and then strengthened by the Regulation of 1944, the mutual tasks in the field of Medith Lucdership, and that does not only seen innoculations, but that also includes directives and regulations for Mysione with units, now regulations about troop Mysione, the introduction of mutual vaccines, the discussion of questions whether it would be necessary to all with the question of Influenza, and the combabing of such a discuss, and similar questions were dealt with.

Force was senducting resource, that is to say, medical officers of the Air Force were dealing with that problem. On the basis of your authority, would you have had the colligation to interfere and to say that you must not deal with Typhus research and Typhus experiments; that is something that is build more inst d; that is being done in Krakow, for instance, Professor Syer is norling on that or commbody also is working on it. Can your jurisdiction of understood in that an angust?

A.- Wellier my furiadiction can be arderatood in that manner nor would I have an occasion to do it nor would I have thought it correct to do it.

11 Feb-A-LNG-19-in-Board (FL) Court No. I

NUMBER SERVING: I want to direct a question to the witness.
BY NUMBER SERVING:

Were being conducted under the circumstances Doctor Nelte has talked about, upon non-German nationals in concentration camps without their consent, and as a consequence secres of them were dicing from the medical experiments. Louis that change your namer to the question?

A .- If I had learned that which you have just described, the first quowtion would have been in what aphere it was done, and by wham it was done, and, wehn report which would mayo reached as as Chief of The Armod Foreis Edical Service, I would have transmitted, by official channots to the Lieffert Chief who was responsible for the persons concernd in the first elect is, ather the Army, lavy, or Air Force. And when truncmitting this report, I would have asked him to supply me with information, naking him how the matter mood, whether it was serrect or whother no most anything about it. This would have anded one obligation on my part. The account obligation waich would have resulted, but only after I realized a confirmation from the medical Chief consumed, would have been a report to my military superior; that would have been the Chief of ON/. And, no doubt I would have made a report to the Commissioner General because in the Service Regulations it said that he is to be informed about table outlore; and by that I also understand those important matters. As Shoul of the chrescat Medical Service I had no authority toward the individual medical officers I would have had to turn to the medical chiuse of the various bresshus of the Armed Forces. The situation would at we been clear in relation to the Weffen SS; namely, that any such report cout such happenings would have been reported to the Medical Chief of the haffen SS. In the latter case, however, there would nowe been a difference; namely, that this would have been a catter outside the somer "I the knormacht, and in that commetion this world not

11 Feb-A-L2C-19-la-Beard (FL)
Court No. 1

wave been an official duty on my part, hat merely a report to which I would have thought I was obligated to make.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will take a recess.

11 Feb-A-LJG-20-1-91: kley (FL) Court No. I BY DE. NEWES:

THE URSHAL: The Tribural is again in session. DIRECT EXAMINATION, Rosumed.

Q .- Atmoss, every new regulation takes a cortain time before it because offsetive. The decree of the 7th of August, 1944, was to go into offest on the lat of September, 1944. Will you please tell me whether the new order as planned really went into operation before the collapse?

A .- . int had been planned could not be put into a real practical effect before the vollapse. The main reason for this was that the agencies with which one had to work became so difficult and the transportation conditions occur worse yory day so that the connection no longer existed which would have been meessary in order to put the intentions of the new regulation into effect. One must not forget that as early as at the und of 1944 and above all in January and Pubruary of 1745 the impresse agencies of the Cohresent and gradually been transferred way from Berlin in part to Theringia; and when sent from one by relirond to establish corthin contacts, are could count on being away for several days. Sat that Innounced not only to mo; that happened to all agencies, as can be proved.

Q. I up is it correct if I assume that your main activity from the point of view of the end from the point of view of subject inter full under the decree of 1942?

A .- You, one can may timet.

Q.- I have had a diagram, a chart handed to you, which is called "Medical Affairs of the Wehrmacht" and to which you have smorn. Will you plunes lask at the chart and tell us what the document number is?

A.- NO-222.

Q .- It is in Document Book I of the Prosecution. Do you have anything to say about this chart which bears your signature?

A.- You, I have something to my. First, I must explain the followire: The honoing of this chart is " opposent Medical Ser on (Statiantsdienet). Then r. Roop, who was interrogating me naked as about bids Wehrmant ... der L Service (Semitestedienst) he showed as a abstah which he had probably space, which contained the submancht and Civilian latters and the SS. I pointed out several errors and then I was given this sketch and I was to propare a pew one for the unreacht, that is, for the Chief of the West make indical Service and I did so. Now I sust resert in sonmetion with this sketch tint the square at the top above the Chief of the Wohrmacht Medical Service assigned to the Reich Commissioner, Profeesor Broadt, can or must give the impression that he was a superior of the Chief of the correct added Service. in was not. I considered it my duty to make that cher. In this connection I should like to say that a few days later I was shown a report of my career. I do not know who wrote it. I made at least fifteen to twenty corrections because it said a numbur of things which simply were not true. I recall that it said the Generel Commissioner, Professor Dr. Branct, was my military and addical superior. That may lave been at the end of this report of my career. In my corrections I crossed out the word "military", and, therefore, as I can reason 1. reed "cedien1 superior". In connection with my explanation 11 Fob-A-FJC-21-1-Cook (FL)
Court No. I

that this is more, if one should assume from this should that Strandt was my superior, I should also like to correct this, if it is true that the word "military" superior was organed out in the report of my career, but the word "madical" was left. I would assume that this report is in the Document

Book which commins all of the careers of all the defendants.

Q.- Then how would you describe your relationship with Professor Earl Brandt?

A.- I would explain that as has aircady been done here. According to the assignments is had coordinated between the Wehrmacht and the Givilian Sector, and that within the freeework of his duties, if it had been necessary, could have given so instructions, whother general directives or in a specific case. I have one sore thing to may about this emrt. I made toge notations which are unfortunitely hard to road. These notes arose from the two interrogations and some questions concurning the request to revere such a sketch. The Prosecutor has here referred to a note No. 5, which indicates a note comparing my typhus research instituto at Krakov, Whether, to what extent and in what way, there was correspondence between the Typhus Institute in Krakew and the Typhus Institute of the after SS I do not know. In any case if there was any correspondence it did not go through my hands. The Prosecutor pointed out in connection with this skutch that this note refers to a tun who has a bad conscioned in this connection. This stowns and buchese I was shown a specer, in which the research Institute at Krakow was listed under the Auranem and the Typhus Institute in Buchemeld was listed under the SS and a line had been drawn between the two. Thereupon, I crossed out this line with pencil, and said that was not right, there was no official compact on here. Then I was asked specifically whether they find naything to do with open other, and I answered as far as I know, "no". Bucause of this incident, the sketch with the connecting line and the specific question I added note No. 5, as coll as four other notes. This was done ofter a tirect question. I have nothing else to say about this.

C.- Now we come to another subject. You are held responsible for actions and emissions of persons for muon you bear the responsibility.

That is what the indictment says. In view of the various positions which

11 Feb-A-FJG-21-2-Cook (FL) Court No. I

you hold I must make it clear what group of persons is concorned for whom you must borr responsibility. Please tell me who were the heads of the agencies of the Army Medical Inspectorate and the Muhrascht Medical Service, if you were not present at the sent of your agency?

A .- The regulations on this subject state very clearly in the case of the

11 Feb-A-DJG-21-3-Cook (FL) Court No. I

Army Medical Inspector, as well as Chief of the Army Medical Service, the representative in all current business is the Chief of Staff. By "current business" we nown the normal official business, and in addition to the correspondence where are only personal matters of medical officers who are considerably older than the Chief of Staff and have higher and special positions or if fundamental questions came up of special importance, in which the Chief of Staff is not in a position to refer to his absent inspector and if he must be reached immediately, in such cases my chief would have approached the oldest medical officer in the field or at home, but that hardly happened.

Ourt No. I

Q.- It will be very important whether you had knowledge of certain anthers processly, including reports. Therefore, I must ask you what was the course of the business, what happened when the smill was received in the agencies in the serning? How was it handled, and how was it distributed?

A.- I shall take the Medical Inspectorate as an example. The procedure was the sale with the Chief of the Debrament Medical Service. The se-called "open mail", the ordinary sail, was sent to a contral office, the registry office, was opened by the registrar, and according to the contents was sent enter to the Chief of Staff or the heads of the individual departments. "Secret matters" were entered in a second book

dure was the sale with the Chief of the Lebrancht Medical Service. The so-called "open mail", the ordinary sail, was sent to a contral office, the registry office, was opened by the registry, and according to the contents was sent either to the Chief of Staff or the heads of the individual describents. "Secret matters" were entered in a special book and the procedure differed at different times, either all sent to the Chief of Staff or part to the Chief of Staff, and part to the meads of departments. For "Top Secret" exture there was a special register and these things were all sent to the Chief of Staff. These things, even the "Top Secret" things addressed to the Army Medical Inspectarate or representative - the Chief of Staff was empowered to open these. The only things he could not open was what was sent as "top opened" with my mane personally mithout the addition of the words "or representative in office". But these were extraordinary cases.

Q.- I am asking you this because I should like to know whother on principle your Chiefs of Staff know what was to seem to your attention or what did come to your attention.

A.- This one by will they had to know as I have just described the procedure. The only exceptions would be the few "top secret" letters sent to my personal address.

C.- Hoold it not be a possible exception to be made for things which the registrar sent directly to heads of departments?

A.- Tos, that is true but the mends of departments again and the obligation to work on those matters and, according to the ungener, to

11 Fob-A-JP-22-1-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

present thus to the Chief of Staff, either issediately or within a few days just as the heads of the departments who reported to me when I was present first had to

11 Fub-A-JP-22-2-Gross (FL) Court No. I

have reported the authors to the Chief of Staff. Therefore, there remained only cases which were not questions of nale, but where I ind repointions or talks with similar. And, again one must distinguish between whether I was there alone or whether there was someone with me. In let cases I did not go to such talks alone. Generally there was an expect on the subject needed and, in the second place, it was cractical for me to have my adjutant with me occase telephone calls were received, etc., so that, in jumeral, the person who ment with me usually informed the Chief of Staff afterwards even if I did not so and even if I participated alone. If they were more or less important authors then I absolutely and to inform the Chief of Staff, because in my absence he had to know what was going on, what could happen, or what had been discussed. Otherwise, it would not have been possible to carry on business of our office, since in my absence the people always approached my Chief of Staff personally or by telephone.

Q.- Then the two Chiefs of Staff who will appear here as witness must be able to tell us what you desired on the one hand, and on the other hand what you begrowd of?

A .- You, mospletuly.

Q.- It may be necessary to explain a few terms important for the questioning of your competency and your authority. A little while ago you spoke of the relationship between superiors and subordinates in deviction from the term "cuthority" to issue orders. Since your responsibility is dependent on this swint I should like to know whether terms like, direction, amangement, etc., actuar they are anywhere set down and regulated authority?

A.- That can be best seen as I am to give a concrete example ostablished by document. In the Document Book on page 45 and 46, the Army Medical Inspector is presented.

Q .- I must point out that this is document HA 28A, Exhibit #2,

11 Pob-A-JF-22-2-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

Document Book I, page 44.

A.- The text speaks for itself. It says, under #6 "The Army Nodical Inspector is the Head of the Medical Section of the War Time Army. He is the superior of the entire medical personnal of the bor time Army in mediual matters and he is the superior officer of all medical units of the war time Army."

Escree which explains what is seant by medical personnel. It says "medent personnel include medical officers, non-commissioned officers,
efficials of the Medical Service, pharaceists, dentists, sificials of
the Administrative Service, special leaders in the Medical Service, and
volunteer nurses." This shows clearly that this is a superior and I must
add that under all the following is stated: "By means of inspection he
convinced binself of the execution of his orders and of the state of
health of the Field and Reserve Army,"

In regard to the right of inspections it was not said that he needs to nek enyone about it before hand or even to inform any one.

Q.- Thun that is a clear case of the relationship of superior and subordinate as well as the total power to issue orders?

A. And, neether one which we do not have a copy here is made equally clear - the case of the Army physician, the Army Corps and Divisional
dectors of the army. In other branches of the Neuroscath it was the seas.
It said that they are superiors of their redictly ersonnel in question
of medical service and they are troop superiors to medical chiefs under
their command, how I should like to say in this case we have a clear
relationship in the army air force and the havy. The Medical Chiefs are
superiors of all the medical personnel and if in the Army one speaks of
a superior this enterptically and of accessity includes the power to
issue orders.

then in elitery regulations we find something about instructions short who being a superior - this is always a very like warm and neak
and unclear emptor. And, if one calls a high medical officer the soul of
an agency and extrusted direction of it to him without calding him a

11 Feb-A-JP-22-3-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

superior and without giving him unlimited authority, this is a very weak uncomprising solution which everyone who understands these enters will recognise as weakness

11 Fob-A-JP-22-4-Gross (FL)
Court No. I

and that is the relationship as one looks at instruction of Chief of the mehranche Medical Service in contrast to regulations of Army Medical Inspector or Chief of the Medical Service of the Air Force and Nevy. That is what I wanted to clear, up.

11 Pob-A-IP-23-1-Lesser (FL)

Court No. I

DR. MILTER No. President, I should like to seemit to the internal Decement HA 50, which is in my 3rd document book and therefore is not yet available. This is a copy from the Nor Medical Decree, negos 4 to 6 and deals with the problems of which Professor Handleser has just spe-

be manded in tomorrow.

Q.- Aside from the indical units or sudical agencies, more there also institutes under you or your agencies as Army Medical Enspectar or Chief of the Medical Service?

hon. I may swimit this document now, as Inhibit 6a. Document Book 3 will

A.— You, there were such institutes; there were 7 of them. I should like to distinguish between the 4 which existed during peach time, that is, the Military Medical Academy, the Medical Training Section in Sorlin and the Unin Jud'ed Crop in Berlin, — 3 then. During the wer there were added the Typhus Research Institute in Grakes and Lesburg, the Sargical Special Hespital in Brussels, the Control Archives for War Medicine in Berlin, and the Army Mountain Tedical School in St. Johann. These institutes were directly under the army Medical Inspector.

Q.- Hil you please describe the office il relationship thick you, as Army Which Inspector, and with those institutes?

A.- They were military organization bunded by a commanding officer.

That is to cay, primarily the commanding officer is responsible for what happens in his dilitary institute; he again is under and in thereby responsible to the Army Medical Inspector, who, of course, since the Institute is directly subordinate to him, on the whole bears the responsibility for it. That was the case with the senergy and that was the case with the other institutes. But I consider it meansary to give an example of what these institutes were like. I shall take the Military accided Academy and say briefly what it was and what the supervision within the Academy was.

The Bilitary Tedical Sendeny, which we shall mention fro worlly, is

11 Pub-A-JP-23-1-Lossur (FL)
Court No. I

an organization deling from 1795. Its mann at that time was the Priodrich likely Institute, because the Ming, Friedrich Wilhelm II, had founded it. After 100

yours of oxistones, in 1895, it changed its name to Kniser libely Acadomy and when it was re-established after the first barld Mar, it was given the mr so Williamy Wodien! Academy. It had always had 2 tasks, in the first place to give the new recruits for the coreer of sedical officer, additional training and in addition, in its own scientific institutes, to help the students; and on the other hand, to carry on such research as was directly commetted with military medicine. At the head of the needenty there was always an especially proved commending officer. The picture of the sendony in the lower fields was that ender this commanding of door. The picture of the academy in the lawer fields was that under this commanding officer there were 3 training groups, herded again by a Go ornierst or an Operatorst. In 2 of these groups to ore more the students in Group a, those before the physikum, the first medical examination, and in Group B, those in their clinical accestors. The third roup, Training Group C, was the accontific group with the scientific insultates. The last director was Generalarst Schruber, who has frequently been wentlemed.

In these institutes, ellitery medical research was carried on. The medical officers in these training groups, I am thinking, for example, of Stabsarst Dobrson, who has also been continued frequencly, were primarily under the commending officer of the training group and he in turn was under the commending officer of the Academy and the Commending officer of the Academy was under the Army Medical Inspector. The organisation in other institutes was smilt up on the same principle; whether it was st. Johann or the Control Archives, it was all the same; only the hospital in Brussels was different, which was call the same; only the hospital in Brussels was different, which was parely a hospital one to which was attached a special scientific research department.

one You were hour Physician (Heardsarat) and army Medical Inspect at and until you become Chief of the Hearmacht Medical Service your functions were separate. That was the reason for joining the functions of Army Phy11 Pob-A-JP-23-2-Lossor (FL)
Court No. I

alcian and Army Middeal Inspector 7 I will, in a moment, point out what is important for my case so that the Prosecution does not think that I am bringing the Army Physician into the case unnecessarily. Army Physician and Medical Inspector were two functions which up until then had required all the services of one

created from which I must assume, without explanation, that it was too much for one person and would perhaps not give you the apportunity to so justice to the responsibility which you had in this high position.

ill you please explain this and tell us how it was possible to fill those 2 positions and later 3 positions responsibly?

A .- In 1939 I was in Poland. In 1940, I was in Franco. I was an Armen Arat (Army Physician). Thus from two sectors, from two theaters of war. I was able to form a judgment thoat the man gement of the medical service and of course I also talked to their clarades about it. I was in the first world war, too, and I was able to see that our Sparene Hodteal Commander, that was was Germin, as full Medical Chief and Conoralstabsarst of the eroy, was in the Hald, at Mendgurters, and not at hame. He arranged it at that time so that he was in charge of anthers in the field misself and that at home he had a representative, who was a Generalarat, but he hisself was in charge of the direction of all anthors at he and in the field. In the recent dorld har the Aray Region! Inspuctor, Waldaran, was in Burlin, and a Buorusarat was appointed for the Field Aray. That was cortainly not due to the desire of the Army Medical Inspect on to and my producess r. That was connected with the military organization and with the point of view that in the field one cannot have any ministerial people with ministerial ambits, My producessor, Profesair Weldmann, during the compaign in Poland and during the compaign in France, he was not in Berlin wither; out he had the feeling, just no everyone clac did, that the primary thing and the manhasis, - the first concorn -- was with the troops in the field.

11 Feb-A-WH-24-1-Monham (FL)

If they were not cared for properly, if things did not pun right at the front, then no assent of work at home did any good. My army in Poland, as well as my army in France, in spite of the brief duration of the campaigns, he visited my armies repeatedly.

Now when I became his successor, I was incediately confronted with the question in Berlin, if there is a change now and it was known that Generalstebsarst Gtt in the very most future would give up his position, it was said, "Now or Never." This obvious mirtake in organization must be done away with and therefore from my own experience, as well as on the basis of the fact that from the first W rid war and from the proceeding campaigns in 1939 and 1940, came that decision that Generalsborst From, who was Conserver of the Beserve Army and the Commander in Chief of the army you Brauchitsch, that I should suggest to them that the two organizations should be united. First, this was to be a simplification, secondly, the was to be saved and third, every possibility for friction, which if course existed between the field armies and the home army, was to be remayed.

G.- Then, in your opinion and in the opinion of the army modical officers, you presented the accessity. But is important, here, however, is that you say how you created the safeguards, the order to safeguard the responsibility of such a high officer as the army Medical Inspector. Please clarify your responsibility.

had been so great as the war commanded that no one in charge, even the Boordsarst could be everywhere. The Army Redical Inspector at home could not do that, everything had to be outlit upon the bests of the decentralization and on the selection of the best people as supports for the execution of the Guttes on the principle that the best men should be not in the best classes. This was done at home by the selection of the Belgrichets physicians and by the promotion and support of their authority and that

11 Feb-A-12H-04-1-Meehan (FL)

was done in the field by the selection of army phys cians.

When the area becase substantially greater and the difficulty bo-

11 Feb-A-ESH-24-2-Meehan (FL)
Jourt No. I

case substantially greater, the military agencies, on their own initiative, since they were in the same position, created a new agency between the high command of the army and the various armies - the so-called army group commands, since it could not at first be achieved that army group physicians should be assigned to those army group commands. Conditions later showed the High Command of the army that this rad to be done later and so at the end of 1941 or the beginning of 1942 army group physicians, with the rank of Generalarst or concralatablearst were created, who were showe the physicians of the various armies as connecting link to the Recreaterst and the army Sedical Inspectors. That is a typical example of the fact that the expanded duties and the expanded area required a new safeguard for the execution of control and supervision and advise.

physicians at lose, I believe with one or two exceptions, and there were mineteen of them, that they were all even older than I and that a conciderable proportion of them had formerly been my superiors as liestwice is physicians or as army group physicians in reacc time and that in the field, they were of the same are as I with very few exceptions. They were not with the rank of a Generalarzt of Concretatabsarzt, who had reached this rank only on the basis of long experience and special achievement. These were not can when one rad to watch or control. These were men who guaranteed that they would do their ut not ask their bost and that they had the necessary experience and the necessary obtlity.

Q .- In connection with this policy of putting the best sen in the most difficult poets, there had to be added, I should like to say, the legislative activities; you had to issue instructions, directives, orders, etc., was that or manifed in that way?

A .- Do you won these Army Camp Physicians?

Q .- All physicians from your point of view?

11 Feb-A-ESS-24-2-Mechan (FL)

A.- Yes, of course that was done.

Q.- This is what I should like to learn; were numerous instructions, directives and orders issued by you?

11 Feb-A-38N-24-3-Mochan (FL)

A.- You, of course.

Q.- I conting this because unfortunately we have no documents in the Prosecution's Document books bearing your signature. If such orders had not corresponded to the rules domanded of an orderly command, I am non-vinced that we would have seen then here. I should also like to ask you if you know of any significant, or were there any significant violations reported to you in connection with inadmissibility, or the accounter of the military medical point of view or the account point of view?

A .- No.

Q .- I am not merely speaking of the front, the doctors at the front;
I am speaking of at how too?

A .- You.

DR. WELTER IN. President, new I want to come to the question of the consulting specialists, on the functions of those consulting specialists, but Generalartz Gutzelt has testified about this as a witness, so I believe I can dispusse with this acttor; but in this connection I should like to present two documents to the Tribensi. In the first place an element from the ar ledical Decree, No-222, 226 and 235. This deals with the group of consulting physicians in the Army.

THE PRESIDENT: In what Document Book are these documents contained.

DR. MILIT: You will find these documents in Book No. 1, have 36. It is document MA 19, and will be Exhibit No. 7, if the Tribunal accepts this document as an exhibit.

THE PRESIDE T: Is it community intension to read the document into the record or simply have it offered in evidence?

OH. NATURE I norely want to submit it. In the examination of the witness Sutseit the contents were already presented. It is only the logal regulation of the position which the consulting physicians had.

THE PRESIDENT FOR WOLL.

11 Feb-A-ESM-24-3-Mochan (FL)

ment of the defendant Professor Handlesor on the establishment of the consulting physicians. I shall not read this either, I only offer

11 Feb-A-ZBM-24-4-Hothan (FL)

it as an exhibit. It is in the Document Book No. 1, Page 32. It is document HA LO. I ask that you accept this statement of the defendant as Exhibit No. 3.

THE PRESENCE In the Document Book I do not find Deciment HA 18 listed in the index. It is in the book, but not indexed.

DR. MEMTE: The section which produced these documents left this docussent out, but only in the index. In the document book itself, on Page 32, you will find the document.

THE PRESIDENT: It is in the Document Book. I have it. I was calling attention to the fact it was emitted from the index.

There being no objections the documents will be adultted. The Tribunal will now recuse until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Rol1 4

Target 3

Volume 9

Feb. 12-19, 1947

## OFFICIAL RECORD

## UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NURNBERG

U.S. vs KARL BRANDT et al VOLUME 9

TRANSCRIPTS

(English)

12-19 February 1947 pp. 2870-3212

12 Feb.1-1-1-Min-Foster-JP : CORRECTED COPY Official transcript of the Inerican Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Karl Brandt, ot al. defendants, sitting at Mucroberg, Gernamy, on 12 February 1947, 0930; Justice Beals presiding. THE MARSHAL! Persons in the courtroom will please find their seats . The Honorable, the Judges of Wilitery Pribunal 1. Wilitery Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the courtrees. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascortain that the defendants ere all procent in court. THE CAURRALA May it places Your Honor, all defendents are present with the exception of the defendant Oberhauser who is absent due to a continuation of hor proviously existing illness. THE PESIDET: The Secretary Concret will note for the record the prosence of all the defendants in court with the execution of the defendant Oborhausor who is absent on account of illness as por her physician's cortificato. The Tribunal has considered the application presented yesterday by defense commacl Sautor for a demonstration before the court in connection with the high altitude experiments. The Tribunal has considered the application and the application is donied. Counsel may proceed with the emminations SIEGFRIED HAMILOSER - Rogumod. ELD(INATION (Continued) BY IR. HELT: Q. Professor, you said yesterday that the Medical Service of the army, wonse caref medical officer you were, had to care for the health of about ton million numbers of the arted through. In clarity this question I should like to nak your Door this morn only soldiers for whose health you had to care? A. No, not only soldiers. Of those approximately ton million there were included all the perbore of the families of the soldiers at home. The military doctors since 1922 had to care for the families of the soldiers as 2870

well as the soldiers themselves. There were also all those persons connected with the Wehrmacht including all the nurses, all the Signal Corps
assistants, all staff assistants. Also the population of the occupied
territories until the civilian medical authorities were able to take over
their care and supervision; also the prisoners of war in the operational
area as well as at home.

Q. How near nodical officers, active and reserve, belonged to the medical service of the army!

A. I can give exact information about that since I still have records, decuments, of the lat of February 1943. At that time the army had 2,191 active medical efficers, including the reactivated fermerly active officers who were 3,242. That makes a total of 3,433. Then there were reserve medical efficers, 19,580; together, 25,013. On the lat of February 1943, and in the following period too, we had the senior medical students as secondled Feldunteracorate; that is, assistant dectors in hespitals and with the treeps. These were 1,638 at that time. That makes a total of medical efficers at home and in the field of 26,851. At this time the Luftweffe and about 10,000 physicians and dentists. The Waffen SS at the front had about 3,000 medical efficers. That makes a total of 39,861. Unfortunately I do not have any figures from the navy.

This may be a good opportunity to mention an official figure which I have that is the last official report of the CEV including the time from the beginning of the compaign on Russia in 1941 until 31 January 1945.

After this time it was no longer possible to compile reports, at least we did not get may reports. The number of dead at that time was 2,100,000.

The number of wounded during the compaign in Russia was approximately 5,000,000. The number of prisoners taken during the period of fighting was 2,500,000. This may also be the appropriate opportunity to mention the commulties among the medical officers. I have efficial figures here too giving insight into the conths of Jame to December 1943. At the front we had 5,048 doctors. In these six conths 791 of them foll; that is, about 12,5 percent. 1,533 were wounded; that is, 25 percent. From the year

1943 as larry Medical Inspector I should like to mention that with the disaster of Stalingrad we lost 274

doctors at once. With the disaster of Tunis we lost about 250 medical officors. I mention this because it was my duty as Medical Inspector to cover these losses, to fill these gaps, and this was an especially difficult and expensive field of work for me.

THE PRESIDENT: Just & noment, counsel. I neglected to remind the witness that he is still under ooth, having been sworn yesterday.

Counsel may proceed.

a hospital, just like a soldier in the company or battalion, is under the

2873

supervision of his immediate superior; that is, the chief physician in a mospital and above him the communding officer of the medical section, and then the Cahrkreis physician, but that goes very far.

Another example; Stabsarst Dohmen, who has been mentioned repeatedly, was one of the 26,500 medical officers under my command. The commanding officer of the training group C is responsible for supervising him and in addition, the commanding officer of the filitary edical Academy. What I said quite generally, of course, applies. If in any way I had learned of anything about an individual medical officer who was far away from me it would have been my duty without consideration of the many superiors between us to take up the case to see that it was cleared up and to take the necessary steps.

Q Now, I come to another chapter of my examination. As you know, the individual charges which are raised mainst you concern experiments connected with research. The presecution alleges that you were not directly connected, but that, because of your position as Army Addical Inspector and chief of the Cohrencht Addical Service you were connected with the experiments which are called illegal, and that you have special responsibility. Does the field of medical research belong to the medical matters?

- A Yes, research is connected with any health service.
- Q Is there a special military medical science?
- A Yes, there is. It is called military medicine, or we use the expression, "Mehrmedizin", for it. If I am to explain that briefly, explain it to this group, I must make a comparison. Langine a big strong true with widespread roots, with a strong trunk, and with many branches and twigs. The roots and the trunk which give strength and which give life to the whole true, that is general medicine. And the branches and the twigs are the many individual fields which are larger or smaller, which are the specialties. One such branch is military medicine, under medicine in general. This branch is an indispensable and integral part of medicine as a whole. It has a certain life of its own which, however, is dependent

on the strength and the influence which it gets from the roots and the trunk, and it will never have the idea of disassociations itself from this trunk because that would take away the source of its life.

This branch again has branches and leaves and fruit, and in the life of this tree it gives the whole tree a great deal of nourishment. That is how one must understand military madicine as an integral part of the whole thing which grows out of general medicine and receives an enormous amount from it, but by way of gratitude in a sense and as a natural consequence gives it a number of suggestions and experiences which only military medicine can collect.

Military medicine is determined by the character of military life and the military sphere. I must sum that un briefly. I can give only a few examples by may of explanation. We have definite military discusses, if I may mention a few surgical ones. There are the well known discuss of the foot which soldiers acquire when marching. The foot swells. Often there is a fracture which is hard to recognize, of a metatornal bone. In all countries special attention was devoted to this discuss. It has always been said the man we spon of the infantryman is not his gun; it his his feet. And the great majority of the German soldiers in the second World war were not driven but were dependent on their feet.

May I bring an excepts from internal medicine? We speak of the dangers of the special surroundings of the mass accommodations of soldiers which formerly had existed only in the army. This, of course, brings the dangers of the transfer of diseases to a much greater extent than in civilian life. For the scientific senate I worked on epidemic maningitis. It all times we had cases of this frequently fatal disease. I went through all the literature on the subject, and I saw that in many countries military medicine was especially interested in it.

The English in India in their berracks often had actual epidemics of meningitis. They found that the bacteria which caused this disease exist everywhere and that thirty -- forty -- fifty porcent of the people in their masal passages have these bacteria. Only under special circumstances in cases of colds, special physical efforts such as marching do these bacteria suddenly get the upper hand, as it were, become dangerous, and apparently healthy soldiers fall down and if they are unlucky they are dead in twenty-four of forty-eight hours.

That was the beginning of a long series of experiments which finally led to various measures with which the military doctor is familiar which are still in use today, the most at ple one to keep the people separated so that during sleep the breath does not affect their neighbor.

Oxamples of typical military medicine. I believe that a picture has been given to the Tribunal of what it is about. That I am interested in here in connection with the trial is this; was military medicine a typically forman, I might say, militaristic phonomena, or did this military medicine exist ov rywhere?

A. There was military redicine in all countries wherever there was an army. I personally had the impression because in 1936 after the first World War - Corman medical delegation consisting of one medical efficer of the army, the news and the Luftwaffe was under my direction which was invited to the Intermational Congress in Brussels.

c. Who the tonly a Congress or was it a society, a permanent society?

a. The basis for it was a permanent organization, an international organization which included shout fifty countries which had a permanent office in Hers teal nor brussels under the direction of the Bolgiums.

- C. I think it was nt Liego?
- A. You, it blogs. Every two years those military doctors had a meeting. I attended the meeting in Bucharost. Garmany had become a member again after the first world War although I was still a guest at Bressels, and the last "engress in 1939 was in ashington. At these meetings or Congress questions were discussed which were important for military medicine in peace time and also in respect to a possible war, and I believe that the countries concorned brought one or two military dectors who as as a speech agreed upon beforehand at this Congress.
- I. Then if I have universed you correctly, at these planned neetints of military doctors of the whole world questions ware discussed
  and the dangers were discussed which threatened coldiers in pance
  and in wary
  - A. You, that is so.

5

- Q. The that the same thing that was the purpose of the meetings which the Presecution has here called meetings of conspirators, the mostings of the consulting specialists of the Vehrancht?
- A. The purpose and the affect of these Congresses were exactly the same as in our me tings. After these me tings, tee, a printed report of the meeting was published witch was sent to the parties pants and to the highest medical agencies in the countries concerned.

Then the medical inspectors of these countries and their economic advisors selected these specials which were of special importance and brought selecting now, and in each country they were made known to the public, the same this that we did in a second third creat form after our meetings.

- To In these reports which the most facous willtary doctors of the world rundo at those meetings in reporting the results of their resourch, could one always judge how they reached these conclusions?
  - A. The total possible in many cases and in other cases not.

Q. Now let us go into your case concretely. What fields of modical research fell under your control as army Medical Inspector?

A. We will reach our aim rost quietly if I montion a few examples.

Let us take dysontory, for example. I montioned this example in my affidavit.

THE RESILE T: Counsel, the Tribunal has listened to the witness for sems time on general statements of the milit ry prof ssion which the members of the Tribunal and retand, and I trink it is about time that more concrete questions are asked and answered. I understood that counsel was about to ask pointed questions concerning the questions now before the Tribunal, but the Tribunal would not be interested in discussions of questions which are foreign to the matters which are now before the Tribunal for consideration.

DR. NELTE: Mr. Prosident, I have sked, "Most fields of medical research came under your control as army odical Inspector?" Door not the Tribunal believe that this is a concrete quastion?

JUDGE SERRING: Doctor Nelta, perhaps I can clarify for you what the Tribunal is interested in, which encompasses not only the question you have asked but the entire field of inquiry that the Tribunal would like to know something about and I am going to ask a few questions, to the witness, if I may, and I think perhaps you will see then the type of information we would like to have from this witness, if he can give it.

BY JUDGE SEERING: Q Doctor Handloser, during the course of your presence on the witness stant, you have exhibited a trasendous knowledge of the manner in which the various medical activities of the Gorman Government were organized, and the manner in which they operated during the recent war, and I should like to put this question to you: You have beard the testimony of the Prosecution witnesses, and doubtless have read the documents submitted by the Prosecution. Now, lat us assume that such evidence projuced by the Prosecution is sufficient to prove that the medical experiments were, in fact, carried out in concentration camps upon non-German nationals without their consent, and that such experimental subjects were killed as a result of such experiments. Let us assume further, for the purpose of pointing up the question, that the evidence submitted by the Prosecution is sufficient to prove that the experiments were conducted by and for the benefit of the Waffen-SS. Now then, in your opinion, gained from your intimate knowledge of the frame work of the German Government under Hitler, what officials or agencies in the German Government would have been responsible for the depths resulting to non-German nationals from such experiments?

A That would have been those who had ordered the experiments. I must assume that they were illegal criminal experiments.

Q I am nesuming that for the sake of this question. That is a matter ultimately the Tribunal must decide, but for the sake of getting your views let us assume that fact.

A If it had become known to the responsible agencies, that they were crimical, then the agency which had official supervision would have been obligated to interfere. That would have been for the concentration camps. As far as I know, the Reichsfushrer Himmler, Reichs Physician Grawitz, since they

were medical experiments, that would be the actual circle in this sector. To what extent the Reich Health Leader Conti had anything to do with it or would have had anything to do with it, I cannot judge. He would be effected if such measures had been originated by him or he would have been in a position, as Chief Health Leader of the civilian sector; if he had learned of them he should have brought them up with his Ministers, the Reich Ministry of the Interior or the Reichsfushrer of the SS.

Q What would be your answer if we may assume that the evidence of the Prosecution shows that these medical experiments were performed in a criminal manner and were conducted for the bomefit of the German Navy?

A Then, the question would have been to what extent the Navy had participated in this matter. You said, your Henor, that I had an extensive knowledge of the organization of the medical system, and I notice that the Indictment, in speaking of these experiments, frequently says "in the interest of the Wehrmacht"; that is, of course, a very vague term, it is not concrete.

Q That is precisely the reason that I am asking you these questions and particularising. The question now is what would be your answer if the experiments were conducted by and for the German Navy?

A If you say by the Navy, then the Navy must have participated; then the Navy must have known about it; then the Navy ordered it or approved it for certain reasons; that it thereby is made responsible would be beyond doubt.

Q What would be your answer if we assume that the Prosecution's evidence shows that such experiments were conducted by and for the benefit of the German Air Force?

A If they were carried out by the hir Porce, then, in my opinion, it will again be true here that the Inftwaffe, the hir Force, is responsible for it, but with the limitation that the execution of the experiments proceeded in the way in which the hir Force intended.

Q Let us assume that the evidence shows that although such experiments were not conducted by the Air Force, that they were conducted for the benefit of the German Air Force, and that the German Air Force accepted the results of

the experiments for the benefit of the medical problems in the German Air Force; what would be your answer?

A If the Air Force learned about it after the experiments were completed, and sew that they had been conducted in a form which it did not approve, it would probably have drawn, the conclusion for the future, that it would have to proceed such more carefully than in the first case. The second question whether the Air Force had instigated anything is, in my opinion, a theoretical question.

Q What would be your answer if we assume that the evidence shows that the experiments were conducted by and for the benefit of the German Army?

experiments were conducted sent from some other part of Germany, necessitating a considerable amount of detail in assembling in that one shot the porsons who were going to conduct the experiments, the human subjects upon when the experiments were going to be conducted, end the material with which the experiments were going to be conducted. And, let us assume, for the sake of the question, that many hundreds of such subjects were killed as a result of such experiments. Would it have seen physicially mossible for such a program to have been carried on and yet the knowledge of that fact be known only to the men at the top who gave the order and the man at the bottom who executed the order? Would not necessary agencies and officials in between the man at the top who gave the order and the man at the bottom who executed the top who gave the order and the man at the bottom who executed the top who gave the order and the man at the bottom who executed the order have known about these things?

A. Your Honor, you said that all kinds of organizational measures were necessary. For example, bringing up instruments and apparatus. Then a sub-ordinate areasy must have received orders to have delivered these things, to have delivered them to a certain place, or to deliver them accephere. I consider it pure possible that such intermediate agencies received such detailed assignments without knowing, or without having to know, for what purposes it was needed. There may be intermediate agencies which carried out a particular resignment but where it was not possible to see from the assignment what it was about.

Q. You do not exclude the possibility, however, that those intermediate exercise under contain conditions may have known the reasons for the experiments or why they were being called upon to produce certain equipment and meterial, do you, Doctor?

A. That they did lower something, I meen that according to this oresentation it is quite possible that they did not know anything because in
view of the strict secreey that existed - one must assume that a war was
going on - one in view of manishment for breaking secreey, and in view of
repeated orders and Tuchrer orders which were posted in every terracks and
in every office, and there were all kinds of placerds in trains, etc. In
view of these circumstances everyone was allowed to learn obsolutely what he

and to know. I am of the committee the order may very well have been given for a particular action and that the organization, even if a comperatively high agency, would not know the ourpose. May I give an example that has just occurred to me? I believe in connection with the chamber it was learned here that the chamber was not delievered to Dechau at all but it was intentionally delivered somewhere also to prevent it being made clear that it was to be taken to Dechau because the name Dechau had a certain special notoriety. That would fit into this question,

- Q. What do you mens by notorioty?
- A. I meen the nursel German knew only one concentration cann by name, whether because it was the biggest or classt, I don't know. But, with the name concentration casp, the normal German of any class associated the name Docheu.
  - Q. Yow long had that been going on in the German nation, doctor?
- a. We were all surprised and I have already said that after the construlation until the 23rd of June I was perhaps under overvation but still at
  liberty, and that I was able to read newspapers and thatI was able to carry
  on conversations and I was able to learn that, for example, names like
  Revensbruck, Belsen, Buchenweld, and others were unknown to all of the becole
  to whom I telked, even the highest leaders, and I was able to note about the
  following situation. On the whole, that is, people coming from various distriots, the mane Dachau was known, and the name Crantenburg. I should like
  to say that the name Oranienburg must be identical with Sexenhausen that
  was the camp marth of Barlin. That was the knowledge of concentration camps
  in the broad mass of the German population. I assume that persons who live
  at Veitar knew the concentration camp at Buchenweld, similarly in other
  whose, We doubt if Germans had seen the experiences as I have seen mass and the same for Allies there were dozens of concentration camps that
  everyone will be just as estemished as I.
- A motorious place? When do you think they first began to learn of that fact?
  - A. Perhane I did not express myself right. Ferhans I should have said

that Dachan was better known. I did not mean that there was anything wrong exectly. I merely meent to say that among the nopulation if anyone said anything against a political norsen there was said. "He cereful, you will be sent to Dachan." That did not mean anything especially was wrong about it, especially since assurance was remeatedly given that the meanle were treated not severely and they were kept in our field and hygienic field and there were exceptary conditions there. Leter I heard scretching like that about Buchenwald, that accordingly everything was dane, and whether it was in the hospital or in the whole cann or whatever it was that pour conditions began only as a direct affect of the air war, when, for example, instead of 10,000 there were suddenly 20 to 40,000 crewied there.

Q. At what time did that begin, Dr. Handloser, when the crowded condition began at Dachau, according to your knowledge?

A. I cannot give any exact indication about that. It is, no doubt, commented with the weakening of our front. I had the exact data on that but it was all taken away from me. Otherwise, every 50 killusters the energy advanced conditions became worse. Our hose titals were in the same situation. They were overcrowded three, four, ten times became so much area was lost and we were forced to overcrowd the remaining hospitals. I cannot give any exact figures. That depended on the progress of the wer.

Q. You think the knowledge of the condition of Decheu, that is, the overcrowded condition, may have because known to you in 1942-43, along in that cerica?

- A. No.
- Q. Luter than thet?
- A Yes.
- Q. Can you say that it was in 1944?
- As Aside from the influence of the withdrawel of the front, the moint of the evacuation depended on the effects of the sir war and I cannot say from avown experiences that that began in 1953 and that we Germans know, and from Apperican official remorts know that November 1953, aside from the Rhineland, from the west which suffered from attacks such earlier, that this began and

because more so in the section and in 1943.

Q. I have no further questions at this time, Dr. Welte.

Court I 12 Fub h7-1 - 6-1 - LJG - Cook BY DR. MELTE: Q. The questions which the Juige asked you were hypothetical, that is, assuming that what the Prosecution has presented is true, and that the experiments were criminal, now I ask for the approval of the Pribanal to ask a general question once more, because I must ask the defendant concretely about the fields of research with which he was concerned as army Medical Inspector, so that you may see that the subject of the indictment was that experiments were conducted under his control too, but that he had nothing to do with those experiments which are the subject of the indictment. May I ask this question. THE FRESIDENT: What is the question? BY DR. NELTE: Q. will you please speak concretelyand as briefly as possible and indicate the fields of research with which you were concerned as Army Medical Inspector? A. I cannot give them briefly. There were quite a number of them but I can mention those which have any significance here. First the question of sulfonamides, I can only say that that was important with us since 1937, that we later had a central agency from 1941 on, which was especially interested in it, that was the special hospital of the OKH at Brussels, but I might almost say that all research workers, the specialists at the front, not only surgeons, but also bacteriologists and those especially interested in chemistry devoted special attention to this field, and that there was an unbroken chain of research workers beginning at the front and going deep into Germany, and that if one looks at the reports of our westings, then one repeatedly finds the subject of sulfonamides, and finally one finds the fact that the question was not settled; that that is a subject, that was a field of research which was continued until the end of the war and beyond, and I personally after the invasion used special research troops in the west, only one of which actually cars into action, and what we intended was no longer possible. 2887

- Q. And concretely you were at the neeting in Breslau as Professor Gutzeit said?
  - A. You.
- O. What was the situation as fer as you know at the time as Army Madical Inspector, and what do you know about experiments which had been conducted up to that time and was smything said about whether others were to be done?
- on the 13th and 14th of Getaber, 1945, in Vienna, where only animal experiments were reported on, and at the Broslau meeting where only animal experiments were mentioned. I went to Broslau meeting where only animal experiments were mentioned. I went to Broslau from headquarters, especially for that one there and listened to the meeting at which Schreiber proceeded, and I was glad that Schreiber had succeeded in getting all of those scientists together who were partly in the any and who were partly civilian, and that they had agreed to the work which Schreiber had suggested and the exchange of results from one group to another. Metaing was said about experiments on human beings in concentration carps at this meeting. The meeting was in June, 1944,
  - Q. More mather field, how about melaria!
- ". Unlarie a disease in which we were greatly interested, of course, not only because of Africa, but because of the Balkans and other stone. The most terrible most of malaria which I myself saw was in Salonika where it was even werse than in a field of particular interest, in the Camenaus, where I looked at the malaria prophylaxie of the Russians. It malaria expert was Professor Redenveld. He has his tropical hygione institute in Berlin at the military medical meadany. He had senlegists and enteredangists at his disposal there who advised him and he had special malaria training groups which were to so to the front in Africa, Italy and the Balkans and to look for the cause of malaria and membat schemia and hold courses for the dactors. A special laboratory train was created which was taken by rail to the warst places and covered especially trained dactors helped the troops in

their prophylactic measures. In regard to maleria research we had a closed subject under Professor Redenwald, who was a former military doctor. Before the war he was a Professor of Hygiene at Meidelberg, and our malaria question, as for as you would speak of it being completed, we had a very good prophylactic measure in atabrine, and for treatment through the new drug such as placimine. We had made considerable advance but I would not want it to be misunderstood, what I said before. The research was not completed and went on, and there were many problems to be solved, a certain advance had been remaded from my point of view because I could issue an order regulating prophylexis and treatment in order to provent relapses.

THE PERSONNEL There will be a recess of a few minutes at this time.
(A short recess was taken)

THE MARSEAL: Porsons in the courtreem will please find their seats.

The Tribunal is again in session.

SIEGRRED ELECTRON - (continued)

BY DR. MELTE:

In witness, we have proviously spoken about the malaria experiments and research which the Army and the Medical Inspectorate hondled. The Prosecutionhas not presented any evidence that it was
connected in any way with the malaria experiments at Dachau. Since,
however, the Prosecution has claimed that in this respect, I must
ask you the question: Did you or one of your effices have any connection with regard to the malaria experiments or with the experiments at wachen which were there carried out by Dr. Shilling or by
others?

A. No.

5. Did you over cotain becaled o of such experiments?

A. 10.

T. Now probably you will know from the field of your research, you will have regularly received roperts. That was the procedure?

A. De you much gonorally about research or about malaria in particular?

I. Malaria, sulforanides, and what you have just stated, and all the other fields will we cannot mention and discuss because this could load us tee for.

A. I have received reports about t is research in various ways. On one occasion through the consulting physicians who were personally informed of them. The , for excepte, through the "Hitary "edical academy or through their efficied reports which was frequently submitted to us. Also by lecture of individuals who visited me at Borlin and who reported Dout the state of afficies.

- Q. Thus you received such reports from your field of competence. Now we have to determine how the field of your competence is correctly understood. We have elreedy doubt with the question of the connection between the Webrancht Medical Service to the Waffen SS and we have dotermined that this concerned the frantal use of the SS. Mow what were your connections to the Waffen SS in the Medical Service at home?
  - A There were no connections whatspover.

THE FLISHER: Counsel may inquire of the witness as to what roports concorning emportments he did receive, when he received than and from what branches of the service the reports came. I did not morn in restrict comment's exemination an etratly that he could not agic that quon thou.

## BY IR. ILTI:

- C. You have heard the question of the President. Will you please answer 117
- In order to two a concrete example, for exemple, the Special Sur jord Romital at Bruggels submitted the reports about its work directly to the kemy Medical Enspectorate; then for the consulting physicisms there was a report-callecting agency within the Military Modical Academy. First of all, all of the reports went t re which the consulting payetriens and to submit. They were there evaluated by the consulting physicians of the Army Hedical Services, - evaluations about or writingos and results or about questions which still remained open.
- Q. More they from the consulting typicisms with the Militery Acrdemy or from others?
- ". Inclusively from the physicians of the Military Hedierl Academy. And finally, in an abbreviated form, before they were sent out again to the front and at home, they also were brought to my attention. Or there were reports directly from the Military Medical Academy which also were sunt to the Army Medical Inspector.

A. Horo you yourself over in the Occasentration Comp Buchenwald?

Q. How we come to one of the most important questions for you in

this trial. On the besis of an entry in the Ding Dirry it has been

stated that Professor Sier, the Director of the Krekew Institute for

Typhus and Dr. Bornhard Schmidt, of the Army Medical Inspectorate had

visited the Suchenwell Concentration Comp. Did you order such a visit?

A. No. Only from the decements about the Ding Diery I have found

Q. Will you ploage explain that to us - how this can be possible

2893

Q. Were this visit reported to your

out about it hore in Musrabers.

As Ilca

As 200

A Hoe

that this was not brought to your knowle go?

A. I connot emplois that because I don't know the reasons for this visit. Only the people concerned, Schmidt and Eier, can give you may information about that, or periods my Chief of Staff.

Q. Well, we shall question them as witnesses. According to this Ding Divry which I have already mentioned, by order of the Supreme Command of the Army, the Behring Works, the Rebert Each Institute, and the Typhus and Virus Research Institute at Erakow, are elleged to have been ordered to produce yellow fever vaccine. Now according to the catrice in the Ding Diary, a re-examination of the yellow fever vaccine took place at Buchenwald. It is stated also from Erakow. What do you know about it?

12 Feb-15-9-1-PEF-Mochan JP Sourt I . With rogard to the question for the order of producing such a words. I means that that may have been the case because under conditions in Africa such a vaccine was necessary for us. Q. More you trying to find a yellow fever vaccinet A. To, it was only a question of producing it. It was the procodure to produce such a vaccine which was simplified; it was only a tochnical production in the laboratory of the institute; the well known Polition whoolng. The Din - Diary also mentioned the fact that shipments of lice. which and been infected with typhus, were sent to Bushenwald in order in infact people at Buchemenld do you know anything about that pracedure? A. Mo. Q. Ilr., Procident, in this cornection and in order to clarify the state of affairs. I shall submit Decreent Hi-13, in Document Book Rendlesor II on page 23 and I want to propent it as Exhibit 9. It is on effidavit by the defendant Dr. Hoven. At the time Dr. Hoven was in the exponentration our Buckenwald, as you may know and he has personal knowlodge of the shippents of lice and to this question he states, and I rondt "The chipment of line, mentioned in the Ding Dinry and in the testimony of the witnesses Dr. Kogon and Mirchheiner, came from Dr. Hanas Iwow. I know this for cortain becomes I informed Dr. Hone that the lice had been destroyed. "I own therefore affirm, from my own knowledge that the statements of the witnesses Dr. Komm and Kirchheimer - Dr. Komm was not yet with Dr. Ding at that time - that the lies shipments once from the OKH Instituto at Crocov pro based on a disconception." I request that this officevit be accopted as evidence, as exhibit 9. In the Ding Diery, the Woigel waccine is frequently mentioned, because when the other vaccines which are mentioned in the diory frequently were used for re-assumetion; I want to ask you if this was also the 2895

12 Feb-N-FIF-9-1-Hocken-JP

case with regard to the Weigel veccine?

Already in 1939 during the compaign in Poland, the army had its own typhus research institute at Gracow and it produced the typhus vaccine, which was taken from lice according to the Neigel method. This vaccine had already for many years before been tested in other countries and it had proved itself.

13 Fob-16-9-2-PEP-Cochan-JP Court I It had not only been tested in the imberatories and in experiments on enimals, but generally it had been used as a proventative precautionary scheme. The army was graducing this vaccine itself and there was no reason for so-called re-exertination as it has been called here. This vaccine had been recomised in many fields. Q. Where did Buchenwald abtain this vaccine? A. I connot say that, but there was only one very simple way. If the Waffon SS wanted to obtain this vaccine for its units at the front, then it was delivered to the main medical comp of the Waffen SS, was also delivered in the arms way to other agencies and if the office of the Uniform 65 wasted to have some of this vaccine, they probably may here turned to the main medical do at at Berlin. Q. I am now coming to the main question, to the question of the typhus diaguasion. For this purpose I now hand to you Document Book Ho. 12. THE PRESIDENT: Dr. Nolto, before we pass on to the next exhibit, will you have the witness state who Dr. Hone - Henries was and with what official agency be was enmocted at the time, which according to Dr. Envon those lice minimum to come to the comp. IR. MELTH You have beerd the question, witness; do you know Dr. Hene? THE WIFESS: I do not know him porcountly, I only know that Dr. Hans was the Director or a collaborator at a typing research institute by the neme of Bohring which toward the end of 1942 had been established at Luow. The institution had nothing to do with the Vehrmscht and as for as I know Dr. Heas was not ore bor of the Wohrmacht and what civiling of mer was his superior accordy at this Bohring Typhus Instituto at Lapse, I connect say. I always considered it to be an industrial enterprinc. BY DR. NELTE: 2895

O. Ir. Prosident, there will be witnesses heard with regard to this question.

As I stated, this alleged typins conference which you will find in Document Book 12 requires detailed explanation. Mr. President, it is Document Book 12, page 36. Furthermore, the Presecution in connection with this question has submitted an effidient from the well-known Kapo Dictsch. This Document Mo. 1413 was subsequently submitted and I do not know its exhibit number by the

12 Pob. 47 -W-9-3-PKP- Mochan Court No. I

Prospection. I therefore request that you read in Paragraph 6 what is stated about a discussion of Kapo Dietsch. There are two documents about this alleged discussion, page one of the Ding Diety and the affidavit by Dietsch. The Prospection has not stated on what two Documents or which one of the two Documents if supports itself. Apparently, it is supporting itself on both. One contions a discussion in November and the other one mentions a discussion of Document 29th; now a preliminary question; do you know where you were on December 29th, 1941?

A. No. I connot state that exactly. In connection with the date of December 29th, I only an we that at the end of December, 1941, I certainly was at the heriquarters on the for certain on the first of December, 1941, I was in the Headquarters - that was New Year's Eve. I connot state with certainty if I was at the Handquarters on the 29th.

Q. I am taking the question in view of the End entry on Pace 1 of the Ding Diery, where it is stated in order to test typhus vaccine, the Concentrate Camp Buchunweld has been above. It is that who solveted the Concentration to the fact that you are alleged to have been present at a discussion of December 29th, I would like to determine if it is at least clear that on the second of January you couldn't possibly have been at Berlin and it was impossible for you to take part in any conference.

A. On January 2nd I was cortainly not in Borlin.

12 70b-1-0-10-1-110back

Deed I

y. I am not you to state your minure if such a conference which is sentimed in the Ding Dinny under the intelline of the 29th of December 1961 natural, the tops place with the same contents?

I heard the first tile of the literature I had been arrested and I heard of it in the interregation neater it therefore towards the end of September 1 45. There the interregator read to be excepts from a document which, we fir is I know now, contained several things which are also tentioned in the Ming Diary. In general it dealt withemperiments in the Suchement Concentration Comp.

I too atticed that I only got to know the name of Euchenmild niter the cipital tion, and it the arms that the 1 and mever visited Euchenwald, and that the time which had below place thank time unknown to me. Then the interpreter of that it was superfaced for a to some the account they had a photograph from anchemised where I was shown to methor with the acid assumbter and Conti; further ears, they had a record from account which I was alleged to have aligned.

I then region to the interrogenter to t this was not correct, and then he said that these versions were already on the way, and that they would be presented to me it the very limits on the following day. However, this never happened and ever since these difficult days in solitary confinement,—

TR. CHARTY: If the Tribure place, first he maked also the the best the section of the court of the court of the court of the section of the section of the first time he hard court the secting and on a happened to him and one was going to present the with documents and so forth. I think it is all quite in attributed if it is nowld confine himself to directly enswering the questions we would get long-one factor.

is. The may it please the friendly I believe the the probleming his act only denoted actural hours to take "ct, but several may, as reading all possible acquisitions.

12 705- -JF-10-2-Min souck

Court I

1-1 . The T: Council may proceed with the ex minution.

1. (39 Sr. olto) lers: continue!

A. Ever times I have determine constantly occupied myself with this questions I can only about out I are in connection with it. Thus a conference in which has been prosented jure is alleged to now been planted, namely, to carry out illural or crimate on human beings, I have never participated in any such conference, and in connection with this I must state that I have neverally and discussions in the winder of the and the class with probably the civil medical service. That was now been Conti, and I probably the civil medical service. That was now been Conti, and I probably the civil medical service. That was now been Conti, and I will are to a discussions with coldenister. However, there are at the affine was as follows: Toward the case of the year 1941 at the various places in the earth, by her began to according extent. From the man doubt that the number of one same according.

The cost ready comings by the interior is a combitting lies. Nowever, as a result of the amprile which are street to us of the comparing in the dest in J'm. 1961 the modical service had not been this to corry out a delousing process boilded the operation are known as two no when in the area of operations.

is the sense of the error in the Test and in view of the 1 of that in the sense of experience at animal or order was coloured at faramena other places, typhus occurred frequently the danger of a speeding of the fine set to the homeland become increasely greater. That the cases of hyphus began to increase is shown a to the high numbers were to fine unfortunetally reached with us in the case 1952 and 163. That it along the precious part is less shown by the dates blen are not known to the first the lectures lith the miles of the pure 1 and 1, where, for a light of think it was in Jacobs, or arch 1952, whereas 10,000 and a vores number, one shows that the miles are 1300 of these cases or typhus had been registered, and I have a 1300 of these cases or fately and I would be natural number of the cases as they had occurred, only mout the number which had been recoved during the provious few months.

12 Pub- +69-20-3-7 erious t

LOURT I

Tem remember a number a. 35,000 portion personth, and it may be that it is the number of becomber 19hl. I had given the arder that the production of this yeseing be increased at all costs. I can remember for certain that I had discussions about that subject a home and lse that I probably have discussed it with Conti, and I am quite cost in what I discussed it with Conti, and I am quite cost in what I discussed it with

appreciate the for a cortain purpose and with a curtain plan and I have to appreciate in this respect that I, a may reduced Inspector, or even if I had already been the chief of the Cohreston, added Service, which I was not at that then, I did not have may official influence on the circlian sector. I am of the opinion that at the tile the quantion was first to describe the danger at none of their sphere situation to the fract, which typess which cause, and secondly, to the coint put to them that the deleving procedure Section and according to procedure section to the first the delevence of sufficient extension by your or that it and only soon or religious to gift an insufficient extension you or that it and only soon or religious to gift, an insufficient extension that the during the minuter period the an insufficient extension could be improved.

Secondly, in an option, I am pointed out that we and a vaccine but only in such a limited atoms to the man and the five maybeing to the people at how and over sea climb for an eliminary and thirdly. I colice that I have taken a religious at a continuer and thirdly. I colice that there are no selected to the other topics experiences of a to obtain information as to now accountly to other topics whereing would be used — which was not me from lies but from a local args — by professor ofto at Frankfure, by the subsert Koch Institute in our in, and all by the Schring. North to repair, then actually consider and i had not succeeded in obtaining my about inform than that it, where in general this vaccine was being used and her affective it was.

I em re mber that Gille mister - I den't know if it was in December

12 To -17-14-1 nebuck

Court I

because 50% and more of the laid aggresshich were moded for it were being lest, and that in any cas he could not succeed in grining success of 50% with them. Therefore, the vaccine which was produced by Otto in Cornery and which later onless produced by a scientist by the name of Cox in the United States, what could be one with it with resort to its affectiveness, I could not obtain any information on that subject at all.

12 Tob-M-JP-11-1-Put by-JP Court I and I have cortainly recommended to the new with whom I discussed this problem under consideration of the situation as it existed with us to do everything in order to now obtain this so far previously-lacking exporionce and to gain additional information. In my opinion, this could not wouse my difficulties at all because in the civil; : sector at that time good apportunities existed to corry out this test in areas in the Bust or in other regions where the danger existed and to test the natter. Q. Now I want to came back to the question will all now has to be but in such a way. Did you participate in any discussion with the circle of marsons as it is stated in the Ding Dinry? A DOL Q. Did you astand a conference which reached a resolution that, as the Prospension has interpreted it, the typins research was to be continued by currying out experiments on hurse beings by infecting boolthy son lo? 4. In. C. Who the vaccioation of engentration cam impates or prisoners In a concentration compa discussed at all in the conferences? A. 500 Q. In the course of such a discussion was your contact to institutos of the Weffen-SS, was it the subject of the Giocussions? A. To. Q. If I meforatend you correctly, your tout was fulfilled when you pointed out the seriousness of the situation in the Erst to the Honeland one whom you pointed out to the that the erry by norms of its institutes and its vaccines was unable to five any of it to the Horsland? A. You. Q. Do you know that paragraph 6 of the second decement mentions the fact that Dr. Ding is alleged to have attended this circle of persons which is not mentioned in the Ding Diery itself? Have you discussed this quostion of ony time with Dr. Ding! 2902

13 Fabili-JP-11-3-Patty-JP Court I 4. To. L Is the mound Dietach document Professor Rose has been mentioned. Did you Alsouse this question with him? As I have mover discussed it with him at any time. Q. Move on a production as Defense Counsel. I would like to not you the following questions Will you plot o read the last contones of the first outry which states: "Since winel experiment once but permit a sufficient evaluation, the experiments must be carried out on human beings." You lot un ensure that this statement had been made in your discussions ir this way. How would you have interpreted it? As If I rend over the sentence and I do not know anything about the incidents as they have been presented by the Presentian, as they are state in the decement here, I would not find maything at all which would cause no to be shocked because I would assume that the word forporiment", which more and over spens to an unfortunate word - he solely states that the mass experiment and the mass use of human beings must take place in arior to finally reach the clasification about its offectiveness as a proventative on the whole which was still lacking, and I can point out in this respect that is an article by Geheinrat Otto, who in 1930 discovered this vaccine and who writes in this article: The Veigl vaccine which is being produced in Erokow, in China and still in some other city, has also dy proved itself for many years even on a large-scale use on human beinge. The or palk vaccine had proved itself effective in laboratory experiments and in experiments on animals. The practical experience to a large extent is still lacking. That was written in an article watch cames from the and of 1942 or the first part of 1945. Q. In it correct that this Otto vaccine was produced in 1941, 142, 143 and so on? A. I can only actually speak about 1941. Q. Was it only to a small extent? A. You, by the Bohring Works, by the Entert Kech Institute and by Dr. Otto himself. 2903

- Q. I shall now present a dodrest. The Presention eshed: I only went to lower the following from you in this connection; it is possible that a waccine of this kind also proves itself in relatively large individual experiments with three or four handred people. Does this individual experiment not offer proof of the fact that it expect possibly be dangerous in a large-scale experiment?
  - . I have not understood the Ins" part of your question.
- Q. I now the circumstance that a vaccine when it is applied to three or four hundred people in a single experiment, does it not offer proof and logist attent of the feet that it can be applied on a large scale?
- A. You, this quite applies with regards to its orapatibility, but
  we use with every vectime differentiate between the effects and its
  compatibility. With regard to the compatibility, in my coinion no doubt
  axiste express with record to the egy yelk vaccine, but people have
  different reactions to these vaccines, and if exacting of this kind is
  to be introduced with the Webstracht where millions of recepts are affected
   for exemple, until 1943 more than one million people had already
  been vaccinated with the Weight vaccine, and this was still being continued.
  [illions were being vaccinated with it, and after all, millions would
  have to be vaccinated with this new vaccine. Them I need a more positive
  and nore backle basis from a large-scale experiment which has to include
  at large one thousand people.
- Q. How did you do it with the Army Ledical Inspectarate in ather cases?
- A. First of all, as we did it with the Robrschutz vaccine, we first of all made a small experi out with ten people in the scadeny, or first of all several descents have been included, and then after the good expetibility and the harmlessness of the vaccine has been determined, a trace experiment was and with a cortain unit, and then it was exactly observed first how many people were unable to perform daty for how long or because of what complications, and then afterwards it was determined

by the argurt by means of examinations what the proventative situation was is the body of the person as a result of the vaccination, and when this result also was satisfactory besides the results of the exemptionity, then before it was introduced, I reported to my military examiners about it, and then I wanched for the fact that the people would not become sick through any complications arising from the vaccination

and that an impossization would be achieved within the time limits which all these vaccines have.

Tow, how do you explain the fact that at the beginning of 1942 the experiments were carried out in Bachenwald of which you have heard here?

A. Well, first of all, I must assume that this was done at it has been stated. However, I am not in a position tojudge that in any way because I do not have any basis for it.

- the little of the content of the prosentation of evidence do you have may objection to that?
- it so fir.
  - G. You boliove that you e must make any judgment?
- 1. No, I am only make assumptions; they are not always good to
- of in the affidevit of Belackowsky, document number 434, which is exhibit 91 of the Prescention, document book 12, page 64, you will find the following entry:

"br. brokles r. Luspasser-Gamurul of the Godient Service of the Whencht.

"hr. Contl, Pr. Poppondick, and r. Ganskon."

On this ne-onlied Chief Co mittee, persons were instructed by

on, or. Poppondick, and Dr. Gonskon..

Do you know anything about such chiof committee ?

in In connection with t is I can only report that I do not know the Buchangeld Concentration Co.p., that I do not know the Institut for Typhus Research at Suchengeld, and that I have never had any contact with it. Therefore, I cannot have been a member of any superior committee, of which I have never even her rd.

DR. WELTE: Mry it please the Trabupal, rator to conclusion of this complex, I would like to prosent the following documents:

... OF NEY: With respect to the afficient of Relter, the prosountion reserves the right specifically in this case to call the witness and subject him to cross-examination --- le is right here

2906

in Durmburg in Jail; or, as an elternative, we reserve the right to substitute cross-mifidavit from Hoiter. In order that there be no misunderstanding. I would like to have it understood that the prosecution reserves such a right with respect to any of the affidavits being subuitted, and that it will not be necessary for no to make that reservation on each occasion.

THE PRESIDENT: The presocution may have the right to either call
the affigure as a witness or to put in another affidguit or etatement by
the witness.

TR. Hellis: I only wont to point out that Professor Reiter has enswored the question that he participated in any conference, as it is exacted in the Dieg Diery, on the 29th of December, 1941. He has stated in this consection: "I have not participated in any conference with this roup of participated in any conference with this roup of participated in any conference with this

He further states that discussions about typhus did take place, but not in the way as stated in the diary. I therefore request that this document be accepted as —

of to road this on plots efficient into the roserd. He has morely paraphrased cortain portions of it. It is quite apparent, on reading the efficient as a whole, that Ecitor binself admits that he did attend a moeting on or about the date mentioned in the Ding Diary. He goes on to that there was seen indication that there high: be some suspicious experiments carried out.

I think it only fair that this affidavit he read into the record, since he is afforing it.

THE PRESIDENT: The suggestion of the Presecution is appropriate.
The untire exhibit will be read into the record.

THE NAME: "I. Professor Dr. Reiter, have been werned that I will be subject to punishment if I make a false affidavit. I declare under eath that my testiment corresponds to the truth and was given in order to be submitted in evidence to Military Tribunal

I, Muernborg, Palace of Justica.

The defense counsel of Professor Dr. Handloser, Dr. Otto Felto; has quated to me the entry in the diary of the Section for Typhus and Tirus Research of the Waffen SS, Buchenwald, of 29 December 1941, as follows, and I quote:

\*Conference of Medical Inspector, Generaloboratabserst Professor Dr. Hendlesor.

"Roich Borlin Lordor, State Secretary, SS Gruppenfuchrer Dr. Conti-

\*Promident Professor Gildereister, of the Robert Koch Institute, Beach Institution for Combetting Contegious Diseases.

WSS Standartonfusher Lecturer Dr. Brugowsky of the Hygiene Institute of the Weffon SS in Berlin.

Petability and offoctiveness of typhus vaccines ando from chicken vitalling sacc. Since calual experiments do not permit adequate evaluation, experiments an act permit adequate evaluation,

"In massor to the question of whother I perticipe od in this conformace, I declare to the best of my knowledge and belief:

ond with this requit.

"I should like to add, by way of explanation, that the typhus problem and the Campur threatening 2 -comy caused serious concern at the end of 194. Change all authorities having any responsibility for public harlish. According to my recollection, I perticipated in one meeting at the end of 1941 or the beginning of 1942 which doubts with the typhus vaccine situation.

\*This was one of the customery mootings in the Heich Ministry of the Interior logiqued to enlighten the head of the Health Section on a specific question. In general it can be said that Dr. Conti. Who called these meetings and presided over them, reached his ' \*\*\* decision independently, on the basis of the reports made to him according to the leadership principle.

Institute and Dr. Lindon were present at the meeting on the typhus vaccine situation, as far as I can recall. I cannot remember that Professor Handloser or any special SS representative attended. At this meeting Professor Goldeneister gave an orientation report to the Roich Health Lepdor, Dr. Conti, emphasizing the essential difference between the typhus vaccine produced in Land and Cracow by the Weigl method and the vaccine produced at the Habert Koch Institute in Berlin under the direction of Professor Gildeneister, according to the method of Goholmant Dr. Otto of Frankfurt-on-Main. There followed a discussion in which the effect of the tested vaccine produced by the OKH Institute in Cracow and Laway was particularly recognized, while the effect of the Otto vaccine was reported as being not so certain.

"The conference was broken off by Dr. Conti with the remark that he would discuss this question in the future with Professor Dr. Gildeneister elene.

"I did not attend any other discussion of this subject. The entry in the se-collect diery, of the Section for Typhus and Virus Research.

"In the meeting which I mentioned, no conclusion was reached, as given in the entry. This is especially true of the following sentences 'Since animal experiments do not permit adequate evaluation, experiments must be conducted on human beings.'

"Nor was maything said at this discussion of transforring experiments with typhus raccine to the SS; that is, to the Rygione Institute of the Verice SS. For did I hear snything later on about the Section for Typhus and Virus Research, nor of the name Dr. Ding."

12 Feb-15-SU-12-5-Daniels-JP

"Murmberg, 24 January 1947. Signed: Professor Dr. Reiter.

"The above efficient was signed on 24 January 1947 personally in my presence by Prefessor Dr. Reiter."

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now recoss until 1:30 o'clock.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

12 Feb 47- - DJO-13-1-Beard-(AK)
COURT I

## CORRECTED COPY

THE AMESHAL: The Tribunal is again in a ecsior.

THE PRESIDENT: At this time the Tribunal degines to announce that at the close of the session tomorrow afternoon the Tribunal will be in recess until 9:30 Tuesday morning. This is done on account of conflicts with other Tribunals, so the recess at the end of the session tomorrow afternoon will be until 9:30 o'clock Tuesday morning.

The Counsel may proceed.

DR. NELTE: ...sother document on the subject, Faubmit an affidavit of Doctor Genzken. HA-26, Document Ecok. 2, page 41. I offer this Document as Exhibit 11. It contains the statement of the defendant, Doctor Genzken, that he did not belong to any committee such as Balachowsky mentioned, and no such committee existed. I ask that this be accepted as Exhibit 11.

There are the same statements in the affidavit for Doctor Poppendick. This is Document Ha-27, in Document Book 2, page 42. It also says, "I never belonged to a committee or central committee and I do not know of any such committee or central committee." I ask that this be accepted as Exhibit 12.

The third participant in this committee was Professor Mrugowsky, and I also offer an affidavit from his as Document Ha-24, in the Document Book 2, page 25. This will be Exhibit 1°, and in agreement with the Prosecution, I will not read this affidavit, but only refer to its contents. It contains arguments to the affect that on the 29th of December 1941, no such discussion took place, and it also explains the name for Typhus and Virus Research. Hrugowsky points out that the name which was announced to the Krakow Institute did not mean there

12 Feb 47-A-DJG-13-1-Board-(AZ)

el.v.

was any official connection with the Krakow Institute I ask that this Document be accepted as Exhibit 13.

Finally I submit a Document from Professor, Doctor Otto, who has several times been mentioned by the defendant on the witness stand. He is the inventor of the Typhus vaccine from chicken eggs. The essential point of this affidavit is to prove that in 1941, the Typhus vaccine produced from the intestines of lice, according to the Weigh method, is produced at OKH Institute in Krakow, and was the best vaccine at that time and did not require

any experimentation. I submit this afficavit, Hi-44, as Exhibit 14. This afficient is in Document Book 3, which unfortunately has not yet been trans-

THE PRESIDENT: This officerit of Doctor Otto, where is it Doctor Nolto?

DR. NEINE: It is in Document Book 3 which is not yet available. It
will be Exhibit 14 and 14-a; 14-a is a special reprint from a medical journal which the defendant Professor Earthose mentioned.

E. MCENEY: The Prosecution, of course, reserves the right to object to the nonissability of this document when it is available in English. I

The President The admissability of the document will not be considered until it is before the Tribumal and examined by the Prosecution.

DR. NEIGH: Mr. Prosident, the occument is available only the translation is not yet roady.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand that, but it is not available to the Tri-

DR. SHIPE: Inco, I ask that this number on reserved for this document.
DI DR. FRITE:

4 Prifeser Findleser, you montioned the name, Gildenoister, with whom you had discussions at the end of 1941 concorning Typhus vaccines; is that true?

A You.

Frofessor Gildemoister is the Bentioned in the Bin; diary several times in the year 1942 as havin; visited Buchenwald, and Block 46. In order to clarify this, I ask you di Professor Gildemoister ever tell you of these experiments in Buchenwald, and the methods used in Buchenwald?

A Ma, nuver.

poctor Kolon, in his testimony, asserted there was a connection between the Typhus and Virus resourch at Buchenwald and the Military Medical models. He asserted that because Doctor Dim, was at the meeting of the consulting specialists in May 1943, and made a speech in which he said that there was a connection between Buchenwall and the Military Medical Leadeny.

2912

will you lease close up this untter?

- A Between the Militery Medical Lordery and the meetings, there was only one commetion; that is, the Lordery made its rooms available for the Lordery mother, asthing else.
  - Did you heard Doctor Ding's speech at the Lendery in May 19431
- .. No, this speech was given to the group of Hygiomest, and I did not participate in this group,
  - ! Wes it reported to you?
- A I heard that Rosa, in the discussion which followed, raited an obejustion, but that was not reported to no at that time.

of May 144.

Q.- To explain further a connection between Block 46 at Bucherwald and the Military Medical Academy, Dr. Kogen referred to the entry in the Ding diary which speaks of the control, the testing of the blood conserves. I will read you only one of the total of three entries. "22 September '43: Test of blood serum preserves. 16 January '44: on behalf of the Military Medical Academy, 18 sepules of blood serum were tested on 18 experimental subjects. 17 February '44: records of works sent off." Then there is another such entry on the 25th of January '44 and in the 22nd

Can you say anything about this, that is, about the fact that the Military Medical Academy sens blood serum to Buchenwald to be tested on homan beings there?

A.- No, I can say nothing about that. I did not know of the event or the reasons for it.

DR. NaLTE: Mr. Problemt, at this point I should like to submit the affidavit of Prof. Fr. Konrad Lang as NA-38 in Document Book 2, page 56. Dr. Lang, whom I seked for an affidavit as the only request I had to make of him, answered the following:

"I, Konred Lang, herewith state under both the following facts which I know from personal knowledge: The serum conserves produced by the Army Medical Academy were supplied to the army from 1941 an and later also to the many and the Waffen SS. All physicians using it were instructed to report shout the therapeutic success, the compatibility, and possible incidence so that experiences could be collected. Therefore, a question-naire was attached to each ampule. When filled out, it had to be returned to the Military Midical Academy directly. In addition to that, some university clinics, municipal hospitals and army hospitals currently received ampules for scientific testing and research. Also, all medical offices

12 Feb-A-MA-14-1-Blakley (FL)
Court No. I

which were supposed to collect their own experiences before application on a large scale received aspules for experimental purposes.

The Military Medical Agademy did not commission the Waffen SS to test serum conserves on prisoners. The entry in the Ding Diary that serum conserves were to be tested upon request of the Military Medical. Academy and again that in the examination findings were to be sent away I can only a plain by stating that all offices which used serum conserves were generally instructed to report on success, compatibility, and possible incidence. The Military Medical Academy mover received any reports which showed that they examated from concentration camps. I learned from conversations that the SS carried out on its own initiative the development of blood conserves and blood substitutes.

The good compatibility and durability of the serum conserves produced by the filitary Medical Academy was proved by 1941 and documented in scientific publications. From the many thousands of reports, one did not learn of a single case which showed permanent damage to health or a fatality caused by these serum conserves.

"I have read the one page of this affidavit carefully and signed it with my own hand..." and so forth. It is certified by a notary on the 15th of January 1947.

I ask that this be accepted as Exhibit 15.

Q.+ Now, I come to the individual cases where it must be examined as to what your connection was as the chief of the schrmacht Medical Service with the research conducted in the branches of the schrmacht. The individual branches of the schrmacht, as we know, had their own research institutes. You have spoken about this already. You have said that these were primarily specific experiments. Will you please tell me briefly what your powers were on the basis of the decree of 1942 in the field of research which we have not yet mentioned?

A.- It is nowhere set down precisely. Even after 1944 there was never any authorization or influence from me as chief of the Muhrmacht Mudical Service on the specific fields of research of the Luitwaffe and

12 Fob-A-MA-14-2-Slakley (FL)

the many. Those were fields which were specifically connected with those branches of the cohrmacht and were thus actomatically eliminated from my duties, which

12 Feb 47\_A MAA\_14\_3\_Blakley (MSD) Court No. 1 were to coordinate the joint fields of work. I can, therefore, only say that I was neither informed about the work of these branches of the Wehrencht nor did I have any supervision or other influence on this work. Q But now it has been shown that the Luftwaffe, for example, carried on research which one cannot call specific Luftwaffe problems, for example, the typhus question. What is your viewpoint on this question? A Scientific medical research is a subject which is not subject to military orders. It was never the intention and under the decree of '44 it would not have resulted that the chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service was to prevent work's being done in general fields of reserach by anyone interested and capable; that he was to be eliminated by an order that he could not do it; that all others could do it. My assignment of 144 is to be interpreted to seen that unneccessary duplication, where it is absolutely clear, and the use of personnel and materials unnecessarily, are to be sveided as far as possible. I was to consult the medical phiefs of the various branches of the Wehrmacht and their scientific consultants and find whether and how we could contralize the matter. Q If I understand you correctly, you did not have the duty in such a case of coordinating but you could have coordinated if it had been expedient for this field of research. A First, if I had learned of it and, second, if according to my information I had had the impression that this was unnecessary duplication, then I would have tried to prevent this duplication. Q Did you learn of the typhus research which the air force cerried on? A No. 4 I must point out to you that in the typhus document book 2915

12 Feb 47 A MAL 14 5 Blakley (MSD) Court No. 1

experiments are mentioned, conducted by Prof. Haagen, Strasbourg, on behalf of the air force and the Reich Besearch Council. Do you remember that?

A Yes.

Q Please take this decement and look at Page 77 in this document book.

That is Dogument ND-306, Exhibit 296 of the presecution. It is a letter from Prof. Rose to Prof. Haagen.

- A. May I ask for the page again?
- Q. Page 77, Page 74 in the English document book. The Prosecution believes that they see in this letter a connection with you, as chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, to the typhus research in Strassbourgh. What do you have to say about that?

A. It says here that the inspector of the medical service of the air force is to approach the chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service with the request to have typhus vaccines produced for the Wermacht. I can only say that the application made to the inspector was not realized. It did not come to my attention as the chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service. This suggestion to vaccinate the whole Eastern Front is new to me. 12 Peb 47-4-FIC-15-2-Cook Court No. 1

These cold experiments concorned the adaptetion to cold in the nounteins for pountein troops. As far as I know they were carried out exclusively on perce soldiers. I did not become compe that any of them suffered any harm from the experiments.

Nr. President, in this composition, I should like to subult Document N. 17. This is an excerpt from an essay concorning investigations on adaptation to cold.

Document, HA 29, it is in document book 3, document HA 49, which is in document book 3, and is not yet available to the Tribunal. This article which was written by Dr. Dreter, who has been Lanticued severel times here, concorns the experiments in the Mountain Physiclopical Institute at the Mountain Modical School at St. Johann, which we major the defendant, Professor Hendlower, and contains an exact description of how these experiments were conducted, and it states that they were conducted on our own people, our own soldiers. With the customery reservation, I nok that this article, which the author contioned in an affiderit by Dr. Erapor, I ask that it be our itted as Erhibit No. 17. Now the Prosecution has presented an excerpt from the report of the neutine concerning winter distress and distress at sea on the 26th and 27th of Octobor, 1942, in Nursters. I shall have you shown the document book on the cold experiments. It is D cumunt No. 401, exhibit 35 of the Proceeding, and in Document Book 3, Two 96. You will find there a list of the perticipents in this mouting. In this mooting, Dr. Kremer fra St. Johnan's ---

THE ITEMPET That was the number, planes, the number of the document?

DR. WELTE: Document 401, Exhibit 93, page 79. It is a Prosecution Document,

your Honor, Document Book No. 3, page 79.

## BY IN. PELIET

- 7. Did you over receive a report on the lecture of Professor Helalochner at this meeting?
  - A No.
- n Following this mosting there seems to have been contact between Dr. Kremer, as the officer of the Army Mountain Medical School and Dr. Rancher, the well haven experimenter at Dachau. "as anything reported to you or did you learn

12 700 47-A-FJO-15-4-Cook gourt No. 1

Only now did I learn from Document No. 1519-PS that Dr. Rescher pictured those ideas as his own and that he claimed that I desired to ecoperate with him. I pointed out I sent this document to Dr. Braner at St. Johann.

Win St. Johann, we conducted experiments as to the adjustment to cold using currentwes and soldiers of the Arry Mountain Medical School as experimental subjects, as described in the attached study taken from the Clinical Wookly Beview."

That is the document which I submitted.

Curt I

when eiter Dr. Haseher's letter of 12 fevember 1942, no further emmeetion with Dr. Mascher or coollaboration with him, which could have been mrranged only through the any ledies! Inspectarate, was accomplished. As far as I remarker that letter was never inspected.

which further colliboration water ir. Bracker wanted was a special to consist of im. Beacher berrowing ectantific mentions and tools from the institution at St. Johann. I preticularly resultent bor that he asked whether it might be possible to make available a Heldane appraises for the analysis of respiratory games. The loan of any appliances from our institute would have necessitated a written request from Dr. Buscher which and to be substitted for decision to the many effect Inspectarate. Such an application of Dr. Buscher, as for as I show, mover received St. Johnan sourcepes It was necessary to and a report to the analy fedical Inspectarate. Notice it is substitled for provided the Barntong configures to the large Finical Inspectation.

of informat Dr. Schaufur, which that the was my commender, on the convergetion with Dr. Resoner and his later to me.

"In concluse a I would like thin to phasise that at no time only collaboration between Mr. Rascher and the matrix Physiological Institute to the army countrie added School. Wich I counted, was roughly bout because we did not desire it nor apply for it."

I hak the this affidavit bemoupted as addit 18.

The most exhibit is the iffidavit of Dr. Schnofer, the Commanding Officer of the addical School - Document 34-42 - Document Book II, page 66.

THE PRINCE THIS document reports on pric 56 of Pandloser Decement Book II.

Prisocution objects to its remissibility on the grounds that it is not sworn

to per contificate force a Netwer robbie of Dr. Falta as defense a unsel.

The Hillier I do not the whether it. Johnney has seen this cortificate of the M tary Incoloch on the riginal. The arritarist is cortified as follows:
"I cortified herewith the shows summer of Dr. med. with in Otto Schooler of Bad Salahomson who identified himself by has no forces Identity Cord, D like Obl.

Court I

issule by the landretsent in Bucklinger, 30 Suptember 1946. Widin, Oberhaussen, 19 January 1947.

the but since defense sounsel chase to make such a large issue of this motter of sworm statements and the like during presentiants exact in chief, we are compelled to object to the national of this document. No eath was administered in so for as it appears in this statement, in a is there anything which indicates that the contribute output had the right to administer an eath, but alone that one was administered. We have afford a veral documents which were contified in exactly the same marker which were objected to and excluded on the same grounds I as now arging to the Tribumal.

DR. NEITE: It is correct that this accumult is not sween. The heading above this decument reads: "Affidavit". It a stinuon, "Open the request of Dr. Nelto, before Commel if the former concreteborstaborst Prof.

Hendloser, I ank the following statements "That is, in general, according to reles white in Hermany sufficient to resignate the documents on affidavit.

If the Court wishes that a further on rest at temperature be made in this form
"I herewith declare upon onth" then I ask that this document be received to porarily and that a special statement from Dr. Schoofer is submitted later that
no has ende this statement expressly as an affidavit.

THE PASSITERY: The Tribunal will recept the cambbit pr visionally subject to it being later shown that the attribute was swent to or was the in liou of sub under possition of false swearing a pergury. It may be marked "pr visi sally accepted".

M. Mill'E: The decument reads as all was

JUES' S'ENFO: What is the exhibit mubur of time downert?

DR. MAICE: Admibit 19, December Elsk II, page 66. Dr. Schooler anker the following statement:

\*1. The army / untrin hadic-1 Servel, when I commonded, was directly sub-related to the ONH/Law Madical Inspectation. From its organisms

Court I

Constallabourstabsuret Profi Dr. Handloser was army medical Inspector until in-

- \*2. We detablished this school and laid down its principles. It existed from January 1952 until the capitalnoism.

12 Feb 47-A-FJO-16-14-Gross-(AK)

Group of the School in the Mountain Physiological Institute by specialists in the field of physiology. The head was the former Oberstabszrzt Dr. med. habil. Hans-Dietrich Gromer.

24. Each and overy scientific problem resulting from the Mountain service was submitted by me to the Army Medical Inspectorate for approval. This made it impossible that any official connections to other research establishments could have existed without my knowledge as commanden of the Army Mountain Medical School and therefore, without the knowledge of the Army Medical Inspector.

"5. A collaboration between the school I conducted and Dr. Rascher never existed. Never did I issue order concerning the establishment of contacts to Dr. Rascher, whom I did not know. Any relations of Dr. Cremer to Dr. Rascher (Compare the file notes of Dr. Rascher).....\*

This is the same document which Dr. Gremer mentions.

"therefore must have been of merely private nature. It is therefore impossible that any contacts between Handloser and Rascher could have been maintained through my school.

"6. Cold experiments were conducted at my school under scientifically correct, medically sthical conditions; they were performed with animal experimental subjects and physicians and medical personnel who had volunteered for that purpose. The method and the results of this research work were published in a special issue of the "Clinical Weekly Review" which came out in the Fall of 1944. I am in a position to name at any time a number of German Scientists who can give information about the scientific, medical and ethical methods of this school which I conducted under the supervision of the Army Medical Inspector."

12 Fub 47-A-FJC-16-1a-Gross-(AX)

Now I submit this document as Exhibit 19. The next document I do not want to read. If the Prosecution agrees, it is the affidavit of Generalarzt Hartleben who belonged to the Organizational Section of the Army Medical Inspector, Professor Handloser.

I asked Dr. Hartleben to speak about conditions at the Army Mountain Medical School and the connections between this school and the Medical Inspectorate. He does so in an affidavit, document HA-40, in Document Book II, page 60, which I submit as exhibit 20.

12 Feb 47-A-F-C-16-2a-Gross-(AK)

The question of malaria experiments was settled by our examination this morning. I should like to ask the Tribunal whether under these circumstances I may submit an affidavit which I obtained by way of precaution from Professor Rodenwaldt whom the defendant Professor Handloser mentioned several times. He was his specialist on malaria questions and he stated in an affidavit that experiments in the field of immunication against and the treatment of malaria new drugs on and with human beings were not conducted. He goes into detail. This is in Document Book II on page 1. In this case I would have to ask that I may correct this to the form described by the Tribunal later. This affidavit was given at a time when the ruling of the Court had not yet been made known, at least not at the moment when I addressed Professor Rodenwaldt. I ask that I may be allowed to submit this as exhibit 21 provisionally and I will submit the new form later.

12 Feb 47-A-SW-17-1-Lesser-(AK) I TRUCO THE PRESIDENT: That is the proposed number of this exhibit, Counsel? DR. NALTE: Exhibit 21. THE PRESIDENT: The exhibit may be previously admitted, subject to the form being later made to conform to the Tribunal's rule. . BY DR. WITE: A. Now we come to the Lose Gas experiments. I will show you Document Book 14. I refer to Document MO 087. I do not know the exhibit number. It is in Document Book No. 1', on page 19, Mr. President. This is a report which Professor Hirt sent to the Ahmonorbe which Dr. Elevers presented to the Reichsfuebrer SE. (Prac 20 in the English Document Book). Do you know Profesior Hirt? A. I never saw him and I never talked to him. Q. This report, which I showed to you once before, NO 097, page 19 is a report which Professor Birt makes about a report which he had proviously made to en Army Agency and that laster report is the one I would be interested in, in connection with you. Do you know anything about such a reporty A. No. I cannot see here what the date of the report Was. Q. The report which you have before you is of the year 1942. Have you found it - 40 097 - it is the enclosure to a lettery A. Yes, I have found it. Yes, "Secret Report about" eta. Q. Yos. This report before you was made in the year 1842. This is shown by the letter which Dr. Sievers writes. A. I do not know this report. 2927

12 Fob 47-A-SW-17-1-Lesser-(AK)

Q. In this report which you should look at, reference is made to a report which Hirt made in 1940 when he was still a Vehrmacht hedical Officer to a Generalarzt, a supprior of his at that time, and he reports here about expiriments which he performed on Lost in the year 1979 until May 1940. He writes that in 1940 he had to interrupt this work because he was called to the front at the beginning of the war against France. If you were to read this report you would see.... I do not believe, Mr. President, that it will be

1. Fob 47-A-SW-17-2-Lesser-(AK)

necessary for him actually to read this report. I spoke of it in my opening statement but I pointed out that the report speaks only of animal experiments and experiments on 2 cadets at the Military Medical Academy. The only thing important here is the following page 3 of the report; it says: "A trunsfer of these experiments to human-beings could not take place because I had to return to my unit at the beginning of the Offensive against France. The Prosecution refers to this sentence and intends to prove with this that a medical office of the Army knew about medical experiment --Lost gas experiments. On human beings and instigated them or at least knew of them. Will you please say what you have to say about this matter?

A. First I must say about this report that I did not know of the incident but since 2 cadets are mentioned and since he says that in 1939 or 1940 he was at the Academy, it is clear to me that he saw the emportments there which I might pay were almost constantly concerned on our cadate, that is, the medical students, under an applications by which the Achdony had to find a merhod for treating Loat datage to the numen skin. There were dozens, perhaps many dozens of endets who volunteered for this experiment until , I don't know whether it was 1942 or 1947, the desired cintment was finally discovered. I must conclude "lat if Lr. Hirt in 1979 and 1940, that is at a time when I did not get have anything to do with the Academy, if he participated in such experiments on andets or watched them, then the concluding sentence here that he was occupied with now experiments on rate and that he wanted to transfer such testing of treatment to human-beings, was again a question of volunteers, in any case with us in the Academy. Therefore I am not able to draw any conclusions from

12 Fab 47-A-SW-17-2-Lesser-(AK)

this sentence. I can only refer to the fact that the Academy conducted

these experiments to 'iscover a Lost cintment on volunteer students and that mentions these 2 calets in the year 1939 or 1940.

- ? The report made in 1940 you did not receive this report?
- A I could not have received it because in 1940 I had nothing so do with the Act have
- It might be possible that the Generalaset to when the report was give in 1960 was your subordinate as kruy Arab - you did not learn of it in that equally either?

A No.

Q Now the Document NO 372, Document Tock 13, Page 1, has been substitted by the Prosecution technic there are no other forments in Document Book 13.

THE TRESIDENT: If Comment our ive the Tribunal the exhibit numbers of these becoments which have already been addited, it will be convenient.

Di. NELTE: No. 202. As I inve already said, Document Book 13,

In a Milition to the report already mentioned, which you the not know, there is also Document WO 372, an afth heat from the lefen and Recolf Brandt, who says that in a Micion to Earl Brandt and the other present mentioned, the Boser and Rosetock must have known of these experiments. I therefore ask you, it' you learn of any best Gas experiments much as were the published of the Presecution's case here?

A Non

Dr. NELTE: It's President, in this connection I submit an affiliavit from Dr. Rudolf Branks, Roomant Each in Document Dock 2, page 3, as Emblish 22, Rudolf Branks payer

"In my difficult intel in detailer 1916 (Domint MO-372)

I testified to my allered knowle is of the LOST (Master) (as experiments on human subjects. The last prograph subsequent to this testimony reads as follows:

tin a bition to Mari Franct and the other persons contioned above, Bun loser and Restock must have had knowled a of those experiments.

"Concerning this I declare the following:

"My statement in this case is a more assumption which I pronounced only in reply to a corresponding question of the interrogator. I can give no factual data for this assumption. I have never had any associations, neither official for private, with Professor Handloser."

I ask that this affidavit be accounted as Exhibit 22.

O Professor Han'l sor, in the various counts of the indictment it is reportedly said, and again in the Lost Day Experiments, that your responsibility can be deduced from the fact that the experiments were conducted in the interests of the Webrancht, I should like to ask you to may whether any experiments conducted here of which it is said they were conducted on behalf of the Webrancht, necessarily had any connection with the acceptes of the Webrancht as institution or as paradelymats?

12 Feb 47-EBH-18-1-Hochan-(AK)

- A. I am considering what example one should give. One must realize that, for example, in the whole field of communicable diseases that the Webrmacht particularly during the war was especially interested; but these are fields where even unior normal direumstances and especially addr the living conditions, which became constantly worse during such a large war, the whole state is equally interested. As I have already said, that not only the research workers of the Mohrmacht were interested, but every research worker who conscientiously took an interest in such things - and I should like to add that formerly the Vehrmacht was prodominately interested in the things and problems dealing with wounds, but in this war that was changed completely. Through the air raids, which had existed for years and were constantly increasing, which one could compare with a heavy barrage. of gun-fire on the civilian population, the fectors at home were equally interested in wounds, injuries, and burns as the doctors at the front, so that ill Lly one can say not only in the field of internal medicine and the field of communicative diseases, but also in the entire fiste of injuries the interest was definitely a common one and one can no longer specify Wohrmeont intorest.
- Q. You take as an example opidation, which are probably especially convincing, but I should like to some back to
  your statement of this morning where you spoke of the group
  of people for whom you as chief of the Wehrmacht Redical
  Service and as army necical Inspector had to carry medically.
  You said that first they consisted of the soldiers, members
  of the army; 2, the relatives of the soldiers at home; 3, the
  occupied territories and the population and 4, Prisoners of
  War.

12 Feb 47-EBH-18-1-Neehan-(AK)

and particularly in the charge against Professor Handloser

12 Feb 47-EHH-18-2-Meehan-(AK)

I want to prove that he, as Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, was obligated to care for the Prisoners of War. I have gathered the byidence for this and ask that it be accepted. It is first, Document HA-5, Document Book No. 1, Page 27. This is an excerpt from the War Medical ordnance of the Army. It deals with the medical service in Prisoner of War camps. I offer this as Exhibit No. 28.

Then, I submit secument Ha-5-A in Document Book No.1, Page 29. This shows that the duty of the medical officers in the rear areas was to care for the civilian population and the Prisoners of Var. I offer this Document as Exhibit No. 24.

HA-6. Document Book No. 2, Page 4. It contains a general presentation of the observations of Generalarit Dr. Penner of the defendant Handloser. I do not want to offer that in this connection, but I should like to some back to the latter. The reason why I mention it is the fact that Dr. Penner points out that Professor Handloser issued orders corresponding to humans treatment and dealing with the medical care to be given the population of the occupied territories. With the approval of the Prosecution, I should like to offer this Document as Exhibit 25 without reading it.

And now I have two special Documents of the Swedish Colonel, Dr. v. Erlach - Ha-7 in Document Book 2, Page 7. This Document I should not like to read in its entirety, but only insofar as it contains the question, which we have dealt with, the treatment given the Prisoners of War and Professor Handloser's connection with this matter.

Dr. v. Erlach, who was chairman of the Medical Commis-

12 Feb 47-EBH-18-2-Mechan (AK)

sion in Germany from the summer of 1940 until the late fall of 1944, knew conditions in Germany. He limits his testimony

12 Feb 47-256-18-%-Nechan-(AK)

since he deals with three nationalities and not the Russians. He says:

The medical care given to the prisoner; of war in Gercan Prisoner of War Camps and hospitals for prisoners of
war can generally be called good. The effects of the participation and use of 'enony doctors' for taking care of the
POV's was particularly beneficial. Cooperation between the
German camp doctors and the enemy doctors was gratifying in
general. In the course of the war, the German camp doctors
and the enemy doctors was gratifying in general. In the course
of thewar, the German camp doctors were professionally used
also outside the camps to take care of the civilian population, and had, therefore, become overburdened with work to
much an extent that the prisoners of war were in many cases
also st exclusively in the care of enemy doctors.

modical cars given to the prisoners of war in the various Services Commands. This circumstant: "Learly showed how very much depended also in this case on the personality of the medical officer in charge of such a command, and of his assistant handling matters concerning Prisoners of War. Deficiencies observed were regularly communicated to the representatives of the armed Forces High Command, the prisoner of war organization, to the medical inspectorate, as well as to a representative of the Foreign Office, at the closing medical of the mixed commission. The medical inspectorate, which subsequently became the Medical Services of the proof Forces, often

12 Feb 47-A-JP-18-1a-Mechan-&AK)

issued special instructions to the medical officers in charge of Service Commands during the time until the next meeting of the mixed commission, in order to remove the deficiencies which had been criticized at the closing meeting.

"It must, therefore, be stated that Generaloberstzsarzt Prof. Dr. Handleser emitted nothing to improve the secical care of the prisoners of War during the World War - 1939 - 1945."

Thon it goes on to say:

The second question: "Did the medical care -considering the possibilities existing in Germany - correspond to the provisions of the Geneva convention and to the principles of generally practiced medical treatment of human beings?"

The mawer:

"As soon as the provisions of the Geneva Jonvention had become known to all German agencies concerned, the Medical care in Germany corresponded in general with the principles of the Geneva Convention.

"The chairman of the mixed medical commissions were able to state that good will provailed and that efforts were made to observe the provisions of the Geneva Convention.

"The General observable and Frof. Dr. Handliser always favored a medical - humans transmit of the Prisoners of Jer. As a consequence of the development of the war in the direction of a 'total war' in particular, however after risoners of Mar questions same under Himmier's jurisdiction in July 1944, the fate of Prisoners of Var became considerably worse also in its medical - human aspects. This applied in particular to members of enemy air forces. The Z-raying of Prisoners of Mar was limited to a minimum owing

12 Fab 47-4-JP-18-1a-Mechan-(AC)

to the shortage of maray films, in the same way, the supply of the Prisoners of War with artificial teeth broke down progessively.

But I would like to state explicitly that this coulded turn for the worse in the face of the Prisoners of War occurred completely outside Generaloberstabsarzt Professor Dr. Handloser's jurisdiction."

12 Pub--- JP-13-20-Huchan

Curt I

I will not road the answer to Sumber throu, because it o nears the personality of Dr. Wandloser; I will do that Inter, With reference to this .

Decument, I effect this statement of Professor Dr. v. Erlach as Exhibit No. 26.

THE P: SIDENT: The Tribunal will new be in recess.

(A recess was taken.)

12 Feb 47-A-DJG-20-1-Putty-(AK)

THE MARSHAL: The Priordel is again in nession.

DR. HELTE: I now want to offer to the Tribunal Document No. Hard in document book No. 2 on page 70, and I offer to as Exhibit 27. This is an enswer to the questions to Johnnel Brinner as known to an Eurick, a physician with known conditions in Germany as a result of his official task.

If the Presention does not put thy special emphasis on 16, I do not want to read the anevers, because in general the unevers contain the same judgment which was also expressed by Dr. von Erlack and which I have already presented. The only shirs or important: so " : he be in question number 2 that the medical care was in accordance with the Genera convention and interpretated like that human medical treatment was given. Fir any case, as long at I worked in Germany as chairmen of a medical commission from June, 1949 to 1942.

In this case also I would like to later on road the character judgment of Professor Tudener, that is, when Professor Handloser is not on the values stand engage I now offer this document as Inhabit 27 and request that it so admitted in evidence.

BY DR. MILTER

Troferror fundioner, I am now hundling to you document book number 10 about sufferential experiments. In this book in page 94 you will find Document No-47% which is contained in document book number 10, Abbidit 254. In this document No. 472 on page 94 there is an arricavit by ur. Fincher.

DR. NELTE: It is or page 96 of the English document book. Because of paragraph 7 of this affiliavit, the Frescoution has presented it in the accusation and in the case against Humiloser, and paragraph 7 states: 12 Feb 47-A-DJG-20-1-Putty-(AX)

"When the sulfanilamide experiment started, I was told by Professor Gebhardt, my military and medical superior, that these experiments were carried out by order of the Chief of the Medical Office of the Wehrmacht and the Chief of the Medical Office of the State."

Q. Did you as Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service or in any other capacity give such an order to Professor Gebhardt, or did you pass it on from a higher agency, according to which the experiments carried out by Dr. Schmardt, Oberhauser and Piecher were ordered?

12 Feb =7-A-PKF-21-1-Seard-(AK)

May it please the Tribunal, I am now presenting two affidavite by Dr. Gobhardt and Dr. Fischer. This is K.-B, in-Document Book 2, page 12, and I offer it as Exhibit 28. Dr. Fritz Fischer states in this offidavit: "I have signed in effidavit which in the present trial has been submitted as ovidence by the Prosecution. " In paragraph 7 of this affidavit it is stated, and then follows what I have just read. and. I continue with the affidavit of Fischer! "This affidavit originated under circumstances which I am going to explain in detail whom I shall be questioned on the witnose stand. In reply to Professor Dr. Handloser's Defunsa Counsel. I declare that it is not correct to say in the iffidavit Professor Dr. Sebhardt had told me that the experiments must be performed by order of the chief of the Medical office of the armed Forces. Nor did I make such a statement. I must emphasize in this connection that the interrogation took place in the English language, and that the above affidavit dated 21st October 1946, represents a summary of a long interrogation record. This summary, which was drawn up by members of the Prosecution Staff, was submitted to me ready for signature. I; myself, don't master the English language sufficiently well to have myself noted this misunderstanding, but since I have, myself, no knowledge of such an order of the chief of the Medical Services of the armed Forces, Professor Dr. Handloser, I hereby rectify Item seven of my affidavit, dated 21 October 1946.

I request that this Document be admitted as Exhibit 26.

In the affidavit, H.-9, Document Book 2, Page 14, Which I am presenting as Exhibit 29, Dr. Gebhardt has statud the

12 Feb 47-A-PKP-21-1-Beard-(AK)

Fischer that these experiments were being carried out by order of the Chief of the Medical Office of the Wehrmacht. In this connection Dr. Fischer must have made a mistake, or the affidavit dated 21 October 1946 must have been based upon a misunderstanding of the facts given by Dr. Fritz Fischer. At any rate, I am not aware of any such order from the Chief of the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht, Professor Dr. Handloser. Nor did I discuss it with Professor Dr. Handloser the beginning of this experiment. The experiments for testing the sulfamamides were carried out on Hitler's and Himmler's orders, upon direct instructions from the Reich Physician SS and Police, Dr. Grawitz."

I request that this affilmvit be scaltted as Exhibit 29.

- Q. That was Profussor Gabharit's connuctions to you?
- and, noither as army hedical Inspector not as Chief of the Wehrmacht Modical pervise in he stand in any official connection with me.
- that gon man participated in the ten years' anniversary at Hebenlychen: is that correct?
- not participate at the ten years! emiversary colouration nor did I know emything about it. I never receive any invitation to attend it.
- A. I lid not have any official contact; however, I must state here that the following incident occurred: It may probably have been in the year of 1942 when Conti or Gobhardt, I do not remember to only any mans, even to see me in my office at Borlin, and they requested to enter the huratorium of some nerving home at hoberdychem. I would not be spending my time to much in any other way, but after the son had suggested Dr. walkingmy, since he had been in the huratorium; they also empired to desire to that I, as a successor, would also enter the resp. I had no enuse not to emply with the request, in there was no cause for any objection on my part.
  - . will you participate in my meeting of the Kur torium, this committee?
- A. I have not porticipat in any discussion not have I ever received an invitation to obtain such a conference.
- C. The Proposition has state here, in connection with the Conference of Consulting Physicians in 1944, has asserting Esh algebra here as the center of the Mr. Can you state engthing in connection with it?
- The form make the following at tement: I cannot un'erstand this coinion cause prior to the wor Hobenlychen was a well known h said I center which, of for an I know, was also well known utside of Germany, an where it was all circles of the population, potionts were accepted there. And, where a we all, an error was a retired in special fields, in where special conficures was fined in this field. As for as I can require no from my memory, I can state

that we, in the Wohrmocht, sanctimes were really the that our fficers, those included hit bufficers, whose relatives if, for example, they were suffering ir a d int disease no where they mode operati us, they did not a into ar hospitals; for amongle, in Berlin we had an excellent surgical specialist, but they believed they could only on helped at E monlychen, This applied also to inturies occurred in sports, especially injuries to the joints of the knows. An , mout the center of the SS, I understand any institution which works strictly occording to the political practice of the SS, but which is not a assistal with three hundred civilian actionts in a all classes of the population, in with three an' four hundred w unded and sick soldiers of the Cohrmacht, and furthermore on white med three hundred injured on sick patients of the afformss are located, what I want to any is, that according to the whole constall ti n, I as not firm my souse to see that thehespital at Hehenlychen was the center of the SS. In order to make this statement complete, I bly want to we'll have that I have seen Hahenlychen for the first time; that I have visite as werel stations there on the secusion of the Conference of the o meultin Physicians in Cay 1944.

12 Feb -A-JP-22-1-Blakley-(AK)

The facilities there, especially these for the subsequent treatment of severe injuries and where arms and
legs were again restored to activity, were so impressive
to me that later in 1944 I sent my son there. He had been
severely injured in the Caucasus and was severely hampered
in breathing because of four of five operations in his chest.
I also brought him to Hoberlychen because, I have later on
repeated, I could not have round my better institution for
that purpose; and I really would had quite a number of
hospitals at my disposal for my son.

- Q. Why was Hohenlychen selected for the conference in May 1944?
- A. It was selected for the following reasons. The previous conferences took place in the Military Medical Academy at Berlin; also the conference of May, 194%. Ever after the air attacks on Berlin in the fall of 194%, which increased to an enormous extent every month, the Military Medical academy had suffered some sort of damage in everyone of the air attacks. After the revere attacks in the middle of February and in March and in April of the year 1944 the academy had been damaged to such an extent that it could not be utilized at all my more. In addition to this, because of the constant air marms, even if no actual attacks were taking place, there would have been no possibility in Berlin or in its vicinity to held any meeting there where after all two hundred to three hundred physicians had to be billeted there for a period of two days.

Therefore, I was confronted by the problem when I came to Berlin on one occasion in april of actually not knowing where I should go for this conference whose preliminary work had already been concluded. At that time Frof. Gebhardt

12 Feb 47-A-JP-22-1-Blakley-(AK)

offered Honenlychen to me; and I really was very glad to accept this offer which later on was confirmed by the Reichsfuehrer. Honenlychen was about ninety kilometers from Berlin; and around the vicinity there, not only in the vicinity of the hospital but throughout the region, no bomb had ever been dropped; and we actually were able undisturbedly to carry on the conference from the 15h to the 22nd. That was the only reason why this conference took place at Hohenlychen.

12 Feb 47-A-JF-22-2-Blakley-(AK) COURT I Q. At the conference at Hohenlychen in 1944 how many reports were presented A. Outside of the printed report, 168. Q. How many of these were by 38 physicians? A. Three. Q. On Page 96 of the document book you will find NO-619 about the meeting and conference at Hohenlychen. This is Exhibit 236. About this list of the participants Dr. Fischer states in one of his affidavits that the lists contained in Document 619 are representative lists of all these who participated in all four conferences. Is that correct? A. No. In this case it was forty. In this case Fischer is mistaken. The participants in the four conferences were only in part the same; and probably it should not be a representative list but lists of these present. Q. I think that it should be a representative list; that those participants actually represent the conspirators .. in their entirety who regularly attended the conferences of the consulting physicians. Perhaps Dr. Fischer has not mount it in this way; but that is the way in which it was presented here. A. Well, this is completely out of the question bucause the participants in these conferences which took place participated for the following reasons. The Wehrmacht branches ward able to express their wishes toward the subjects to be discussed as well as those who were working the speaches. Then these people who had to be there were selected by me either because they were to give a speech or because they were told to participate in discussions or bucause they were specialists in the particular field. 2942

12 Fob 47-A-JP-22-2-51akley-(AK)

to participate in an official capacity. There were a certain number of medical officers from the medical inspectorate of the Wehrmacht branches. Then it was determined how many pursons could still be accommodated; and this number was divided into army, Wehrmacht, Luftwaffe, the Waffen SS, the labor service; and then it was left to the branches of the Wehrmacht to send whom they wanted to the places which were put at their disposal.

12 Fab 47-A-JP-22-3-Putty-(AK)

Thorefore, regular members were only those who had something in particular to do with it in their official capacity or with regard to their skill.

- Q. Now, let us refer again to the experiments at Ravensbruck. It has been determined that you did not have anything to do with the beginning and the execution of these experiments of Prof. Gebhardt and Dr. Fischer. With regard to these experiments which were carried out at Ravensbruck, were there any lectures held by Prof. Dr. Gebhardt and Dr. Fischer on the invitation of the conference in May 1943 at the Military Nedical Academy? Did you attend the speeches by Prof. Gebhardt?
  - A. I assume yes.
- Q. You say you are making an assumption. Don't you know that for certain?
- A. Yes. I really cannot remember the speech any more. However, it is actually impossible that I should not have been there because in the course of that conference the first day I made an official and rather long address.

  I opened the conference and then built the framework of the specialist group for surgery; and then the working session of the surgery groups commenced.

In this conference about six or seven lectures were given on sulfamiliande experiments; and I assume for certain that I attended. I cannot remember the address; I cannot remember any details. It may be that after I came from my opening speech then I occupied myself with all the other questions. I can only say one thing. It did not have an effect on me to the extent that I was especially impressed or that he should have given me any cause to reach some conclusions.

- Q Then I cannot actually address any further question to you with an objective content. Therefore, I can only ask you questions after you have received knowledge here of what has been said here. How do you explain the fact that this lecture did not cause any particular reaction of yourself. Is there any plausible explanation for that?
- A Yes, there is only one explanation, that the lecture according to it's fermation and after the description of the course of the medical measures caused the impression that they had been done in accordance with the rules of the medical profession.
- Q If after the conclusion of every lecture a discussion took place, were you present at the discussions?
  - A Wes, I me quite certain of that.
- Q Did anybody make objections then with reference to the lectures by Gebhardt and Fischer?
- As I gather from the report of Dr. Schweiss, speaking on the subject immediately afterwards, and at the conclusion of the sulfance limide lectures there were about six or seven, to which those of Gabhardt and Fischer also belonged, about six or seven people spoke in the course of the discussion.
- Q Did not any complaint reach you subsequently through official channels or any voice of opposition?
- A Neither efter the lecture, not in the recess which was called, nor during the lunch which was also taken in the feedemy or in the course of the day or the following day or any other time nobody approached no in any way, who expressed that he had the impression that something unjust was being done here which would call for opposition.
- Q Had the lecture by Debhardt and Fischer been submitted to you before the conference?
- A No, that would have been somewhat difficult because in the first half of the month of May, 1963, I carried out inspections in Italy, Greece and Crete, and then I returned to Berlin and I stayed there for

a very short period of time and then I went by plane to the headquarters, and I only returned to Berlin a very short time before the
conference, but even in the course of my very brief stay in Berlin,
where I certainly asked the working staff of the conference if everything was going in order, because it was rather difficult to organize
something of this kind, and if there were any special questions,
nothing was brought to my attention, any complaint about the lectures
and about the course of the coming conference.

Q May it please the Tribunal, with regard to this complex, I request to present the following document, first of all affidavit by Professor Dr. Frey, Document HA-10, Document Book 2, page 16, which I offer as Exhibit No. 30, Professor Frey's answer to the question:

"'s far as the field of sulfonamide experiments and experiments with bone, muscle and nerve regeneration, as well as bone transplantation, is concerned, can you say from your own knowledge whether Prof.

Dr. Handloser, in his opencity as Chief of the Wehrmacht Mudical

Dr. Handloser, in his capacity as Chief of the Wehrmacht Madical Service and Army Medical Inspector, ordered experiments in contradiction to all recognised medical and scientific methods?"

The enswer reeds as follows:

"I know Prof. Dr. Handloser in his capacity as Chief of the Wehrmacht Medical Service and Army Medical Inspector. I do not know and have never heard, that he or any of his offices carried out or ordered any experiments in contradiction to recognized medical and scientific methods. According to my knowledge of the character of Prof. Dr. Handloser I cannot immagine that he ordered or even approved of such experiments."

I now request that this affidavit be admitted as Exhibit No. 30, and a further affidavit of the same Professor, in which Dr. Frey deals with the impressions during the lecture by Dr. Gebhardt and Dr. Fischer, and states here:

"In the lectures of Gebhardt and Pischer, which is mentioned in this letter of 27 of January, 1943 is contained a report about the result of - 2945 - human beings. This series was concluded and after the series had been completed a repetition of the series was out of the question.

In the lecture in question we discussed people who had been condemned to death and that experiments on concentration camp inmates were carried out against their will was not expressed. So far as I know I personally maintain the point of view that such experiments on healthy people

12 Feb 47-A-PKP-27-7-Cook-(AK)

with the intention to cause symptoms of diseases on them and to test their therapeutic influence would be prohibited by the athical rules, and that theory must be disapproved. I want to point out expressly that the next sentence is an objective subsequent opinion by Professor Frey, and he does not state any fact.

MR. McHANEY: This document which has just been read is not in the document book and I must object to it's being offered until I have an opportunity to look at it and see what it is.

THE PRESIDENT: I was going to ask counsel if the document can be found in the document book.

DR. NELTE: It is contained in document book No. 3, which has not become available as yet. The same

12 Feb 47-A-JP-27-1a-Cook-(AK)

applies to the document HA 43, which I have just offered, and it is an affidavit by Dr. Professor Randeralf and I request that both documents be admitted with the reservation that the documents will later on be presented to you and the Prosecution and that they can then object to them.

I.R. McHAMEY: The Prosecution has no objection to his reserving an exhibit number in sequence and no particular objection to his now reading the affidavit. However, I would like to have it clearly understood that it is incumbent upon Dr. Nelte to re-offer these documents at a later stage when we have the translations before us, because otherwise the burden is on the Prosecution to keep a check on these documents provisionally admitted and we are going to lose track of them.

THE PRESIDENT: I was going to suggest if counsel has the documents he could offer now in his document book, they should be offered first and these documents reserved until the document is prepared and given to the Presecution, and the Tribunal. Is counsel advised as to when this Document Book No. 3 will probably be prepared.

DR. NELTE: I was told it would be ready by this morning but I guess it was not completed. In the document book there are only four documents. It contains an additional four documents, and perhaps it would be appropriate to admit them, because otherwise the complex of the question would be impaired.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may offer them provisionally if ne desires and reserve the number, but it must be read again when the documents are again offered as exhibits.

DR. NELTE: Yes, Your Honor.

Professor Randeralf states at the third working session

12 Feb 47-A-JP-2\*-1a-Cook-(AK)

of the consulting specialist physicians from the 24th to the 26th of May, 1943, in connection with the lectures by Fischer and Gebhardt, I have not participated in the discussion, but after hearing the lecture with regard to injuries sustained by bullet wounds, this lecture didn't have anything to do with lectures by Gebhardt and Fischer, who are completely unknown to me.

12 Feb 47-A-JP-23-2a-Cook-(AK)

by Gebhardt and Fischer. It was supported by experiences which I myself had gained by dissecting the corpses of German soldier who had died in battle, and which were supported by studying world literature. I have not made any remarks in the discussions to Gebhardt and Fischer.

12 Feb 47-A-AK-24-1-Gross-COURT I

Gobhardt and Fischer but as far as I remember it could not be seen by reports from Gobhardt and Fischer that experiments on concentration camp prisoners had been carried out. The consulting specialists physicians have discussed the medical experiences at this conference, in all combats, and in all hospitals at home in order to gain new methods of treating wounded and sick soldiers. As a result of this conference of the consulting physicians the new medical experiences were changed by the Army Medical Inspectorate to directives and through those medical directives it was possible to bring those experiences to the young physicians who were used in the hospitals at the front and were unable to attend these conferences.

DR. NELTE: I request to provisionally admit this icommunt a Exhibit 32.

I now come to the Document Book about the San Water Experiments. That is Document Book V and the efficient by Dr. Hecker-Frayseng, NO-448, which is not contained in this Document Book. The exhibit number of the Backer-Frayseng affidavit cannot be determined yet. The exhibit number of 100-449, that is the affidavit by Professor Schroeder, and this will be exhibit in This book I am handing to you does not contain any documents with the exception of Document NO-449 which mentions your name directly. In the affidavit of Professor Schroeder, NO-449, which is exhibit 130 by Professor Backer-Fraysong cortain statements are contained which might give cause to the conclusion as if you had been in some way connected with the sea water experiments or in the very less that you had knowledge of them.

Vill you please make a statement with regard to the assumption

12 Feb 47-4-AX-24-2-Gross-I THUCO that has been expressed by the two other defendants? a. In connection with this I can only say that I have heard of the sea water experiments here in Nurnberg. Before they were completely unknown to me. DR. NELTE: May it please the Tribunal, I therefore request that the affidavit by Professor Schroeder, Document Book II, page 30, document HA-22, be admitted as Exhibit 43. Professor Schroeder states hare under paragraph 2 -"Under No. 8 I said that Professor Dr. Handloser knew about the medical research experiments carried out by the Luftwaffe. I have to edd the following in that connection: "a) My testimony refers only to the time of my tour of duty as Chief of the Luftwaffe Medical Service from 1 January 1944 on. About the procedures before that time I an in no position to make any atatements. "b) Luftwaffe research was not subordinated to the

Chief of the Webreacht Medical Service; it was not among the "common" tasks of the Wehrmacht Medical Service, "list is why a report to the Chief of the Johrnacht dedical Service was not considered.

"c) When the Luftwaffe handed out research agaignments about strictly aviation modicine fields, the consent of the Chief of the Wehrmacht Modical Service was not necessary. The Chief of the Luftwaffe Medical Service could on his own responsibility carry out research in his aviation medical research institute without informing the Chief of the Wehrmacht Modical Service.

The information concerning research assignments given to third persons was connected with the assignment given to Professor Dr. Brandt in 1943, to guide the whole research work in order to avoid auplication of work. After that time

12 Fob 47-A-AK-T-Gross-

all proposed research assignments had to be reported to the office of the Reich Commissioner, Office for Science and Research. This was done in the following menner: Copies of the letter sent to the men assigned the research job were sent to the Office for Eclence and Research (Professor Rostock); an additional copy went to the Chief of the Wehrmacht medical Service for his information. These reports contained no information about place, methods of execution of such research, assignments, nor were any possibly contemplated experiments on human beings mentioned in them.

\*d) As far as the sea water experiments (No. 5 of my affidavit of 15 October 1945), it becomes evident from Document
No. 177 that no representative of the Shief of the Mehrmacht
hedical Service or the Army Medical Inspectorate participated
in the preliminary conference on 19 May 1944; it further
becomes evident from the distribution list that this record
was not submitted to the Chief of the Vehrmacht Medical
Service. Nor was a copy of the letter which was sent to the
helchsfushrer SS Himmler, bearing my signature, forwarded
to the Chief of the Vehrmacht Medical Service. No research
assignment was igned in this matter.

I request that this doormant be admitted as achibit #3%.

Dr. Becker-Fraysang states in Document HA-2%-----

THE PRESIDENT: before entering upon any further docu-

(The Tribunal adjourned until 17 February 1947 at 0930 hours.)

1947 13 February Land Foster-JP Onart I CORRECTED COPY

Official Transcript of the merican Military Tribunel in the natter of the United States of America, against Karl Brandt, et al ..

Secondents, mitting at Muraborg, Germany, on 13 February 1947, 1980, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MIRSHAL: Persons in the Churt Room will please find their sents. The Henorable, the Juiges of Military Tribunal 1.

Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtreen.

THE FRESIDENT: Mr. Marshal, will you ascertain that the defendants ere all present in court.

THE MERSHAL: May it please your Honor, all defendents are present with the exception of the Defendant Oberhauser who is absent with a continuation of her recent illness.

THE PRESIDENT: The Socretary-General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants save the Defendant Oberhauser, the Defendant Oberhauser being absent on account of illness according to a dector's cortificate which I will hand to the Secretary-Generals

(Cortificate handed to Secretary).

IP. ERET Emufrann (For the Defendant Rudolf Bra: :): Mr. President. I request permission to make a request before the defense continues to present its core.

THE PESSITE T: Counsel may proceed.

IR. LAUN AUT: May it plored the Tribunal, I want to make a request that the Defendant Rudolf Brandt be -and thed to be absent from the committee after the recess this our ing for such a period of time until it has been determined by a medical examination by the prison physician that he is able to participate in the countroom seasions. In my opinion Rudolf Brandt is sick and, according to the symptoms which I have the openstunity to observe, he is in my opinion severely ill. He only weight 50 kilograms and he is barely able to even follow the proceedings. His monthal capacity is deteriorating from day to day, so that it becomes 13 Fee 47-2 - 1-2 - LUG - Feeter

questionable to se if the defense can even be consluded in a professional marker. I, therefore, request, and I would be grateful, that an examination by the prison physician be ordered so that I will obtain full clarity as to whother the Defendant Rudolf Brandt is still able to attend the courtroom sessions.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel should make his application in writing so that the anter will become a matter of record. Counsel will proper on application and hand it to the Tribanal at any time prior to the perming records. The matter will then be considered.

DR. KARF WELL Thank you very suich.

DR. NETE: May it places the Tribunal, at the end of yesterday afternoon's session I had started to read the affidavit of Dr. Booker-Freyson, with regard to the co-plax of questions regarding sca-water experients. The affidavit which I presented before of Professor Schroeder, as you will recall, refers to the time of 1 July 19ha until January 1945 when Professor Schroeder became adical Officer Inspector of the Laftwaffe. Dr. Freyneng previously belonged to the adical Inspector of the Laftwaffe in a position which enabled him, and enables him today, to two a judgment about the relationship between the Chief of the anarcacht edical Service and the -edical Inspectorate of the laftwaffe. Dr. Becker-Freysons had given to the presention of ficavit Number 50 the which is exhibit 61 and in this affidavit the following is at ted up or 50. 5:

Miandleser, as Inspector of the schreicht action Service, and to be fully acquainted with the restarch work done by arry, Navy, and sufficient to had orders to report to these gentlemen in all research actions with the scientists wrking for us, so that duplication of work or hat he avoided."

In connection with this, or. Becker-Freysens has given the following afficient which I shall offer and present as Document HA 23. It is contained on page 32 of Document Book II. It will have Exhibit No. 34. In his affidavit, Dr. Secker-Freysens states the following:

C art I 13 Feb h7-1: - 1-3 - LJG - Foster "The interpretation which the Prosecution gave this part of my officevit prompts us, in order to avoid misunderstanding and wrong posselusions, upon request of the Defense Counsel of Professor Handloser, to wake the following explanation: W1. The contents of No. 5 of the affidevit were never the subject of the questioning in the previous interrogations. When the interrogator, in the presence of hr. NeHaney, gave to an anglish copy of the affidavit to sign, which was supposed to bea survery of the interrogetions and which had been formulated by the Presecution, I told him especially in ruspect to Nus. 5 and 6, that because of the general formulation of those sections I and to make explanations. -r. Jollaney told me that I could make explanations later. Thereupon I signed. I was not given any opportunity later to explain No. 6 of the affidavit. Won the question of Fr fessor Dr. Handleser's jurisdiction as Chief of the wehr scht Section Service concerning the research work done by the Luftmaffe, I state: "a) "savaron performed by the Luftwaffe was not subordinate to to Chief of the mehrancht -edical Service; it was not among the "joint" tasks of the mehrencht wedical Service. Therefore no report was made to the Chief f the cohr ment codical Service. "b) No. 6 deals mly with the ariod after the Office for Science and Assessed had been established under Professor Karl Bragdt. At this ported we must distinguish bot oan the research done in the buftwaffa's own institutes. Only concerning the latter report was sade to Professor Rostock, consisting of sending a copy of the research assignments to Professor Restock and to the Chief f the Chromeht -dical Service. This remearch assignment, however, did not contain my infernation about the methods to be applied. "s) The sea water experiments were not the result of a research continuent. Therefore the Chief of the subrancht . I cal Service did not receive any copy. -295413 Fab 47-4 - 1-4 - LJG - Fostor

## b2. The words:

Mandleser, as Inspector of the Wehrmacht Nedical Service, had to be fully acquainted with the research work done by Army, Mavy, and Luftwaffe,!

in this general formere incorrect. They refor to the following sontence and are seent to express what I have said above under No. I.

13 Fub-W-MAA-2-1-Lessor Court No. 1

"3. Moreover when I spoke of Handloser, I did not mean Prof.Dr. Handloser personally but his office, which, accordingly to the contents: of the copies of research assignments sent it from the end of 1943 on, would hardly have any reason to submit such things to the Chief of the Wehrmacht Hadical Service himself, but only to the section chief in charge."

I request to somit this affidavit as Exhibit 34.

SIDGFRIED HANDLOSER - (Resumed)

DIRECT EXAMINATION - (Cont'd.)

BY DR. NELTE:

Q May it please the Tribunal, I have only a brief question with regard to the bone and muscle regeneration and the transplantations. The Decement Book does not mention the name of Handloser and his office so that I went only to ask if he knows anything about the experiments which were carried out at the Revensbrucek Concentration Camp, or if he received any reports about them?

A No.

- Q On the occasion of the conference of the Consulting Physicians did you hear any lacture on this subject?
  - A No. This subject was not discussed at any conference.
- The question of the experiments within the framework of hepatitus research must be considered as concluded by the interrogation of Frof. Dr. Gutseit and your testimony, as far as it might contribute to the general clarification in this case. May it please the Tribunal, in this connection I only want to refer to Document NO-371. This is again an of identity of the Defendant Rudolf Brandt, which states the following:

"Handlesur and Schrouder also must have informed about the fact that Hangen and Dohmen were physicians in the Army Medical Service and the Robert Koch Institute." 13 Fub 47-M-MAA-2-1-Lossor-(GJ)-Court No. 1

This of Tidavit is Exhibit 186 of the Prosecution. In connection with this I submit an affidavit by the Defendant Rudolf Brandt;

Document HA-11. It is on page 17 of Document Book No. 2, and Brandt states the following:

"In my affidavit of 14 October 1946 I mentioned a Dr. Dohaca and described his as "A doctor of the Army Medical Service and of the Hobert Koch Institute."

"In this commoction I declare that I, myself, did not use this forculation but that it was suggested to me by the Prescoution. I did not know Dr. Dobnen personally and I do not recollect having known where he worked, what his functions were, and to when he was subordinated.

"If I said in this affidavit: 'Handloser must have been informed about that;' it was a nero essumption. I censot site any facts which prove we are suggest that Frof. Dr. Handloser know of such things."

I request that this affidavit be admitted as Exhibit 35.

I now come to a point of the indictment which was not contained in the original indictment. Within its presentation the Presention has presented Document Book II about the Polygel Experiments. In this Document Book the name of the Defendent Handlower or one of his offices is not neutroned either. However, in the course of his presentation the presenter has claimed that the Defendent Handlower was connected with the poetin research. In the session of 2 January 1947, the presenter stated the following with reference to Sievers Diary. "The Amenorbo." That was Document No. 3546-FS and I quote:

In connection with this, according to the record, the Presecution hade the following remark, and I quater "The Research Institute was subordinated to the Defendant Handleser" — to which fact he had already referred previously in the course of the trial. I am now asking you, was an institute to with the name of Institute for German Eastern Research at Traker — was this institute subordinated to you?

- A, It was never subordinated to no.
- Q. Do you know this instituto?
- As Hos
- Re Since the Prosecution apparently wented to prove a connection of Prof. Handleser here with the Ahnemerbo Institute, a part of the SS, I have asked the Defendant Sievers to determine here if, and in what place in this Diary the name of the Defendant Handleser appears and if he had 2957

any contact with the Ahnenorbe and with this Institute for Eastern Research. I am now offering Document HA-15 am page 25 of Document Book No. 2. I request that

"The prescoution submitted by diery Linnener's (Decement 3065-18) in

MC nearming the application of the land of the land of the entropy of the there was no connecte now collectricing observation and not the friends to think I along out, either officially or privately; I have been all of the land of the

"Since the name of f. br. Remillorar a pairs in two different laces in a diary, I consider it my but to state black as a magazine r collaboration with part. Dr. Nam'llosor results' in oither case.

#1) Latry: 2 Followry 1964:

Conformed with . refees r sign in otros. ur :

fully carried ut in candel at a gital 'y sref. Her on the reject.' 'y sref. Tendosor for up, in the character. He at regisete for say issin to the local factor as

Notation by Dr. Sievers:

are significant and, either to are substituted, he was of the cinin that the receivable and the introduction and the introduction leads in the CS. The day he was for a report of the line of the larger for the larger to the larger than the first the larger to the larger than the lar

#2) John: 3 February 19hh.

O oforence in Strang or with an alle or, we recent the I disc; or so, of if their institute requests to a recommend, then fire letter to dissipar department of the real through the latter to on firm the small rest to the small rest.

Sotation by Dr. Sievers:

rest. Let er, head of the sejen Large of r Ti ling and Shill of the return of Di long in the 'Amener's.' In centional especially in return to pastions a meaning replace onte, that he was very such interested in a ment biologists which were discussed at that time. As respectible leader

1] Fol Win - - - 2-4-Lesser Court We. 1

If the little ists he should like to jot in theh with men who show understanding and are likely to help his plans. He considered especially suited the aftromentioned names and was if the opinion that it might be advantageous. if at the time Professor Mayer, the head of the Department of Biology in the Research Council, should also approach tham. It was decided that he address a latter to Professor Trandt through no in order to anable us that the like letter by Professor Mayer may be added.

"Frofessor Hindlesor was so completely unknown to me that I was unable to give any information about ide; that is the reason why Professor Weber solved so to inquir at Professor Meyer, as far as I remember, no such latter, however, was ever written.

"On 2 January the presecution cited, when reading the document book about homestat "Folymal", from my disry (Ahmenerbe) one quotation of the 26th and list "my 1944, regarding a conference with Dr. Graver of the Reich Research Council and with Dr. Flostner:

"Postine research at the institute for German East Research in Gracow,

"The Prosecutor described this quotetion as very interesting, because this institute was under the defendent Handloser. This entry therefore proves that he was familiar dish the 'Poly 1' metter.

The institute for Correct Seet Accepted to Cracow was connected with the Chief Research Council and with reference Thisen, the Chief of the Department for Inorganic Charistry and I strocked stry of the Reich Research Council. Its manager and, at the same time, Thiessen's and the Reich Research Council's deputy, was Dr. Fietsch.

"Professor Sindlesor definitely had nothing to do with this Institute, nor did I ever hear his name in connection with it. This Institute was not under the OK" either.

"It is quite apparent that this is one of the many confusions or constructed ertofacts of the presention trying to establish a connection of people and facts where actually more existed."

I now request that the affidavit be similted as Exhibit to. 36.

and, finally, the prosecution in excess of the indictment has offered been into No. 1307 and No. 1307 about the question of Sactoriological Warfare

These documents are not contained in any document books and I shall in a few minutes give you the exhibit numbers. These documents also do not mention Dr. Handloser's name, however, a nedical officer is mentioned here as Stabsarzt Dr. Elieve, who had several functions and among other things he had the work of the Army Medical Inspectorate with the Military Medical Academy with the Weapons and Armanent Office No. 9. The documents are Presecution Eshibits No. 325 and No. 325. In connection with this I should like to ask you the following question; who was or who is Prefessor Miswe?

- A. When in January, 1941 I stated in my office as army Kedical Inspector I not many the medical officers of the irmy Inspectorate, Prof. Dr. Elique. We was personally known to no from the year 1922 when I was studying at the cilitary Medical Clinic at Giessen when I was assigned there as Stabasrat. At that time, he was a medical practitioner at the name clinic to which I belonged. Since the year of 1922 I did not see him again until the year 1941. At the outbrook of the war, he had been called into the Army Medical Inspectorate by my prodecessor, Dr. Waldmenn and there he was to deal with the medical aspects of becteriological warfare.
  - Q. To whom was Professor Klieve subordinato?
- A. In his medical activities and an medical officer, he was subordinate to me as the Army hedical Inspector and in his activities as nedical consultant and advisor and specialist, he was subordinate to the
  armount Office to the Department WesPraf 9 where he also received
  assignments.
  - Q. Was the head of this office in the Wan Pruf, Colonel Hirsch?
  - " Tos.
- ". That were the assignments which Professor Elieve had to carry out in this position?
- First of all, Professor Elieve had all the publications and
  Professional literature of the foreign countries and he had to evaluate

then and use then in order to find out, as far as this was at all possible, what work as being done in this field by the foreign powers and what point of view they maintained in each case, what intentions and conclusions could be drawn from it and what proventive measures could be taken against such potential measures.

In this case, it was a question of protecting the people against some potential cotoriological warfare.

- Q What was the status of this work in 1941 when you entered your office as Army Madical Inspector?
- A Ls far as I can remember, this work was in a very early stage and they had to work on a new basis, which had been discovered in France in the course of the French campaign and which furthermore are us a good insight into the work which was done by the foreign countries in this field; for example also in the United States.
  - Q Did you officially participate in this work?
- A has know Medical Inspector, I had neither a decisive position nor a decisive influence and I was informed by Professor Klieve about the progress of the work only inserer that I received reports at very large intervals of time and without these reports decling with any details. Through the Department of Truf 9 and with Colonel Hirsch I did not have any contact and I have never belonged to any working group at any time. I did not belong to the working group at any time. I did not belong to the
- Q Now, without any doubt, the question of besteriolo doal warfare and proventive measures must have at one time been discussed within your field of competence and you, as Arey Medical Inspector and Chief of the Army Medical Service, must have at one time or mother have valued your personal viewpoint in this question; will you please tell us your personal opinion?
- A has to the intention to anythe in an active bacteriological warfare on the part of the former increase, no information has ever been evailable to so, either officially or three howher channels, however, since throughout the war it could be seen from the literature of foreign countries that this mostion played a part in all countries and that on some occasions considered out, was bein done in this field, it of course was my natural duty to occupy would with all possible measures in order to prevent this warfare from being carried out.

There were proventive measures and they were primarily for the "chromont

parthomore, Professor Elieve throughout the war was informed of the fact that I personally, with regard to the question of bacteriolo ical warfare, maintained a completely magnitude point of view. In order to use some banal wor which I have expressed on the subject, I would like to mention here that I have always said to my collaborators that in my opinion bacteriological warfare for the next few years in any case would be a still—horn child. I have also emphasized that I did not want to obstruct Dr. Elieve's mealcusness in his work, because the work and counter measures were urrently necessary and I talieve that he understood we quite correctly.

13 Teb-M-Minebuck-JP Court I Q. Do you know the opinions of the chiefs of the Gorman leadership with report to besterialogical warfaret A. I know that the Fuehrer repeatedly did not only express, but that he also ordered, that active bacteriological warfare would be completely out of question for the German Wohrnsont. On one occasion it was also stated that the Fuebrer had made a statement to the effect that the preventive work should only be carried out to a very limited extent because he did not approve of the whole natter. Q. and what was the point of view of Scitol, Brauchitech and Halder? As Field Marshal Ecitol and my superior, Brauchitech and with the chief of the General Staff Halder, this question was never discussed. Howover, I can rewall that the Quarternaster General, General Waymer, licenseed the subject with no on one occasion and he asked no if this was a nattor which would cause us a lot of worry in the circumstances and then I informed him to the effect that in the literature, this work was constantly being sentioned; that I, on the other hand, mintained the personal point of view that we need not worry about it, and when he ented no why not, I replied from the medical point of view the danger was so went for everybody who night capace in this type of werfare for his own territory and for his own troops, I always had the impression that our Military lenders were not in favor of this entire type of a bacteriological warfere as a weepon, and that they did not have a very favorable attitude on the subjects I can also remember that on one occasion I went with Kligverto the new chief of the Jeneral Staff, Zeiseler, who was to be informed about everything and what we were working on; that we reported to him and that Zeispler showed very little interest, and he showed so little interest that I actually felt sorry for Mliove on that accasion. Q. Did you know that Prof. Blome received an assignment in that field A. I must state the following in that connection; In June or July of 1943 I, in my capacity as chief of the Wehrmacht lie cal Service, recolved a lotter with the letterhead Reich Research Council, Commissioner of Plonipotentiery for Cencer Research. There I was invited to attend a con-2964 ference

in Berlin at a cortain date. I then went to Berlin, accordingly, coming from the Pushrer's headquarters, and since we were to do muse cancer research I had also brough; along my consulting surgoon, Rostock.

The conference took place in Hlome's office, and besides him the following persons were present, Ministerial Dirigent Schwann, the Veterinary General -ichter and one or two more men, but I cannot remember the exact number anymore.

was to be about becteriological warfare and that he had received an assignment by Roich Farshal Facting to carry out proventive work and to put it on a broader besis. He also stated that by virtue of this order he was now asking us, and the order may also have stated that he was to actively include himself, but I do not remember that exactly supmore—therefore, he was asking us to give his some brief reports about the status of the defence work and also about the basis and reports which we had received about the work which was being done abroad.

I was the first one to take the stand, and then I stated that first of all this did not fall within my field of competence, and secondly, I did not have the authority to discuss such were which had been done in the rilitary field and to give any information about the subject without first having received the permission from my superior, Field Harshal Keitel.

I also asked him if purhaps he had a cived a letter stating that Keitel approved of my making a statement on the subject. However, since this was not the case I once nore declared myself mable to give him any information whatsoever. The other members of the Wentmacht, Field Harshal General Richter and Himistorial Dirigent Schmann also agreed with my opinion.

Bloco them said that of course he could quite understand our point of view and that now he would have to make a return Journey, which would of course take some time. This was regrettable because he also had been informed to the effect that at certain places abroad an increase in the work which was being done in this field could be determined.

I can also remember that he made the statement that he actually intended to also include the German press in order to point out to the masses the large danger which might arise in the circumstances. Then, as the only statement which I had during that conference, I stated to bloke that in my opinion I had to were him of carrying out that intention at this time she even at a later period of time, because now, in the year 1943, as a result of the air attacks and as a result of the four of phosphorus which really played a major part with the people, and as a result of the incidents during the first half year in 1943 where already there was some unrest which could be felt in the people, that they should be protected from those new fours under all circumstances. Furthermore, the whole problem was not suitable for discussion in the daily press. Blone admospherical short and then he broke off the conference.

Q. Now, what did you do on your part with regard to your military superiors?

A. From my office I immediately established contact by telephone with Field Hershel Keitel, and I breifly reported the course of the conference to him, and I reported to him what I had teld "lone. Then he briefly said over the telephone, "I acree with you, but since the Beich Marshal is included, send no a written report about this immediately so that I will have that in here." I did that, When, after some time, about eight or ten days may have passed, I teld him once a re that in my apinion there was no under a consisty at all and that it was not appropriate to follow this new procedure, I had the impression that he acree with no. However, a short time afterwards I received an order which read approximately as follows:

The Reich Marshal has decided in the matter of bacteriological warfare that the representatives of the Wehrmacht which attended the conference in the day or to give the necessary information to Dr. Blanc who is acting on to orders.

I sent this letter to the Army Medical Inspectorate for compliance with Medical's order, and with that the whole incident was completed for me.

At large intervals then I found out from Elieve that aside from the conferences which took place at Ant Office 9 and which took place at very large intervals, that nothing of particular importance has happened there.

13 Feb-11-SM-5-1-Putty-JP Court I Q. Have you spoken with Dr. Blome once more afterwards, or have you corresponded with him on this matter? As I have not corresponded with Blome on this matter nor did I have any discussion with him efter June. I saw him again once nore. That was efter January 1945, or it may have been February 1945, I saw him in Bolits in connection with monther natter, and I only know that we briefly touched on the subject. I think briefly it was touched on by both of us, Blone as well as myself, that now with the present state of affairs, the fear of bacteriological warfers which might be applied by the energy we now finally over. Q. In the trial before the International Military Bribunal, the frequently-continued Concreterat Schroiber was also mentioned in connection with this misject. Will you tell us what was Schreiber's attitudes. in your opinions with regard to bectoriological warfare? A. His attitudo was also a negative one. Q. So has also expressed that here on the witness stand and, further, that you had supported him in that respect. The question of bacteriological werfare is important for our came also because in the ernes exemination of Dr. Engl Branct, the Presecutor asked the question, "Do you know of an order by Keitel that no experiments should be carried out on huma bothera?" As I have never discussed this question with Keitel. Q. The question is still symptomatic because the Prosecution is trying to appear the fact that knowledge existed that experiments were being carried out on human beings in that connections I as now seking you have you ever discussed the problem of experiments on harm beings with your superior in this connection or in any other connection? 4. TO. . I ch now coming to another chapter, namely, that of the influence of the National Socialist idealogy. Seneral Taylor in his big opening speech has stated that the crimes in this case had their origin 2958

is not unimportant, he deals with the direct effects of Matienel Sociation on medicine. That is on page 95 of the record. Since the Patienal Sociation for cortain things which have been presented here— I must assume in connection with the statements by General Taylor—I must ask you now the following question: were you a newber of the USDAP?

- A Ho.
- We Here you a tranber of the SST
- A. 10.
- Q. Did you bolong to the Reich League of Physicia of
- E. 30.
- Q. Did you belong to any other organization of the Farty?
- A. 26.
- Q. What were your attitude toward the Perty, temmed the idenlogs
- where constructly on metive military duty, and like most non of my age on the basis of the emperiors which I had collected during a long period of time, I had always maintained the point of ylow that the professional soldier and that also include the medical efficers should be kept out of Party politics and politics alterather. Accordingly, I have mover occurred myself with Party politics through out the time in excess of what a well-educated human being must know about the happenings in the world and also about politics.

As is also shown by the history of my life, through the many offices and through the mesessity of establishing new agencies, I have had so much work that I lid not even have any time, or that I did not even feel like necupying myself with politics. Thenever I had time, I used it in order to continue my studies of literature, and if any spare time remained, I occurred myself with my favorite heady, namely

nusic. I played also and availed myself of all opportunities to attend an opera or a concert, and in this way I kept completely out of Party politics.

- Q. Have you over hed any contact with Hitlor?
- After I had beenne as medical inspector in January 1941, I had to report to the Fushrer in March. After this period of time, I have only had a direct contact with him once more. That was in August or September of 1964. I had to report to him together with Professor Brandt, and there we discussed the organizational question of the health londer-ship. Otherwise I did not have any direct contact with him.
- Q. In Docember, 1941. Hitlor became Supreme Commander of the Army and you are alloged to have frequently been received by Bravchitach and reported to him. How was it that Hitler became Supreme Commander of the large?
- A. This connection was, unfortunately, to our groatest regret complotely interrupted. I can only say that we of the Army from the very
  moment of the disc sael of Field Marshal Branchitsch in a practical sense
  did not have a Commander in-Chief at all anymore, while, for example,
  the Luftunife was represented by Georiac, the Many by Raeder and Doomits,
  the SS by Himmler, and all of these branches had very powerful representatives in these none

In the case of the Army the office of the Charender-in-Chief had been term into moveral pieces and new various leaders had obtained control over the different pieces. While I reported in detail to Branchitsch at least once in the weeks and I could take all my suggestions and fears to him — I know personally that he quite speally errod for his sick and injured soldiers and was very much interested in the Hedical Services — such immediate possibility of amerciains any influence ended for ne as any Medical Inspector, and all my influence ended toward the end of 1941. From that time ons I had to turn over my supposition to the Quartermeater General, and

I do not know in what form or to what extent he brought those nattors to the knowledge of the Fuchror.

- on the occasion of the convergation which you had with Hitler; did you discuss research questions?
  - A. Hoa
  - Q. What was your contact and what was your relationship?
- "I visited the Reichsfuchrer-SS on one occasion at the time he was already Commender-in-Chief of the resurve army. That was in August 1944. I visited him at his headquarters in order to mind to a discussion where the distribution of physicians was discussed. The question was discussed how replacements could be procured for the army units. Otherwise, I did not have any other contacts with Himmler.
  - Q. Officially, and parennally?
  - A. I did not have any personal contacts with him at all.

-2972-

or course, the Arry, the Party, relaced objections a sinst this procedure and it caused the Role Student Lactor to corry out the military measions of these students and to please that into civilian bands. The reason he have west that the worl philosophical political education of these non was very important, and who would later on became physicians, and would get in contact with the other people, should be completely discharged from the service in the Tohracelit. With a lot of effort and difficulties, I succeeded until the end of the ear to counter-of all those attacks. And, I would like to cention at this place that perhaps I would not knyo succeeded alone if I had not also, through Professor Branch, wholever the lactor was very most, I had been supported by Professor Branch, and he reported to the Fuebror whenever the danger was very most. That was one way of exercising our influence in the collect service.

- I the I interrupt you a signite. General Taylor, in his big opening sood has also discussed relical training under the Mari system, on page 101, and he has stated that the solarit had been special. You just nexticated the structure of the entrupte a sinst this influence on the universities where it was not only the active inlitery Medical Corps, but all through the students who wars to become records medical different. In this connection, I would like to make how the case sleet with the active inlitery Gorps sales was given seemed training; if they were under the influence of national socialization of General Taylor stated, that the spirit of the students was pressed that to a very low level. He said that the level had much in a terrible maker. Can you tell to anythin about the directives and with the spirit with which you wenced to fill the student hodge-1 Corps.
- ... Until the very last no out, in rescribence with or tradition of one besieve and fifty parts, we educated our youth, and we have not differed from these and arisety als and late.
- Can you rive us one opposed of that Frott I am thinking of the words The you at breaked to those copie on second economics, at the time of their probability.

A Yes, I can give you an exemple. Then our cotive replacements, when in their entirety, pessed through our Military Medical Academy, when after they and distinguished themselves at the front where they had been engaged in combat operations, then either the Community Officer of the Academy or whenever I was in Borlin, I pursonally swore that in. And, they also received a cortificate as that time which contained a cortain notto according to what you wented to have then educated, and this actto was mintained until the end of the ser, and as lon as ambidates for motionl officers were bein; trained. The onth of Hippocrates states; Whevert your life to the medient science and concentrate all your work in graning pratical experience in medicine. paramber the practical word! Thereever love to hurn tein's exist there you will also find love to the molical profession. Huranity and the medical profession commot be separated from each other. Tork constantly in order to become a physician and with a firm character and with love to human bings. Mover see enything also in a cationt them a suffering follow human being when you have to holp. Try to understand the single soldier in such a way as if you had grown up togother with him because all of us ere consected with the same fato."

1 Will you now continue the the description of all the concepts and all

THE PRESIDENT: Before the Witness proceeds with the case of this excitation, the four will to im recess. If Dector Kenfrann can bring up the application for the excusing of the defendant Resolf Brendt I can see him during the recess if the Secretary Coneral will refer him to me.

(A recess was taken)

Q. Then submitting documents, I shall smarlt a few affictivits in that a connection.

throw nurses remained in our hospitals an thereby defended us acainst the

Has, dir you over attend any large meals write mostlings, for instance, the Burnburg Party Rolly?

A. A , "t mu time it all.

attacks of the party.

Q. Alth which if the co-defendints had you no connection whatshover, that is to say, as for a there was any official connection. We have already modified their and shall report them armin, but I now want to first as with which of these defendants you had no cent of whitshover, privately or officially?

As Until my private in Surabora I and no contest of and official or

personal nature with the following twolve gentlement Woltz, Ruff, & ckerfrogsing, Sucoif Brandt, Hoven, Pokorny, Sievers, Cherhauser, Poppondick, Schooler, Brack, an Romber.

Q. Durin the statuments are by the Chief Scunsel he mentioned on proge of the transcript and species about the particleation of the German
physicians in the excusses of April, 1933, and he species about the combating
of the old physicians? association. The carps of the medical officers, as I
said before and not ambient in my of these statuments. The military
physicians on the their hand must be empirically part of the German physicians
and were notice in military service and you are the represent tive, that is,
as army Medical Inspector, for the employabilities, and inter as Chief of the
Webrancht Modical Survice you are the representative for all physicians in
the ormed forces. In your was city a representative I should like to ask your
thather the military physicians increasedly at in their antirety of relighted
in any way in the excusses which took alone on the lat of April, 1933, or
mether they particle to an my my in the exclusion of the slands any any interestions.

13 Fob. 47 -1-9KP- Gross Court No. I A. I have of no case and no case was ever mentioned either before the har nor during the entire War. Q. That is to may, the Medical Officers Corps has nothing to do with these political instances? A. No. Q. General Taylor, in his opening speech, on page 90, speaks about, and I quote: "The Prostitution of Corman Medicine under National Socielisa", And, in this connection, ne mentioned the principles of medicine to untional socialist population policy. And on page 99, I quote: "The entire public health policy of the Third Reich was put in line with this pronouncement of principles". And on muge 100, I quote: "All German physicians were reorganized through un organisation derived from the Reich Physicians Chamber." Although military physicians were not mentioned in particular here I must ask you, since the ascertion was made that all physicians mere included, were medical officers of the learning t includes and had solig tions to bide by them? A. No. G. During the one direction and receing of efficients there was much talk cout the or mightion, its purcous, and soming of the mostings of the consulting payeini ne and I shall resist from putting any further questions to you bout the preparation, conduct, and results of thes sectings. Since, however, this count is connected with the question of conspiracy I should like to rive ou in opportunity to coming your position with reference to the importunes of those mootings. A. In summarizing, I co state the following in that connections These mustings originated from ours necessity. They were ordered to take place publicly. On every occasion I made modification to my military summarior and trio to ample in the necessity for these sectings. On thit occasion I give courses of whit I consider a to be post urgant problems. Then the milit my so trior decid whether such - section or n t ke place or not, because that who something that descended on military situation and the intention of military to curchip. The count was laid down and a discussion at read where these problems were discussed. The results of these sectings were compiled in

-2977-

directives for the benefit of physicians in general, something that was publicly distributed stong all physicians; As soon as there was a possibility those printed reports were sent out to various agencies and medical officers - sent out to all physicians in order that they my serve as a basis for principal measures and for the information from older physicians to younger hysicians. I am convinced that overy physician, and overy non-physician, who has an opportunity inc takes an opportunity to try to get insight into these meeting reports, will too be completely convinced that therein the serious work in the scientific field of all the German physicians is baid down, opinions that more represented by leading authorities from all territorits of Germany. I refer you to my spectus which I more on these occasions and which always constituted a raview of the past gor, and at the same time a survey of the work to be done during the period to come. And, I stind up to every one of the words which were pronounce on these occasions. The Prosecution submitted one of these speeches as a document. In spite of greatest efforts I, not even up to now, could have found anything in that specon which in any way justifies the e yers charge that these meetings were expression and a typical exemple of a compair by of Guran physicians in order to count war origes and origes against hum nity. In conclusion I should in to any that I hope that here at this place these meeting reports will become document and mark stone for the serious, dutiful, and almost superbuses work which the Garm a soldiers performed during this long and asvers wer. And, as the words of Rippocratus were mentioned here reportedly I should like to ambinize that the German physicians not only were fully more of these, namely the words "Primes non necess", but at all times they unconvered to help you to cure whomever they could. And there is nothing further I have to say.

DE. METALT Mr. President, that brings me to the end of my expain tion of the left maint and in substanti tion of the mores which the defendance of prenounced at the end I should like to present affidavit RA-2 which deals with the necessity of purpose of the consulting physicians. You will find that an a sessity of present Book I and it will become Exhibit 37. I further subsit to you a chart of the meeting of consulting physicians on the 17th of May 1944 which took place at Hobernlychen. This will become Cocument RA-2-A,

exhibit 38 and it can be found in the annua of Document Book 1. The chart is to show the Tribunal the extent of the work to t was done within these two days and the kind of Lectures that were given. Further more, that for the landers of this meeting and the individual participants it was impossible to listen to a part of those lectures - that all had to confine themselves to their own field. In conclusion I refer to the already submitted file without of Gen Arat Penner, HA-6 which will become Enhabit 26 and the affidewit of Prof. Banderath HA-A3, exhibit 32. I finally submit as HA-1, and exhibit 40, an except from the judgment of the International Military Tribunal, regarding the question of conspiracy and criminal organizations. This is not an evidential document. I marely mak you to take notice of it.

FUNCE SERVING: Dr. Holto, have you identified HA-32 by any exhibit

IR. HILE: EA-327

JUDGE SERRING: Yes.

Document Book Mr. 2. Document HA-32 is an affidavit of the Behadictine Abboy, Marin Lonch, Mobilers. It was not yet subsitted.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you please give no once nore the numbers of those exhibite? You proceeded a little too fast for me to note then as you gave them, beginning with Exhibit HA-27

THE THE HAND is in Decement Book No. 1, page 5. It is offered as Exhibit 37. Decement HANDS, in Decement Book No. 1, can be found in the amount. It is offered as Exhibit 38. I now offer as Exhibit 39 an affidavit of Generalizat Juedocke, who speaks about the importance of the mostings of the consulting physicians. This Decement HANDS can be found in Decement Book No. 3 and is therefore not available to the Tribunal.

As I said this morning Decement Book No. 3 is evallable in the German text but a translation has not yet been completed. Therefore this decement is being submitted with the reservation that it will later be supplemented by its Emplish translation. I make you to accept it as whibit 39. Then the Decement Edwir. Decement Book No. 1, page 1, as Exhibit 40. Those are except from the judgment of the International Military Tribunal detection. So September and I October 1946.

THE PESSIBLE: Counsel, it is not necessary to offer that as an exhibit. The Tribunal will take ju! and notice of it if you call attention to it in your Decement Book. It need not have an exhibit number to be introduced as an exhibit.

The FileT: It will have no exhibit number. The Tribunal will remarked that they asked the Prosecution to put the printed reports of the mostings of the consulting physicians at my disposal. The Prosecution did that vary kindly. In accordance with the suggestions of the Tribunal I maked to read, copy, and translate some parts of these printed reports. The translations of the copies of these reports have not yet been made and I

should like to be able to submit these excerpts as evidence as soon as they exact back to me. It may be possible to do that when I present my short dominantary evidence.

Finally, I should like to ask the Mich Tribunel to help me in the matter of my witnesses, Schmidt-Erucken and Pertiation. Although the Marshal told me a few days ago, and gave me a letter from which it would appear that these witnesses were here. I have not get had an opportunity to speak to these witnesses, I was told that they are not here at all. After the conclusion of cross-excimation I should like to hear these two witnesses in the courtroop and I should like to mak the Tribunal to find out on their part where these witnesses are.

the whoresheets of the two witnesses mentioned by counsel for the Dofendent Handloger during the mone recess?

III. BELEE Three you very much. And that brings no to the end of my exemination of the Defendant Handlesor.

JUDGE SERVICE: Dr. Welte, on February 12 mane reference was under to a Dr. Menn of Lewel. The Tribunel put a question to the defendant encounting the identity and official status of the dector, to which some constal answer was riven by the defendant to the effect that the defendant tought that Menn was a civilian connected with some sort of civilian research institute. I believe that of that the year stated to the Tribunel that before you concluded your case you would be able to give us further inferioring, either tarouth a witness or by affidavite, of the exact and procise nature, and it will be your purpose some time before you close your case to de that, I say age?

IR. MILTE: You,

int the defendent has referred several times to the fact that in certain matters involving certain decisions, the defendant hard Brandt success-fully intersected for him and I am now directing this question to the witnesser With whom did Karl Brandt in creeded

The PEARS: I don't believe the translation was quite correct. The translation was given as "raised objection". I think that the Judge wented.

to know at what aroncy Prof. Brandt supported you?

THE MITTERS: You, That could only have been done at any agency which had now influence. I suppose that in Party affairs it would have been Bornenn except for the Fuehrer as far as I can judge it, and if we were concerned with military affairs I think he would have intervened with the Fuehrer and spoken to him.

JUDGE SERRIED: Functhing was said about Er. Handloser being urgod, even equanded, to rid ble organization of catholic nurses and to supplant them with nurses taken from the SS organization, and that Karl Brandt supported him in his view to rotain the catholic nurses. With whom did Harl Brandt interceds in your support?

THE WINESS: I may say that "SS Sisters" is not correct. It is "MS-Vational Cacialist Sisters." It was a Patiental Socialist Fursing Association which has really mathem; to do with SS. I cannot may in this special case in that member breadt could two no support. During smother excelnation I think a document was submitted where sampled confirmed that Breadt tried to intervene in favor of the catholic sisters. How he really did that, Prof. Breadt would have to state himself. I connet give you

JUDGE SERVING: What did you understand was the sphere of Dr. Karl Brandt's matherity or influence, wither in military medical matters or in Party medical matters?

was rether a minuration where Frof. Branch had the possibility which he used to continue and ammieties and verrice to the Suchrer by way of convergation, in order to counter-belonce to a certain extent the things which were tried from another side. We were not then concerned with any authority but, as I said before, it was a possibility to bring these untters to the attention of the Suchrer and inform him about these things from our point of view.

NUMBER SERVING: Will you state again who had a conflicting point of view with that of yours in record to those natters—what pursons or agencies either in the povernment or in the party?

Court I 13 Feb 47-4 - 11-1 - LUB - Nechan A. his came to my knowledge through a lisison man between the Party Chancellory and the OK. He was present at that time during discussions recarding those student companies and was with the OK. from whom he received those directives and whom he had to influence him, but he was the Maison man ostween the Part Thancellory and the Ohn. THE PRESIDENT: Has counsel for the Defendant Handloser any further questions? DR. MELTE: No, thank you, not at the sement. THE PUBLICAT: afense counsel may now cross-examine Defendant Handleber as a witness. are there any questions on behalf of any defense counsel? BI D. . MUTZ FLE (ING: (Defense counsel for Defendant Deachim Frugowsky.) ]. As too highest ranking representative of the chroacht hare, I should like to ask you what influence does any mili ry assignment in military life have and what responsibility. However, at first I should like to ask you what the responsibility as re arding the superior and subordinate should mean? Is the following correct; the superior in personal and organizational matters and also in technical ratters has the right to issue orders to the sub dinate; is that correct? A. I should like to refer you to the fact that I mentioned before that we have to differentiate between the military and technical superiors. w. What bird - success are you speaking of when you say technical superiors? A. With regard he the total depuriers, there were two possibilities; either he was superior in every respect, as I read to you toform, where he at the same time is the troop commander and at that time no becomes the superior in all matters. On the other hand, he may only be a technical superior and in that case no is - ly a superior in matters that refer to medical matters. 1. Now, if any subordinate was assigned too different agency, would the following be correct; the superior who was superior up to Wit til a resulted as such, that is in a personal regard. The superior of the new agency; on the other hand, had the right to issue orders in -2933-

Curt I 13 F-0 47 - 4 - 11-3 - LJG - Misshan . Witness, did you know the Defendant Rose before the 8th of May, 19457 a. 105. . Did you at any time squak to the Defendant Rose about any of the counts as charged by the prospection before buy 8th, 1945 or did you have any written or other communications directly or indirectly with hill? A. No. 4. Bid you at any tire sou the lefendant dose participate in any confurences where the counts as charged in the indictment were discussed or reported? Au No. Q. Aport from whother the tale or the contents of the conferences, untioned in the Din. Diery of the 29th of Buce ber, 1741, are correct or not, I should like you to tell in wh ther the Defendant Rose at any the took part in a typhus section where you or Secretary of State Centiattorned too? A. No. Q. Is the Defendant Ross, as far as you know; or rather was the Tafundant Rose canaldered as a appointlet for typhus vaccine? A. No, Blac in the first imstance was a troop hygienist. We wid you ask the Perfendent Meas to give you . I counsel about the investi ation of the typhes victime? A. No. 4. Is it correct to say, if I desure accordingly, that Buse was not consulted by you in typhus weeting ratture, because he was not a opedalist in that field, although u, yourself, as Chief of the adar cont sedical Service, would have had the possibility to as' him had ou wanted to do sa? a. I.s, that is co ruct. were did not belong to the center, which I would have turned to in regard to these questions. -2985-

- . And now another question; you were already speaking about the tanks of the consulting physicians with the trans forces. I should like you to dive to soud information about the special physicians and the consulting physicians with the Sedical Inspectorate of the Armed Forces Branch. Lero these consulting specialists of the Corteal Inspect rate the superiors in my respect of the consulting thysicians
- W. According to the principles which were valid with the German whresent, would a commulting specialist or pedical inspector over be considered as responsible for whatever enother consulting physician in his aphino wid or did not do?
  - Me. No, trust is nut of the question.

Court No. 1 13 Fat 47-K-12-1-IIN-71ng buck . Could you give he the remeons why one desisted from taking the consulting obysicions with the helical Inspector tes superiors of the consulting physicians at lawer scholons? A. That would have been quite impossible and woul not have been correct. These consulting hysicins he cardetely different rees. They were in different age groups. They were naturally assigned from different parts of Ger one can the brinciple was always followed that they he assigned to such arry prouds that were in the same home tebritory, so you have to conatter that, and if you also consider i . differences of rank it could be ouite comed to that an older and recognized physician, although he may have a lover rank from the beginning, that he would be confronted with a younger objection who started his coreer in the army orrlier and therefore had a hi hor rank. This in itself would have presented us with impossible sixurtions which would have defeated the emecial nursuse which we had in wind wit those consulting objections. . What personal knowledge did you man about the notivity of the defement Rose curing the working dectings of the consulting chysicians? A. A.T. Rose held many lectures. These lectures . To listened to with root interest one were finally concluded with the last lecture in the year of 19 - which was got curing the last meeting, shout the offects on the human body of -. The defection hore turing the entire war was the consulting hygienist and tropical medical errors with the hadical improcesurate, or rather, with the chief of the herical Service of the Luftweffe. In this especity he got into contact with you and nursers of your office. Would you please say something a cout the way he was considered materially and professionally by you and your agency? A. Alse was considered as an extre ely experienced specialist in his field, who contined great scientific knowledge with prectical stillities. 1 knowledge was clumps considered to be very high, respected. His statements were clarge to the point and depocially critical, . Another question. Were the military sutherities end tasks; that ! -2901Court So. 1 12 Fut -7-k-12-2-Mil-Minr buck to may, the executive authorities and the power of command of a compulting scientist who has become Generalarst of the reserve, in compliance with such that see would have to expect with say the who holds such a rank; that is to sey, on active Cocerelerati a. At first, I have to say that the general mosition of all generals was the same. There we no difference at all. What you are really referring to are the authorities and the power of on Land which he had and in that connection I have to say that the consulting physicians has no never of community ran no authority to issue orders. In addition, I have to say that if ch setive Generalerst was a consulting physician the question of whether he was active or not active playe no mert at all. It is the absition which counts, "he furise the entire war there was no necessity to give any enecial newer of common to these consulting thysicirns. .. Do you remember that during the mount of 19- he SD wanted to introduce proceedings contact professor Rose tocause of treason, since Frofessor Rose held lectures in the neutral countries ecrose. Switzerland and Turkey, wherein he described the progress in febril which was made in Germany in the rield of compet of typhus und meleriel A. Yee, I remember that. to Do you further know that professor Rose explained his attitude by soging that according to his ominion the results of medical research in was a woll or in merce should toperit the entire society, just in the same way as the individual physician was obliged to make no difference in his work totween friend or roe? A. Yes, I know that. . Did you know what consecuences professor Rose had to expect resulti. ir this attitude, and did you cover him with the authority of your agency! a. Yes, I did that in agreement with the morionl chief of the Luftweff of for as I remember. What would professor Rose have had to except had he not been covere by you and his superiors at the mirforce? A. He would have to expect that some political measures would be taken -295

reminet him. Of course I cannot say what kind of measure.

the hepetitic macting in Breslau in the year of 1944. Was trafessor Rose there?

A. No.

DR. FRITZ: Thenk you. Mr. rresident, I have no further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: I would like to odvise Dr. Nolto, attorney for the defendant Handloser, that I have bed some information just handed to be that the witnessee, Dr. Wolfgeng Schmidt-Bracken and Hans Hartleben, are not now available in Nuernberg. Counsel sight inquire at the Defense Information Center and mossibly obtain more information than I have available here.

The Tribunel will now recess until 1.30 o'clock this ofternoon.

(A recomm was taken until 1330 hours.)

## CORRECTED COPY

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 13 February 1947.)
THE WARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE FRESIDENT: In regard to the application of Defendant Rudolf Brandt for an excuse, the Tribunal directs that the Secretary-General inform the commander of the prison that he should instruct the prison surgeon to examine the defendant, Rudolf Brandt, concerning his physical condition and report to the Tribunal so that the Tribunal then may determine its future action. As the Tribunal will not be in session after this afternoon until Tuesday morning, there will be adequate time for the examination and the report.

The defendant, Rudolf Brandt, may be excused from attendance at the request of his Counsel for this afternoon session.

Is there any further cross-examination of defendant Handloser by any Defense Counsel? There being none, the Prosecution may cross-examine.

## SIEGPRIED RANDLOSER - Resumed

## CROSS EXALINATION

BY MR. MO HANSY:

'R. MC MANTEY: May it please the Tribunsl:

Q Seneral, as I understand it, you admit that by virtue of your position as every physician and army medical inspector, you had complete command and control over the Army Medical Service, the Sanitaetsdienst and the Sanitaetsween, is that right?

A Yes. I was the bead of the toiled system of the army and the superior of the entire modical personnel.

2 And that control covered the period January, 1941, to September,

4 795.

I I take it, therefore, that van and t assume responsibility for all official activities of the army Medical Service during that period?

A I have slready stated that I do not have any doubts about the fact that I have to bear the responsibility for whatever I have ordered through a general order or by means of a decree.

Q What was this institute for typhus and virus research at Lemberg which you testified was under the control of the OKH?

13 Feb 47-1-FIG-13-2-Putty court No. 1

- A Yes. It was subordinated to the Modical Inspectorate of the High Dormand of the Arty.
- 7. Well, was that the vaccine production plant of the Behring Works at Lamberg?
- A No. As fer as I am informed, the Institute of the OKH at Krakow or at Lambert did not have anything to do with the institute for the Behring Works. In any case, I have not been informed of anything else.
- Did this Institute for Typhus and Virus Research at Lember, for the ORH produce typhus vaccine?
- A They projuced the well-known typhus vaccine from the intestines of lice according to the Weight method.
- . Under whose control and jurisdiction was the vaccine production plant of the Hebrin Works?
- A I cannot say that smeetly. I only know one thin; about the Schring forks, that the name of Dr. Hers was mentioned in that connection.
- . Did the typhus institute of the ONI at Larborn und at Krakow do any resource work on the efficacy of typhus vaccines, or was their work simply restricted to vaccine reduction?
- If the fail I Vection which was produced by the Institute had already existed several years before the outbrook of the war, and as I have already stated in yesterlay's session, it was produced on a lar e-scale in various countries, and it was tooted in various countries with recard to its effectiveness at its compatibility. Therefore, a testing of this vaccine was not accessaring engages.
- ; Woll, your mower thora is, then, that these two typhus institute of the COM restricted their activities to vaccine modulation, is that mi ht?
- I Yes. I do not know what other possibility orn to seen besides production, to choose the typhus institute at Erekow or at Lordon, as for as I know, tried to find yet enother veccine. First of all, it was the tesk to carry out and introduce with all possible means the production of the veccine, and as for as I results, it has also onto experiments in animals in order to be able to cut have the parents of the parents of the product.

Q Did you ever visit the Institute ONH at Lemberg?

A In 1939 I visited the Institute at Krakow, and in 1941 or 1942 I have also visited the Institute at Lemberg. On the occasion of the visit to Lemberg I also talked to Dr. Weigl, himself, who was the man who had introduced the vaccine.

Q In other words, Weigl was working at Lemberg in 1961, when you visited there?

- A Yea, ne was working in the the Institute.
- Q Who was the Commander of the Institute?
- A Prof. Dr. Eyer, a high staff medical officer who had received special training in this procedure.
- Q He was also the Commanding Officer of the Institute at Erskow; in that right?
  - A Yes.
- Sow, General, you testified on direct examination that after being appointed Chief of the Medical Service of the Wehrmacht on 28 July 1942, it was one of your duties to provide uniform and coordinated measures in the field of Mewlth Guidance, research, and combating of opademics, and all medical measures which required a uniform ruling among the Wehrmacht; is that right?
- A A uniform coordination and coordination was one of my assignments or measures.
- 9 And that applied to the field of health guidance, research, the combating of spidemics, and all sedical measures which required a uniform ruling; is that right?
- A A similar text is contained in my official instructions, so to the performance of my duties.

A and your tasks also covered the evaluation of medical experiences and medical matters of the recruiting system, of the elfare and maintenance of prisopers of war?

A Yes, 'at I want to point out that what you are reading now, that is from the official instructions for my duties of the year 19hl.

- A Now, General, I went you to pay close attention to my questions and we will get along a little faster. The first question I put to you was, your tanks after you were first appointed on 28 July 19h2, and I asked you with respect to that, if it was not your duty to provide uniform and coordinated measures in the field of health guidance research, and the combating of opidemics, and all medical measures which required uniform ruling. Now, give me an answer, you or no?
  - A The decree of 1942, this is not contained --
- I (Interposing) General, we all understand it is not in the decree of 1942, or I would not be asking you the question. The fact is there was a service regulation issued on 28 July 1942, which the Prosecution has not yet put into evidence, and I am asking you some questions about your duties as contained in that service regulation, and I will ask you to give in answer per to the question I put to you.
- A The tasks of the Chief of the Wehrmacht Ledical Service generally referred to, what you have mentioned, the coordination of these also in view of these sections of the midical service which could not be coordinated at all.
  - Q and that covered health guidance, did it not?
- A Well, this question is very difficult to answer. When I issued on order, then that indicated already that by some means I shall not that these measures are carried out.

Q and your tasks in the field of having coordinated measures also sovered the combating of epidemics; did it not?

- R Tes.
- Q and scientific research?
- A Yes, scientific research has been repeatedly discussed here, and it has been described, and it has been stated now this has to be understood. Now, one must differentiate on the subject.
- 4 And the service regulations of 28 July 1942, also stated that the system Job to have coordinated the system; did it not?

- A That may be, yes.
- Q And it also covered the welfare and maintenance of prisoners of wer; did it not?
  - A Yes.
- Q Most, General, as you have already observed, the duties which I have just been interrogating you about, which were contained in the service regulations of 28 July 1942, issued to you by Field Marshal Keitel, are some of the same duties that are contained in the service regulations of 7 August 1944.

A I am not able to confirm that because I have personally asked for this service regulation, and as for as I am informed, my Defense Counsel has tried to, by all means, to produce this regulation in the official Army communications of 1942, and in the Army guidance sheets. They have been repeatedly requested and we have unable to find any service regulations contained in them.

Well, General, we are mutually distressed that you do not have them in front of you, but in epite of that, I am going to ask you a four more quantions about it. It must be a eatter of considerable information, which you have, since you were operating under that service regulation for a little more than two years. I have had placed before you the service regulations of 7 August 19hh; that is Document No. 0227, Proscention's Exhibit 5, and I just briefly must to a over it with you, to see exectly now much difference there was between these service regulations of 25 July 19h2, which you do not have, and those service regulations which you do have of 7 August 19hh.

DR. MELTE: ir. President, may it please the Tribunal, I request a ruling of the Tribunal, if it is ammissible, that the service regulations of 1942, ir the tions of 1944 are present in the service regulations of 1942, if the service regulations of 1942 are not given to the defendant. If there is a comparison to be drawn between these two service regulations, then he, the defendant cannot support himself on that alone. That the Presecution is reading to him is contained in the service regulations of 1944, but

13 Feb 17-1-19A-11-3-Heard (FJC) Court I

he must also be given the opportunity to examine that and to point out the practical differences, which perhaps

cannot be recognized from the text alone, especially with regard to the sutherity.

MR. MC HANEY: If the Tribunal pleases, I must object to having the cross examination interrupted in this manner. I have nothing else to say about Er. Nelte's objections on this part. There certainly cannot be any objections to my putting these questions to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: The Counsel for the defendant may interpose my objection that he desires to interpose. The Tribunal will ask the Prosecution if it has available the regulations of 1942, which have been referred to?

MR. II HANEY: No do not, your Honor.

THE RESIDENT: If the witness is unfamiliar with the regulations that are not before him, he may say so, but the objection as made by the Defense Gounsel will be overruled.

BY NR. 10 HAMEY:

I did not tell you that we had these service regulations, and if you jumped to that conclusion by some of the questions I put to you, why that is your own responsibility on the testimony that you are about to give. In any event, we are interested in knowing what was in those survice regulations of 25 July 1962. Let us look at the one of 7 August 1966, which is before you; look under Part 2 where it says "Duties". The first coragraph reads: "The Chief of the Endical Survice of the Wehrmacht is the advisor of the Chief of the Righ Commend of the Wehrmacht and at its posith guidance." was that same paragraph in the regulations of 28 July 1962?

A I cannot tell you that. I cannot remember the exact test of the service regulations.

- I Governl, we understand that you couldn't sit down and dictate this service regulation of 25 July 1942; but isn't it a fact that you were the saviser of Meitel after 25 July 1942 on medical questions? Isn't that right'
  - A Yes, that is correct.
  - 4 Isn't that all that this first para righ says?
- A The first peractuph states; "The chief of the medical service is the advisor of the chief of the DEV in all questions portaining to the service of the Commannt and the other organizations." That is the text as it is drondy contained in the service regulation of 1938 or 1940 for the cray medical inspector.
- one. We are just interested in the one of 25 July 1942; and I take it that substantially the arms personable was in that one. Is that right?
- the special consultant of the ONN it is probable that it is provided for in the similar text in the regulation of 1942.
- The woll, lat's look at Paragraph 2. That says: "The chief of the madeal services of the Meanment will direct the total medical services of the Meanment as for as the special field is concerned with regard for the military instructions of the entire of the militare command of the entire force and the general rules of the Frahren's commissioner meanmal or medical and medical to partment. That same paragraph was in the service regulation of 20 July 19-2, when't it?
  - .. I a unpole to dve you an exact statement on that.
- , the two same paragraph in substance in the service resultion of July 19427
- all connect may now any are right were contained in the service re-
- Gundral, docen't the Puchror decree itself require you to take cort in instructions in the special field from Earl Or mat? Isn't be author-ited to intervene in a responsible manner by that decree?
  - A In the directive by the Puchrer of 1942, as far as I know, it is

stated that the constal commissioner of the Fushrer is sutherized to decide that he has to be informed about fundamental things from the army medical service; that he is authorized to include himself; that he is allowed to intervene. That is what is contained in the Fushrer decree which we have note.

- Let's no on to the third paragraph, General. The first part rendst "The mainful of the medical services of the Webrancht will informathe Pupherer's commissioner can rel mout injor events in the field of the medical services of the Webrancht." That was required y the service required to July 1942, wasn't it?
- A I cannot may that with out dinty. It is possible that this statenent is contained in by memory from perhaps this regulation. It is quite possible that the text originates from this. Birt is the directive of 1944.
- Woren't you required by the Fuchrer decree of 25 July 1942 to
- A Woll, I would have to make you to herd over the decree to me. I connot state that without it.
- 2 But, General, you've seen this decree I mass a dozen times already; and you operated under it for about four yours. Bid you as a inttof of fact report to Mark Brandt on fundamental events in the Sedical service after 25 July of 1427 Can you tell us about that?
- a about matters portaining to the medical field I cannot remember any appetitic onto. They were mostly cases which pertained to the status of the military mysicians or which feelt with special coordinacy conditions, or it was a request for some support or aid with vahicles or airplanes.
- Lat's no on to two next para roph, Farm Tiple 3. It says: "You will represent the Wearranght to the civilian authorities in antual medical affiliar and will protect their interests in connection with the health mesures of the civilian administrative authorities." When't that contained in substance in the service regulation of 26 July 1942?
- ... I cannot say that. It is understood that the task of the chief of the medical service toward the civilian authorities is. I would like to

may that it is a cortainty.

2 But you would like to say that it was contained in there? In that right?

A I cannot say that. I have to state again that it is after a long time more when we discussed these questions for the first time in the discussion about basic documents water I would like to have. I can be here without any documents at all: and then I requested the Fuchrer decree of 1942. If there were any additional emplanations for it or not, I personally stated on the subject that so othing hould still be available in writing. I know for certain and Dr. Melte has obtained the army regu-Intion chader but I can re ber mion he told us that there is nothing on the subject contained in it. I told him that I would rend it over weelf peranus since he was uncole to fint it. Actually, it was not listed in the trible of contents; and I know looked through the shoots for this particular short. Then there is the further does entery short about conoral any communications. Dr. Molto obtained this shoot also, and nothing was contrined in it. So that I would become suspicious. Then Dr. Helte wrate to a mobody blee and he received the information that this must be contained In the arty re-plations, either on shoots A. 3. or C. Then we main rend over the army shout of regulations; and we dim not find anything whatsonver in Farte A. B. and C.

I now, Conoral, this is all very and that you haven't been able to find all tauge documents that you'd like to have; but both the defence and the promoted mero information from you have ease difficulties. I am just trying to not a little information from you have a out what you were doing no chief of the medical services of the 'comment; and I assume that since you hald that jot for most four years, you have so a vague rocallection done what you were doing and what you were supposed to do. One of the questions is just exactly what changes took three after this service that your jovers weren't broadened to any substantial extent at all; and I assume trying to find out wherein lies the difference between your duties

and responsibilities as of 25 July 1942 and as of 7 Au ust 1944. Now, if you don't know and can't tell us what those differences were, will you just no sheed and state right now that you don't know, and then we can move on to some other topic.

A I have already stated hore that it is clearly indicated by the Fuchror decrees that the main difference lies in that I was created an authority to issue orders; and the second is a separation of the provious arsonal union between the modical inspector.

That that was really the rousen that this second Puchrer decree was issued and that that was really the rousen that this second Puchrer decree was issued and that that was substantiably the only reason; but you say that is addition you not so a power to issue orders. I suppose that is contained in Fart I of this service regulation. Will you look at that end tell us should take additional power was given to you?

It is stated under Punder I in the last sentence of Formers h 1 of
the Pietrer decree: "He is nutherized to issue orders in all specific
questions within his field of competence." In the Secres under Article 2
in the service regulation approved by the Fuchrer it is at ted under PatreTaph 2, II, "The authority who, according to Formeruph 1, that is, is
the authority in question, also refers to the army medical inspector, the
chief of the many medical service, the color of the medical service of the
lufterfor, the chief of the medical service of the Maffan SS, and the
medical colors of the organizations and services as loyed within the from owork of the Marracht, while they are noting in the area of command of the
Mearcacht." Exercise, that is in Farmers, h 2 the exchanation of the
unterity given in Paragraph 1 of the Fuchrer decree.

- Q You didn't have any power to issue orders to Hippke and Schroeder before 7 August, 1964, is that what you want to say?
  - A Before 1944 I did not have authority to issue orders.
  - Q Before 7 August, 1944?
  - A Tub.
- Q Well did you have any power to issue general instructions which would be binding upon Hippko and Schroeder?
  - A After the decree of 1944 I was able to do that.
- Q We are not talking about the period new after the service regulation and the Fuchror Decree of 7 August, 19kh. We are both agreed that
  from and after that time you could issue orders. I am now inquiring
  about your authority before that time, and I asked you if you could issue
  conoral instructions to Hippke and Schroeder which would be binding on
  them?
- A In the field of material coordination and from the necessity which arose for a simple case within the Medical Service and its coordination, I was able to issue an order in cases of this kind, because otherwise I would have been unable to corry out such a coordination. However, the branches of the Webrencht and the bediest Chiefs were able to object to those orders and I did not have the authority to issue directives, authority to issue those directives in the form of an order, and that is what is the main thing in the military field.
- Q Refore August 1944 you did have power to issue directives, but you sty you ild not have power to issue orders, is that right?
  - A Tos, that is correct.
- q Could you go to Reitel and have him pass down an order for you to Rippks and Schroader?
- A Yes, to a certain extent Maitel was able to issue such a directive.

  Into is also the case with regard to mutual measures, like, for example,
  the protecting of troops against the winter and similar cases.
- o understand your special field, General, and nobody is insisting

13 Feb 17-A-FjC-16-1-Cook Court I

the medical service of your so-called special field. We are just limiting ourselves to the special field. Now, doctor, hippke has testified before another

Tribunal hore and he was - I am reading from the English transcripts, of the 7 February, 1947, in the action "United States vs. Erhard Milch", page 765, Hippko was asked:

"That was your official connection with General Oberstabsarat Handleser of the Medical Department of the Wehrmacht?"

And Hippke replied;

withen the Medical Department of the Wehrmacht was created it was sought to combine all questions that concerned any of the three branches of the krmy, and he was my superior as Medical General. Handleser who had previously been on an equal basis with me as medical improved for the army, now when he also took on the new task, he became my superior."

And then the Further question was put to Hippko:

Woll could he give you orders? What do you mean by the word

and I know you will be surprised to hear that Hippke ensweredt "Yen, he could give me orders and establish a policy."

Now, was Hippku not tolling the exact truth when he said you could issue orders to him? You know Hippku went but on the lat of January, 1944, and that was seven menths before this second Fuchrer decree was issued. What do you have to say about Hippke's testimony?

A In connection with his testimony I want to say that if Hipphe claims that I had authority to issue orders and if he has described me as his superior, then I as usuale to understand that, because then it would have been completely unnecessary to expressly demand in 1944 that I be given authority to issue orders in a particular manner, and admiral Dounitz would still be able to resomber this exactly, that in accordance with my provious experiences, I could only recall carry out my assignments if I has to become his superior, and the Gross admiral, in spite of all offerts by Reital, disapproved this, and with a lot of difficulty in 1944, be finally succeeded in obtaining for me the authority to issue orders. All of this rould have been unnecessary if I had been given authority to issue priors already in 1942.

Q Woll it still would have been necessary because you left your job as Army Medical Inspectorate, you know, so that it is quite natural they would issue a new service regulation. Was there any difference between the Army

Medical Inspector and the Chief of the Medical Service of the Army?

- A No, but between the Army Medical Inspector and the Chief of the Tehrement Medical Service.
  - Q what was the difference?
- A The Army Medical Inspector was the head of the Army Medical Ser-
- Q General I understand, at least I think I understand, they were both heads of their respective medical services, but I am curious to know why there was this verbal difference between the Chief of the Medical Service of the Luftwaffe, and the Army Medical Inspectors. Is there my reason for this or is it just old practice?

A The Army Medical Inspector, whose office was already in existence before the Luftwaffe was established, has always had this title, because the army was organized in this manner. It was organized to the extent that all of the branches had their own inspector. There was an Inspector of the Infantry, of the Artillary, and also an Army Medical Inspector. In the course of the years this could not be changed in spite of the title, although the title did not apply.

4 With respect to your medical duties as Chief of the Hedreal Survice of the Westmacht, was Karl Brandt your technical superior?

A I have already stated posterday or the day before that Brandt was not by superior.

Q Toll why did you sake such a statement in your affidavit, General?

here called it hare, this contained many mistakes, and in the end and also some part of the draft when it was presented to me, it contained to following sentence: "Professor Brandt was my military and my medical superior", that is approximately what was stated there, and then I stated to the contaction, that is incorrect, and I have crossed out the word that for my and then by mistake the "superior" remains with regard to the

13 Fob 47-4-FjC-16-3-Cook Court I

medical field and I have corrected that there.

Q Woll General, I have heard what you have testified, but I am at a loss to get any sense from the testimony. You admit you struck out sens

lenguage in the arm sentance, who didn't you strike the whole line out? Thy the you leave the works who was you medical superiors, efter all you are a man of intelligence?

- . There were so theny corrections and I take a mistake there. I have that at last ten which according to our concepts were not correct either.
  - " But, deherel, didn't you draw this chart for us in your own hendwriting?
  - .. That is the dia ro:
- Doosn't that show Kerl Brank up at the to over you? Was that a
  - A I have also tried to explain that.
- t. I beend your explanation, General, but there a in it didn't matisfy no.
  Thy ill you put Mari Branit up at the top, unless he was in fact your superior in technical uniters. That its you put him on the chart at all form
- A Bearing it dennot to claimed and houses I mented to avoid stating that I had not been any dentest at all with reference Branch because after all the contracts are stated in the Puchror Bearing and I did not went to the him next to me or beside me. That is purely technical question.

13 Pub-4-JP-17-2- ruce Court I A. In my field? Well, there was quite a number of specifists. Q. Just a minute, general, I am. there we probably a lot of people who had a re incornation about typhos, we att is had more imformation about spideric journess, and other becale no and more information focus wellow fever. But, I ask jou who in Gararny was potter informed than you were on the general picture of military medical science and research? A. If you shark about general arters in the field of military medical science or fire the Wohrmicht was concerned, burn I believe that I am quite will inter ad. Comeyor, I mist except to a popular aranches of research and the medical before of the Lutterife and the ey of which, to at a pricely, I do not be we has alighters iden. ). I un lest so purhape ou mo sol ob a 11 imprend he also es on the resource, small is thick part in a clerively to the Luftwelfe or to the payy. Now, you my the or of lin Sogother the side of chaffs of the shame branch in an extert to evoid duplie mion or resource. Then did you do that? is I did that in the fall of a limit at with regard to the Imposit I was for as this refers to Lythur, for typhus, and chicron. I have fore or structed operatoration of the Bolemantic Son to configurate which dealt with on rel we in those springs dynamicry. Andde of that I strate more may other process case in that consection. is win you give us the differ on which on a lied the sedict chieve togethor? we that are not only medical chiefs one -so special devices with parent to the right I baliove that take main 100 become Schrouder and dready beaut children Prior to that him, there and combitting amorate was temptin to delicate any conference actions edical chiefe permassing in 1942, of the secondary of 1943, because the integral tions were present in 19.3 -w/ 18/4. i. has about the typins? H. Date is not a typhus wheelen thou. There we say typhus be a we can The remove that her that went you now is typhus, that is what we

13 Feb-1-3F-17-3-Gross Court I call illebilibur, which amone to ohus. Q. I unwaght I understood you to state that you called to other medical chiefs of the chranche brancher to coordinate vaccination against malaria. Ion manuscraed monthing class and I thought you meant flockfiebor. .. I believe that I have said touchus, permetyphus, and enologie. Q. winter ty hold, is that right? A. I . we want want you describe as sphoold. Q. during, what was to Sci nelice S and of the medical Services of the whenceltty A. The Sciencefic Sin to do an institution which was first out aliabed in 1895 and these members at thit . . were a cointed by the Departy and, succequently, by the hards of the Journant. It had a certain amount of regular numbers and of insegular to ours and the word the lending scientific person'living to Germany in the medical field, and also a number of older and oppositing apparationed and than spectifly terrined tetive medical process and If on on these regular or other maters left, then the amy evical tenester, The wor in carry of the Scientific S arts, ? successor was an a to so the he did on the So to who found that one was my cinted a number of the Schentific Sin C. le Tou nore President of that body, manufit you? a. I -s . Trusident of a c Sch ad to b arte, just like til my produce tos chica 1895. the dark to you have this presidency by viewes of being army "odical Inspeter or Cal C of the Fidical Services of the character? me I had whis a residency in a concern as army medical langueter and the Schoolife Sometimed to establish of the ray motil the and of the Fire It was intended to toward at item a Chroscht Scientific Sen to. The transit of the happening of the large the large which each court soughly it - I will to corry this out. 1. I " comminmed to be Francisco ofter 7 Laguet 1944, cidn't you?

## 13 Feb-Jr-1/-4-Gross

Court I

- i. The San to was not colled since the year 1942.
- Q. That isn't what I asked you. I asked you if it isn't a feet that
  you continued to be President of the Scientific Senate of the Medical Services
  of the chromont ofter 7 August 19th as it sentes in the Service Regulation.
- of the drawing berief is already induced here. However, it was never established but it remained the day Scientific South and, Merenfore, before it was reconverted by successor, the army edical Inspector, would have continue. We do its president.

- Q Did you ever make any reports on scientific research to Karl Brandt?
  - A I cannot remember that that has over been the ease.
  - Q What about after his Office for Science and Research was set up?
  - A Swen than I did not submit any reports to him.
- Q Did you request the Medical Services of the Army, Navy, and Luftwaffe to keep Brandt's office informed?
- A Certainly some form of correspondence must have existed. From a cortain period of time, perhaps February of 1988, I assume that these reports were given about research assignments and that the Office for Science and Research was to be informed.
- Q Didn't you also require the Medical Services of the Army, Navy and Unitwaffs to send you copies of these research assignments?
- A If these agreements were reached, or how they were reached, I am until to say. However, It is something which is closely connected. In this case there were not reports concerned, but, first of all, assignments.
- Too have said that aviation medicine could not be coordinated by you in your capacity as Chief of the Ledical Services of the schrmacht.
  That about the work of the Luftweff's hygionists working on a problem like typhus?
- A If any question was discussed in the field of typhus, then either a conformed was called in which all the hypiunists participated, or something was drafted in writing which was sent to the various bronches of the "element for their comments; and the hypiunists would have been computent as specialists in that field. In particular, in the field of typhus, I can remember, with the branches of the Wahrmacht, only the prob-

part in the case of typhus. I assume that in this field with the chemical as wall as with the physical delousing, a connection and a nutual orientation of the hygienists, especially since I had an assistant who was particularly aquainted in this field and who had actually devoted all of his work to this question of chemical delousing, which had assumed some naw aspects.

- A Now you have admitted that on a problem like vaccines you, as Chief of the Medical Services of the Mehrmacht, had the power to establish a uniform practice in the Mehrmacht, for example, as to the types and times of vaccination. Didn't you have to know of the efficacy of these vaccines, and their relations to make a decision like that?
- A In the Ellitary Medical Services there was no decision made at any time about a vaccination without first calling several physicians for consultation or without a Sci atific Senate taking place. Exemples are indicated by the program of the session of the last Scientific Senate where this question particularly played a special part.
  - Q who was the Commander of the Williamy Medical Academy?
  - A That was Generalstabsarzt Professor Dr. Heman.
- Q "he invited the various doctors to participate in these meetings of the consulting physicians?
- A The man in charge was the person directed to preside over the meeting. Sofore 19h2 it was the any Medical Inspector, and after deciding on the program, he distributed a list of the number of persons participating, to the individual branches of the Jehrancht. With the exception of those

people who were requested to issue reports, it was left completely to the branches of the Wehrmacht as to whom they wanted to send. Therefore, the selection was left to the Army, the Navy, and the Luftwaffe.

Q I take it, then, that you decided on the lectures and the persons who were to give them; is that right?

A A working staff was appointed, and through the years of my activity it was Generalarzt Schreiber who was the man in charge of the working staff. I, on my part, stated the subjects on which I placed special emphasia. And, on the part of the individual chiefs of the branches of the Webmancht, all the subjects were suggested on which they placed special expansis. At the same time, several names were offered who could be considered as people to make the reports.

Q Well now, are you telling us that you just simply suggested the subjects for discussion and those subjects were then passed on to the Army and the Navy and the Waffen SS, and they picked out the doctors who were to give those lectures?

I You. Of course, there were also cases when I, for exemple, stated to the Luftmaffe that I would like to hear a lacture by Professor Toomes, who was a leading man, or, in some other field, Professor Hose, who gave hectures rather frequently; or, perhaps I may have mentioned some particular person in the May. Moreover, the offers usually come from the branches of the Wehrmacht.

All right. Now, the Kavy, or rather, the Luftwaffe, has decided, alone you which you want a talk on malaria, that they will send Professor Nama. What does the Luftwaffe then do about that? Do they sand in a thort apmopsis of what home is soing to say? Or what do they tell you than?

A They say that Rose is at my disposal, or that Rose

cannot be furnished, and then we have to select somebody else.

Q Well, then I assume that the program was finally drawn up by
you, or Schreiber as your agent, for these meetings of consulting physicians. That is right, isn't it?

A Yes. In the end, the working staff had a draft which was divided into the individual working groups, the people who were to give the lectures, and the subject of the lectures. Then schreiber came to bee me and he submitted this big draft to me. Then, in general, outside of changes with regard to the time, we did not make any changes in the program which had been composed by the various people who were interested.

Q Well, what I am ourious to know is how Doctors Ding, Gebhardt, Tischer, and Helslochner made reports at these seetings.

- A What do you mean by how did they give the reports.
- Q How did it happen that they were invited to mekethese reports?
- A That may have been done for different reasons. Bither the Maffor 35 or the Luftwaife may have suggested the swintion medical officer Holzlockmer to Dr. Ding; or, with regard to the Problem of mold, which also existed in the Army, perhaps, in the course of a discussion between the working staff, somebody may have raised a question to whether Holzlockmer who had gained special practical experience in the Antarctic might not be able to report about his experiences.

I cannot state it exactly because I have not perticipated in any sessions of the working staff. It was only my task to state beforehand what had so be included in the program under

10 7 1-2707-18-5-5-miols Court No. 1.

all dismensioneds, on' to sinke at the end obstar I temporal of this property of this property of this

- example. You have passed along the word that you want typhus discussed in the meeting in May, 1963, and that goes down to the Waffen SS.

  Consider over here writes back and says, "Dr. Ding can give you a good talk on typhus." Now doesn't samebody in your office ask, "Now what in the world can Ding tell us about typhus? What has he been doing in typhus research? The is Ding anyway? What can he tell us about typhus?" Doesn't samebody ask those questions?
  - A I was not asked any such questions.
- Q Docan't your program chairman inquire into the qualifications in the work which a proposed lecturer has done?
- A The working staff contacted the individual branches of the Wehrmacht. They, after all, had to know what man they wanted to furnish for
  the lacture. They would not call a sen who was not on expert in his
  field, because he had to count on the fact that in the course of the discuspion he would, in a certain way, also be cross examined. And with
  such a circle of scientific outstanding personalities no man would be
  furnished of whom it is assumed that he does not possess the required
  knowledge. Therefore I really connect tell you just how, in detail, Dr.
  bing was suggested by the Welfon SS and he he formulated the program.
  - 9 Had you over board of Dr. Ding as being an expert in tyhua?
  - A No.
  - Whon did you first meet Dr. Ding?
- A I have stated here that Dr. Ding is completely unknown to me, and I have tall my interrogetors, Mr. Mapp, with regard to this person and to others, that he should not be surprised if it should be discovered sometime that on some occasion or other, for example, with the opening of a session or at the end, such a man has been sitting together with me in one room. However, I do not understand that to be an acquaint-

heard the many of Ding for the first time when I was in the English come, in the British zone, after the capitulation, when I saw some articles by him in the paper. I heard of him for the second time when I received a letter to the effect that Schruiber has testified here before the International Military Tribunal, according to which Dr. Ding had given I lecture.

Q Well, just a minute. I think you have given us a sufficient answer to that. I just ment to ask you now 12 you read those reports you published on these mortings of consulting physicians. Do you normally read those?

A The printed reports?

Q You.

I have read them exactly in jert, and part of them I did not go over, just as it is usually done with books of that kind. First of all the chapters are selected which are of special interest or which have the most priority, and everything also is laid aside for a later opportunity, which of course power arises.

Q I think that is sufficient, General. I just want to ask you now then, I assume that you did not read the summary of the report mide by Ding at the meeting of your consulting physicians in May, 1943. You didn't read that?

A I have read the report by Ding here.

Q But you didn't read it after it was published senetime in 1943?

A I cannot remember it, because the report of 143 was probably published mix months afterwards. When and if it came into my hands, I cannot say; and I cannot say, either, if I have read it at all.

Q Your memory was protty good whon you testified a moment ago that the first time you ever heard the name Ding was in the British zone and when you rend it in a nowempaper. Do you not want to tell us that maybe you did rood this Ding report back in 19437

A I cannot tell you that. The reports offered came very late, at a late time after the lecture. I have already expressed that because 3016.

of this reason I made an innovation in 19th that the most important part, namely, the directors, should be separately printed in the form of a little booklet, that they should be given priority, because it took an extremely long time during the wer to have these reports printed, because quite a number of copies were needed, and it had already because very difficult with regard to the printing facilities.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribural is about to rocess. The witness, the Defondant Hamiloser, being under cross examination, will not be allowed to communicate with any person until his cross examination is finished. The Tribunal will be in recess.

(A recoss was taken.)

General, do you want the Iribuntl to believe that no one on your staff checked into the qualifications of Dr. Ding as a typhus expart before it was approved that to deliver this lecture at the mosting of the consulting physicians in May, 1913?

A I commot make any judgment on that question. The working starf, in general, probably thought that they were thed to the suggestions of the Wohrmacht Dronches.

4 In other words, they abducated their judgment to the Waffen-65 that Dr. Ding was a typhus export and that he had some words of importance to occupy the time of such men as Schrodber, Rose and Eyer? Is that right?

A If I personally received those suggestions, I would only have reised an objection if there were any occasion to do so.

Q Let me put a hypothetical question to you, Doctor. Let us suppos: in April, 1943, you were personally arranging the program for the meeting of the consulting physici as in may 1943, and that you had donignated typhus and typhus vaccines as one of the matters to be discussed and that the Waffer-SS auggosted the name of Dr. Ding. You have already testified you had never heard of Dr. Ding. I am asking you what you would have done with respect to including Dr. Ding on the program to lecture on typhus under those conditions?

a I would have done the sam thing I did with reference to other suggestions by the SS or suggestions by other branches of the Webreacht when I did not know all the contlemen. I would have to rely upon their suggestion. This was not my task. I did not have time to investigate the quality of the individual suggested. I was only interested in getting the leading people for these lectures to watch I attached particular importages. I had to have enough confidence in the medical chief of the elimant, branched to know they would put people at my disposal who would the the purpose well. That is all I can say.

I I would like to investigate your failure to read this report by 3016

13 Feb 47-A-JP-20-1-Fitzgerald (FJC)

Ding. I must assume from that, that you were not interested in the effectiveness of the typhus vaccine produced from eg; yolk?

A No, that is not right. According to my opinion ever since 1943 I received information about the vaccine situations, and I can say that so far as I am concerned, and so far as I had anything to do with the matter, the entire typhus complex was postponed. For the center of gravity and all the work was based on the delousing. That was a basical change which was of great importance.

I was you recall having read Dings' report here in Murnberg on egg yoke vaccine, and its effectiveness was really the care of the problem he was dealing with, and in this report he compared the effectiveness of egg yoke vaccines to the better known Tergl's vaccine, and I think also vaccine developed from rabbit lungs. You were not interested in that matter, is that right?

A Certainly I was interested in these questions. I remember that through various ways I tried to gain various information on the typhus question, and among others I read an article which appeared in the press which was a long article on measures of ability of leadership as home, which was contemplated in order to protect against typhus, and this article — this egg yoke question was also mentioned. Then discussions were carried on with Er. Eyer as to whether we should extend the different vaccine procedure, and, Er. Eyer also dealt with the question whether we could not use rabbit lungs, or dog lungs in a such more productive manner. Apart from that Er. Eyer increased his production in such a manner, which was greatly to my satisfaction; that considering the progress we made in the progress of delousing, those questions, which you have just mentioned, were not of such a primary interest as you tried to express here.

Que your interest in these matters. I suppose you know where Eyer put his information and the effectiveness of these dog lung and rabbit lung vaccious, don't you? He got that from Buchemmald, didn't he?

A I can not say, but I am sure that it was contained in literature, too.

15 Feb 47-4-JP-21-1-Gallagher (FJC)

Q All right. Where did they test these dog lung and rabbit lung vaccines; in other words, where did Eyer get his information if he did not get it from Suchannald?

A You must not forgot that I had my own hygienist on my staff in the headquarters, and it was one of his tasks, this hygienist, to inform himself about besical questions, and then to inform me accordingly, and, then I only know that the creating of that, that of considering the increased production of kr. Eyer, and considering our delousing program, and considering the typhus situation, as it prevailed in 1942, that was the second half of 1942, we would not need to resort to that, and we actually proved this. I am really very interested in medicine, not only officially out also pursunally, but we are now seeing these things in a retrespection, and so that time I saw them the other way around, and I locked at these things from a very different point of view, as is the case her, now; therefore, the situation is basically different.

- Q the was your bygionist on the steff on them you relied?
- A That was Locturer Dr. Blokart.
- Q Will you spall that?
- A B-I-C-X-A-R-T; Stokert.
- Q You did not answer my previous question. There in Germany to your knowledge did he carry out any research on the effectiveness of deg lung and rebbit lung vaccines; in fact, on any vaccine, do you know?

A Well, I only know that the Robert Book Institute bore that
vaccine, the Behring Warks, and probably the Institute for Experimental
Therapy in Frankfurt, and if you would be kind mough to read the article
which was submitted by my defense counsel of a deciment which was written
by one of the eldest experts on typhus and typhus vaccine, namely, Shhaimby one of the eldest experts on typhus and typhus vaccine, namely, Shhaimby one of Frankfurt, then I am sure that you would be enlightened about
this complex question regarding size and rebbits, and you will be comthis complex question regarding size and rebbits, and you will be comthe complex question regarding size and rebbits, and you will be comthe complex described the subject. This was written in a paper where
the could understand it, which is not a making seminal, but a general
knowledge journal, and I think it is written in such a manner that it

"mg2" to and exercisest importation about the situation. It is not a

subjectively written report, and it is not a report made by a general medical specialist of Frankfurt, but made by an expert, and I think this report would ensure all your questions.

- Q. Geheinrat Otto, as I reball it, was attached to the Ministry of Interior, is that right?
- A. No, this Geheimrat Otto was always the President, or at least for many years, of the Institute for Experimental Therapy in Frankfurt.
  - Q. And did you rely on his advice on this typhus question?
- A. Fe was my adviser, and it was his task to do that. He had so much knowledge and shility that I could rely on him in the same way I could rely previously on Schreiber.

Q What do you mean, "rely previously on Schroiber?"

A I mean that on the basis of Schreiber's knowledge and work and his efforts, I could rely upon everything he suggested or whatever he gave me for my information. Considering the autient of my work, I was not in a position at all to read everything myself, and that certainly was not my task. I had these things reported to me by my department chiefs, and I then had to draw my own conclusions and semetimes asked questions if I thought it was necessary.

I Woll, I understand that, General, but the way you phrased your answer, it might load somebody to wallow that you quit relying on Schreiber and started relying on Otto, and that is not the case, is it? Schreiber was working with you up until the end of the war, wasn't he?

A Tun, but Schroiber did not not as my immediate advisor in my detailty until the end of the wor. Schroiber was with me in the army at the front until 1952. He was at the Medical Inspectorate until 1943, and then he went to the Academy, where he headed a ccientific group, and of course he recoved himself from an to a certain extent. I had, of course, an opportunity to collaborate with him, but he was not my immediate collaborator anymers.

Q Was it not the purpose of these meetings of consulting physi-

h Yos.

4 F. 1-1, I understood that you never ware a member of the Reich Hosparch Council; is that right?

A You, that is right,

2 Wid you swir attempt to become a member?

A I spoke to Field Marshal Reitel about that when the Reich Resourch Council was created. I asked him whether it would not be right
the Webrancht was represented there. He at that time was of the same
apinion and made that application. He repeated it at a later date, but
the application was rejected. The reason was given that the individual
expert departments were all covered by experts, that Field Marshal Reitel

Provident reserved the right whenever any basic question game up which particularly concerned military medicing to call upon the Thief of the Websmecht Medical Service in such a case and use his advice. That, however, never happened.

Q Bonorel, I think that is a very clear picture of how those mombors of the Reich Rosearch Council operated. They were representatives of the other medical survices, weren't they?

## A I pormon lly?

Q The numbers of the Reich Rose reh Council were appointed to the Rose reh Council in a sort of representative capacity for some other medical agencies, such as the Army Ledical Inspectorate, weren't they?

A Thoro was no representative. The Army Redical Service had no representative on the Reich Resourch Council.

## Q Who was Schrodbor?

A Schruiber was a medical officer who had the expert department -I should not call it a department; he was a plunipotentiary for the
combatting of epidemics. That was a task which had nothing to do with
his position as medical officer. In this position he had no relation
to no whatsoever and was not dependent upon me or the army.

Q Mall, now, General, do you want to go back then and change that unfortunate word that you used a moment age when you said you wrould your appointment of the Prosidial Council of the Reich Research Council on the ground that you would then a the representative of the Wohrmacht? Isn't that what you said?

A Yes. Schreiber was not a representative of the Webrencht.

Schreiber entered the Council as an expert on the very special field of legions. I certainly did not fit into any position as a planipotentiary or in an expert department. I was only interested in sceing to it that if senothing like that was created that the ledical Service —

<sup>9</sup> would be represented?

a would have some kind of representation, as is usually the

and you want the Court to believe Schreiber worked in the Reich hesearch Council with his laft hand and in the Army Medical Inspectorate with his right hand and that the one did not know what the other was doing; is that right?

A I would not like to lend you to believe that. The first part is a fact. Thatever happened in the second part, namely, who knowledge of the right or the left hand — a man cannot out himself into two parts, but some personalities can keep these two things apart quite rull. For Schreiber this no doubt meant a recognition of his efforts as an expert, being incorporated into the South Research Council as a representative for the combitting of spidenics. He emphasized that, and he felt himself rather elevated through his new position.

Q Well, now, wosa's Schroiber, to a matter of fact, the representative for the Army Medical Inspectorate on the Arich Research Council?

A No.

Q Did Schriber not report to you concerning his activities as a market of the Reich Research Council?

A No.

if Now did it come about the: Schroiber was appointed to memberthip on the Reich Research Council? Did you recommend him?

a No. Schreiber one dry come to me, very much placed with himself, and he told me that he had received a request to take over this
position. Po asked whether he would have to inform his chief first,
and in reply I told him that I authorized him to except this position
in the Reich Nessearch Council and that, if necessary, I would help him
in his medical appear if it should come about that he could not quite
the go his work. That is what happened.

purformed there? ween't in really doing the same work in the Reich Repurformed there? ween't in really doing the same work in the Reich Repurformed there? ween't in really doing the same work in the Reich Repurformed there? ween't in really doing as an officer in the Army Medical Inspectarato?

-3022-

- A I received no insight whatsoever into his assignments or his tasks during the tire that Schreiber was in the Reich Research Council.
- Q Is it not a fact that the Army Medical Inspectorate or some other appropriate army medical agency represented officer associated with the army for membership in the Reich Research Council as their representative?
  - A I don't know that.
- Q Did you or any agency subordinate to you ever receive reports on scientific research from the Reich Research Council?
  - A According to my knowledge, no.
- Q How were the results schieved by the Reich Research Council applied in a practical passer in the medical field if you did not receive any reports?
- A I don't know whether Schreiber had any possibility or used any possibility of communicating directly with the hygienist and thereby received some material, or whether he used the naterial which he received as communder of the scientific group, I cannot say. At any rate, no authority, no assignments, cann from me officially.
- lens was working in some sort of a vacuum and that the results they achieved did not come so amy body's attention? After all, they were not of any use to the Reich Research Council itself.
- A Well, I don't know how far the Reich Research Council progressed with its intended work. There were many institutions which were thrown up, but you never haird anything further from them. I can only say that I personally received nothing; nothing come through my hands which impressed so in any may, and I am referring to any particular point or files regarding the medical research which has sent by the Reich Research Council to me. I non't know whether the Council had a special organization or a newspaper or any other such channel through

13 Feb 47-A-JF-22-4-Haynes (F&G) Court I

which this agency could publish its work, but I don't remember anything like that.

15 Fet 47-1.-FUC-23-1-Muymorstein court No. 1 I would then the Schreiber didn't spend much time on his work with the Raich Hesearch Council, since they didn't grolled anything that you know anythin abouty A Schreiber was very infustrious. Thether any results were achieved, I cannot only, If they must have referred to experiences which he previously inthered in the Arry Medical Service, or some surveising information about Alitary and civilian emperiences. At the sment I cannot recollect any apocific enau. o Now, wasn't Schroiter, as a practical metter, subordinated to you in all his official activites, including thatever he did within the framework of the Reich Reserved Council? . For his activity in the Reich Research Council, as I repeatedly declared here, Schroiber was completely independent of me and the Wehrmacht, C Suppose you led took that Sutmoit would be more useful to the Army on the Reich Research Cruneil then Schreiter; soul a't you have substitute! netroit for Selrolbor? A No. of How Mid Schroller divide his time, with respect to his work on the Ruich Reserved Council and as an officer in the Army Welliam! Inspectorates A I dennot say that. Schroloor was no longer in the Arry Medical In-. octorate, when he entered the Roich Research Council, and how he divided his the war a question that he had to decide with his commender, who was his morior. ". Conoral, I want to head you Document Ma. 10-1690; mybe we can not a Little lit better ilee about what these follows on the Rolch Reserrch Council from the array -- how they were appointed and who they were representing IR. NO HANEY: I offer this as Prospention Schillit No. 450, for identifi-2011/14 (continuin ) Comorel, will you reed cloud this letter from From to Ports , detail 9 Soptember 1942? - You. "On 20 Au not 1962, I nemed 62 contlored through Army Orinance ors of the Rolch Resurren Grundil in the field of areginat. "Fer the further may benieles, I have to proposes 30214

- \*(1) Presiding Council. Since Secretary of State Genti and
  Frefessor Brandt are members of the presiding council, it seems to
  follow to call the Chief of the Medical Service of the Army-GeneralCountebsarst Frof. Dr. Eandlosar also into the presiding council,
  in compliance with the decree of the Fuebrer concerning the Ledical
  and Health Service, dated 28 July 1942 (Reich Ism Publication 87/h2).
- "(2) Reich Research Council. It seems to me to be necessary to adopt the matter Military Medicinet after (a) Luitarife was a special field of research for the consulting board of minagore.

"I request to call Obersterst Dr. Schreiber, Chief of department with the Army Medical Inspectorate, as a member of the Research Council is the field of Allitary Medicine.

wil assure that if nocessity should arise, individual experts in social fields, for instance, voterinary service, protection against optimies and poison gas, Nutritional chamistry, Tixtiles could be assigned to the Reich Research Council without giving a nominal quotetion at the present.

- "(3) Consulting board of managers. I request to all Professor Dr. Loos from the Technical Tollogs at Berlin, as an expert for the Army into the consulting board of managers. If the consulting board of Professor Loos should does it necessary to have an army Officer assigned, I shall make suggestions." Signed "Froms."
- 2 Did you confor with From about the appointment of those web to the Reich Research Council?

A In my opinion, discussions of that question went through Maitel, then to the Chief of General Mairwell Office, Reinecke, who was exactly informed about that matter. Whether it was from there transferred to From, I cannot judge. From had nothing to do with me as Chief of informant Hadical Services. He only and something to do with me in my especiaty as Army Medical Inspectorate. The Chief of the Mahrameht Medical Services was tied to the ONT, which was tied to the higher agancy.

I have said before that I have discussed this question with Medical and

13 Feb h7-A-F53-23-2-Eupporstoin

that it was rejected. I shays assumed that this was something that originated from Keitel or Reingake. However, that makes no difference. Lay I may something else? In Addition,

it says here, at the top, "Chief Armament of the Arma". That is Reinscha and that is OKN, annuly, the General Wehrsacht Office. I think the situation was that they caused From to make this application, rather than having the OKN de it themselves, which I think would have been the more correct way of deling with it.

q Well, Ceneral, you want the Court to believe that they appointed these subordinates of you to the Reich Research Council without consulting you about it or asking you your views in the matter?

A "lith my "subordinates" you probably morn Schroiber, don't you?

It says here, about Schroiper, that he was suggested as a member for the field of military medicine.

Q Very wall, do you much to say that Military Medicine was not represented on the Reich Research Council?

A Military Medicine was not represented at all, later. He was morely responsible for the special fine of combat of opinion.

Q I'm seking you again, did From or Neitel or Animacke or any one of them ask you your opinion before Schraiber was recommended for appointment to the Reich Research Josephil?

A I cannot say that, now. That was in September 1942. It is rully improbably that a madical efficer in my office would have been disposed of without my knowledge.

I should think so too. Now, do you want the Tribunel to believe that General From and yourself, in having Schreiber appointed to the Reich Research Counsel, were abdicating control over him, and thereby losing his service to the extent that he was active in the Reich Research Council?

A In that connection I have to say that those relationships as they can be seen from this document tro completely different from these which actually came into oppositions when Schreiber sexually entered the Reich Research Council without the assistance of the Wehrmacht. Here he was supposed to represent the field of Military Medicine and that is

13 Feb 47-A-ESH-23-j-Kupperstein (FJC) Court I

something entirely different, The agency for Military Medicine is the Army Medical Inspector or if you like, the Chief of the Medigal Service, but the field of

work which actually was assigned to him later was no longer a certain sector from the military field, namely, the military medical service, but that was in all-comprising field of the combet of opidemics. These are two entirely different fields, and, in this latter field, he had no connections with us whatsoever. I don't say "to me" but I say "to un." If, on the other hand, he had come to the Reich Research Council as a military medicine man, if I may say, then the thing would have been entirely different.

- Q Did ho, in fact, so there first as a military man, as you put it?
- A Mo; nothing ever come of his suggestion, as of the intention that the Chief of the Wohrescht Medical Service is descended here be included in the records.
- Q woll, we understand that you didn't succeed in your desire to be appointed to the presiding council, but it doesn't follow that Schreibar was not appointed after this latter was sent and I submit to you that he was, in fact.
- A That is an error for no further application was made, coming from us, that is the Cohrmscht, massly, that Schreiber was to be included in the Reich Research Council. Through what channels this came about I can't say, but, at any rate, it was not through military channels.
- Q Well, when did Schreiber blooms : member of the Reich Research Council?
- A The change of the Research Council took place about the and of 1942, but I think I heard here that in 1945, the Research Council reactivated itself in 1943 in now form but I'm not quite sure whether that's correct.

13 Pob 47-4-FjC-24-1-Loss r Court I Q General, you show an emazing memory on some points and complete lack of memory on others. Now you insist at great length that Schreiber was not appointed to the Roich Research Council on the basis of this latter which I have just put before you. Yet you cannot tell me when he became a member of the Wolch Research Council. A I cannot give you the ornet dates. I assume it was in the your 1943. I only know that one day whom he was in Barlin he come to my room and told me that he received that received. I came that my Thief of Stoff, who will appear here as a witness, who had more limited sphere of work to do, could tell you a little more whout this subject and probably give you mare occat dites. I connot do that. 2 And this letter does not indicate to you that the army had complete control over the resignation of its army officers in the Reich Reserrat Council? A In the first letter it says -- from the further remark "branches" I have to propos .... It says I have to .... I believe that some request are mode -- that constady and a request; then there is a sen mentioned as coming from a tachnical high school. I can only imagine that there wis now; mort of : request and that this was the reply with reference to those officers that are mintioned hirs. Q Let us pass on, General. Four attorney asked you weather or not you over goined ony information concerning the freezing experiments corried out by Receiver, Relabolimer and Finks. Do you dony that you over received knowledge on that enther? A I soid no. As a result of the Eastern Compelign war on the you very much interseted in "Cold" problems? A Tos. Q Isn't that why you sant army officers to the Luftmife Conference In October 1942? A Of course the interest in cold problems and of an important nature. I do not know the assigned than. From May until the and of October

I was with headquarters in the Ukraino and I believe that the chief probably telephoned me, whether or how many people we should send, and he may have made some proposal, and I think I would have told him on that occasion "Yes, I am in I'll agreement. Send somebody there." It is quite a antier of course that we send some of the people who know something about cold because they were interested in it.

Q Woll, briving must them, you then ismediately lost interest in the problem, I suppose?

A No, I did not lose incorest. At some pariod of time semebody probably reported to me whither so lithing particular and happened or whether there were any purticular results or not, what could be exploited by us. But at that time it was not mentioned that anything in particular had occurred, nor was it said that any particular revolutionary results were achieved. At any rate, I cannot recollect anything like the to have happened. I should herely like to point out that my interests in cold problems; that is these sphere problems, that is the socolled earth-bound cold, that is, ormal height or as it referred to soldiers in countain troops. That was according that was discussed with us during verious seetings, at first during 19h2, something that was discussed to a great extent and very exact directives were contained in these reports of these meetings. You will find them in 1942 and you will find thom in 19k3. Enturally we were interested in cold problems in it is goite a matter of course that abenever we were invited by the Luftwaffe to the would send our experts there and this is something that In the everymmere, not only in the Army and in the field of medicine, at in technical fields as well.

13 Pab 17-4-JP-21-la-Lesser (FUC)

want to put it to you that Holzochner had made a very remarkable discovery and one which I am sume came to your attention. Holzochner and Raacher and found out that this messive warm both was an extremely effective way of reviving persons from shock due to long exposure to cold, a transfer which had been first discovered by a Hussian in the 19th contains but had been forgotten sometow. Weam't this a matter remarkable enough so that Schreiber who was at this meeting, or one of the samy other army doctors who was at this meeting, or one of the samy other army doctors who was a down there, would perhaps call to your attention, after the extreme cold you had suffered in Russia the previous winter?

A I said already before that at all times we were interested in cold provious and as you say, very correctly sainly because of this terrible winter of 19kl and 1942. We already knew before that and there are regulations up to the mor and perhaps during the first wer, newely that people who were fresen should not be rewarded very quickly. Even before that we included in our regulations that one should concentrate on reserving and certain forms of remarking were described. If we army people who knew frontal territory and Russia, were not so impressed by this warm bath, as you mentioned it, as you say think we were, it is probably for the reason that the entire Eastern Front just had no hot teats at their disposal and that plays guite a big role regarding the impression my now invention may have made on us.

Q wall, now, denoral, let me put it to you this may. Did you take any changes in the basic directives concerning the rewarming after about from emposure to cold after this leftmaffe Conference or after the conference in December 1942?

A If one would look through the reports of the meetings and the in crives it is quite possible that some place, I can't tell you exactly for although I read that, something is said that warm or not rates in regard to freezing. You yourself brought to our knowledge, through a document, that in December 19h2 Holslockner spoke about his rewarming questions during a meeting. -3030-

13 Feb L7-L-JJ -24-2a-Lesser (FJC)

he held. That was reported to 300 or 400 gentlemen who transferred that information to the front and I am sure that later on new directives contained the warm both too.

I am sure it did, too, General. That is the reason I asked you because I think that there is no doubt that great importance was uttached to the results of this experiment in Dachau by Rascher, Hols-lockner and Jinko. I now want to ask if you dien't actually hear Holzlockner speak in December 1942 at the secting of consulting physicians at the Military Modical Academy?

A I cannot recalled that and must say once more that is something that was done within the various export branches. I am sure you will see that these export branches don't with these suggestions themsolves.

nor is reported in our Decement MC 922, Prosecution annibit 135, and It goes on you have a very short symopsis here of his report but he does give clinical observation in cases of double resulting from cold and I find that you made some comments at this cold session on page 51 of the original report. It reads:

"Mindlesor strusses the extraordinary importance of education also in combatting cold effects and appeals to all medical efficers, in their capacity is localize of the health marvice, to see it that through over repeated explanations such individual is tought to observe the necessary processions, massages."

A lky I ask you where it is stated that is in reference to the lecture by Melalochner? It seems to be within the framework of the cold problem.

Q General, I will put the German to you so that you can see for yourself. General, let us read the little summary of the speech by Molslockner because the Tribunal Goes not have this decrement before it. It reads:

"Stobsorat Professor Holalochners

3031

<sup>&</sup>quot;Frewentien and Treatment of Freezing.

13 Feb 47-4-JP-24-26-Lesser (FJC)

In case of freezing in water of a temperature below 150 biological, counter-measures are practically ineffective, whether in the case of human beings or animals. Human beings succumb to reflectory rigidity,

increase of blood sugar, and acidosis, at an earlier stage and to a greater extent then enimals. At a rectal temperature of below 30° under such conditions of distress at see surjoular flutter regularly sets in; at under 28° heart-failure frequently occurs in human beings. (Over-exertion due to unequal distribution of blood, increased remistance and increased viscosity). Treatment with drugs is senseless and has no effect. In the case of human-beings best results are also achieved with boths. The feam-suit was developed as a prophylaxis equinat freezing in water below 15°.

More, General after that little summary of the talk by Helzlochner there were several other loctures on freezing problems and then at the end we have the gentlemen who made some comments on those lectures, we find among them Brower, Dr. Hippko, the man who commissioned these experiments, and Jarisch and Bucchner. Not I want to ask you if this document refreshes your recollection so that you can tell us whether or not you hand this report by Helzlochner.

A Tes, after reading what I have in my hand, now it is quite possible that I listened to this lecture. At the same time, it is a proof that I have not as good manory as you assumed, because I already had this Dooment in my hands once before here in Nurnberg; you once gove it to me and I forgot about it.

Q Now, did Holzlochner describe clinical observations in the death resulting from cold human beings in this lecture which you heard?

A I cannot tell you that.

Q Door it not say so in your own report hore?

A It says here that Helslockner belonged to the Luftwaffe and as far as I was informed later, Belslockner had gained large experiences. From his service at the Atlantic Senst. I am sure that was sensithing that was numbioned during his lecture. We had an emergency see station near the Atlantic Senst and near that there was a hospital where he treated these frozen people who had been rescued from the sec. There was no cause to suspect anything special behind this.

Q . Was it apportant to you that he carried out experiments on human beings?

A Mo.

Q Well, Concret, we have board some testimony hare about the talk Holshoohner give in Nurmberg two menths before this and as I recall, there was some indignation in this meeting in October, 1942, because all these gentlemen realized what had happened; are you telling no that no rumor of this seeped up from Numberg to Berlin in two menths, so when the same has gave the same talk, you gentlemen were in complete ignorance when the fact that these experiments had been carried out on living board tolings in a concentration easp?

A How for any discussions or any particular impressions were noted in Murnburg, I cannot say. At any rate, I never heard anything about

any discussions or any decline. I could well imagine that if I would hold a locture anywhere and if afterward I gained the impression that there was some kind of unclarification, or some one night call too much attention, and if two months later, I was holding the same lecture at another place, I would naturally change my locture and I would draw my conclusions from what I had learned proviously. I am sure that this well night have been the case here. At any rate after reciting this excerpt, if a few pages are missing here and if somebody does not look at the pages exactly, he must assume that the man noted down here as Mandloser spoke issudiately after the lecture of Helalechner. I believe that the report of the meeting itself will show you that between the Jacture of Holslockner and the discussion, there were a few other lectures and you will have to admit that considering the fact that we were approaching winter omin, because this moeting took place in Depositor 1942, my runarks fld not refor so much to Professor Holslookner's locture, but it was murcly a ramindor that we wanted to do everything and in that way cantol to concentrate our untire interest to the front whore froming took place in order to help our soldiers. That is all it means to say.

13 Feb 17-4-Fj0-25-3-Weehen Court I

I suppose the Tribunel wishes to adjourn at this point. I might say it is not the desire of the Proceeding to hamper either the Defendant Manclesor or Dr. Welto, his counsel, in the continued preparation of their case. In view of the fact that we are now adjourning for a period of four days, I would be willing to permit Dr. Melto to confer with his client, if Dr. Felto will state for the record that he will in no sensor, shape or form discuss with his client the matters about which has already been interrogated, or in any my couch him on subjects which may come up. I don't mean by that to ber him from discussing any of the subject, which I will interrogate him about, that is typhus or mything like that, but it he write to discuss with Dr. Mandlesor the question of some witnesses, further efficients or matters of that character, I will be willing to lot him do it on the basis of the understanding that he will not in any my influence the witness.

TWE FREEDOM: The Tribune inquires of counsel for Defendent Rendleser whether he doese it important to consult with his client during these des of recess, the Tribunel stating that is he does fool that that is important, the Tribunel mill consent relying upon Dr. Polte to conform with those principles of professional othics to match he should confirm under the circumstances.

DR. FELTH: Mr. Prosident, I trank ir. McHoney very kindly for his suggestion and I thank you for the confidence which you place 13 Feb L7-A-FjC-25-4-Weehan Court I

in me and I can assure you that I shall comply with the professional othics of our profession and I shall only speak to my client about questions, which have nothing to do with the complex of questions that are the subject of cross-examination.

THE FRESIDENT: The counsel for Defendant Handleser may talk with his witness during the rocess.

The Tribural will be in recess until 9:30 o'clock Tuesday morning.

(The Tribunal recessed at 1652 hours.)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribural in the matter of the United States of America, against Harl Brandt, at al, defendants, sitting at Murnborg, Germany, on 18 February 1947, 0930-1530, Austice Hatls presiding.

THE MASSAL: Persons in the Court Room will please find their souts. The Honorable, the June of Hilitary Tribunal 1.

Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. Bod save the United States of America and this honorable Tribumal.

There will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: in Marshal, have you ascertained if the defendants

THE LISELY May it please your Honors, all defendants are present in the Court Soms.

THE I ESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in Court.

Counsel may proceed with the cross equivation of the witness. Mr. McH. Mir: Tay it please the Coart.

> STROPULED ELEDLOSS: - Dunor COURS IL 1: Thir (Continued)

CT C. C. S. SYS

q for rat, lasts the bulliable of chortal and permaned in the field I - Tell - clark come prider if all manches of the Tahrments I will report the click, when I limit the utilization of thereigh an personnel in the rise of a final restages a common problem. of all branches of the observent, required to or limit ! we danned Drawley 2

" The resting mayor code att to.

all, who that it confronter you rook, when't the utilization. TARY To pursonnal in the Stable of a deal research a o main ; r he of all briefer a of the christelet?

The there and we istributed in such a way as was eriotion ! for the individual institutions and concile; and the constant requiremarkis of meterical water they had were suttled out enticelly occor in t the demands, which I did not have in my hands.

I Seneral, you'll recall that the Fushrer Beeres of July 1942 veste you with jurisdiction over problems concerning the utilization of enterial and personnel. You remember that?

" Yes, it was the natural and personnel over limition; and the reaso for this was that at a central office for reasons of economy the strength of the individual branches of the Johrmacht was to be listributed according to the mise and the requirements of the individual branches.

if wall, now, General, we are retand that; but we are trying to dive some content to these concret ords "material" and "personnel"; and I'm askin you if the utilization of enterial and personnel in the field of me if cal research wasn't a comen problem, requiring coordination, which fell wit in your juris Metion under the sores of July 1942.

a I can may any that in practice - and that is the most important this quarties did not were come up in or staff and within or field of comprtomore Mothing was ever as litted to no with repart to the distribution of material or personnal where I would nive had to make a decision because there were different difficulties in this respect and that is the most impur tent.

Q nomeral, you'll remove that I road part of your speach to the committee physicians at the motion buil from 36 November to 3 December 10

# Too.

4 Phat was Borgant Bo-922; Procession Exhibit 135. In that speech, Out of the post in part that "it is not a question of threhing supercialy un attling to other, but areming one buttling must be lone in unison from the budining in all field to as a result, as concerns the military sector, Throught modical service, an' with it the objet of the modical services of the Melarmost, come into wein. At only in a time of paracenel and materi even us for us this is possible in view of special fields and special tasks which must be end bore , but also with the view to selicit, scientific of cation and research, one meant on the branch - Holl service must one di hs a unifici ons."

- 4 Tos.
- of iren't you saying there, General, that the coordination of scientific research was one of your jobs as chief of the modical service of the medical service of
  - " Tos, it was in till the fields where this was required and necessar
- I denoted, if you have any interest in the treatment of wounds cause by our of various types?
  - A of course I was intopusted.
  - That research was done, to your 'make be, on this question?
- In the chrescht we but two separate fiels. They were the military fiel about the use of cherical warfare agents and the section field for the treatment of injuries sustained because of such chardeal agents, accordingly, there were two superate resulations. There was one regulation which referred to the military use; and a present and the treatment. These were the erry resulations Number 395 and a printed required by the treatment. These were the erry resulations Number 395 and a printed required at the surface security of the war it was completely reproduced on two or three accasions. I have always shown a special interest in this a review resulation. In connection with this the most small least say and as far as I can remark a the first world for, this was a field of special interest, not only with section in all countries.
- n Wall, did you know of any our experiments carried out on human belows?
- The New Me had a lateratory, an institute, in the academy which had always had the and much and which was also diven the assignment by me to try finally to find a very practicable nothed of treatment; as we called it, to find a decontomization procedur; and this assignment was very sociously carried out. It finally resulted in the fact that in the course of the year 1913 we found an excellent skin localization that in the course of the year carried out in animals. Then they were carried out in valuntary officer can't lates. They were made at a fundamental out in valuntary of ficer can't lates. They were made at a fundamental out in value and any other ware furthern as carried out on sol Years in the pury chemical warfare strate.

school where the training took place for the as protection offices. That

q Did you know of any cas experiments carried out on concentration camp inneces?

4 30 m

Q You testified that you kn - nothin, about August Hirt's lost experiments? Is that correct?

a No, I did not know enything about them,

- A. I would not call his the first auth rity but it rether is my minion that the first outh rity would be his tricher, the well known
  - a plat is with a first mac, y u kn w?
- a. No. I usewe that his first no s begins with " ". I think on no coasion I read w. Mirta, but I ment sure.
  - Q. Did you on w if with over worked with Bickenbach?
  - u. No, I cannot toll you thit.
- w. wirth is the can who rece onled to war! Branch experiments for the decentramination of water poison. with 1 st, isn't ha?
- a. I have read that here for the first time in the decement which you nave presented buro.
- 4. That was in Baccant | 5-150, ir accetion which the, for identifleation. I will remore to be count entained the per ruph which road as folious: "The third saries I me with were carried out with the rough I to Lat rup, the sublikinging of lat, in sorting with su wail o man my Openingt Dr. Wirth at the conference is December I'll tith solch G resultant Ir. brants. There was riments here our field at me monatration can in the worun't they beneral?
- a. I commut two you my ist raction at all to me that. I do not Ka w springs - at the sessi n, which is wanti med in the decement, nor orn I told you the remsons for it.
  - . Due it strike y u us : Lit le strange to t no d'ins flicura in the army which Inspect rate a life to recommending experiments on consultration cary in the unless he knew that that practice was approve by win superiors?
  - 4. I cam to be if Profess a wirth more this out sets in that such an arisente to correte de la commentation compare us. I con't know mything wout this.
    - 1. I was just asking you if you will don't time it strange that an

C.urt I 13 For AT-1 - 2-3 - 130 Bross army ifficer would sugest or participate in experiments in concentration one in the unless he know that his superiors had no bjection to it. a widn't y a find it strongs if he did that? 4. From r wirth could not have believed that I would agree to this because we arme never discussed this question it any time. Q. Did you know D. man? a. I brom D.houn vor elightl. I can re-order him because in one f or meeting he was a way and lookure of art applicate jamelies. I and required what an ite access no is a continuation I attended when I well and all the people asturbing. Theked no f them, Mahare are you m rking on the Tay apr on Gettalit tell to "That is Stebsorst Dehman." I dad not have any pursual contention with a frame 4 can all remember him secure he are an excellent least into ut the jumpice and atout the little incluent with broads. %. As I recall you to Million to by a know a tale about - heen's occurrents in Stobsummann in a mountration compliantie, is test a most .. You. 4. Did y a cuil no Brooking conformer a junited in 19hily trions in w poning at rose, but I then reach that all merapar harbititin scientist, r. Tilloo " - rking in the . hr oht r civilian contar, on a bill a weather in the mode fatore in room to two wases the in stuncty to exchan a their and rangers and, in we all, to common the bulliurus waich than his tac yer- , b r - . - town and t test them. w. Mit you thtom the contra a Mareter? se Ilee \*. Himity a new f the c - crettin f Hagen and behign in Strice up 2 " I i no ma working a to that. I know they worked to other in this field a thy know me that a at it - that was Somroider greefale. wer the setimal I kn a test in the cases of the coting he has the very +3042+

fortunate into the superst that verious working groups should be forced as that at least these working or his could exchange their experiences. I have now for a devicalt, for this testim my, that as forces I can remained that the ring ties included Getacit and Daimen and also Hagen. I know that acquainly between Ecrem and I have difficulties existed; probably also in the conference of the Berman S civity for Internal Medicine Cotaber 1943 in Vienna, it was not the Pallitary o nforence. For this recount it was mithout any fact a success on the part of Schreiberan if no successed by means I that working or up or course to remove these afficulties that existed.

- O Do you know whother Hanger, and Dobmen conducted experiments on human beings at Strasbourg?
  - A No, I do not know engining elect it.
- Toll, you talked to Bangen of the souting of Broslau in 1944, didn't '
  - . I have not understood your question.
  - a Bid you talk to Fergus years if t the couting in Bruslau in 1944?
- in I do not believe that I have speken with Edward at Sreslau? I may have wellowed him just like all the other people Unite, but I'm not outto contain of that dimer.
  - q didn't you readly so revers on foundice read rain?
- A E did receive reports that francise from my own consultants but not
  - Q Did you receive none from ther a?
  - 1. No.
- " ".il, Dohawa was one of your sunordinates, he was attrohol to the hittery modical Academy. Didn't you over out a report from Dohama?
- a I have already proviously at bod th t Domain was one of fifty, elsey or seventy modes! officers of the ententials group, and I have not received by report from Domain because Domain with, first of all, suscendented to the only of the modes seemed to the only of the modes of the worder; and whosever reports were sampled than they first of all ment either to the consistent of the worder; inspectorate or the medical inspectorate, (this was Gutze or they went to my scientific department in the medical inspectorate, mithout we have directly informed "lout it. Gutnet himself has repeatedly powered" to read to me an alonge scale over the opin mis jumpies. Of source, but was his meteors buy because the ground played a dejor work. Namework your position if I had received any type. I from Domain I sust master in the next
  - I Do you know of any expects of a common beings with promitted?
  - A 1824
- i coll, Colord, in view of the testioner of Guizelt that journies and action problem of order properties, and in further view of his testiment of

it was not a serious discuss, how do post explain the fact that no experiments were carried out on human beings to determine whother in first you had isolate a joundles wires?

way and that at the Breslau Comforence, with the utmost variety of sciential present, one of the relatives still disputed with the other if this was the cause at all. I'm nell you further that I did not even know anything about the fact, in a I was never toll, that Gatalit had carried out an experiment on binsels. I now explain the Part in the cay that he had not yet succeeded in finding the virus. Inore were only casuaptions and seminor the scientists telleved they had found it. However, the fact had not yet been established,

that it was nonconstrate in the produce how is it that no constraints were carried a on human beinger

I computedly or appear a business, without my looks, he had hardled note profess which were not rise for decusation put, while we, in the bohrmont, relationship the point of view that one should be very coreful in all these creas.

noting programs to the ilitary method worders in Lay, 1943. In that we

or Tor.

C You have also testific that you house the report by Subwardt and Ele-

. I have so too that it was be assumed both I attached this conference.

The second of th

. I refus. 60; y.o.

and the second of the second o

A May I sense a service that the distance to the gain that I have not

shown any special interest in these reports or that they did not make any special impression because, etherwise, I would be able to remember them.

Q Well, wear't it made clear in this report that they had performed ex-

.. In hy spinder, we for wa I to s, it has been stated here that prisons are who had been paramed were consented.

Q Wall, Memoral, you are those boson, these foliah words who came have to testify. You see him to are legs were mutilized. It'll you tell the Trill of what you think no not self-member experiments at havenstruck? Do you think they were all right.

If you the state of the first in the Legiure containing the subject of exponential comparisons we get conserved. It was not discussed because the or the other of the series will have received in my memory. I am quite contain that no facility of conserve have decreased. I have seen the reliable bone are and I have the seen the state. In the two subsequent regult. However I compute state arrangly what was the set than the application was not two by Dr. Alexander here. But has many liven a discussion in if I have to tell; that I think their these appears that I make the transfer that I have not by the conflict out the experimental and will inscribe him that describe him that describe him that describe him that describe the outside them onto I is not been anything that it here is I consider the laterage. I consider the laterage.

or this matter that the applicant ambiguite had been extifically infector

a that I had have to red to a cour thou some.

the first the first berg. This is December 10. 923, Proposition Exhibit ago, after Galmarit and Piecher 19, their report they be a Little Lieves with a man by the man of Schrom bed the following to cay: "We tark as I per tark, Galmarite and Piecher's experiments as not qualify for an immediate to written with misch experiments as performed by specific and character as a further of variety who misch apparents as performed by specific and character as

of para-orally siministered sulfamiliander." This fellow has already point of our that they tied off some blood vessels in Gothardt's and Fischer's experiments, hasn't ha?

- a larger muscular parts were inchesed in this or more reneved.
- A Re cours on to any: "The conge of levelly administered sulfanilabile, especially of non-sulable once, must not to over-estimated because the conditions of diffusion are not sufficient due to poor solubility. Especially morphal has to be considered in this respect." Then he says: "The poor takes of infections with one paragrams, which is stressed by the lecturer, coincides completely with the finalms in raisel experiments." New, General, isn't be applied very clearly that they estimatedly infected these human beings with the generator.

-300.8-

I I man report now in to that I is but that the words we accontrate in coupt of the membration arms prisoners, more continued at all.

The vory located that it we had no ved horo that above was an injection of this vory located that it would a symmetric fero book staids the results that the investment is the 1943 there was a placed a more and adjusted a some analysis and the part of the solution of the symmetric field of the part of the solution of the symmetric field and the symmetric ferond was similar and the special of this state that you have a thin that blue that blue is the part of the part of the short that blue that blue that blue is the part of the pa

A period of only post at the chronic reposition to match the call the settle took sound to the the tree and the tree recented took, which this was a reference of the different tradelist arms, and that the individual receiping to the tree tree individual.

I while the tends is different these at the continue to the set stated under at a vertical countries that I have the tree at the properties of the splantation of the splantati

I convert, I am if research which is the little print but I think to apply the repeated to I there are the translative of the property of the

The state of the process of the state of the

- q Well, precisely what was alled to the instructions which you gave to Mell solical officers on treating infections of sounder
- are shout 1, 2, 4, 6-1/2 lines here about the experiments which were carried out by dechards and then from the cast lecture are the results of dromander, then application, then the climical results, and then it states a collection rules are to a miled for the grants. Therefore what has been to large now, that states that the development or an inflammation caused panel caused and the internal and external senses to provented by the formal and external application of sufficienties. Then it states about the figuration the important part of this that also in this case of warning to be invested to the surgeons outside that the external about that they could remain an intain their surderal treatment. This can a very important point at only to some against they should all submitted as youry important point.

- General, would your organization, your staff, make such additions to medical instructions without investigation precisely that had been done curing the course of experiments upon which those new instructions were based? That's a pretty important problem, isn't it?
- If the specialists, and the reason for this conference and everything that has been newly discovered since 1943 was that several dozen loading scienticould read and that they could consult about these instructions which were
  issued here. Neither I are normally people of my staff participated during
  this conference, but the procedure was that on the very last day before this
  couling was discounted, the 12 or 1 different appellation groups absounced to
  text of same inversetions. And in this case it was to be maked once sore
  if there was any further discussion, and if not, then these instructions we
  necessary were printed as quickly or us slowly as this could be done,
  at then they were sent to the front.
- posed and conditions of the experiments which were reported on with grown over: is that right?
- . You. Noturally the will have considered in the transport under what which forms that is, is this case becteriological forms or world I forms make on criments has been corried out; then is to my, how the results were contented for be seen by the case misterion and the person who has corried them out will answer the questions here which were put to him, because offer all, a number of physicians are in places of the man relation.

Then various questions were about and they were discussed and we also are instructions with writing thing that were not added and where possible here remains what procedure simula to followed, and that, we a result of the discussions we saw a big neventage.

- principly concerned with this sulf milecide problem?
  - . . If of us were interested in the collowanide experiments roll
  - . tell, was not to ber of this would be receivable which investig

instructions based on those reports, and they wented to be sure that their a instructions were right and that the reports were correct; and now I /...

the row was Rostock a member of this specialist group?

Tue.

- affidewit of Dr. Ding telling about his meeting with progressly and Schroibe at the Military Medical Adedesy at the end of 1942 on one burn edexa?
  - L. 20.
  - . mest to mis odona?
- the feet edome is a lisease which is caused by wounds and injuries, amonovor a certain type of virus, and in this case they are bacteria, are introduced into the wound. The word often can be translated into Gardin, which is currently swelling, since this disease is characterized by a swelling, as it the same time by a formation of one which is caused by those bacteri from it is called as burn or one. It is one of the cost foured candidations which we can find in the field afterinjuries and the northlity rate a such we called the terrifyingly sight. Further one, this disease is very often characterists by the fact that the surgion is forced, in order to try to save the life of the afflicted person, he has to carry out very large apparature, or perhaps no one to acquirate a limb from the joint of the highest me abouter.
- . That will be sufficient, I taink, General. Now, did you have a go old a sorm in General?
  - At YJG.
- . Did it ever count to your extention that soldiers treated with yes
- If may ancesse was to be menieved at all with this one serum it had to be from reportedly in very large do us, and somebody finally had the face the most fatelities which could not be properly on Limit might perhaps be to bok to the most components which were contained in the serum.

- and take one oders with toric of one of your conferences at the characters on this toric at one of your mostines?
- As hy predecessor directed the first conference during the most war.

  Jo t was in 1940. It was held by Dr. Walderson ofter the calmaign in Pol not been accepted and sires y one of the pain lectures was given about the sample of the sample of this problem has always been discussed with reserve to me close.
- . Will, latte of them to this Ding afficient, Document NO-257, Prosecurion Exhibit 263. You have described this me educa problem Just allout the same way that Dr. Din ale. It render the the one of 1942 I took wirt a conference in the life to Doctors! Actiony is Berlin. The topic of inches a w - the fitelity of the burn seru. on wounded. Attendants, Guard Dr. Professor Schrolber, 55 3rd wher S moral Professor Grandwalty, public 1 officer was we unknown to us was was a surroun, symply as section le for of the Control Institution 1 Borlin for Fighting Moideries, Elliant which a have dirundy antibhed ... What irrapower myo remorts of wold is we and received on place sore in it i questition, up to 1500 cubic continuous, and pure neturards, stor complete recurrentian and such end oftenut am visible reason. . ru weld suspected that the phonol content or u a about the fatel result of the composit them of the commente injust! Is the presence of the other pontlemen, Mrmoweky constitued he to take part is outlinguist with proposition of contraction on p and to describe the result in dotail, since neither I am imagazeky over are a come of double through phonon."
  - 0 mid Schrother over tall you engine about that?
  - .. To.
  - . Searciber Gidn't tell you very mes about anything, did he?
- Schrolber reported very then to be, and I may worked together with
- . How have it appear no never told you about those little discrete indiants of Millin, or concentration one incidents by Dr. Discrete

- i. I do not know the rememb mich Schreiber had for doing th t. I do not know to what extent Schreiber was informed.
- . Did you know of Roitol's order that the Weartment was to have other, to do with experiments on amon bein s?
- In this form, I do not know anything about it in the form in which it has been exacted in a med lines have a local lines and the purhaps Moitel brought this up one, wing a same place out I do not know it in the connection in which it has been montioned here.
- the to you now that is an old view of the Webroccht? Whether he the view of the Webroccht?
- .. I have understood you to any this Moderal and stated that no experience on the same of the Mohrmant.
- The transmistation of the December 27-1309, Promposition Exhibited, which is a monormode of a time between Professor Milese and Professor Place concerts which deed conformed and proposed experiments on hims beings, acted 23 February 19-4. Takes in 3 reversed that he had until now make an experiments in the field of human medicine. There, however, and necessary and no plane to make them. I new institute under his control is the outline outline as plane to independ and the transmission and the transmission for the studies and treated. Field Paramet Mottel has given the permission to build. Note:

  Professor is and Beneralized Mottel and result forces are not to have a regional above in the experiment of Field Moranel Mottel and areas forces are not to have a regional above in the experiments since an experiment will also be confused to have a before a process in the experiments since an experiment will also be confused to have a before a process and the experiments since an experiment will also be confused to have a process before a process when the parameter with the experiments will also be confused as the experiments will also be confused as a process when the parameter will also be confused.

s coldict then any questo as you I rest - hit.

I W. Ta in older . I had . I had I to

in 16 3et . Compani Votorinor , ir. "No P, I . reacht. Now 2, 1 . in to make it is an all there as the May 1 to me not or got in the distribution. I at the manner of the

- Statt but her tot the but he was this welfare exect-

4 .

The second of th

The Bull-support that to control to the Property of the State of State of State of the State of

- III, the to five to the common to be able to accept the contract of the cont

The second section is the second seco

The second of the second was a second of the second of the

five your ma. I metate you desire a manual and the contract of the contract of

"I. I connect resigning understand it. However, I was not to a large that I am not there a seril plant in the eril least. I am all while that in the day tennels to be emperiod, I am a tennels that the region of the large tennels are the region.

Q And you have have no well no with this or element of Tablement's with these yellow forms versions?

- . .
- 1 Dr. Schult Min't tall . a?
- m libe
- 1 1 10 is Br. Sec 4 19
- A few Selected was the Applicated on the Army to Meet introduction.

  To must the unit the Transaction of the army to Meet Transaction of the Lord when a presented by office in the Army to Meet Transaction of Territoria. The Meet Prince of Territoria.
  - A Me more reported agriculture to tida?
  - 4 16.
  - a Is to the same Schalle, for children have to be till?
    - A Tree.

THE ALLESSEEMT: The Court wall be in rucess for a few a court.

(A riches ine tellen)

Court I 18 Fat 47+ ' - 9-1 - LaG - Herry THE LESTAL: The Tribunal is - in in a sai a. BY MR. MCHANEY: 4. General when was the types and virus institute if the ONH at Lamburg activated? 4. A cannot tell you that amostly. I a y have that in the year f 19hl, I think it was in Jone, I actomical ten institut. Curing an inspection in Lumburg and that, as that ine, it was to by activated. 4. A W. Conoral, I listance to year total my e nearming to how and the pasiality from havin been record to enformer a typhus on 2 wonder 1941, me I'm a it - on pt. a testimay. De y a dany boving both second at a conserved with south, butter, Gil omidator, Mragowalty remy has father in 27 one in 1941 a neutraling typhus Viccinas in the testin E ro If .. already in O trurse) in the term of the true in inc. the cite, day, then and it so by a ried arts f aren a confurence I know nothing the lange of the arish production I know n thin plu I n in you will a f y be that to the vision Spann searched to company with any to that he minute Y 1941 Y 1942, I from attents of the civilian secur, on I wink it am Conta, if the lerns I stod for tried to tried to the fifty I report out in that connect in at the I droug at had in O gureal the the shen I is the a serythin to tI make a -I d'n't kn want it was raille c'i but - 🐸 t I ha n thing i do with a confurence in any way in e amosti a tita c acoustriti a co as r the we I in this it the me I my - ch a high definite from the mes why a fr, milen my to at the town. a. wall, General, since you musti me this statement at Description butter put it of re too in the . This is werent NO. 732 which me if in is Proposition abbit it 451 for insatisfication. Somer 1, this is no for that at both y to ride in German, ton't it? a. The, in Degree I was the guest of tempts. We let's turn to the stand of this st teamt mare it sas: -: 000Hascordin to the statement I too intermedial a corious likes, I was supposed to have attended a conting in 1941, at which Holter, I notify and iron voicy were present, and there are about the carryin at I typhus reaction in human win s. I cam true like the intermedial and participants, in a time a way of such a section because if the lay years that have possed and the many me incidents in these course.

cor run 5:

The fer is the typhus in the in a recence, it my now went the following of leating for the known, any effective typics vicine, seconding to the method of an following liberty, which was derived from like,
dreport for wehing the bollowing means, respite an increase. Typhus vectime
was also produced in the sourt both institute, the a houtbrook in chicken
a majite equals in the sourt both institute, was a taufficiently proved.
To provide adoption or took in first the clast cross, we well as for the
a majit the interior or don't place, it became necessary to obviry the
value of the interior or distribute for information. It is to refore quite
position that in the curse of a class recovery to obviry the
content to the interior of a class recovery to the have
seen used must be carry to read the formation in the horn belong.

Who car so we to reduce the ideal a notation on the victima of second by the wheel the Fartitite of according a finished and and a with he much in the second in the second and in the second of according to the second of the second of according to the second of the second of according to the

in 27 wearer 1961, early of Theorem that a particulated in each a mouting

I have through to thrist now, which was the time it to the three and which we through the thrist now, which was the transfer of the through the transfer of the transfer and the transfer of the transfer to the transfer of t

Cort I 18 Feb 17-4 - 9-3- LdG - Kapr W

with - I spoke about it suffire - was the testing of the chicken of weeding on a sufficient number of pars as in a certain vicinity; that is, within an area waves spotted by has her already occurred or there was an infinent danger existing.

terpleter (fromthe order to a contrate to a

5 87 98 97 10 11 1

The first of the f

Torograp with concerned with vaccinations of various of the concerned at the function of the conference of the conferenc

- the could not ensell a process a process into a first of a could be could not ensell a process a process into a first of a could be considered to a process and a country of a country of the country of
- to the most map the Artists of the A
- I demand told on their and account of a contract of a contract of the contract
- The structure of the second of
- A I have deat that her does not a secret construction of the secret construction in the secret constru

This is nothing the probability of the contract of the contrac

The service of the second service of the service of

The state of the s

The services are a serviced to the service of the s

- Francisco -The

" A MART OF THE PARTY SALES

A TOTAL STREET AND THE STREET AND TH

I will the management of the terms

The state of the s

I the the form to the billion of a second of the first the first of the second of the

I THE RELEASE THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE P

The state of the s

to the second of the second of

The following of the field (Think of the following of the matter than the field of the field of

to the in the control of the standard

The file between the contract of the contract

in destrict them is a material of the term of the second o

an't he?

... You, Gil amoist r to resident of the "chest Keel Institute...

... on the "chest Heel Institute and surer unated to the injets

f Interior, to it not?

it was how been subordeness to the fole "Interport to Interport to the fole "Interport to the fole "Interport to the fole "Interport to the with cort into I have in the interport to the Theorem of I will the Road Canada Canada " Interport The Interport to use when it now is an example a range of the Thirt is a use when it now is an example a range of the Thirt is the law I a lawys unforst the

ort Keel Institute in the Cintern of Interiors

A. You, I would say to a with most into about the a with the first time. I make the entire about time. I make the entire about time. I make the entire about the first time. I make the entire and the first time. The time to the first time.

the production of the plan wheelth a the difference of the production of the plan wheelth as the difference of the difference

If the wiscons I is a few decrees the wiscons with Schrott the wiscons of the wiscons of the wiscons of the wiscons with Schrott the wiscons of the wiscons of the wiscons of the wiscons of the wiscons with Schrott the bring the wiscons of the wis

Lagran, is short to definition of a few terms of the articles of the state of the s

iscussed and whose is we well at the beautiful and the true to be an experient being isn't that strue?

in the think is not brown in this restant of the think the first solid to the tendence of the solid to the tendence of the solid the sol

". Do you have us of what so there well a though more a frequent of the contract of the contra

". " it is I p to a y. " p insteen, the r webl nof weedne is Erden the editor of a foculty of every wilders Independent de procutor, i a france de l'escrive erd in les front prolectes range was the manufactured to the contract of the expension of the expension of A Institute of the solving works I commit ago, I will remain the ed institutos, such as the Tempitate of Garanas Obto in living et, police for the merce of some time the vist make with me to be to be to be to four. De inst hou, those is a set to tracking round has been and the meetings which can right them not in the a of them; also dein y until a court of the that tractional me a property of A large and the former I denote I that a reason thereason with of the contract of the total the contract to the contract of t it. as I sai , (2): from a or waits para , of him weeting o nor 1 intig him . I to make the Thirt by fur vicing a new 1 -11, 0 colletz: Ma ty fee vaccio e str 19 archi, f concern d w typhus we yor him as blor in 1961, 142, 12. the new a very mentale 2 people and on a selection of the second Lint 1 die Miringl ? . meet (# 1921 in Pro- ney

The concerns that one big or well not broken when the terms of the ter

The Signer built and the second of the Signer of the Signe

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

to denote a proper that the proper of the proper contractor of the property of

. . . . . .

Ourt No. 1 18 For -7-W-11-1: EEN-line wek relying simply on those two concerns. How, where else did you co to try to not veccine or ducti n, typhus veccine or ducti n? a. Well, we reneived a methin fr - the lehring works. Frobally Scheinrat Otto felivered something for the bone territory as for as he procured then. It may be that the hore Each Institute delivered. These are the best known agencies. There were the Secchairche Serum Works. They are nore in order t. or cupe veccines --. Dun't you know whether you at waccines if a thea r not? Yu said there is a presibility. But, you don't went this Tribunel to believe that t highest medical efficer in the Wehr scht didn't know where he was cettin; h' vaccinus, C. your a. I just no on these openetes for a where the Webr neht new have recolvect their vections - lehring 1 orks, maybe the Erbert a ch Institute - I a not may that with certainty luceuse I a not know its extent our its ourseity of production, I combe think it was too large. Then the Institute for Experposted Thereby in Frenkfurt in the date, and to received our dynamicry wast at the Evetone Institute of the University of Berlin which was professor letes; in edition, at the said Wares and at the Christ Warks, and other we pines on a free the seachement Sor. I brks, and I dan't think I for t enything. Those were the remains which were pliced to deliver these things t the teleprent, one that, in fact, oil follows. Very well. Di you receive or rusti n retorts from those o noursely a. I fight see them. By my office, the expert worker must have been thus or he must have more at the order ont he must have carried on some a respondence in other to see whater residence could be under to the model vetwork. These verself my effetye. For that our one I had by a light syst po CAC -7 600 16254. . well now, it has turen us a rather land time to get here out the T If that you, or at local your files, very will know excells what the typhs r faction elemetion was lept that right? a. Too, in the Wohrmon't sector; wrobally in the civilian sector t . . Tow, what about the ellocation of towns weccines! Dis amployer a trol that?

A. It was not first from arokew - at first Observables to the we arise by him for a short time; that is, when he received certain remosts from certain parts of the front he all certain the second instance to the need. That, nowever, led to difficulties one that the army deficed inspectants took are and I const think I so wrong if I say that from the veries of time of Seats for, or rather, full 1900, I took this notherity sway from the Army Medical Inspectance and transferred in to the Chief of the Schronebt Redical Services. That's where we received reports about or such a laboration.

Until that period of thee, that is, from 1902 up until 1900, the Army Medical Inspectation was in charge of that work.

Inequalizate fr 1.2 as until 144, one y a were also Chief of the Vehrone) inequalizate from 1.2 as until 144, one y a were also Chief of the Vehrone) nectors Service. Now, ten't it true that y a or nesselve under y air contribut the north to the november of the formany?

a. What we all ortal was saily up and a chook vaccine from Mrac we and Demore. I think we probably received remarks from the other discoupants of their demorate in west and all what was ready, and then a automate in was all outsidened and that need.

We film't only mayou to come for the front cray but all for the home army. I connect give you eny figures of typhus at home but a creditedly such incidents occurred at that is why connect me had to be once, which nerhoot extended to a large proportion. I connect totally a that,

peneral, I don't think you enevered the emostion. I maked you is the not a feet that you is necessary or a stroll had complete must rive one over over the all acts in of typhus vectors by whosever considerate. I have Note Institute of the OSH at Erakew, the Debrian Norwe, and the CSH at Erakew, the modern to all act and typhus where the class to all act that typhus meeting is but in a new in the remains have the modern to all act that typhus meeting is button! They is no.

A. In mo. I'm number't formet that we bed nothing to the with the civilian meeter his next may have to core for home put him the core for home put him the core for home put him the core for the core f

health personnel in the eastern territories, in the Ukraine, in the suth one in France, and that in itself assludes the massibility that the Vehroscht one the recently which had to care or had to other enything shout the entire all of tion of vection production. That is the same as with the deliminations there exists the civilian sector was relied upon for eastern territories, for home countries of a what we needed. I must say that the civilian sector, the chestical whether I had vection or duction in may have, what he clearly denied by the

Court I 18 Feb 47-A - 12-1 - 135 - jutty . All right, latte aclit it a . Did you have complete control over the all reads in as o tween to waring manches of the chroschi? A. +ab. minewer merked on that have a list where stock and demand could be of west, and according to the size of the branch of the mehrmacht or, wint, according to the un ency of the demind, he allegated however he could, if it was yourd ite, to the larger extent of the Garand, if not, a little loss. I think that Committee sarat Schmidt could tell you - reabout !! than I could, who was estually working inthat office. is communal, the recommon and elicention of typhas vaccines as between the illicary and civilian vectors was a problem which coll squarely within the Summer Sec so of July, 1902, can't it? A. I said her to care for my min nords. I had no authority to steh and civilian sector, me detice of literation. All I had to do the coordinatural managedr. Tr. Corti was active in the civilian accor-. That's right, and Dr. Frinch was standing to towen you and Dr. C ati the contract out his man and a market property is that our yourselve, Tunnit to 2 A. Low. For the engaged and terrial question, year I don't know how the a soid quarties of who is so the tod. In you had any cladusdies other work medicate. . As it not true to a cortain or in hydenists hold the vise that the of ctiveness I to have seines can be the established in accounts Owner of Jones and a court of the end to now to come of modernmental of the total of the lithings seed their of Introducts. A. L. par int - 2 | th | th | comp t toll you think I don't If you in a to a transport it is southing a special that Little - that may in or middle to reds the finish - I of the t - An . to to the up of all and presents. The stip with of Tool Tool Louding for the of the first or his this in a right we are a right in typhus just us in laria. -3074men colour. The purpose was to arrive at a final conclusion whether the recine produced by the Robert Rock Institute was adequately efficient and could be used in the Vehrancht and in the typing areas of the Fratern great with a consider ble prospect of a cooss.

I am amining you whather or not do was ever determined whether the any your was multiple for use by the Vahranchia. Did you ever rriv. at any conclusion on that?

A I would necessary from the stept that typhus vaccine from chicken to use used to a similar extent. From Figures of production from Transferr, for instance, it can be seen that they already delivered to to military and civilian sides. There was no large commitment of that vaccine, and I think it metually has happened at schedule and some where.

Schothing was published about that in the pross, and I think some actually article apposed at a later once except it. I am quite sure that I am Schreiber, too, has see impression that the question of the effective-see of the entrolly receive could be assumed as naving been confissed.

The the rank how far we were called a consume of that and we have satisfied, I don't know, because it is remarkable test all reserve work in revenue of the constant of the start of the second of the second to be ready.

ordering noneurs. I month in to one or numer. I contion it was used to an insertant numeurs. I month in records once should it for a contain territorial where it was used or write. That the extent was I found know to make Orto ottle writes in 1902 that it men't yet over power to a large scale, but I nest right monther relocating, and you can't much so every from that hearense therein was the new decision to get away from this other procedure. Our institutes, marked, could have been tooled and seets yet in Ornous and Louber Than we would have been lotted and seets yet in Ornous and Louber Character with all our nowers to cause it was now contain then we decided.

this whole trybus vectine profiles?

a. I don't know about that.

. The dim't come that Comti and Asian colerer, hatter, Rose, Schrott in lime water word in this constant

S4 B04

. Whe was Diebor, B-1-e-b-c-r?

Court No. 1 18 Feb 47-M-12-20-15M-cutty a. That is a contlemen from the Ministry of the Interior. which we he doing in the Ministry of the Interior? as he was a men - he sees a sollaborator of Conti, a wid he over do anything in ty has? as I cannot tell you that. I personally never out into contract with Sleber, at the .... at I call n't tell you when I waw him or when I snoke to him. I only know bigher because of his nowe which flows not excited the was bility that I get him or spoke to him, but I had no nersonal or ifficial relations with him. we and you can't tell this Irlance of any stacific instance in which you received a remort on the officiency of the say yolk vaccine? You know In special instance where experiments were note of in which this out yolk we cine were tested, is that right? as I could't tell you enything of that nature at the absent, all you know is that it was a very intertent problem at the end of 19-1 but assume the replacement to eventrate end you fon't know how it were resolved, is that cleat! A. So. She wrother Sireft even write. In My minion, the production this ventine was increased. Inch is way we firm't have to give anything new i the vector that but not to us which in itself helper us escentially. ! whitim, there was the curation of columns a it northing withit evenorate. It remeats agets eticolly and I should like to reset once here that it is a leunserstanding if y writing on my teaks and a significant stemin, if the trace if y called the if you think that I could give yo mind tetaller infirmation. I have that if, for instance, you must those muc then to the errort, Schract, who is a hydienist out who worker on these quietting, he walf to able to help you ever this vacuum of even-orietten. ; mly remont what I have riredly atotal. . Well, as for an your purpose Mr. w. you cannot tell this Tribuch ! the mobile, of the efficiency of the ent walls more the two ther then the por extraction of ut thiousing? Am No Smalt by the wes. -3079Court No. 1 18 Fel 47-4-13-50-554-rutty

THE FRISIDENT: The Tribunal who are be in recommunitil the thirty chelode.

(A recose was taken until 1380 hours.)

THE TARSHAL: Persons in the Court Room will please find their seats.
The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. FIRESTER: Attorney Flamming for Dr. Kaufmann and the defendant Rudolf Brandt Parameter and the December of the December of the Court Boom after the recess in due consideration of his physical condition.

TO FRENCENC: What is the physical condition of defundant Brandt today, Counsel?

that he be examined by the prison medical officer. The prison medical officer has determined that Rudolf Franct is in a bad general physical condition; and muon; other things he has ordered that he be given additional food and also has ordered that he rest. Rudolf Franct fools very much exhausted by this norming's session and therefore requests that he be excused issedictely following the afternoon reseas.

The PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has before it no busis upon which to excuse the defendant Priod Strant. The Tribunal will request the prison physician to make each reasonable of the defendant Brandt after the passion today and report to the Tribunal his findings on the matter; but at this then the Tribunal has no just leastion upon which to excuse the defendant Brandt from attendance. "Ill the Secretary General request the prison physician to make emother excelention of defendant Brandt after the close of the mession today? The prison physician should report to this Court concerning his findings as to the physical condition of defendant Brandt and give his opinion as to whether or not the defendant Brandt's physical condition is such that he should be excused from attendance upon the trial temorrow

SINGSTED SANDIDSER - Resumed
CROSS SANDISATION (Continued)

FY TO TOHANEY

5-36 the subsequent pariod.

Q General, do you remember any specific steps which you took in the

along by Conti to the Robert Noch Institute, wasn't it?

- A The Reich Maister of the Interior requested it to be returned by the Robert Rock Institute.
- I That means that it undoubtedly come to the attention of Gildereicter, dishit it?
  - A Tos, Cildomeister was to recident of the Robert Mech Institute.
- Q Did this letter in any way refresh your recollection about any months in Doomber of the with Gildemoister and Reiter concerning typhus vectors?
- I No. That only refreshes my amony to the extent that before I know of the detrent I had memored in rouly to your question that I could faintly remader and I was cointing of the Schring orks first of all that the question had release that the civilian industry was to be included; and this fact has been confirmed by take decrease. It is has been assumed that have me not indiance or influence. If it has been assumed that the period meanth has included him, then this would be quite an ordinary procedure and then the state secretary in the Scient Laterty of the more should pass on mean a labour from the Shift to such a subordinate or consulting agency.
- I for don't know what was done concerning your suspention that the pharmonumical insustry begin production of a typins was iner-

16 John 17 - - - 11-1-11- 5r ss

To the last the resist one feet and the last of the could be the the worthest in his real in he released a few mains, we have weeing? A. .oll, I all \_ent's so that since the pr wetter me therenes' or the the Institute of the Civilian occurrent Leder, row the industry andbl. The allocatly been contioned, then the are the affect if the mind; with the I follow the company of the design of the first the programme of the contract of the would from the desired than a filter of the the wheelength . 'telength pile. Confirm, this is the off or un an enquest by the CCF. is shown it they at their accordance to the stories ofto in believe

in the . - will a of full receipt?

A. I or me nell pethological and a second of I me alevation. Forte a lag gave ply a us a series of the chacken a s' wi I to to T to W M Many size of col . In the wary to hapfuror to God 1 vession. I can then the proving that is the the tamer is a marginers. I was the register of recommendations

is the hot I meridin on a place of the end in the CER Institute of Lasters, A. On the downter a primit or or course fight I key, a minim at Not inget time up I to a take the to be in the the he was "ten I have the cutton to a limit of the

i. I that to gate there come at a grant of the state in in at a stoll little o wer I her this take mention of a coting o wirings in the 29 December 19th a comming to pape and Jart hove by in the first with a time steered and this place of the same up of the same 12. 12

Ma Strike Inchibate for Lorinatel There ; Franches / Chine

institute union Ocholomet Cole? us first on the institute on a Coholest Cuts. The 'co not have a letter 'as', as an eall it.

. In Inche weit just a inute, Courtly we'll find out what the

18 --- 47 -4-14-2-FKF- Gross . . . . Z commant is firet. Final section cary of notes of a conference which tak place here on 29 - tember 1941. I request you resert what quantities of typhus suran unn a r dow in your institute we menth, in fill he available for the civili n . al ti n. Purthermore, is this v coinc iron of charte, or will it a chruin the mon? "In : "itum, I wall - recitto / or co. or ti n with the Institute for g at 1 as Ass see 'R. sert E.ch' Serlin for the purpose of set Mishing a test with for tribus weeding, as subsit see, t well Then we see that only of these minites of this meeting were sent in to the first E on Institute, to the Government Server 1 in Cross, to first the re blestim otert, which was a try or ffic, to the Bohrin The f the I. .. Fortan, n t Dr. Coli. . m, has this little "cumont grante refrese pur recollects a Total . n. tin 1 29 Notember 19417. .... . To chian the blog - totaly we a colon ? mer Ter Sa as later -. Tall . Triumilan Schille .. a. O real a ret Dr. Lon Ats was could be the army in to 1 I .. ol p'to. . . II rtr nih t? and the in the De rison is recommisted as lith La weather to the last a to the in the or once if the etant. However, I come to any that to considerate, so we also lite and a thorne I, therefore, down that be a new an with the a riment for Saulth is a right ; and make mything to . . it is to this, for exemple? self in relively, as whole everythin connected with the ith requires I will in new to the told filter the he received brown to to "di but me la the fiel of Lydian in be who not a backeful 1st, G. I atten tem you ower his hy contict with him? ... In the sea cont of with him as I he to the other fifty an incl

will have it before it,

Dated Berlin, 4 January 1942. Homorum un originating from the Reich Ministery of the Interior Signed by Dr. Bieber, you told us about this morning, reads as follows:

For 29 secender 1941 a conference took alone at the Reich Maistry of the Interior concerning the sevel point of a typings vection in which, called from the unioned near the following took carts:

For a the Institute of Infectious Diseases 'E bert Koch's Vice Presi out Dr.

Mar a the Coverning Sold of the Government General: Dr. Kurieke Med. Art. Dr.

Wir a the ency folicel Service: Oberst shret Dr. Schike

Whitnest or Lake

Noun in

Dr. Donnite

"The contenent has the fill win resulted

a) of action content to the content on the Communit General,

continued to Lember, which is to evolve a unti-typhus vectors according

the district The principal of a note that the indicate of the

content of the Armonian of the Communit Content of the

mills week, to the Professor of the Government Content of the furnish

the according will have a research of the Government Content of the according

content of the the require of restrict the will according to

content of the the require of restriction in the According to

content of the theory of the first that the According to the title expects)

in the content of the first the Armonian throughout the first content,

the content of the first the Armonian throughout the first throughout throughout throughout the first throughout t

grante in the production of wheeing whe to be attempted here.

- in all, I'llies to the set to the state of the transport of the state of the set of the
- The bill addition of a non-community of the angular of the contract of the con
- in Deferral, we denote her? I he at tourn't put to Wunderth of the Turber Subject to get let in the regret of your lifted the transfer of put to get let in to the wing you
- to a blac of distance, yes.

4. 70.

The terminal proof for a first the relation of the single tenth of a single tenth of a single tenth of a single tenth of a single tenth of the sin

22

-. - , is is a server of the term of the manual I would like to toll you war such. I cannot come that to you.

To the distance of the state of the waccine were the are a user to the weither individual twent a f the christian at a - in a C p.f f the other cht Lit bowship of the thing - that supply officer

A. I click Mar I law olr ; provincely continue that the N'oul ners with the ways - ind impacts to a fit towards Fig. 1 - our 1 despitation - Till F F El climatic de la Son-90 .

. Il, Juri for the real, Late por in Demant St. 1818. Who The Indian and the art of a manufacture in.

June 1 later Pro- - I sin were in a to a rille delo. - I specific to the transfer of the transfer of the

to I don no La Sat Se. d.

1 THE S 31 MAC | OF 1919.

The Chief of the chromelt Medical Service, approached with a letter of 11 Junuary 1945 -- eaps enclosed -- to the Government and anuscrial factories for typics vaccine, with the request in fatore a letter the supply this vaccine to the war as individual by notice of the corresponding to the chief of the corresponding the chief Bervice of the court, the chief of the corresponding and the area are the supply office.

Appeared of the chief of the corresponding to the factor of the chief of the corresponding to the chief of the corresponding to the chief of the corresponding to the correspondin

Further r., information is requested on the site possible readmust about if typing viceing, which increase if production can be
effected to the transfer of an action of the control of the complete to the object of the community of the reply which
the object of the community of the cusput
if the object of the community of the cusput
if the object of the stance, is 1965 notein to the cusput
if the object of the stance, is 1965 notein to the cusput
if the object of the stance, is 1965 notein to the cusput
if the object of the stance, is a stance of the cusput of the cusput
if the object of the stance of the cusput of the cusput
is all the object of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusput of the cusput of the cusput
the cusput of the cusp

so the sty to the beach a question the school of the contract to the extent. That is quite a seal to the contract to the extent the part to the contract to th

"it is a selected of the property of the selection of the

18 Ft 47-4-2 -15-5-K rr()

· " "

13

that you has some ontrol our promotion of typhus viceinus?

Court I 13 F-6 47-4 - 16-2 -1-5- Lussor A. I can only explain it to the free that I did not know the name of Suchemmeld at all, and if any vaccine by the affor-S5 had reached my hunds, or if I has ever hourd of such a vaccine of the outfor-86, which is not impossible, then I would i most stuly have brought it into connection with the Hypionic Institute of the offen-S". I have never entered this institute but in any case I know of its existence. Q. But Conoral, in January 1963, the Ro ort Noch Institute weegnizes an order from you that they are to quit allocating vaccines to any of the branches of the sohr acht and have to channel everything through you. Now do you man to tall the ribunal that the typhus vaccine production station of the affur-SS at Buchunwald could do what they wanted to do with their production - you and no central over that and never port of it - is that right? A. I am only any that if such an order, because of the delivery, was issued by the Charf of the correct odical Service, then this was only sont to a curtour distribution and the fron-3" was also included in it. Than probably - corvered by the Shi f of the edient Service A the haffun-SS, Dr. Gemminen, because his letters were cleave toops very caper Cly; if, and how long this weeken was produced with the offen-SS, I com t tell you. Fernans Dr. Schuddt can give you more information shout to t because he was with the edical inspectorate. I great have only alcomered it for the first that in 1945. Q. Caparel, want possible intervet could the 35 have in testing thats get yelk succiner on its own initiative in Bucherwald mithout your Toport is the stray odical Inspector and the support of Conti as Secretary I Banto I or Senith? a. The SS mint into its own field, as I by already previously oughthod. The flot end a not by the distribution mere that this sector rhad no - inship to its if. Several reservats rive proof of the fact that for our sure of a for the astolish and for the series of animatific re . ren institutes int ; whi - ha for I ipprovide by agencies for that Purpose. I are never found out onything shout them. A cave reportedly

Cottet I 15 Feb 47-4 - 15-3- Lub - Lesser stated to t it was not possible for me to gain any insight into the charopter and the system and the intentions of the SS and therefore it is not surprising that this wis also the case in that field. I cannot say and the purpose of the SP was but I assume that one of the reasons was tent they wanted to be independent and they wanted to be independent of invalled tion through as so that - would not be able to say "You will get very little now, or nothing." In a is on. implemation. I cannot state mything further on the subject. . And 88 troops using the curing egg-yolk vaccine would be in the field under the jurisoletich of the army Hadell impactorate in medical matters, would they not? As The SS units at the front with regards to the modical service unt, in this connection, mich concerns the care for the men in combat, so the S units nors also veccinated, and I as quite certain that at the ourismin, they was innoculated with the will vection. I believe they ore supsequently impounded that the see york vaccine but I cannot ante that with cortainty. 4. How is you explise your always dignorance of the typnus experiments at Suchamound in was inc. of the first and alor mids a visit there, Strickt made a visit there, there his been some testimony that like ore sent from the Institute a worker, in the face of the fact that Dim, made a report to the cottes I the Consulting Physicians in May 1703-how do you explain your and rence of these matters? Did these non just fail to report il this to ; u? A. with regard to Dane, I was repeat that I wish not histored to this report and must I have heard of it, and what I have read, does not It is any information there were the his experiments or his tests to curried out. With regard to the visits of Schnick and Bier, I was " colorely second a s. tile nor and I cannot sive you my informa-I I But it. a true, + tesim but it will be given by sorobody olso. . but talk to an event it and more received my writers from to. -3035+ ×

when Morke was dolof if the M local Corvice of the Duftwoffs, was there any coupe to indican the increase a with Dr. Miroke that he was your superfunctor in. No. in I believe that if Higher has been asked at the time, or if you be 'y has tell aim to I am his so erior, then no would have refuted this locally in clarity.

The process of a sum of the Bosont 1490 -- may it below the pritural, I in the matter which it member. It is a letter which the color fathe may rememb a Chief of the Reserve army allresse to Reich Derakel Guerra. It will also queenland of an entry into the council of the moles were considered, a the sum only at a late br. Schreiber into the moles were to mail. In it shows the Tribural, this greent W-1490 is applied 450. The money of a miles and the farmer Course when Dr. consulter he in a correct way may the Hiller of the army No Terliand Indicate that the tribural term of the army No Terliand Indicate the tribural term of the army No Terliand Indicate the tribural term of the school of the start by a first this could be suffered to the contract of the school of

we not this time I a make a coly theories the inclusion to appear as it seemed to the time. In my a section was in one of sec with a wish of the plantage of the section army, on a the behavior of the section of the section of the leafure of the section of the s

There is 9 weather 1962 were to describe the second of the first that the first t

or. Notice for Francisco, this so it we get the Little of 21 Oct for 1942 widen and Is. There is no reserved to the blues, however, the not form.

In the latter 1 th that I fall of a literate Office t Traces a Brillian, I fall win from the forther, in I go to a shape: "The moter Crimit that he are disjury we a further extend in a nation of particular and and a side of the Dr. School or, who, is to make a side of the distance of t

The life of the control of the Contr

A I did not been east a may at all. The more expendence of that kind came of that he turn to the two of the two to the two of the two transfers of the two managers.

q "ist remain to letter of Professor Dr. Subject and Dr. Fischer to respect the secondary of the Children of the control of the respect to the control of th

Court I 18 Fub 47-a - 18-1- 133 - Futty a. . oll, this could only be in my own person. That I did not understand in that way. is not only on but also the others. I now want to ask you in the formulation of the Aleture or what Professor Schnirit stated, could there compained in it as for us the number of the am cution at concerned, which eight show that you and the others --.. That is mant I have reportedly stated hare, that in my beinion the formulation and the mesoniption and the explanations were presented in such a room or to t no r seems f r cojetions . dated. 4. America, there is the third possibility that something which the two diversal from the or intry that have been resented but be the remove in which it was resented conveyed the impression with the Historian that hale not not irregular. d. But do pulle posed to posed w. The lease of river post to other toleried world once more, and be had you tell a quantion which to less trested to Professor Smooth, the quarter of the work, Solder, bon I aim to make approprilively the reterings to December (307 wing in itsulf - a hel presented to jor larger the adulate for a orienten open, aculy, a runs ion how to fell, in a buse to to be to provide on see I to. Tile wife s too, mil quota: "The ... he meht by request of our rel field - arshal and it not a satisfactor in responsible command in the same riments common appringness and the to correlat out on success beings, " Dur this remarks and all him to the contract sensed in that Foting a Lill es. Nos so were the day . He a. At height to the affiling which enters. . .c: The service of the constitution of the constitute? A. In the are much to return to as instructions, which wishes you as do with the original Inspect rate? a. As today licer, be, first if all, believed to the indical

Court I 18 Feb 47-4 - 18-2 - 1.08 - Putty Inspectorett organizationally, and then he was a good general hygienist. do was the head of an investigating office in Corpany, and he was not fully cocupied there, and he was also occupied with other matters. Q. I only want to determine here who washis superior with regards to this work. w. That was a agone Office ", the amorest Office ?. 1. In the creas-attribution the Dogwood W-155 has been harded to you. It was not presented to you, but it was used as a bisis for your am inition. The Prosecutor is contioned the nine of Professor wirth, and he ame statul test Dr. Arth hear mercated that experiments should pe our wed out on concentration cars in the a Accentaceon, that you was a we had knowledge of them. I muld like to re I am the contenes width has given the reason for this question in Dock and 150: "A third series of experiments was discussed with the reprisentative for "let" The cordinate the sug--atim when to storet Dr. Lat you make accessing fithe Magazasian with the Rach Un hastoner a to be December, 1/44." This is a suntance suitable to dive course b the developing to t ifth bird that this " of prepared surface so will be despited at theman, much or at may other emelatritles de j. a. St, he did not be that with any other word. we there are it is may a commission from the measures which Posiciant Kenrich Brow from the discussion in the Fourth of Legenber, TO. NEATS: by ic please to Telemed, a shill have too Policeser, note, we as I titled in the grisch have -- - shall give him an Chilavit, TO L to Properties to be in a restout the uniquest, then he rni, -itelo is a time in in the. . . / in e to a to the try has quartien which to orginish much the in the in or other with Proposition. I tall a that we am De or term to the Presention has presented the -5112last four documents because they really give a cartain clarification with regard to the question of the typhus vaccine production and distribution. In it correct for as to say that the big interest in the typhus question — and I want to say generally — consisted in the fact how can typhus be comparted effectively?

- A. You, by all plang.
- them?
  - A. Delemin, that is, a bitter the lice.
- . Then towards the and of 1901 the denser existed, dis you also have toghts vaccious symbols
- of the marine.
  - tentral to a la la de start therina!
- A. I do not me as it was not that they, but I assure that it
  - Q. man as gold weetles mirrory produced at that three?
  - 4. 7.00
- Q. the Lr y warrow elimetary reschange in various types, will it be wrope a discuss on one rep or one for the Minu being Limited of Scretch him to the proper method of received?
- A. This is correct it in a property. In the case I the cold were a correct meaning the property of a correct meaning and with the cold variable meaning and with the cold variable meaning the decision of the cold by a cold to be a cold to b
- . D. A is very fer the around problem of trying to raise the

18 Feb 17-4 - 18-5 - LJ: - Petty

Defendant Rudolf Brunit will, therefore, be excused from attendance in Court for the balance of this afternoon. The Secretary-Seneral will file the certificate received from Dr. Sussell.

The Tribunal will now be in recess for a few minutes.

(A recess was taken.)

THE BURBELL The Principles of in in section, but it please your bones, or "world brinch for the complete form of the compact for the control of the compact for the control of the form of

THE FRESHLET: No Sports my don't little to for the roc re the sponger of the larger at the little for the parent to in excess. The offen and to reliable to the sponger of a continuer. The excellent will sponger the victors, I also replied that larger and the larger. The excellent will sponger the victors, I also replied to the larger.

Fill of This, a different, to the manner of the satisfies of

June Stating: the witness will relative rational be avora,

pays that we will restrain the state of the

(Abo witness Page to the the

JUNE SERRE OF You may a consel.

district the same

## SY DEC MULTER

- to "Atmost, to the branch of the state of a section of the Heret."
- w. Pail ampriles.
- . In them was and the
- a. on sho on oplower soon, with an obstraction (obther or or win).
- A. E vielum of a sport of a single of the Company of a series of the contract of the contract

I as setim as a present a physician race r, and in 1925 ton account row detroit I need protect of the Delicity bur y re. In I have a de dereier m the lestitution, a payolistrist et the ment I hand sublin this town las. I serve if redit yours, n t is until 1935. I tiln w look rily w lonto rod f r re-ontry into st. Wire elt sine. Ho work in the payel i trie fill 'ec - o very ifficult. I colin to but the the comment of the but to be prowill in the layer there fill policy ber in the print my mained e coupting m i "pril 1935 I artered Was and break, On the first of July of the same of F L to a to Felo. of the bill suffer ffu, by a do to Comi of or in round . I a a me of plywich a with an inti-ther to being run by to the burner of the 1926, I had in afores to be one in the continue to the contract of the second this a manager, was contrated to an analysis of the adverthe plant enough to the company of t we to her her we have the second of the seco -first the to The Foods' a third of more per, of my althoughte-any elt f fil gracel the first of the disch some Without the District of the Time of the Control of

There is a supplemental of the second of the

- .. fre. 4 1 m 2 15 10 2140 20
- . Live be grown for the man describe my
- reference of the living " on the colored of.
- Tw fire do n ve welther a 16
  - . Ol tak

Q. How do you understand the decree of 1942, bearing in wind the point of view of the cruation is now express one it as amoney which, at the amount of its origination, had a very definite sphere of effectiveness? That is to say, almost automitically come under the authority of the chief of the number?

\*. No, that wasn't the cise at all. . were concerned with the question to build up this a oney and only remains to and with the task after the star.

4. Did too shief I this transy have a special authority which, in the military way, no our col mate as an authority to issue commande?

A. So, he had no additury with rity to issue orders. In the official rocal tien, which is not available hore, it was appropried that he had the richt of directive?

". The difference is that a ruport r naturally are authority to insu plans, But page not but I the rint I livin Bractives where there is not a relationing of suprior on subordinate and, there-

- The second and the second of t
- A. It will up them to a distribute a model forms 'problem of teever one monder by to 'un't make them to be a form by themselves on a confidence.
- A. R., on life on inth sive, and " or wing fyrther but papert the Trate of success of the fitters of the fitter of the fitters of the fitters
- total superstruct the same of the same of
  - h. , 7 1 + 1/1 1 1.
  - T. BILTOK NO GASTE O SECURE AND ALOR
- A. A maken to both the company of the product of the first war in official and other product of the product of
- the proof of the street of the
- A. The assuming of the control of th

comparison up to the present the normal all medical offices to continue working in the total pate workers allies. During the curse of January to received a latter from the Supreme Science of the New Protection, in pullso were, that the Chief of the Chi

T. A to the the relationship to the Willon-SS, that is, the content service of the Affon-SS?

A. Just as little as the of a larm of the meles; the Chief of the John of Holle I service was the supplier of the defen-SS. To work never nemocraci with the entire offen-28. We were always concerned with the material ways a sittle with the offen of the transfer of the tran

or the communication there is no a large for the Chief to the Chief the Chie

in the street of the Leron, the Chief of the Telephone of the Control European the Control of th

in the Chyrolic rocks a He Transactive to the resonant of a man

t. Dily wil and open analysis, you wanted the number of the second of th

A. Y.e. Impin 19.5. The bir 51 of 2 of which the Todic 1 Services in to an office 1 trip to I. It and, continue to my to whally a important install at the France Course of the form the Course of the continue of a later to the form of the course of the co

7. 4. 6 18 to 515 10 Vie 6 - Fill 5.12

.. Yes, on the very stor site of a provedled.

t. Dil Performer i p'leser a medit e come en inc'iltre er tre chrischt Princher

As No. Lee Title to the to the the

with research, for institute to Today in a butto of Transcription of the Contract of the Contr

n. I Priv manual b ki Lustistia - Fra al - Sfran-15.

selected a second of the first of the state of the second of the second

A TO LEDNE TO LOT U.

The water

11. T.c.

to I me friend

in Equipment of the community of the property of the community of the comm

to the pure real, who through the Table

WALL BUILD BO WORK

. -17 3 | Mas -0. Shar

W. . It'll . man to bits little to ....

- Q I am sure that you repeatedly spake to Professor Handloser. During such disversations, he that official or private conversations, was at any time the name of Buchenwald mentioned?
  - a It was never mentioned during any such conversation or conversations.
  - Were you at any time in a concentration camp?
- A. Sofere the expitulation never; only ofter the expitulation, as an inste.
  - 4 Do you know the spency of the heigh headerth Council?
  - .. Yes, I know about that but I den'toknow ony dotails about it.
- Q Your stance which of the comment Medical S rvices, did they have an effect I control with the Meich Research Council?
- a No, we had no official contacts. On the centrary the Chief, schrencht deficial Strateus, was of the opinion that he neturally should have belonged to the Solch Research Council just as the civilian hardth for 'er belonged to it, but the offerts he will on that score were rejected.
  - D you know Generalarst Dr. Schreiber?
  - " You, I know him.
  - C That position dis he hold?
- If you that they was departmental ented in the army dedical Inspector to, determinent for occurre one recovery guidance. After that he receive a major of educational or up I within the distory Medical Academy, and top Mintelly at the same time he was plumipotentiary of the being hosenach I would for the codest of ordering.
- . ... planipotentiary of the Reich Describ Scandil for the contact of
- .. As, to hel correspondence with him under his and betterhood and used his own printed unvelopes.
- in the computation of the comput
  - " Tos, it is losefule that we received coming of research against ass.
- 5 y 2 mes whether this we the ease ever since the beginning that it, 1962; or weether them only some into effect inter?

which were important unbush so that they had to be presented to Professor Handlesor?

- I Yes, that was required of mo, I never had the impression that Pro-
- On the let of September 194% a new subliment of the money chief of chrometh Loicel Services came about. What was the intention which lay behind the creation of this new agency?
- a It was the difficulty of the work. It made it appear necessary to eracte a clear relationship of superiority and to arrive at a constal nuthority to issue orders.
- 7 Did the stendy on their own initiative ske suggestions of ut this new settlement of the atter?
- A Yes, those manustices were totally us. The conferences were very less and tedition and I think that the may be see it may a satisfact the twolfith or thirteenth ireft. These irefts has so to present to the ishmetalt branches, to the before SS, to the Commissioner General, to the OK, sperstignal staff and to the Crief, OK, and were less satisfact to the chief of CKs.
- I that is terre you now me the decree of 1966 including the official real time is it societies that Professor Bradlesor strove for as shief, throught indical Copylece?
  - . We, unfortunitaly not.
  - Whit were the miscivings on the objections which led to a change?
- The Lastwerfe uniquaters in the representation of their parts and units union were subsertured to the behavior, and since otherwise they were not experient all, was not interested in the further ictails. The army subsitt in different drift, and the Wayy made the strangers educations and did not want to allow the older of the Christian Modical Services any right of superiority and on dittle to rescaling authority.
- Use this situation, these rejection statutes or rather, were they were seen in the final text?

Lear military concepts of superior and subcrimate were left out. The word subcrimation is not mentioned a single time. As ther, it is mentioned once. That is only with the medical — with the decreased Chief of Medical Services personally; that is, his subcrimation under the Chief of OK. In addition the expression technical authority is used, and furthermore, these technical authorities are limited to the medical chiefs but not to people who were subcrimated to them. That is to say, the Chief of the chrescht Medical Services could, for instance, issue an order to the chrescht Medical Services could, for instance, issue an order to the chrescht Medical Services could, for instance, issue an order to the chrescht Medical Inspector according to Figure & but he couldn't issue an order to the gray group physician or any lawer exchalm because he is not mentioned under this authority of issuin directives.

the first of the character of the contract of the contract the citation of the contract of the citations of the citation of the citation of the contract of the citation of th

The continue of the party of a structure of the continue of th

this is a community of the state of the control to the state of the state of

the life is the terminal of the strain and such the train that is

C urt I

which common will the hrace a process in the consult be sealed by the Child of the Larrest with I Services, Such - distance places.

- un recorded to a technical and record record record
- is according to go pink n, but has not an arethodic rolly, quite literally. It is a contact to issue a contact that fields of the Modical Service.
  - . It is plant directly an a sine presently?
- end the transfer of the state o

Or the file of the file of the contract of the society that is described to the society of the file of the contract of the con

\* - mind talk decision of the the state of the state of the second of th

Here the field of the common of the Springs could be a discussion of the CMI for the field of the field of the CMI for the field of the field of the CMI for the field of the

10 -b--- /-2/-3-Funty THE I punition by a feel chief of may command of the and Forese ? meh. In the case of refused of covered of his brief, the Chief, the chromost Today services well have to move this a dienl chief to his in the capet of in there terms a consecution, a punishment which would be become. I blue in nor buyoned if the banks I plan. From this struction alone contact the of any our appointed. is the rest post a ship to 3 to the next bennefits over enter to a sub-order of an abuse Diorec & Told got the passage -- I think it is II -as You, I was such and you ret we wanted to but I make even by a rubbe inclien of the resource institute. Q. Did to roly of manie, the cold of relationship to the codical Service I no thron-Se change to the trans the begree of they se the It - In the year of a those enter which nor a middled at th. Fr mta. "" in protiec win the minimum the print of the original Survice of the - ult.t-537 As Talking will that the Williamso with not very incorporate, and in rott. I would also be not believed in or working about. In the term of the second of the letter of the man remediate the transfer of the section of the s is the state of the and acting I in all like to the or which t r | reldy to the results institute and the relationship to the origin-SS. 10° = 1 10 2 = 3 -30 15 19442 .. . it i at aban .. se ly art? is compared to mitter of the annual transfer in the free p Art 1 other. is the time age. I have been the tilly officers of a factor of T I HALL St nt? set it is the wife this worken for by the third it has -312118 % b-1-79-21-6-Pusty Court 1

rither a value as construct, for instruct, the societa welf reason over, the rither a value as constructing with societa supply of all sites, partly wind matters concern the construction presents of an expensive, but the in a construction that we made look as a later the occase the granter of war system, but the in a construction was systemed as the first the OF to the Survey and The forther up-building was districted as the fact that the construction and the social for Surling as Mindly to the Ives by the grounds our a may be Thurshis in Survey, ith. The institute lines a construction which is instituted in the state of the fact of the survey was many busy with these a construct of the six is a said. The construction is the survey of the state of the survey of the state of the survey of the survey.

THE FORT THE THE TRACTOR THE PROCESS UNtil nitwo-thirty ofclock

(The "ground of were total I many 1967 of 0930 a wee.)

Official transcript of the American Hilitary Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America against Harl Branck, et al, defendents, sitting at Emernberg, Germany, on 19 February 1997, 0930, Justice Beals presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the courtroom will blease find their sects. The Honorable, the Junes of Military Tribunal 1. Military Tribunal 1 is now in session. God save the United States of America and this honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: OF Mershel, will you excerts in that the defendants of all present in the court.

THE MARSHAL: may it please your honors, all defendants are present in the court.

THE FRESIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the presence of all the defendants in court.

It somewas to the Tribunel that Tribunel Number 2, which is now in ser sion trying Case No. 2, desires the presence of the defendant Rudolf Brands as a witness before that Tribunel this morning. The defendant Budolf Brandwill be excused this morning at the opening of the morning recess and in view of the certificate filed by the prison physician yesterday defendant Brandt will be excused from further attendance before this Tribunal for the balance of this day.

Counsel may proceed with the explination of the witness on the stand.

DR. FAUL WERFELD - Resured.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued)

THE PRESIDENT: The witness on the stand is reminded that he is still under with.

MY Don WALTER

and stages

TRY The motive which was to the effect that the entered of the Chief the Wehr heat hedical Services he nutherity to give orders with reference to the regards system if the individual branches of the Nebruscht a method as it is that correct?

- Q. In supplementation I have to sek you, hid the office of the chief of Webrarcht Medical Services have may official supervision?
  - a. No, not in accurdance to that.
- Itted by the proceeding fore yesterday. This is a letter coming from Robert Boch Institute on the Elst of January 1943. This Document BO 1818. In this letter it ways reparting ty is vaccines for arrest forces, "The Chic of the actical Wehrmacht Services, according to the letter of the 11th of January of this year which I must taching in comy, has represent the cities which are producing typhus vaccines and raked them not to send this vaccinate to the individual transhess of the Wehrmacht and so un, but only to the Chic of the Vehrmacht medical Services or to his plunicotentiary." What is your estitude to this letter?
- A. The letter that you just motor from the Chief of the Webrancht Madical Services is really a mort token out of a larger from and it does with central distribution of products. The paterial coordination was only possible when wil the needs of the Wehr make branches were ireated to the Chief of the Vohrmocht hedical Services. It was necessary that from this mounty the necessary distribution has to result. In order to gain a survey about what was avoilable our buris, of supprintly on the part of the Webranch branches he' to be stopped. That is the dislater of Economics and the Ministry of argrent had to account the industries which were or ducing nestent evaluate and her to issue a tourse that such orders were only to t executed when they exeneted from the Chief Medical Survey Office, the office which was working in these Letters for us. All other prore had to be east to the Glief of the Wohr with McClord Services for investigation and the Cir not have the authority to deliver upon them. In order to distribute the veccines in a just manner, that is, come "fur to the uncomey out the me. I the Wehre the preactor, was recily the survey of the I that that war just mentioned.

- It can be seen from that that it was one of the traks of the Chief of the behanneht medical Services to distribute materials. That includes veccious.
  - A. You.
- c. On the strength of that do you know the cities where productions came from that is, the factories?
  - A. Yes, they were known to the Chief hedical Supply Office.
- q. Do you know whether shone these supuliers, executing private industry or army institutes, that is, Crecow, there were any SS institutes?
  - A. I know nothing of that.
- Q. This right of distribution and the relationship of the summittee to the Ohiof Redical Supply Office contained the authority to control the summittee, that is to say, the featuries?
- a. So, we make here to check in the production, the empire of the or duction.

- in this work. For instance, I.G. Farben could sand neonle there in order to control how they went about producing veccines?
  - a. So, we had no right of control.
- ing the vaccines in order to distribute them justly is one of the typical cases which the Chief of the Vohrmacht Medical Services had; nearly, to fistribute this vaccine outsally for all tehrasoht branches?
  - A. You, this concept is suite correct.
- q. Does this measure of your nativity relate at all to the research systems?
  - a. M., this was a consure of surely.
- pasterday furing the cross eminingtion, I have to saw you: The Chief of the obresent decised Services as such, did he have may suth rity with reference to the installation of works for ty hus veccines?
- a. Hazically, no. Here we were also concerned with the nuestion of t release of necessaril and the urgener of patting unterial and these were the things that we were nonromable about by the firms. Thenever we had any nor somed evailable on me other medical personnel, we could, of course, release them are we could shouser any urgancy request on the mort of the industrier
- Wenruscht sociacl Services het a fecision over the question when such a fector was to be erected, but that you ally het to be listened to since gedieve service questions were touched mount
- A. We had no right of authority one he did not have to be heard under all directationers. When the first wishes, they could do those things in their on initiative, but they needed our negletomer and they wented our resistance and we could evene a their wishes.
- C. Coult this productive extend to institute in the frequency of the Wahrmont branches?
  - A. That would have been a circumvention because the Wehrmacht brance

were really independent. They had their own contingent of autorial.

- In a special case the natter seems to have been different. It was being nown in a latter on the next of the herical Inspectorate of the sir for that in the case of an institute which belonged to this branch of the Chief the Wahraraht medical Services had to be heard. Did you ever hear of any such groceforal
  - a. I recember n concrete erso.
  - Q. I am spenking about this special case,
- A. No. I do not remediar such an application. Of course, when the Wehrescht needed our assistance, then, of course, they approached us.
  - Q. You seen only that?
  - A. You, only thet.
  - q. Did you attend the mostines of the consulting objections?
- A. You. I attended them in 1942, in the soring of 1945, and the soring of 1944.
- Die you listen to this lecture?
- a. No. I did not herr it one I i not know his name. I have no imende-
- Q. During that exceed an indicent occurred. Professor Ruse uttered a misgivings and I am asking you, to you know officially or nersonally. I near during the recessor or faring the evenings or whonever the perticionate gathered, that any such protest was take by professor hose?
  - A. Nothing over became known to be at ut that protect.
- . Do you know whother professor Handloser Streetly received any receip
  - a. E:, I know nothing ob ut it.
- Gutheret and Fischer? It was a lecture obeat social experiments with refu
- A. I did not listen to that lecture because I was interested in lecture regarding asychistry.

- Did you ever main any knowle be officially of this lacture which attracted attention?
  - A. No, nothing is known to me of that.
- to With't frofessor Hendloser speak to you after this lecture and dis-
  - A. No, that was not the case.
- to Do you know whether from any side compleints were unde with your scency about the contents of this lecture?
  - A. No, according to my knowledge no such compleints were nade.
- Q. Do you still remember what the situation was in the Nedicul Inspectorate during the middle of May, that is, shortly before these lectures?
- A. Yes, the situation was very tense. In January we had those losses Stalingrad and in April we had severe losses near Tunis. We could I mean the medical Service of the entire tehrineht considerable physicians were los According to my recollection we lost 400 in Stalingrad and about 200-350 in Africa and we had great worry about getting substitutes for them. We had to take they precident sway from the civilian sector if we wented them.
- We So that was a time of great worry for the Chief of the Wehrmacht Redical Services?
  - A. You, no coult.
- through measures which would lead to the dealing with these energency situations. Note the menucerints of the Lectures shown to the Chief of the Webr-macht hedical Services the menucerints of the lectures shown to the Chief of the Webr-
  - A. B , that was not the case.
  - were they presented to him ofterwards?
  - A. Fo, they were not presented to his ofterware.
  - . Who worked on these lectures?
- A. That had need to the Department of School and Acelth at the Newice Inspectarate and they have to the treat efforts to get all those nanuscrimenter the lectures were held.
  - . Now, one finel question. Fir way years you were a collaborate to

the Shief of the Tehrmont hadical Survices. Although you were his subcrein note, I think I can but the sucetion to you in this connection and I think I have to but the quartient, you. What is your justions of Professor Handles That is to say, whether from a califold or othical point of view, do you thin his sayable of any teal action?

a. Doctor, you will understand that it is difficult for me to make ent jungment in the presence of the sublic on in the presence of my superior by since it has to be I can ally say the following: I know Professor Headlesor is a work here in with feed feelings, a and who has great faith in his friend and has a very humans way of thinking. I move him as a faithful Catholic Christian who I think uttorly incompble to have committed the crimes of which he is here charged. I know him so an educated, skillfully educated physicis who slyage minteined a high level of sciences and who slyage had interest the welfire of his sick one wounded, and also had a high others concent f his refuseion. He is an energetic work at a leter with a high sense of ha was cuty. On the whole hi is a men she because if his medical and hu and velues was resourced by his medical officers, by civilian obvaicions, incluing the actentific representatives, and by all presiding screet who know he His resistion was a high mentional officer he rained because of his efficiency, He graned it during a clean military career and he fore not have to thank this weith a to our colitical mechinetions.

IS, MELTER I thank you. Mr. problemt, I have no further questions to

The PRESIDENCE IS there are seen anothers and this editions on the next of man defence community.

DR. FL. 'St DOTNEL For the Dafie of, Tragmeky.
97 Dr. FL. 'Cr

- is "fines, " a state" year raw that the diel of the charment original Service kept his staff very small, income mally mealls. Did the Color of the observation in the spiral of the interpretation of the large edical Inspiral or an air staff hid as expert to the questions which any have a men up?
  - in You, It I be erroet.
- for the resident with which he are not been a present the responsible to the Gulf of a contrast limit of the resident of the representation of the resident of
- that I be assent out I for any part.
- the shreet source barrier?
- A. The rate must be the due Shiff of the erry offerd Inspectorate,

Ot. 12 Mir Thomas you. I how it I more heard here

The CS Total Teller on the provider of which the without without, the transfer of the community and the provider of the provid

C.080 Fit Said:

The Later

is fixed, yarders to die, or to real grandents, that the standard of the fixed that the standard of the fixed that the standard for the fixed that the standard of th

District the minimum continues on teaching by the service

The thirty in the fact of the property of the second the second of the large and the second of the large and the second of the large and the second of the s

but you e mot call it includation if the condination if resemble.

- to the fraction of the free to the free time of the army today the free to the day to the free to the day
  - as Tos, ho Me.
- to Then its the incorrect a prose that the facilities of Min Mapon: to Color Etc. whenceht added Services are satisfy
  - me could be report the lare of the a mer queste n?
- Services of the contract that I will be a bounded to the thir facilities of the modern transfer to the modern transfer to the services of the contract to the services of the contract to the services and the contract to the services of the contract to the services and the contract to the services of the contract to the services and the contract to the services of t
- As the many had the appropriate one of the army in his expendity to any
  - . The large was the Dir coal organic of the chargest, was 15 m t?
  - A. You, the resche European roles I the Committee
- the January quality no.
- The Child is a market to the Service of the relation of the child is a market to the Service of the child is a market to the Service of the child is a market to the Service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a market to the service of the child is a s
- y. In throats to this is not sport to the Office of the Object
  of the literal Service I has seementable
- a. This was no submersion or un also select for by the Shief f
- that is not in a case of the property of the latter of the later of the latter of the
  - as Inti . the order to portion, are inter too, but the of

to the Delisterns of Science the to exert the the Dimissi ar Landral, and they prove ly col be modified the in a tracing to report this to the Compission roundly it mys their the Chief fone charactet

- is the was this German nor to rely a refer to?
- ne The Corisi ner Scherel for the Cort on Health Service, proless Bren to
  - & Di Ton Laur's file: ar rocciv ony roomer non lott experient
- as I don't be a out their I may be well at these a class that I mention burneray.
- . Then you all stitue this is the core fly up fficial luther you mover then there is the form of the first of the minimum that a meantratty Tagmas
  - or Ty non-1 die
- . Put r. if I un wreter y a correctly, when ir, Helse was interrectly y a in elements to the y up he resided the unrante y a first chief of the story if an a leaf Service of the injuries, took is, ir is the lat I cot -19hh, w. 11 1 September 19h2, 16m = 10 t concent
- As I up Plante up at one mint, are the 1st of Oct bur 1941, until the 31 f at well, 1912, I the Char \_\_ State with the 16 let (aspector of the last line.
  - a. To the line of the law latter of the time of time of time of the time of ti
  - ... Gineral beretche ret Big it .
- p. I in your elp-city as Cling & Steel v Hippky, I have a your buti. or si ii - L the to Site I don't be the benefit to this of the state of the service of the s the both the first of the first beaut

- The late and the property of the formation of the second o
- The first that the second of the first that the second of the first that the second of the second of
- A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
- the sosterable and that he are proposing, regiments
  - THE AT THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE
  - The second of the second second
- The first of a company to the same of the
- The water training and playment is an element
- - TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE
  - . Say I be for the the married
- The month of the control of the man of the second of the s

- Trace 1.
- I I Sament there are dress at a way.
- The second transport of the se
  - A low, Storutory dorse value.
- The transfer of the terms the except file of the second to the second to
- provide the following the contract of the period of the following the first state of the period of the following the first state of the period of the first state of
  - A digram, tobbey mand to the at and quiters
- in the state of a promption of the contract of the state of the state
- - f., -. ... 'nr

  - The president of the court of the

in the this wheel, he I learned the applicant at the court of the court this wheel, he I learned the a pertoin a policy on the court of the applicant and the court of the cou

a di Mia emissa de de articipata de la colonia.

- they rest an are now a committee
- to the first of the state of th
- THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O
  - The foreign to be apply to dominate all the second of
- in the later of the fact that the fact and the state of t
  - to the area necessaries of the inthic large.
  - a Butter
  - The The Total Total Property Version excellent to 1500 to 1500.
  - I " T " Alt may make
  - y do for a definition of the first t
  - a stay to serve to the
- The state of the s

A Torquit amed, Laborator to the mount for po-

The tractor, I have also depressed that a second record to the same of the same at the same of the same at the same at the same of the same at the sam

a A things to per the formatte.

The Borto Cop 11, This or Date Hollow ghis and Dr. - The Hotel Dr. Hotel Dr. Ten win in the sale of mis and and other in Sterna, The other support from the Scott har in SEAT OF THE STATE OF THE SEATON PRODUCT OF THE And others for Jet - Pop PE | Langer Combe array man Perse-"One offer fort border of the transfer the case dichery manufact Etally ographic to the to show. The asia on miles an the metater opins of a sector of the terminal inthe property Post of the are the arms of the arms of the second section of the miles at that the bre by - - 0 to seek to not in the many year, In the stranger of the call was an are the categories on the was taken for the separation of the taken to the terms of a The country of the co and the state of t the, this to the Laste of Thereta, The propulse of the of the value of the for appoint thing if the table is not the I the conclusionts: I will be in a co THE REST TORONTO THE

unday of the those to sum. It said was go of our mark there of them, to light to the first of the term of Out of the first at the fact of the country of the contract of continued experience of the total factor with a Laborator No. 8 to 0 to 10 Table 1 Tab and have do to the true of the first discount in the The this transfer to have the table in the term of the way are in and the present of the control of the large In the common that the part of the state of the state of the and out the day in the first of the control of the The same in the party of a read of article article and a party the state of the state of the sound of the s THE THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. I adv - tom off watter I be fallen motorway parameter, company to part to a duthe state of the s and the property of the contract of the contra the profit of Marchael and the Advantage of Plantage to Agra in the programment of each control of the " of colds. and the second of the second o of the small of their control of the many the following as the add well the time to be the west that it is a few fortudading the rules on a risk to the product of decision tops to properly the to the control of the with the formation with the state of the terms of the The results must be a first than the second of the settle and the set of the set of the set of the

The strain soul and the second second

- is a traffic or compared to a second section of the second section of the second sections.
- The true of the contract of the last of the contract of the co
  - \_ PA 1 1073 CAN SORT COM T TAR . ... 491
- The Parella Mila report of the Extra for an in
  - to I have the same of a low
  - and dos at the feet attack.

A You, neturnlly.

Did not these chiefs of staff see to it that the interests of the two spendies were divided from one another?

- A Yes, they saw that a very clear division was made and the tundency of both up to a contain point were contrary to each agency.
- You, you said that yestering. As I correct if I say there were even tension between those two a cancies working in the same building?
- A Yes Two can call that official tensions oven if they dide not have any personal effect.
- Down at the end, I should like to nek you with reference to ir. Fordy's question; is it true that you went to the meeting at the Aviation history in your expectty as Chief of St if of Medical Service of the Air Porce!
- A You, that is where the tension was on jover and authority; as I has not the unior by londer.
- yet corplan with Professor E milesor times he cally only from the

1 You, no turally.

Did not these enders of staff see to it that the interests of the two memories were divided from one amother?

a You, they saw that a very clear division was node and the tendency of both up to a certain point were contrary to each agency.

You, you said that yestering. In I Correct if I say there were even tension between those two a rescion working in the same building?

A Yes you can call then official tensions even if they did not have any pursonal effect.

you not the end. I should like to new you with reference to ir. Mardy's questions is it true that you went to the meeting at the Lyintian Liniety in your expecity as Chief of St ff of Modical Service of the Air Force?

at You, that is where the tension was on power and muthority; as I we not the outer my lower.

But, you were still presidently the older and you were not you were not

Unrille to the ent of September in the refer his office; is that current at the task that current is now that I workly through which is the new office.

. r.r th. purpose f trainin -

a. I his n t train my oll nine h succes re.

outlining not a not to til. This has just non-thoraumich even un buttening not then isotrope ref.

BY DR. KRAUSS: (Unumol 1 r th. Doing at R at ck.) .

e pier f the resource section onto, which they had aliveral, or rother sent them to the chief if the conferent to the I Service in the time of how I for the resource the set of the property of the property

... I must a process of the mate that Fire a buf purpose to a ray to a ray.

BY DO. House (Gural for the audion M. br. Sauber-Franchie .)

. Nitrode, you state for relative that both or contain for a first of the work of the first of t

on There has no a legal and course on their film 20 on 1 The not mit constinued together, in the chief of the Organic black De Phient , after late sound bloomers in the there was on in a minute gray of the cities, there was a mostly made of the patrol to made in I think that is, it. Q. What plants is in Loren int br. Booker-Fregsin half that the with the Inspector of the Air Force.

A. Dr. E chor-Fr will was to - vis ry c unsel for within no felma.

S. an share a the roll pertented apart for white no laine? a

w. I think it wis Street Project r and oil that was with the De La I

G. I'm we wanted the confirment of 11 Section of 1942; 10 years and to Dr. Becker-Francisch at this finit?

that I call south that the the

Q. You may be to make the train of his little to experiments, which were every on a profit of a . Refor ?

As the La to be while don't the

Q. Mante or then real to the tell your myshing in our threat

as Mr. Priling and the transfer on the

Q. Did Dr. Socker-Projett, and is a retty of which for Avi ti a . Trime, our ; a de la territor beloi a rest a ture, or it is amount my resets to the analytic of operate or estimate in Dechas?

A. The quantum as the property of the property of most as named a grant last quarter of the I all the country of the I mover section and the most at the property of the I mover section.

e attin Tyles a restant that I there?

a. I, the is not direct.

e. Note that the resonance of the state of the secondaries of the seco

nath a to be a state of the factor

Q. But the mare provide area. In the most on an 1947; were red in to in Name of F

at by Domet;

- Town aly resent but this worth fithe entities were discussed and the entities of the entities and the entities of the entities and the entities and the entities are the entities and the entitle and the entities are the entities and the entities are the entitle and the e
  - .. -no that secure . . new later.
  - e et I den tide doctin in femilier . forc y'e resent?
  - A District of the Co.
- The you a cak to Riple at we this question re median it lulectmen and display, r did display cak to y w?
  - as ii , never-
- in the near A Rescher over cuts not between you and your chief after so tall or, 1 42?
- A I am just telling you hand no most the range was unknown to now

  it can not mention a writer that, and I for a so not it entirely until I was

  object to a min, and only by realing the rest it asso book to by

  the ray very all wire.
  - a this was really a very and result offers for your
  - A Tone

Total Total your I have no Acriner questions.

I . . ISB. I: my forther and not a I blue edinoce?

"nf.

Duce a usual for defendant invalues design tent Dr. Dormard School act

The expelii will bels in formary or 175, a symmetry wouldn't be the or a conduction of the same of the

The straight of the straight o

(The titues of each and the

Wild SITAL S. You don't work is

## DINETTEL I AT F

till. Till. (C casel for Defendant Headleser):

- , "dituons, would you losse state your first and surness?"
- .. br. were ard Select to.
- t man when?
  - " I was 'en on the 20th if y 1'00; in a former.
  - I would you leave leaved in a booth not core r very all other?
- A I first went to oldmontry son I in erlin. Then from 1/43 to 1925 I me in thus, I was oddern to be such livery sent I there. I ale y mirrierlata a in 1.25 in lains. Then I studied rediction on actourd .det r in Dicemen, Reciprolition in mande. I d'o proteté com instien in official in the year 1932 in . under. Afternor's I make my dook a organistic : can blun in 1926 I cannot the dated - " - of this time to a statement. I had a se coffeel obsertion in the arm her dual in Statute in 1932. That was to mail 1 3h. From 163h to 1936 I wont to Todestrin. In 1636, Date is from 1/30 c 1/30, I reactive community at a selection outvorbity of I obvious. In the society I me beatle for main a your to it as and diam - relect officer. In 1377 - : init to a note, companie. To 1 the "coloning of the our Ties of 1 to in they be leaded. That "is irm 193, o 1910. In 1860 I -- r the cond not by the try polonial ir -1 to a rate to the restriction of the time and the second of the second Character and the colored of well and well at 1000 to the that is to the least as maintain a consider by indict. I be men in t un chouser sket cric. Poten. Pr :- uet 'cr, IAM, I was in the main by the country. In the second of the transfer the which is teneral a set by a Comment of the in Franch, and the set for Treat I make the first plantage for the contribution of the large states of the large region of the best of the state we grade Lastitud on Letter for by inc - " return 1 7. - mut 1/1" set in 113 to 1960 5 money of the order and he cutary the

under sity on the a canate as a lectorer.

- then yer word totale the gian i office of it food t mileson

  - What woiting if you is it in the large Modical Ins och robe?
- in the constraint of Seigner and Horlin, Gui thee.
  - Q west was your to arthorit?
  - A I to resented the 'continue of hydrone.
- World you chaine debone to the first and pour school fourt to a as loaded in that department.
- the to be I with all questions with referred to the sphere of by late. For instance, a metallicity will a to no not no bit to, of thin a the of additudes, then the egel and it is noticed the latter state. columniate quantities in the space - determine on sort ye I think a have to test with questions of the Balance of ris more into account of main blord were a vol 1 op orthodh.
- il what was be relationed, in a locart and be the distinction of the and on the tion learners in recent
- a The half of for institutes it is a little violence or or more Fir Instance, the distance Mend of the Antitute Fir T. And of Virus Docetre, in Grien, the two at marche from Sen 1 ... St. dehenny.
- I The time in Which I solve belt to include a die No one 200 1 582

  - with the first the By Date Translate of the offen SAT
  - I from they made no backton, minted, but I do not have Mr.
  - is a company a training of the contract of the ideal of a U. Tally or flat r in waity?
- The a street persion in the great the transfer of re wall and r ur s may?

- in a more of SS f resting a serve which is the serve of the fraction of the first as the first a
  - Il you least the Institute of filtery Selence with the abnance of
- n la gradient the Empiters of Tiren and Typhus Reserves at Duchen-
  - L. It., I but by this not such institute ordetely.
- The production of the situation of the foreign of the last of the second of the second
- is been that the a percent but your answering he species according to the value of the control o

to only used vaccines which were known to us to be effective. In the of the emergency situation, we rejected a vaccine which was not recognized to be sufficiently effective on the basis of a state investigation. There was not vaccine which came from the Behring letter.

- 2. Ant w as the substrace of this vaccino?
- A. It was a chicken-era vaction, enother procedure was corried at and the entire of the war used. Recruse of the green the enire ordered, there is a larger a cont of waccine available. If
  this vaccine had been valid, we would have brought about an increase a production. Unfortunately, the tree new the case.
- To be I unidential you addressly then you may the Subring order to be the Lyong to the Lyong to
- .. In this case you refused the effect of helivory because the ty-
- A. Wo, not sufficiently offiction.
- To low did you flight w beauty
- The Property Coursel, it is now it elected. The Triumni will the remove for a pirch to a.
- The TEST Le Ordinari Parker Lie in recest for firthers cirutes.

  (A circl record to active)

0 urt 30. 1 19 Fet -7-N-8-1-EEX-Benri The manifest the Tribunel is equin in session. May it whense Y ur Honor, the defendent Rudolf Branct, having been excused by the Tribunal, is accent for the belence of the day. THE PRISIDENT: The Secretary General will note for the record the absence of the defendant Rudolf Brandt, parsuent to excuse in order that he may testify before Tribunal E. The counsel may proceed. BY DR. SCHLIDT: C. Withose, before the recess you said that the typhus danger at the end of 1901 led to the consideration of increasing the production of typhus vaccine. Do you know what professor Ecodoser, as army Medical Inspector, did at this time on this metter? A. Yes, professor Femileser sein that industry should produce more vaccine. He wented to incite inquetry to reduce more. Was this the industry which was already producing typhus vaccine? A. First it was the industry which was already or ducing waccine, and in guition other fact rive, if positio, were to requee vectine, . Do you know smother this attitude of professor Eardleser resulted in envihing concrete? A. Yes. The Behrine Works her the intention of establishing a new factory in Lyon end they an roached the army, Frederson Heraloser, for that ourcose and wanted to have the relieb professor Weight block at their discount, on you a secialist a the roughly a f vaccine fr a lice. so Note, to a a fact my built by the Buhrin- Worke? As And respection fact my sea cullt in lawy. . . Dit this fact are have now friend connection with the army McCicel Inwacture to? A. No. it was unely on injustrial works. It was not under the Army Noticed Inspect rate and it did not have one consections with it. 4. Did this institute later saliver to the or yi A. I do not know amostly. They were sty tably only sould countities. As for as I know this fact re was assistiblly to sup ly the divilian sector. . Mee there may other connection that Liviv Institute produced typhus 3318

vaccines sentioned, and the mace Dr. Heas? Do you know Dr. Heas?

- A. I do not know him personally but I know that he worked at this institute of the Behring Works in Lwow.
- 4. Then this institute and Dr. Hass had no relationship to the Army Medical Inspectorate!
  - A. No relations, no.
- tration Camp Buchenveld. The prosecution expressed the suspicion that these himsents of lice doubt have come from the institute of DEF in Cracow. Do you know enything about that?

sturnithnofunitor, or, re walty.

- The Was or. Domnits?
- for a f know ho was in chiral of projection.
- noticel most incir this point "B q
  - er ito.
- To like would you I wothoughts becaut, the Dr. Dornitz was to not in truck with Dr. 'subswelt' 'will in experiments
- Solving wheeler I is to some inclination of parametry title
  - To be in the year of a would be a stendard your
  - rate, the can employ that his and invitors institute.
- cheiren to t those ad it here out it is grantenes on turn a company
  - .. -c, m b ne ita.

150

- To the world to see the mention of the latter which were traye there is a rich to see the
- the latter scale normaly have been applied sources.
- The stable of th
  - .. I tit with a west to a contract non the my maple in.

Lear, Quilles r noiser, Shate Secretary Conti, - n a furth and

11 Fat 47-7-57-9-5-Crok Court Co. 1

at forth, inportant purson littles posticipated?

I we been propered for us a matter of course, on invitations reissued . The hope before I may then there would now real two two
important issuesions of a pertent people of a feel a wront don't of
work at this time. There would never be two such discussions on
the same My.

- of It would have been possible to the wooks or one wook before there was some discussion of a different group of people?
  - . The t is guite possible , yes.
  - o. But it would probably by to your impossible on the same day?
  - L. Yos, I consider that impossible.
- Leopesian and with a larger number of people on the typhus
  - ... 16.
- i. I mean a conference, -- th t there were discussions with in-
  - .. No.
- n. Would you have but occurrent to know her Professor Wandlesor participated in such a disquestion or conference?
  - ... I would on thinly have la resul on it.
- to by I and of ther before or if there is bout the results, your department probably had to to inferred of the results?
- To I would be long't have larged of it iftermed a tall have one
- I. Note the name Duchommal' man mything to you, did you over a the name -melianoid in our ction with typhus research, or the
  - Se #50
- to be you to a white product of a OFT The times to Sprow and to beneath the best of the translation of product of the best of
  - .. To re wie to discharie.
- The lid Duckershild get this woodness.
- L. Suel onwald can have obtained this waccine only from the SS which store. The vaccine distributed was as follows: It was

istributed to the individual societal bords, the branches of the light office that the the proups. The entry edical inspector to like but here, for a plan which theory received the entry type of wheelms. It is wis up to the individual branches of the almost.

- n. There are are an each untake for any other wascent
- we The
- C. -121 7 0 told whice the total town, the ni of the periody ... . The Election of 1955, E thisk, one by my Election Wiles. -1: we, that an religion for relief, the brore were appoint high trout lost of the da - orde for a dres under the throat of roll-" I'v r. orn this purp to length = int of yollow for r vectine In to to preduced Lawls toly, at Professor Syor in Green had for nated of this, and on the author of difficulties. The tabelni e frepriver nicht report elvel, en wie net et blo. It was be- obsidy less proper by the entire terms of the Person to design of angle of the transport of the transport in the spect occurs - there o were about our she we decide to be sent of the be friend by and on the infinition the time servicing of the receipt by lovies is format bothed ing often . . . . . . Political Storm a to To to the Control Control selve I S SS. to per be them St \_ In 1 Con Abul a he was Spilen be rays, me the results ! I be duty.
  - The the tatement force in the tate of the ne
  - This is a second of the second

c. fow 88 modical officers to whom Professor -yer community and list vaccino probling. So list packing, --ho had be well to we wroteing with list, a few ampules and to slowed her it was to to dissolved. It was a dry product.

". The impression is given that this was enraberra box. Vill u please escribe what this was

this. It the to be not the close one that the vector of the second of the content of the content

19 Fab. 87-15-T-10-12-15loy Court Wo. 1 flown to Africa. I Than the purpose of the visit was not to test the vaccine, but to demonstrate these aspules? A The purpose of the visit was to demonstrate these ampues and the technic of sponing than and dissolving the vaccine. This technic was shown to members of the SS and the Madical Corps of the SS, and all of them reported it in order to surrentee the results. Then as far as I know Br. Ding vaccinated a few people with this vaccine, five or six men case in and they were procinated in the arm with this vaccine. This corresponds to the well known redliper vaccination, a secretar on the upper arm. a " and one call this wecestration on experiment in the medical sense? a Mo, this was an interactional known vaccine which is produced in all civilized countries, and has proved its value for many years. It is even less democrate than smilpex vaccine. Sallpox vaccine can load to complientions, but not yellow fower vicolou. The effects of the vaccine were well known and we had tusted it by the mound broteriolecters and charged means, that is we had tested it for storditty and phopol content. O Now, wall us white los you saw in Puchanmild? A Our stay in Pucherwald was relatively short, about an hour and a holf. a nid you know that Packement was a concentration comp? A Before this wisit I Hil not know it. ? Did you see the core struction comp immates? A The ever was constitutily why. to some only a few people doing clearing up more, writing on the reads, and so forth, and on inquiry we learned that the involve or being use, for work, Sinds we term looking at it from the point of wism of application we looked at the kitchens, two or three of the chalters, the serve system, and that was the end of the visit. hen we left we saw a large group of concentration comp in ates marching in. They were singing and ware accommended by acuth organs. Q Then what was the impression you got there in Bucherwold?

C ert I 19 Fub 1.7- - 11-1 - LJG - - - ban C. But it was a may has did it happen that the Concentrard in Guyy Suchamyal . t talk vaccina . 4. It call not have a wife up, because we did not isome any yelly fewer veccine at isl. The vaccine was looked in a special refri eroter and was not used. It wint have been possible that in the emp the aspula, thick we can openal at that three, was used for vaccinations. Of course, we did not take it alone with us when we left, it was uncleas for he and it not been pened. That is the only explination that I can think it. Q. Har any loon two such an coul o attiny A. Fr worth in write in our receipate fifty to sixty pecals. w. How dry me to the arm of the pen ampulson there when you left, it would now boun preside to laste then for mademationa? . A. I to not have he may be the new ments med in the Becommunt. --in There and there tixty ..... But in you now my on in the a coinsti may as it, the word or new advite Que Mary midstern, is it is to be the visit where will be a clustery rapplese and weekingline with it and a officets whithouser, associably In Coursella? A. As I have nightly outly this vaccine was the flutely harmless, men was comful that sail or mechas. .. Then no constitute the from the w. This is not to invistible. A. For - return t Parlin, aid yet so Ir former "and mort a. ich tra bor. The Dec y war on the term of the for the probation of the as Delever inity de very set this I reported to Section Out of the test and property. \*. but, it is a tolisma that this Minir, which y u how described, is a latering which Sengelber would have had to report to Friessor Hind sor?

C urt I 19 Fab 17- - 11-3 - LM - Muchan vaccination was not provided f r? . a. There were in at difficulties, the modical pers and and the dictors were vaccinated. I. and the troops: it was min possible to vaccinate the troops for what respin? A. There was n vaccine available. . In it true that at the obtaining of the compaign in the East, the German treope had no pr tootion gainst licu? A. There was no affective or tection. To had a number i del using establishments, which mere not bis on whi the chemicals were not adequate and had not been developed for an wh. Q. Then an effective delicusin thed c ul bu devel ped ally during the war? a. Los, the really effective chartest was sevel but may them the and of the nor; that was called because and it contained the same substance so the .. Pleas DDT p . . .... i. Under those circumbences, at the beginning of the war, was the typhus danger in the Sact very west? w. It as a work in r. . Thur, y to eny, in it that of you stone o, fr with actional and cilitary point f viot, it - s extremity is reint t have an efficienty pr. trati no tinut bypassi A. You, I that soy be. 2. in a bound to the rest poet. Do you know that with r I rou operations of per stone cortains and a small store, that were without and March to a Maria to the page 7 o monte? n. Fur, the meaning or must we out ned as paen Leshock. w. D , a kn a matter i'r this res n tour me an-th' rt t have in listings rem we the phone I convents fr = the concrete serus? a. Low, I know the at that, associally since Franch firm produced this serve with ut ghom 1, out in astronately could not work with -t phonel since they did not have on a horained personnel during the war : 63

and there was therefore den or of sentamination by becteria.

- is as in runs a frequent of lightin in w undat
- a. Yaz, it was quite frequent in the field.
- A. What one therefore may that the problem of preventing an reme, iron the solical, as well as from the called extracely important?
  - A. 400, and must say sa.
- So do arting the empulse with collective norms, you said before that the applies which had been of there left behind in Suchemmald; you said before restent difficulties there were in string and keeping this series, and you said to tribunal how length or or spinion, the series could be kept in the moule?
- el and and gut in drawin temperature, they so he be got me day.
- Q. I m thinkly of too anyons which you lost behind in suchemonic, were they almost a modified with they have been penson a ware they inid to me mide?
  - As They were lyde, a to tobbe.
  - to an but a vita may be there?
  - ... I during the time is not an in the mat.
- operation in a property of an active reference to the prince?
  - we has I Men : we that,
- \*\* We finally a multiple of the circle of a new meeting for the angle of the continuous first to the first to first a new meeting force to the first to the market a could be properly to the market a could be broaded as in refer to the market the country of the vaccine and
- a. If it was complify a non-type of vaccine this was due on a landador, coburs of the army and members of the dilitary address according, that is students.

C AFO E

in makeri g upula?

- As I whicher, in my pink m, which is the true. The tenter of bottom:

  In the section of the court the bottom of the court of the first that court bottom man represent a market model.
- secondaria para a fit formers of the contract the quarters. The professor secondaria para a fit to the contract to a contract to the contract
  - as I'd not be I this plus .
- that he bit is referred to a control of the special and a pink a primary that he bit is a state to a control of the special and a pink a primary that he bit is a state provide an account of a special and a pink a right typical and a state provide and a control of a pink and a pink and
- As Think to the most of their applies around not a complete of a foundation.

- profest" for a thin objection of grown the last of the first tenter of the first than the first
  - a 31.
  - h what contacts hilly a tree with Re and
- A had as a ministration of all all and him non-section about I was a by design. I have been because a reference of the Bureau .
  - 4. Tru know he was warring on by the vaccious, Hinth you?
- A such to wie at the Dilet I show that he was gride; on the wire field.
- The state of the s
  - La Stra
  - O TOWNS HAVE COME SUPERIOR OF SECURIOR
  - . .

was fulncia

- C Dicyou southly obtain to until a or the e mountain a picture of the sample
  - A distantial a fee too block, the could be them.
  - to these was buyin and in
- A least tony for cortain, / Toky ou may now which specific
- 3 Andre Nort Mink for a witness. As the processor is able to a color of a colored at
- 1 Sell, Milyouten, di 15 m. n. J. Hilbery W. Mo.J. selecy those /wiscre cording
- The first of the state of the state of the formation of the state of the first order of the state of the stat

(A proper on calm until 1930 cours.)

THE PEAL: The Tribunal is again in sossi m.

DT. SEDE: (Drunsol for the locationt Hortz Oberhauser). In President, the ofendant Dr. Hortz Oberhauser asks in view of her situation of health to be account for a this session after Societes. The Delson Physician will submit a minual contificate to the Pribural.

THE THESE STATES The feet data Companion may be encused after the efform on recome up a fille a contificate from the Trian Chysician.

t "limes, as ye told we notified y and fithe mostly a fithe contains the fitter you remaind having actuately

A I can't toll . " that I'r cortain lines I may artend a individual cotton I the or multipe particles, rates I solutes about a bundred lootures.

To you reside who have a stantal to motion of pay 1965 at the unitary redical Acates in Section

A During that thus, I has in Burlin. It is quite possible that I artemed the posting, at least I have are attended once I the locaures.

\* I amuru you etterned the lactures a moorain byclonic problems

A Tation .. I callant toll y man i'r cortain.

to Toda, "to the surveils attend the imathic reces the surrence many relating.

A ", I atton'd Lootings of interess to object and opplicates.

The first of the second of the transfer in large 1942, at the Sectionic

. Fat not at . At a tell the tell assurfrantes

A I M . till to to to luction in a by he. oid, at emptime.

2 Tou Hill Hat was spee

, town transle Mat.

10 Miles

To the second or the second product

A That I am the Maries.

is a terminal de test to the test of the purpose that part had been upon

a let 1 with a name ourt Had A Me, I comm t toll you that. I Do you kn w what Ding was doing? A I only know that he belonged to the collaborat re of Professor Mrugowsky. g Do you kn w that he was working in Bushemmall ofter you first not him A W., I didn't kn w that. A How many times did you see him leter? Did you have frequent controls with him? A No, I only not him on one or two occasions at the most. I had no perscapel contacts with him at all. 2 Did you have easy contracts with Mrugowsky? A You, in so for an hygionic problems erose since part of the Unfferess me sad rilanted under the Arty. I recorded about that before. Q Em frequently Ill y u have a stact with bus able? A Very reguly, he only tologh ned no mybe once or twice a year. At any mto, very, very, rerely. a Did you over comsult with his according Typhus problems? 7 300 of Do you in w that they but a Typin products, establishent at Buchenwald? A No, I all I was that our boro. I duppers you know br. Total? A LPr foundr foight You I say his thee when he winited Crearw. I do n letter of feet, went't he working for the dray, Dr. Weigh? . Profess r Calal was writing as a bestorial dist in the Institute for When Torongon in the or I Lambers. The mathile to need for the production ! Trecina Was telcan for . us. , Wall, but he was astrohad to and w riding for the Army, wann't he in 141 4 19129 .. You, to was a civilian apleyou, a to sponk. . And, the Army unit 'is available to the Berring I'wild now plant at in in That't ther? . It, the Tohrencht made him everlable, as I said buf we, for the instithis of a Typhus veccineties poincible of the industry, that is to say, derice take, only in order to increase production and in order to teach them of 3109

the tochnics which he deval god.

- n That is what I said, the Army rade Total available to the Rebries Tries on they shall start a producing plant at Lambers; that is right isn't it?
  - A You.
- ? Then it this or dustice elent at Lomberg booin its operations Than
- A I boliave no production of may month one about since the technical installations by wint about some technical difficulties, since no cotual modulation could come up at. At any rate, I never heard that we received by Typhus veccines from those for the purpose of the Army.
- Then't you remember, reighly, when they cotually began operations?

  You remember that the plant hadn't been built at the first part of 1961. Now,

  'n't you re ember when they notually, formally exercit the Bohring North at

  Lethered
- into the third weeding error what production agency of the
  - ? You just turned Whiel wor to the and format about it; is that right?
- A Mo. I didn't know how ich; Voich had stabled there. I only know that

was mide evailable by us in order to work there with this institution. It was not my task to send will there or call him back. I had nothing to do with thet.

- Q You don't remember having attended the opening of the Behring works? They had a bit of a celebration there late in 1942. You were not there.
  - A No, I was not there.
- Q and you don't even know when they started producing typhus vaccine there; all you know is that they were very much interested in typhus vaccine production. Is that right?
- A No. I don't remember these details. As I said before, it was because we didn't know whether it was delivered to us by the Behring Works. I only know that no substantial quantities came from there which we were to use.
- If you get any Weigl waccine from the Behring Works, you containly know it come from thee plant in Lamburg because that was the only place they more producing the world waccine, except in the two CKN Institutes; is that right?
  - A I didn't quite un'arotand that.
- I I said if you not any Neigl modime from the Behrine Works or anythin what you know it had to come from the Pehrine Works in Lemberg because there was only three places in all of Germany and I think in all of Europe productor the Weigl wascine; one of the was the ONY Institute at Crecow, the ONY Institute at Lemberg, and the Searing order at Lemberg, three places?
- A That is quite correct, but I had no list at all about the amounts of vaccine or the way the vaccine was produced. It was not my tank, you not. The vaccine was distributed by the unin medical susply office. We in the ferical Inspectarate only dealt with the question of whether sembody will get whether or not, but not what kind of vaccine they were to set; so, I know thing about the unant of vaccines. I only know that thoughts were available to basis of the list which I received from the Chief Hedical Supply difficult, but I part inly dish't know mything of the details, that was not my task.

- n att you control the allocation of Typhus vaccines for the chromeht
- " Tith reference to the vaccines which we received ourselves, it was distributed by us to the various Johnmacht branches according to the size of the epidemic, and the amount of people that were there. Are you referring to an investigation of vaccine, maybe I don't understand you correctly.
- In No. I should think there would have been a central agency in Germany which was advised about the production of vaccine, who wasproducing it and how much. I should also think that this gency would have control over the allogation of that production, so such til cated to this group and so much to that group; is that right?
- in to didn't know what amounts these works over producing, but we only know what accounts we received, and they were then distributed. I didn't know whather the Behrine Yorks distributed any of their vaccines to the civilian sector, for instance; so, we were not justified in approaching the Behrine Works and asking them what amount of vaccines they were producing. So, there wasn't any real control.

- Q What about the production of the Robert Each Institute? Did you have any control of that?
- A No, the Hobert Koch Institute delivered their vaccines for the civilian sector. It may be at the beginning they sent us a few litres of vaccine but: it didn't amount to anything much.
- Well, witness, we have a letter here which I think is from Gilder meister, dated January, 1943, in reply to a letter which was sent to him by Handloser, in which he was directed not to distribute any typhus vaccine to any branch of the Tehrmacht. It all had to be sent to him or to his Ecdical Supply Officer, and moreover Gildemeister go a him a report on the production of the Labort Koch Institute.
- A The individual Nehrmacht branches were always trying to get vaccines through deviating enancels since they were not satisfied with the distribution and in this way a semimacht branch may have approached the Robert Koch Institute. That is quite possible.
- I witness, about these lice which went to Buchenwald. There were only three places where Buchenwald could \_\_\_\_ easily obtained lice. As I see it one place was Eyer's Institute in Krasow, and the other place was the OKH Institute in Leaburg and the third place was the Buhring Torks in Leaburg, only three concerns in all Europe, taking heigh vaccine from the intestines of lice and only three as a coun with typhus infected lice readily available, now how can you swear to this Trib only that Ding didn't get his lice from Eyer?
- . I didn't quite understand you. He is supposed to receive these like from Eyer?
  - C Dr. Ding at Buchemyald.
- As I said before, that the cup of suchemald distributed any like from Professor Sper sance otherwise I or the Medical Ine, storets would have I med about that situation or we would have been asked for paralasion. I explained that before, so the vaccin of the CKH cannot be included. In this case there only remains the Schring Works in Labourg. It may be the Schring Works in Marburg for instance had some lice. I don't know about that.

- . I don't know that. Here are all ever the world, one only has to never line suck on any typins discused person in order to get such lies, that is all that is assessary.
- I That may be true, witness, but suppose you tall us how many places in dermany were in the business of cultivating typhus infected lice?
  - a knorwer typhus geourned.
- Q Non, linear, you exent as goating to to around and look for typhus infloted lice, we you, I near that we should get live and feed them typhus inflotel lice, the tien't the way to do that. In other words, how many places in Sureary were remaily cultivating typius intected lice, may place besides the ONH in Kreen, the CLS in Lastery, and the Schring terks in Lastery. Those ore the only three places, isn't that right?
- a. You, they are the only places where like were cultivated, but you can always build up such a breading place shore you went to.
- observation run so critical in the latter part of 1941, that you only had enough vaccine to innoculate doctors and nurses and other people who were in very appear, is that right?
  - A Tes, that is correct.
- I , therefore, assume that typhus vaccine must have been allocated with considerable care, is that right?
- and naturally not to once all responsibility for those decense. We didn't to a service about to situation.

Start I

- the personal a forecist, as it is a matter if a area that because question of impires for injustry, as the production of the typhos vaccine is very especially, in the cult have been the recent thy injustry was not very information in this matter.
  - The by the sent y or non Sen in t this meeting in December 29, 19417
- A. I have no which you can a tors, in sport r. A dichit sun'
- in the term of the first of the Tentral of the Poth of Documber 1911, at which typhon or duction in the first vector, testing was up or incommon, in that the report of the first vector, testing was up or incommon, and that the report of the first vector, and his proper of the first vector, and his land to this or what surprove the Sole is nort to this or what surprove the Sole is nort to

vectime. That was the whole currose of starting the experiments in Buchenweld on living human beings, during the course of which several hundreds of them were killed; that was the very curose of the Buchenweld experiments to test this was yolk vaccine. I am suggesting to you the army was very much interested in the testing of this vector and that you very well know they were made to test that the testing of this vector and that you very well know they were

A. No. I must deny that very energetically. I understand this note as I said before by knowing that this is herely an investigation of the vaccine in an entual experiment. I as not convinced at all that any such vaccination on the hungar being would bring about a result which was of any value, for in this case, for one can never initate the biological conditions which samply the hungar beings so that to get a diplogical experiment the result, in my untition, would be if no value at all.

ore of n. value?

A. According to up obtain they are at locat of no insertance. I cannot explain may in ordine at all. Personally, I think they are of no value.

thek to you we there with Dr. Eyer?

A. Initially I said I stated that the questi a was to send a commission of physicians there t show that how the typhus vaccine was prepared and in order t show that how the difficulties which proveded up to that moment, analy to dissolve the dry vaccine, were everyone. This Commission of Physicians, as it was provided, were to vaccinate the troops right there is africa, because the transport of

## 19 Ten /7-A-: A1-16-21- sloy Gopet No. 1

- A The gentlemen were dresself in this coats, and I couldn't tell.
  - 1 Where we ards des strailer exceled not?
- A I directly said it was in an anal room of the barracks. The camp itself constate of a number of barracks. In one such antercome a table was
  proceed where this presentation took lase.
  - This was in the Brokemaid or intil, it masn't in bloar?
  - A lo, we were fatched on iro count by cor, as I said .

before, and then triven to Suchemment, and this postertion occurred in Buchamment itself.

- There also not transform to the to the lateral did you come . for sortion or come for demonstr
  - A Mo, I on a rem Barline
  - 3 White Mi Dr. Door and fromt
  - . Or. Type that when the Hardin before.
- A Thin, hid you in buris tide surpresentant, I remarker emidthing right broadles a cuttle of cuttle of cuttles of the first in the first of the firs
- A To, there is not quite at his in the their thin models and to be filled, and we start the I sale by a be abstract, and teamers of the little of the vector in the vector in the contract the object. If the we i i I there exists a three start is the vector in the place of the contract of the contract the sale of the contract of the contract the place of the contract of the contract of the contract the contract of the contract o
- I for the entire this to-book to believe the to men intimutehad and bear the form the line of the second and the form the first to be a second and the form the first the form the first the first the first the form the first the form the fillence.
- I is inches a simply. Fur, we not not a read consists a month, and then the desire of a second of the constant of a second of the constant of the second of the constant of th
- 8) All construction towns only of the order of testing, and any orders
- A C. The queries of the content is before the following than as the

Court I 19 Web 47- A - LJd - Deehan . Well, you tau ht the SS men first, then the whole thin was dropped and you did not do anythin more. Is that right? A. We merely trained the SS physicians and then preserved the vaccine in order to be shie to use it at a dven time. 1. elthems, dif you not see the typhus experimental station When you were in ouchenwald? A. Is, I already said that I aid not know that such a station was there. 4. Dr. Din did not tell you that he cas carrying on a typhus experiment although you were in Succentrald. Is that right? A. He did not tell be smythin, soout it. C. You know they has an experiment oin on the very day you were there; they were testing Akridin and Methylane Slue in the Schring works. You were usually familiar with those dru s, were you not? a. No, I know nothin about that, 4. Lou never heard of Abridin and Mathylane Blue? A. He were concerned with athylens Blue, which is ponethin, which we used in medicino for any years, but I cann t know for what purpose it was need thure. For decades it was used in liberatories for coloring purposes. Akridin is a prilim-colored a cet un I de not know what it is used for. It absolutely die not decemp by source if mirk. % How lon were you in the Sushemale Compy ! A. I already said that I stoyed there fir to ut one and no-maif hours. 4. Yes, I recall you said you saw a group of about, sell-fed workers surchin book to the corp oir in . A. Yen, i saw a column, which rarched past as me ment out boin Accompanied by a harmonica or accethin . Q. Did they act like happy bey secute? 4. That I could not judge. Q. Now, you apparently don't an anythin about these sellow fever vaccing tests that Dr. Din carried out for you in Buchemald, do you?

- actions and it was hardens as it was,
  - .. Din just din not know what he was coing is that right?
- Q. Let us red to par right down where it says: "The repults of the yell w favor viceing tests or to be sent to Department 16 in the 35 -the description in on licitor to will forward one to the communicaturer and one to the Supreme Comman of the Arty, CMR. Attention Characterstrate Dr. Sch ldt." Mily n vr t am rorts n the well w fever tests?
  - . . .
  - 4. Can you error may and notice for this entry?
  - A. Br. I cament explain it.
- 4. You when toutified, situate, that you kept very careful close watch a thur yell " four accine; this accountry unfor Item 5 shows that they had a margell a favor receipes from the DAB - Kracon, under Ito S and Plan of the you see trace
  - .. I have not frunt it you in a 59
  - 6. Ve . 7.
  - no lion
- C. Up or in Jenusy 1903, our you was there the tested list for NUSBOTE?
  - As For I, to t is mi 25.
- . D you re un or 1 . 5 m . S that they had some Tellow fever voccines from the DH in Tribut I as a second that they received that through the SS of and Toronto to be use life, they significe and I vaccines?
- A. A , Dr. yell v favor vection has all farmination us at all; I therefore come t applian this entry it all.
- 1. Now, witness, con't you think it is shout time you cold me the truth concerning this risit to Buchamenli. I have the ourk report for 1943 of Dr. Din and he says that & Schreary, 1943, you ins particula the clinical station in Suchemonic and the clinical at them is where they carried out these typics experimental cathers; o - Am't you want to tell

the Tribonal you inspected that Typhus experimental block like Dr. Ding says in the report? sould you like to see it?

- a. I should like to state that I did not see any typhus experimental stations or anything like that; I merely witnessed the presentation and the dissolution of the vector, which I mentioned before.
- "Visit of bajor Dr. Eyer (NO) from the institute for spotted fever and virus resourch of the ONE in Krakow and Major Dr. Schwick from the army medical inspectarate." If you can't have this document before you, I will pass it up to you. Hi ht at the better of the pole, witness.
  - A. for, I can see it.
  - W. Did you or did you not inspect the climical metion with Dr. Ding?
    - w. I did bob.
  - . I have a further questions.

THE PRESIDENCE Any further re-cross examination of this mithems by the Defense Commani? Any re-direct examination?

## RE-DIRECT BYALT LITTOR.

BY DB. MEUTZ: (Comment for the Defendant Handl ser.)

- 2. Do you have the work report, which the Presenter just mentioned before, the report with the OF No. . . . .
  - ". You com pe a Nr. 32
  - 1. Will you plusted I of & Document No. 5719
  - A. 105.
- entry of a sorrow, had. This source is operations and confirms with the entry in the sin Diray, ench year more. I now ask year to be at the wisite listed and read the form more than the entry, had; "Tisit of the clinical attains by Courstable rate Dr. oper and Courstable rate Dr. Schmidt." and in the 24th of we set and 3rd of September up the 29th of September. The always find the died matter desired by those various visitors. From the use of the word usually used in military channels, can you say that

Court I 19 Pab 47-A - 17-5 - 133 - Johan

the basic difference is whether you consider smothin as a visit of an inspection?

- "The expression "inspection" is only used when he is encorned "ith ficial relationships of subpressions and superiors. If somebridy visits with ut any "ficial sores, that is to say there can be no fficial relationship between the visitor and the one that is being visited.
  - . I have no further questions.

Dougt E. . 1 19 For -7-a-18-1-IEN-Feldt IA. FLEMING (Counsel for the defendent arunwaky): Mr. Practiont, I mak y a to permit me that I could eas another few questi as on the bests of the outsti he but by the or secutir. THE PRESIDENCE Countel or of occi. \* BEDIRECT STATISTION BY DR. Hanlist . Itness, you have stated that the toeting of trobus vaccines in human beings were f n. velue, wir y a ever v.r : with typhuel A. D. . In that ease y ur fu' out ab ut the value if the typhus emericents is n t derived in the breis if p or own personal experience with typhus viruses and typhus vencious? de No. 4. You said y urself that the tosting nothers of the typhus veccines on the uni wil which were corried at by the institute at Frankfurt an Main were certly stroker by inchetry. Here you can rose a to believe that this interference on the best of the industry was unjustified? a. I have a resson to relieve that - well, y u see, this is a scientific questi a which has a t yet over o lived. That is new it says in the A outent that a a kind of a undflor testing both home to be define . We, at may rate, Kout to the state investi etich because that, for us, wes the proper authority. Q. Witness, 6- you're we stated the tribus virus in the case of the enican bring so ut a discret which is over top out to attract to a discret of a human being? A. The ty thus virus has a such effect. . Then wulch't no have to say that the testing I typhus vaccines in buton beings was not with ut veloc on that in addition to amoriments on enimals, compariments on human beings had t be corried through if there was any intenti a to or duce certain typhus vaccines in the ermy and in cause in the case f hundreds f the sende f resold? a. We hid another safeguard, neaely, every soldier who was veccineted against typhus was registered in his pay took and it could be seen with what 3107

who was weatherton attracted typins, such an entry was taken over int his case history. On the cests of the case history no could establish which the vaccine or wed to be the latter or the worse. I think that this is a method which we carried the unk and which led us, I amount, to a good ent.

- This nother, no desit, is a method which one would have adouted in mornal times but didn't it take an extra reinarily line time until one could receive usetle results?
- In the case of the energency which you have mentioned energy it pacesmany to see to it that the could be so as quickly as preciple what vaccines were a valid or tootics in order to evoid having to vaccinate hundreds and thousands of soldiers with unusable vectors?
- As In these questions the issue at stake one to decide whether no vacacine is a little of a effective than an ther. We, on the more of or faction, were all interested in an funite as such of it as possible. It is not a important to know whether he receipe is a little factor than the other.
- when delug this note: I testin, wear's there a famour mit only to not vections which were a little less effective than there, but his it use vections which has no or testi m willow who to ever?
- A. N. We tested those vections in calcular exercises only one out power ser in such a case that an would receive a vector which one fig value at all.
  - . Do you as withe a -collect loses weeding fr : 0 technologs?
  - in the
- of English con you had now that this was very effective in the case of entrols but if a value in the case of human learners.
  - a. J., I c n't know that.
  - . The deaft has welt. Three y u. I have a further mideti se.

THE FRANCIET MAY ther empiretion of this vitness by defence counself.

Has the present in any implier countines. The witness may be excused.

Dies counsel for defendant Francisco desire that the witness Fartheren be

called? The Warshel will surmin the witness Fens Hartleben.

HARS REFILEDAD, a witness, that the stand and testified as follows: JUDGA SIMMING: Flores half we your right hand and be evern, rewesting after me:

I sweet by G.A. the allighty and Conjectent, that I will some the nurse truth and will withhold and add nothing.

(The witness reneated the seth.)

JUDDE SIERRIDG: You may be sented.

IEL FRIDIDATE Sef-re proceeding with the executive of this witness the Tribunal will be in recent.

(a reduce the telessa)

THE ... Shale The Tribunil is again in session.

And it has jour Senars!, the defendant North Therhouser h wine been where d by the Tribunel this - termion is togeth.

FIE 2. BID: It IN theretary laneral will note for the record that defendant Burti Cherometr has been moved for the colonic of the office con.
The Search of the ril will also the office as contification.
The Dembed of two of with the continues of the witness.

8Y 101 - 1734

- 2. Thinks, will you plant to the own the con-
- As Eins Pt3 | Pt3 |
- to soft materials topo.
- A. S- 25 June 15 Inc. Trade 1 8 21 .
- Q. T- I a fact to I be filled a contract our encour-
- A. I theread a major of model a complete in Hills on Series and

  Stabled reliable in Problems, Reviewer and alter. Then, in 1923 I

  onbored his large of two finion. I am at warries notice a madical afficer,
  and I am transed in interval address. Large I am in charge or
  the determ I field testion in part of a large transport, and

  note In a foregraphy, I are an a part of a large reliable

  Inspector w. Deliable I community of an are in the of occober

  that I are a reliable rel
  - Q. alle where ore you is the non-ref.
- A. At the nomest I is on the minuse stand in James or one this Trimment.
  - Q. And, mun you ere not here?
  - As Then I as Not here; I we is a grison even at Garmisch.

For the second the older of the coldens of the cold

.. .

dier i, not be instructions in the contract of a social interpretable tion of the instructions of these contracts, and the instructions of these contracts, and are no positive or the court style properties of the contract of the contract of the instruction is many contracts of the instruction of the contract of the c

A. The instructions had quite a long mistory maint developed during the war. It e ... about and in the mature of the inits that the developments for the erestion of such as office were usent, at the beginning of the war the modiful nurries of the cray, of no count mist of the other breaches of the wehr wit, in a we may sportfully of on and mitorials. There was a consi crable lack in improved filler, in divers a cample - at the beginning of the mer to a very lar - which a to reliable trained medical parament, but also on the riol of mitorials and made a public ant there were a post has not been set us to be a local of the error in In Porce Picker at not wom unit, mercy or not right too, to out a broldking and offer I law. to amount from that I 'be to demake of the our the quark for all of those thing the for training personnal ron analyty, in the army, arian was the law out brough of the bank of the bank of the or a great or cont, but inthe Mar Proposition in Williams to the party of the way of the extended a company of the company of if is a few that the service of the relativity most time. There we are all the state of the creater and the care out the the civility - of the or - of the ser still independent, but a character of the annual of the deliber of the designations of obtaining a star on a Lateraltie in the or feel morehow for the civilles -- out it a. Ham that I all reasons or refer - c.r. in unity to bu ch to

of the Chief I she communicated the service as on a continuous of the Zith of the page with the service and the service of the Zith of the page will not be a service of the Zith of the page will not be a service of the Zith of the page will not be a service of the zith of the of zith of

As at the colored of the source of another one improves also, but then consider the later of the more before the toro of the first and because of the formation of the formation of the branches of the laborator of the colored the formation of the laborator of the laborator of the colored the source of the colored the colored the source of the sour

equipment them thatfor. Then there are mecossity for a control regulation in the bringing of the heartsteht, but notes of the branches of the Webrancht urged such a resultion. There were provide the recover for this. One reis provided that can brunch of the older chi force the erection of species. and good of the solic I service, that it's source of estimates would be restricted where in island renders of the deliverent in a worked incoped and up until then n' a sleer suck h to be expected. On the other name each of the 11 to \_\_icl officers to a maid likely to complete. for this post ha 'boot forma' that he would not now . . . . . . . . a houthority within the High Company of the behing only inc Object a grown university for this. If it is not on their det lumber, the CMI was inter- within to ive definite or you to the working to not as if the paper test, he there are have turn the fire that Gided of the samuett E. in I have word - year light in ma. numberly. Then In the Jar 2762, " It has not of the large out inter-More district, now that it is a way it a who I consider in the backet Service that I's but to wise of a unintension of other fields of order I'd question was been at to for Green line of the stor from the Chief of " Mak Counted 6 to Desight to it startly, or return ordered him Start, the little to the contract, the introduction of a shareful than non recover Fig. 1 at all rest of the relative forces. The army in the 1 Tongo ober wis discusso, or diluminated and in the discussions from in-OMN. As and with In toll of the army I do I Inspector to but so ele of the orelation re there since then the end of collection with the wild of the State form and . In 1 lead of the many the large the l Inqueter are ally, there between me are correct on. In these preliminar "insuprior it and a select that the select of the destions area the mercent if the surgest that the term of the trust much made could be on a first than this a primition thin a in our law in which the St to of office and it organo. This mount prior rily country tion to the branches of the large out on the laffer &S on the one side on the diviling outer on the ther sile, to air as personal or a terials or ou

The Fundament colors was discussed and drofted the like the official instructions for the Colof of the Mile Council of the Melmancht, which

to issue. Dur the Charf if the Correct the ic'l berie. The inclusion if Processor aren't was the discussor. Colonel Numer to rently had instructed a from his seguriors on this question, in it was provide that Professor Br to wis to cold again relating as near the tent the two of each and the division scator on the new at a na the military sector of the habitantite, in the under which the more of out the telephone to the temperature to the other street In a seltimate - it is a selection to the a reportally, a finally in the late of an are full the later later on this continuous at the D le L. rvie in the DESLIT I Emitrued as for the new Chief of the Neutrocht De Le L Bertie, e. d. - Lasue, die the this worter chramateristi that the army in it is not been a fine to be a returned to the performance the other for my run on while the on the other of the Chief of the layer of the instruction and the country that or sure that a special distributed for the cold of the land of the trade of the trade of Committee the service of the profession of the service of the service of the ser larm.

we have the decree was issued, were they assessed in the forculation of the fifteen instructions? Will you please explain that in connection with the tesks or functions of the Vehrmacht medical Chief, according to the decree and the official instructions?

A. These difficulties, se I elresty said, plready indicated in spen ! if the oruli intry distussions, were doubtless expressed in the fricial instructions. They were even overessed in the Euchror's Decree which contain a promoble which assumed that the co-reliention in the field of more and materials was the main thing. The Fuchrer's Decree also contained much li totion of important instructions concerning the Waller SS. It said that the Chief of the Wearnaght section! Service in space succettions of the Modical Se vice of the Valton SS of ild not only with the previous symposel of the Medical Chief I the Woffen SS to live any riors. This is effect meent a provides a Tourent and one may and that in offect, as for as the other branches of the Webrasont are a neerhood, this was the situation from the var be inning. Generally it deca only to orders lesued by the Chief of the Motor ascht sufficel Service when there has been preliminary discussions on basic nucesti me between these concerned. This whole solution was to a certain ertent a correcte to r guite considerable extent and this compromise is everywand in the feet that the official instructions, which I helped to dreun, were relatively order and were also in relatively reperal teros. First it stated that the holder of the office, that is, the future Chief of the Wehrnsont Mccical Service in Wehr scht of there, was under the Chief of the High Command of the Vehropoht, which meent that the Chief of the Wehrmenht Medical Service was under three suth rities, under the Chief of the Righ U band of the Wehrmecht for Wohr wicht questions, under the Chief of the Gune Steff for cucetions concerning the field ermy, under the Corrector of the Reserve who was General Serserst From:, at that time, for resettions concering the reserve ergy. The official instructions in general few than said that the Chief of the Webrascht Medical Service was to direct and manage the Webrascht Medical Service in questions concerning the Mebrascht branch

jointly, and I may berhads, if this is desired, go into the question of the such general magnifus were at that time. Frightly, as has been reported unit, it is the question of coordinating materials and bers anal, then the question of volunteer narrows, containing evidences, and berhads haspitals as sinilar ducations, which saving if personnel and determine could be effectedly the moordination, and in which at middle could be effected.

especially intercenting here. Does that mean that research was under the Chief of Vehruncht Metinal Service - that the tops one of the duties?

a. At for /s I rose ter, this mustice oboyed as role at all at that time. The outstine which modes to reinstine were reseased and nettrials I think I can recall that the mustice of research was not continued at all in these instructions. This is indicated also by the directance that we not recommiss this coordination for outst different rose as and that these instructions were of outst a contral actual and outst brief and did not the details at all. It that extent this outstill was containly not in the formula, details at all. It that extent this possible was containly not in the formula, details at all. It that extent this possible was containly not in the

When the Herical Service?

under his. There was really a supercineta relationship contioned in the temperature. It was all soil that the Chief of the Communicat Medical Servi in general joint cuestions was a net on tenall of the Chief of the High County of the Mehry och and the instructions to the softent Chief of the Horizont call branches of the Mehry och as a present in the return general Chief of the Horizont Character of the Mehry och Services, as a present in the return general which was and instructions. Thus is a present which you find in the county of the first in the coun

satu, in concret the Medical Service accounter to so taken which were for nived as point once and which he had taken?

to Securely, he did not have any nower to issue orders in this conmention - he could novely issue instructions?

L. Tub.

- ... And you express this much city as the correspina of a regulation based on agreement, oreliginary agreement?
- a. Yes, that is how it was in offect, that there was first agreement and this was necessary because in the strict sense there was not cover these orders and the Chief of the Webroscht medical Service did not have a sutherity, any came to essert his authority and if we were to evoid that apposition to instructions or failure to follow instructions, it was now prescribely to sit down are and a table before home and agree on basic rules tions, so that efter the instructions were issued, the work could begin in begin to be that efter the instructions were issued, the work could begin to begin to begin to begin to begin to be the course of the street of the instructions were issued.
- the Weirmant medical Service, in charge of the research institutes of the Meirmant and the Weirmant to Weirman 587
- A. But security to the instructions, nothing was under him. The research institutes, I think that remained under the chief of the various branche of the behannoht.
  - to recline his fution, ettein any concrete boais for his conition, for his office?
  - work, he needed is terial from the verifies broadened of the Vehrmecht end to instructions or visco that the broadenes of the Wehr meht had the duty to rive information in such general attors. According to the instructions this referred only to attors which effected all broadens of the Wehr man not anything specifically belonging to the between or the Revy, which compounded this particular breach of the Vehr meht, may thinks which the factor all broadens of the Revy, which

C brt I 19 Fub 17- A - 23- 1 - LJG - Mechan .. If what is the relation to the colical Service of the offen SS, y a spoke if the basic restrictions in the decree if 28 July 1942; was this correspon more concretely later on? A. The instructions, as far as I recall, contained a passage which I believe even restricted the write of the Fnenrer's secree; the Auchrer's Lacros speaks only if the lifton SS, but I believe that these instructions contained a passa a concormin to units of the baffen SS untur the abresent resorbin like that and the reamizations attached to the administ, such as the males too restrice, rithe of or something like that, we first I recall, there was such a passa a in those instruction: I 1742. . M ., in reit to the a clair picture f the relations between 1962 on South bor 1, 1966, the : Gree c neurain the Charl of the Schronent . Sie I Gerrice on the meens fficial instructions; I will ank y u 6 le de the comes and the instructions f / at ust, IRMA, which I have tartely liven t y .. This is we cannot 5 . 297 and axhibit . A fitte fr cobing, a mill of color picture if you wil. diport the individual area of and instructions in tell us, as for as you con real her what the instructions of 19 2 weld, then it will be clurity on an att or so wolth a fitte to the fitter webrascht sofice pursues and you like to be at the secretary to the diares of 19ht. Firm a body and inchestive 7, A. First, I boliss A ma nor in smarth server Ma Rathe or 4 remot to. Hite t but he sen it is what to the fir this column is direction. In the horizon of the first the same of t put to the Defendants Brownit we Way I can an suver I too ithusses. It is reposition and their up to true if the Tribural. I think we a on all shorten up to assessed a content as the it as brief as possible. This competition is a sure that the compatition of another Hendler dust not that to what any time and it seems to me the testimony of the witness is rather soil hipping so with our morely establing this expels it may proceed. 3198

## BY DR. MELTE:

1. Briefly count in each individual part and point out the differences.

a. First, externally, there requests as f 1944 are much loner, three and one half typowritten of da, while the re-ulations f 1942 were burdly are than one page.

In fi are the tours is a methin new as a linet the resultation of 1962, that is the latter f asciplinary settin. It has not define who is under the Chief f the some sent when he is and I consider this sentence erely in paper with at any practical effect. In the Re whaties of 1962, we also had a supervisory with rity of the Chief f the otherwicht wellcal Service, a real induction of the narrow sense.

4. We, concretely, may I ask y a the quantit of the process that no apoke of but  $r_{\rm c}$  as  $r_{\rm c}$  ore, instruction and directions, as expressed in I = 2?

notes of instructions but with ricy sec reins t No. 1 of the Fuchror secres. This Fuchror is a supertion to the superior is the fuchror on the form. This fuchror is at some that in the technical field, orders can be iven. This is a rule of furnity of interest in the technical field, orders

- C. What does this o wer to inne lore man?
- As Parely in the mode I diels; in the Siel' of the mode I service, not the ower to intervene in elitery on are. That is element the soldies! Service is lighted to a thora, and as I family with as produces of the component jointly.
- Q. May I and the translate that interest in the medical correction of the medical correction of the medical decision.

  Service to in the regular of the last of the medical decision. Places believe the medical decision of the correction of the service of the medical decision of the service of the contract of the correction of the corre
- a. Under II, in the most of process of incoding to stall one the dividual beauty of the control of the control

other cultural distinctions of the appropriate of the second of the other cultural distinctions of the second of t

- that where there is a position of subordination, this is elevely exercised
- A. That one slower of only expressed in the service regulations, which I remember. In the regulations for the error, for excepts, the take of the army Tableal Inspector to the minimum in one point and it is a small regulation of ficers, the non-consistency efficiers, the man, etc. I have seen that in that form in many even regulations and there is a small resulation about ranks where that is on arms specifically.
- of a , my I say where it I not appearing and that there is a relationship of superior and superdinate, then there is no authority to issue orders in General?
  - A. The t is -y opinion.
- A. Here is any at the court in this restrict that the community the leafer and in a burners, has built of the effect SB;
  - A. Nam 15 remains the story
- A. Tes, in effect it remains the a mar. I do not know thather the
- Of the Chief of the Medical Series of the Cohresport will be in the most scientific field, uniform measures in the field of health science, reported and the contenting of epid mass and all added measures with regarder restor water waiting at the same and all added measures with a regarder restor waiting at the same area are the resting flow in 1992?

- A. No, it was not included in 1942 in this form?
- May one conclude that from the let of September 1944 the Chief of the Webrancht Medical Service not only could contet epidemics but also in addition could intervene in the remarks work carried on by the individual branches of the Webrascht?
- A. That seems onite improbable to be from the situation. For exercit the Luftweife clanned some research which effected only that branch of Wehrmant ...
- interest; for exemple, hemititis epidemics, which you know, or typhus. I this research a question which can be uniformly regulated?
- a. No, the uniform regulation of research would not serve the achievement of feverable results. In my induced one can issue assignments on research and one can say we are not activated with the drug of that serum, of that which we have is not sufficient and sum set that these things be imposed that much cannot be done controlly. A research worker, who receives such a summertion, will first have to try for himself the methods which he will to some to a result. Forkers he will take two or three wrong maths, which not less to the goal. In my opining this example shows even to a layman to research cannot be briefled in this example shows even to a layman to
- under III, what differences there are as maninet the regulations of 19427
- under the seme working. No. 2 is now, considerly new. No. 3 was contain in work in a similar furn in the regulation of 19-2, recording the restriction of inspection. I believe that this was expressed in a similar for with the same restriction in 19-2, but it is multo new that the Chief of Wehrmoont Medical Service is to have the sutherity to issue orders on the spot in the field of pedical service if those are necessary for the remains an energency and do not disperse with fundamental orders of the branch of the behaviors, that is also any power to issue orders on the suct. A this right of inspection to much service that is a restrict it is very limitary

He had no power to issue orders, no gover of supervision and such inspectic trips a uld only have been for the purpose of personal deservation and, no foult, this was to be adjusted by this new sentence, which I have musted as which in itself contains two such strong limitations which I would not have enjoyed as Chief of the behrmscht a dical Service inspecting a branch of the Wehrmscht.

Q. Now if I ask you have the regulation of 1944 in the obtains points differ from the regulation of 1942, please well as that in a low words. Swill as.

work, a limit. There is a new continuous of the fields of work, a limit. There is been rise, to use their resultion stoke only of instructions; contain influence in the continuous to displace to displace in the sout furing insocction one. I can describe the sout furing insocction one. I can describe the sout furing insocction one.

So how tome rain, resorred I must tak the question, in what May was the made I dervice of the "lifer SS made 1972 to five 1984 under the chief of the Cohreschi mode 1 movies?

A. It was not us a size I cannot see any sub-ring to much this regulation not may a I a a promoter at arms 1902, but it is not exist, as it says no could not any interpretable the regions of the Helmandst, asserted to each of the I for 55 cm or in. Tours when a size relation to each of the I for 55 cm or in. Tours were missing relation to the high common in the process of the two common to the description of the transfer of the two common of the temporal of

Q. I result a both the she is the chart on the second question which I won't be set you in Louis here the real was investigate of the SS on the before SS in 1902 or a single resulting only the term of the property of the chart of the SS on the property of the second of the SS on the SS on the SS on the second of the second of the SS on the SS on the second of the second of the SS on the second of the second of the second of the SS on the second of the second o

there was not are taken and rest to be lightly or the training

Court I 19 Feb 47-m - 2h- 1s - LJG - Feldt 35, and in the re-ulation of 18hh it depends in whether they were institute. with the units I the seften SS under the shreacht. Otherwise the maffen IS was not under his and the institutes f the affen SS could not be under him, but whether those units if the after SS had such institutor, I cannot sey from my own knowled a. 4. as there I seemal supervisory right f the chief f the Schrogelit mudical survice? ate lio. Q. Is the supervisory right connected with any ther powers? a. Yes, senerally with the disciplinary authority. Supervision remained with the branches if the detreacht themselves, the chiefs of the modical service in this casu. .. Then I may conclude the questions in this subject, and, ir. President I have an affadavit from this witness. I have submitted it as Exhibit 20, Demont E. Lo, in Domant B. k 2, Phys 60, I do not want to repeat this efficivit here. It has already been read in part. I mare want to ask you winther the statements which y a case there about the tasks I the army I untain Wolcol School and the Wountain Physical ical Institute in St. J harm, whateer you committed a them to ri at here under cath. A. 105. . Not t report the americation f this sitness ne neulting physicisps, I show the witness Bartlebon H. 18 Exhibit 8, Decument Sock 1, Five 32, and I ask you, witness, have you rund this statement of Profession Hatrilopur? a. I have read the walle deu at bo k, but I do a t know from the number which one it is. w. It says "Two instituti n of a usulting physicians." w. You. Yes, I rust that one. . Con you callies the captants of this afficavit? A. Tos, fully. 1. And finally I have given ; a Decement H. 2, Exhibit 37, Decement

B .k 1, Face 5 and following and ask y u to read it, the statements about necessity and purpose f the mostin = f the c neutring specialists, that is, the neutrons of the consulting specialists. Have you read this statement?

- A. Yas.
- 2. Can y u confirm the correctness of these statements fr m your ann knowledge?
  - A. I can confirm it from my was kn whed a.
- Q. Then in a natural on I should only like to ask y u, what is your opinion of Profess r Ham locar, and in particular, his attitude in medical othics?
- mentioned, I speke a this question and I printed out that Professor Bandless was always primarily interested in the autobandin medical achievement and empiret I also without filters. Forhaps I may underline this of a sin that, for example, it was sharply appeared to having the rank names I the medical officers oftened. The word "physician", "worst" is always included in the siling in the Gorman army, Stebsarst, Demorpharst. Affirs were more to make those names, to say "Captain" instead of "Stebsarst" or "it. Unlimed" instead of "Obserfolmarst."

  He always appoint more afforms because he was I the pinion that our title was to show primarily our capacity as a let r. I have solden had a super-late was a primarily our capacity as a let r. I have solden had a super-late was a primarily our capacity as a let r. I have solden had a super-

Handlocar for thirteen or fourteen years. I was in close contact with him for years, and I believe that I am in a position to pass judgment on his personality. I can only say that in view or his exceptary modical attitude, it is my firm conviction that any medically ethically instantiable approximate could not have been known to him. He would have endangered the whole six of his life as a physician, and his whole atfitud would have end to be changed suddenly. But that does not happen at his law. Therefore I believe that it we had learned of such things he would have objected a for as he had the appropriately.

DR. MELTE: I thank you. I have no further questions to this witness BT THE PARSIDENT:

- Q. Witmens, were the army regulations of the German army published in printed form prior to 1939?
- A. I do not quite uncornt ad word regulations you seem. If I might ask you be explain a little?
- by the proper authority of the government for every army. In the Status they are called the army Regulations. I do not know what they are called in Germany, but in the United States they are printed in a book of rm, army Regulations, general the government the members of the armed Forces of the army. As there reputate, elility to their printed in Germany for the benefit and incornation of the German army?

A. Y.s. the regulations of the Cornen army oristed -- always totated in a reinted form, or v -- totalographed form, and were not
publish a set the

government, but they were is send by the high commend, that is, the Wer Minitery, originally; later, by the high commend of the Wehrmacht for the Wehrmacht and by the high commend of the army for the army and they were signed, in general, seconding to the significance, usually by the commender in chief the branch of the Wehrmacht, but in this case by the commander in chief the army.

- .. What were these regulation called? What were the title of the?
- A. The titles veries. There ere a large number of regulations. Such of them were still being worked on. For example -
- . Well, were those regulations never escended and wrinted in and volume?

in the medical respect, effecting the broops, he had to ask for an order the out; the troop commader. He would have to ask the chief of the high command of the "shrancht, or as many added inspector, he would have to ask the Commander of the Research Army of servings. He would issue the order. That is the reason the service regulations always may be is the "dvisor of his commander in questions of the medical service.

Q. The Chief could issue, I understord, a directive that becarries promonic would be to the dance extends vay, so that a custom variety of splint should be not taked to care a broken lag. That make be a directive, would be?

A. Instructions were not in. I in this connection. Advise was given. The extended of the first of the presented for the dector.

The exim blue was that he may be as add; whether one dector preferred one drug and mather dector prefer and matter dang, was up to the dector.

The The rule be a recommon that my not a direction? In that correct the direction, and thou, and the property of the state of the state

Churt I 19 Fub 47-4 - 25-1a - 135 - Fite:orald a. what would be the effect of such a recommendation upon the officers to whom it was directed? hey would use their was jud ment in applying it or mould they follow 11? s. Tou mean the modical officers? Q. Yos. A. The redical Pricers know that what the higher medical afficers told them in this respect was the fruit of medical and scientific experience of the latest years. Therefore, they welcomed such directive or advise, and enerally, no cobt, followed it. They were not so limited. If I think about pneumonia, for example, various modern methods of treatment were reported in this bunklet and compared with one another. The medical officer has the ammantee that he was advised according to the best medical knowled c. Q. a directive, then, a wild be disre, aread by a medical officer of a reciment, bri ede or division, if in his jud ment, the directive was not correct, who not wound in principle? A. He had that liberty. In that case he propubly would have consulted the tours. . Did the modical director have authority to direct or reer, Whatever it should be called, re-imental sur eons to make reports to him at monthly intervals about the qualth of their commands? A. There was a special instruction needed for this in the medical service. There was quite a defind report system. Every worth a report was dade by every unit wheat the score of health. This was done autosatisfily. us the required that these reports be nade? was that in these army regulations we mentioned? A. I bolieve that to the mas soi a main the resulations, but it is possible that there were in initial years. N , I just remember the regulations. There was I re ulation about reports for the army which settled this question in detail.

- Q. If the Chief of the Service desired, quickly, a cortain report upon a cortain division, could be a mi unorder to the Chief Surgeon of that division to report to his imadiately upon cortain health conditions in that division?
  - A. The Chief of the schreacht schical Service?
  - Q. Tos.
- a. He. He could not. It would have been treater for his to approach the market for the branch of the chreatent concerned. He would no doubt have he better compositions. The basic orinciples in such things were, as far as I say it, that the Chief of the chreatest redical service when he halved for information, did not the directly to subordinate places, but to the superformation as did not the directly to subordinate places, but to the superformation as did not the first whom he wanted information.
  - you not?
  - the question to be this: If the Chief of the Long mucht bedied Service region to be unformed by the whom would be to geen himself, directly to the person from more be wanted information or the who chancels?
  - Is. You uniterated as correctly, but I do not understand you, I think.
  - tow recommendate.

(The 'ribunt) with use through the Primary 1967 to 2030 hours.)

## MICROCOPY 007

ROLL